## Directorate of Distance & Online Education UNIVERSITY OF JAMMU JAMMU



Ist Semester SESSION 2023 ONWARDS

# **M.A. ENGLISH**

Course No. ENG - 121

Unit – I, II, III, IV, V, VI

Lesson Nos. 1-29

Course Coordinator : Prof. Anupama Vohra Teacher Incharge : Dr. Jasleen Kaur

http:/www.distanceeducationju.in

Printed and Published on behalf of the Directorate of Distance and Online Education, University of Jammu, Jammu by the Director, DD&OE, University of Jammu, Jammu.

## M.A. ENGLISH - SEMESTER I

## COURSE NO. ENG - 121

Lesson compilation : Dr. Rakesh Lidiya Dr. Jasleen Kaur Dr. Kshamata Choudhary Proof Reading and Editing : Dr. Jasleen Kaur

© Directorate of Distance & Online Education, University of Jammu, 2023

- All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced in any form, by mimeograph or any other means, without permission in writing from the DD&OE, University of Jammu.
- The script writer shall be responsible for the lesson / script submitted to the DD&OE and any plagiarism shall be his/her entire responsibility.

Printed by : Sudesh Printers / 2023 / 1000 Books

## Welcome

Dear Distance Learners,

Welcome to Directorate of Distance Education!

Now you are enrolled for PG English, a prestigious course, run by the Directorate. In Semester I you have four papers each of 6 credits. The detailed syllabus of each course is given in the respective study material. You are advised to read the prescribed texts in detail and consult the library for additional material. This course, that is ENG-121 comprises of six Units. Unit-I is Literary and Intellectual background of Drama upto Jacobean age and Units II to VI have five plays. Those learners who have read literature at the undergraduate level have a fairly good idea about drama, prose, poetry, novel criticism, literary terms, genres etc. Those who come from non-literature background shall have to work hard to grasp the basics and cope with the detailed study of the prescribed texts in each course.

Kindly read *A New History of English Literature* written by Prof. B.S. Dahiya, Formerly Vice Chancellor, Kurushetra University, Kurushetra and published by Doaba House. It will give you a comprehensive overview of the history of English Literature. This shall help you not only in the preparation of semester end exam but also shall be beneficial for the preparation of NET/SET exams.

Do attend the PCP programmes though they are optional; the contact classes and counselling clarify many of your doubts, questions and queries. Do remember to submit the Internal Assessment Assignments (IAAs) in time because no late IAAs are accepted, and in case of non-submission of IAAs you are ineligible to sit in the term end examination.

Wish you good luck!

Dr. Jasleen Kaur

Teacher Incharge PGEnglish DD & OE

## DETAILED SYLLABUS OF M.A. ENGLISH

## **SEMESTER-FIRST**

Course Code: ENG-121	Duration of Examination: 3 hrs.		
Title of the Course: Drama-I	Total Marks: 100		
Credits: 6	(a) Semester Examination - 80		
	(b) Sessional Assessment - 20		

## Syllabus for the examination to be held in Dec. 2023, 2024 & 2025.

**Objective:** The purpose of the course is to acquaint the students with the growth and development of English drama from the Medieval to the Jacobean period from the literary and historical perspectives. The course introduces the students to the different kinds of drama. They will study the form and literary problems associated with the prescribed plays.

## Unit-I

Literary and Intellectual background of drama up to the Jacobean Period.

## Unit II

Christopher Marlowe:	Dr. Faustus
Unit-III	
William Shakespeare: <b>Unit-IV</b>	King Lear
Ben Jonson:	Volpone
Unit-V	
William Shakespeare:	The Tempest
Unit-VI	
John Webster:	The Duchess of Malfi

## **MODE OF EXAMINATION**

## The paper will be divided into Sections A, B and C. M.M. = 80

### **Section A Multiple Choice Questions**

Q. No. 1 will be an objective type question covering the entire syllabus. Twelve objectives, two from each unit, with four options each will be set and the candidate will be required to write the correct option and not specify by putting a tick mark ( $\Box$ ). Any ten out of twelve are to be attempted. Each objective will be for one mark. (10×1=10)

## Section B Short Answer Questions

Q. No. 2 comprises short answer type questions covering the entire syllabus. Fourquestions will be set and the candidate will be required to attempt any two questions in about 80-100 words. Each answer will be evaluated for 5 marks.  $(5 \times 2=10)$ 

## Section C Long Answer Questions

Q. No. 3 comprises long answer type questions from the entire syllabus. Six questions, one from each unit, will be set and the candidate will be required to attempt any five questions in about 300-350 words. Each answer will be evaluated for 12 marks.

(5×12=60)

## **Suggested Reading :**

1.	Ben Johnson	:	The British Library, 16 July 2018, www.bl.uk/people/ben-jonson#.
2.	Barton, Anne	:	<i>Ben Jonson : Dramatist</i> , Cambridge UP, 2014.
3.	Bowers, Fredson	:	<i>Elizabethan Revenge Tragedy,</i> 1587-1642, Princeton UP, 1940.
4.	Bradley, Andrew Cecil	:	Shakespearen Tragedy : Lectures on Hamlet, Othello, King Lear, Macbeth, Macmillan, 1992.

5. Charlton, H.B.	:	Shakespearean Comedy, Methuen & Co. Ltd., 1984.	
6. Craig, D. H., editor	:	Ben Jonson : the Critical Heritage, Routledge, 1990.	
7. Fermor, Una Mary Ellis	:	The Jacobean Drama : An Interpretation, Routledge, 1936.	
8. Frank Kermode	:	<i>William Shakespeare : The Final Plays,</i> Longman Group, 1973.	
9. Jonson, Samuel	:	Preface of Shakespeare, Outlook Verlag Publishers, 2018.	
10. Jonson, Ben	:	Every Man in His Humor, edited by E.H. Herford and Percy Simpson, Clarendon Press, 1927.	
11. Jonson Ben	:	The Alchemist, Cambridge UP, 2016.	
12. John Webster	:	The British Library, 16 July 2018, www.bl.uk/people/John-webster	
13. Kaufmann, R. J.	:	editor, Elizabethan Drama ; Modern Essays in Criticism, OUP, 1961.	
14. Knight, G. Wilson	:	The Wheel of Fire : Interpretations of Shakespearian Tragedy with Three New Essays, Routledge, 1993.	
15. Kyd, Thomas	:	<i>The Spanish Tragedy</i> , Manchester Up, 1996.	
16. Lucas, Frank Laurence	:	Seneca and Elizabethan Tragedy, Cambridge UP, 2009.	
17. Marlowe, Christopher and J. S. Cunningham	:	<i>Tamburlaine the Great,</i> Manchester, UP, 1981.	

18. Palmer, John	: <i>Comic character of Shakespeare</i> , Read Books Ltd., 2013.
19. Ribner, Irving	: Jacobean Tragedy : The Quest for Moral Order, Routledge, 2018.
20. Shakespeare, William	: An Oxford Anthology of Shakespeare, edited by Stanley Wells, OUP, 1987.
21	: The Tragedy of Othello, the Moor of Venice, edited by Russ McDonald, Penguin Books, 2001.
21. Terence John et al	: "William Shakespeare", Encyclopedia
	<i>Britannica INC</i> , 14 Nov. 2019, www.britannica.com/biography/William- Shakespeare
	The Works of William Shakespeare : in Ten Volumes, edited by Henry Arthur, NDI, India, 12 June 2017/ndl.iitkgp.ac.in/ document/c012L3hnbUJNbHdzTDNCO EMxcWkybmhCY1FjZFBmV3hBdWji MzRGNm8INDO
22. Webster, John	: <i>The White Devil</i> , Bloomsbury Methuen Drama, 2018.
23. Welsford, Enid	: The Fool : His Social and Literary History, Faber and Faber, 1978.
24. Wilson, F. P.	: Elizabethan and Jacobean, ClrendonPress, 1945.

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page No.
Unit – I	Literary and Intellectual background of	9-117
	Drama upto the Jacobean Period	
	Lesson (1-4)	
	Dr. Rakesh Lidiya	
	Lesson (5)	
	Dr. Jasleen Kaur	
Unit – II	Christopher Marlowe: Dr. Faustus	118-266
	Lesson (6-10)	
	Dr. Jasleen Kaur	
Unit - III	William Shakespeare : King Lear	267-395
	Lesson (11-16)	
	Dr. Kshamata Choudhary	
Unit – IV	Ben Jonson : Volpone	396-460
	Lesson (17-21)	
	Dr. Kshamata Choudhary	
Unit – V	William Shakespeare: The Tempest	461-511
	Lesson (22-24)	
	Dr. Jasleen Kaur	
Unit - VI	John Webster : The Duchess of Malfi	512-579
	Lesson (25-29)	
	Dr. Kshamata Choudhary	

## COURSE No. ENG-121 DRAMA-I

LESSON No. 1

M.A. ENGLISH

UNIT - I

LITERARY AND INTELLECTUAL BACKGROUND OF DRAMA UPTO THE JACOBEAN PERIOD

## ENGLISH RENAISSANCE

## STRUCTURE

- 1.1 Introduction
- 1.2 Objectives
- 1.3 The Historical Overview
- 1.4 The Elizabethan and Jacobean Ages
  - 1.4.1 Political Peace and Stability
  - 1.4.2 Social Development
  - 1.4.3 Religious Tolerance
  - 1.4.4 Sense and Feeling of Patriotism
  - 1.4.5 Discovery, Exploration and Expansion
  - 1.4.6 Influence of Foreign Fashions
  - 1.4.7 Contradictions and Set of Oppositions
- 1.5 Elizabethan Prose
  - 1.5.1 Prose in Early Renaissance
  - 1.5.2 The Essay
  - 1.5.3 Character Writers
  - 1.5.4 Religious Prose
  - 1.5.5 Prose Romances

- 1.6 Elizabethan Drama
  - 1.6.1 The University Wits
  - 1.6.2 Dramatic Activity of Shakespeare
  - 1.6.3 Other Playwrights
- 1.7 Let Us Sum Up
- 1.8 Examination Oriented Questions
- 1.9 Multiple Choice Questions
- 1.10 Suggested Readings

## **1.1 INTRODUCTION**

The focus of this lesson is on the Elizabethan and Jacobean Ages with special reference to Elizabethan prose and drama.

#### **1.2 OBJECTIVES**

This unit will make the learners aware with the historical and socio-political knowledge of Elizabethan and Restoration Ages, features of the ages, literary tendencies, literary contributions to the different of genres like poetry, prose and drama. The important writers are introduced with their major works. With this background study the learners will be able to locate the particular works in the tradition of literature, and again they will study the prescribed texts in the historical background.

## **1.3 THE HISTORICAL OVERVIEW**

The literary decline after Chaucer's death was due in considerable measure to political reasons. The dispute about the throne, which culminated in the War of Roses, dissipated the energy and resources of the country and finally destroyed in large measure the noble families. The art and literature depended on their patronage. The accession of Henry VII in 1485 brought about a period of quiet and recovery. Henry VII established a strong monarchy and restored social and political order. He curtailed the powers and privileges of barons and patronized the new rich class. The country resumed its power among European nations, and began through them to feel the stimulus of the Renaissance. Caxton's press, which was established in 1476 in London, was the earliest forerunner of Renaissance in England. Rickett remarks: The Renaissance had come with Caxton. It began in London with the publication of English masterpieces that awakened a sense of their national life in the minds of the people. King Henry VIII, who acceded to the throne of England in 1509, began an era of significant and purposeful changes. He ruled in the spirit of modern statecraft. He encouragedtrade and manufacturers, and increased the wealth of the country. He hastened the decline of feudalism by allowing men of low birth to high positions. Thus the court became the field for the display of individual ambition. Men of talent and learning found honourable place in his court. During his reign, England contributed her part to the spread of the new civilization and new learning. Education was popularized. Cardinal's College and Christ Church College at Oxford were founded. The Reign of Henry VIII also expedited the Reformation which had begun in England nearly two centuries before with Wycliffe. The spirit of emancipation of conscience from priestly control was strengthened by the example of German and Swiss reformers. In 1534, Henry VII enforced political separation from Rome on the occasion of the annulment of his first marriage. It provided an opportunity for radical theological reforms. Hugh Latimer was a powerful spokesman of the spirit of Reformation. His writings represent a development of popular English prose. The Reformation and various religious and political controversies gave rise to the writing of pamphlets, serious and satirical. The translation of the Bible by William Tyndale and Miles Coverdale is a significant development in English prose. During Henry's reign the court emerged as a great patron of learning, art and literature. The atmosphere of peace and calm which began to prevail after long turmoil and chaos paved the way for extraordinary development of literary activity. Edward VI ruled from 1547 to 1553. The reign of Queen Mary from 1553 to 1558 was marred by religious conflicts. She restored Roman Catholicism in England. Creative activity was arrested during her time but it was replenished with much

greater vigour in the reign of Queen Elizabeth (1558 - 1603). The above historical overview is just an introduction to the socio-political and religious conditions leading to the golden period which is called the Age of Elizabeth. The English Renaissance covers a long span of time, which is divided for the sake of convenience into the following three periods: i) The Beginning of Renaissance (1516 - 1558). ii) The Flowering of Renaissance (1558 - 1603). It is actually called the Age of Elizabeth. iii) The Decline of Renaissance (1603 - 1625). It is also termed as the Jacobean Age. Let's see these literary periods through different perspectives.

#### **1.4 THE ELIZABETHAN AND JACOBEAN AGES**

Both the Elizabethan and Jacobean periods in the history of English literature are also known as The Age of Shakespeare. This span of time is the golden age of literature. It extends from the accession of Elizabeth in 1558 to the death of James I in 1625. It was an era of peace, of economic prosperity, of stability, of liberty and of great explorations. It was an age of both contemplation and action. It was an era which was illustrious for the unprecedented development of art, literature and drama. John Milton calls England, during this age, as — a noble and puissant nation, rousing herself, like a strong man after sleep, and shaking her invincible locks. Let's see the main characteristics of this age.

#### **1.4.1 Political Peace and Stability**

Elizabeth brilliantly framed and followed the policy of balance and moderation both inside and outside the country. A working compromise was reached with Scotland. The rebellious northern barons were kept in check. She, therefore, could successfully establish peace in traditionally disturbed border areas. Under her able administration, the English national life rapidly and steadily progressed.

#### **1.4.2 Social Development**

It was an age of great social contentment. The rapid rise of industrial towns gave employment to thousands. Increasing trade and commerce enriched England.

The wealthy were taxed to support the poor. This created the atmosphere for literary activities.

## 1.4.3 Religious Tolerance

It was an era of religious tolerance and peace. Upon her accession she found the whole nation divided against itself. The North was largely Catholic, and the South was strongly Protestant. Scotland followed the Reformation intensely. Ireland followed its old traditional religion. It was Elizabeth who made the Anglican Church a reality. Anglicanism was a kind of compromise between Catholicism and Protestantism. Both the Protestants and the Catholics accepted the Church. All Englishmen were influenced by the Queen's policy of religious tolerance and were united in a magnificent national enthusiasm. The mind of man, now free from religious fears and persecutions, turned with a great creative impulse to other forms of activity. An atmosphere of all pervading religious peace gave great stimulus to literary activity.

## 1.4.4 Sense and Feeling of Patriotism

It was an age of patriotism. Queen Elizabeth loved England ardently and she made her court one of the most brilliant courts in Europe. The splendour of her court dazzled the eyes of the people. Her moderate policies did much to increase her popularity and prestige. Worship of the Virgin Queen became the order of the day. She was Spenser's Gloriana, Raleigh's Cynthia, and Shakespeare's — fair vestal throned by the West. Even the foreigners saw in her —a keen calculating intellect that baffled the ablest statesmen in Europe. Elizabeth inspired all her people with the unbounded patriotism which exults in Shakespeare and with the personal devotion which finds a voice in the *Faerie Queene*. Under her administration the English national life progressed faster not by slow historical and evolutionary process. English literature reached the highest point of literary development during her period.

## 1.4.5 Discovery, Exploration and Expansion

This is the most remarkable epoch for the expansion of both mental and

geographical horizons. It was an age of great thought and great action. It was an age which appealed to the eye, the imagination and the intellect. New knowledge was pouring in from all directions. The great voyagers like Hawkins, Frobisher, Raleigh and Drake brought home both material and intellectual treasures from the East and the West. The spirit of adventure and exploration fired the imagination of writers. The spirit of action and adventure paved the way for the illustrious development of dramatic literature. Drama progresses in an era of action and not of speculation. It has rightly been called the age of the discovery of the new world and of man.

## **1.4.6 Influence of Foreign Fashions**

Italy, the home of Renaissance, fascinated the Elizabethans. All liked to visit Italy and stay there for some time. People were not only fond of Italian books and literature, but also of Italian manners and morals. Consequently the literature of England was immensely enriched by imitating Italian classics.

## **1.4.7 Contradictions and Set of Oppositions**

It was an age of great diversity and contradictions. It was an age of light and darkness, of reason and of unreason, of wisdom and of foolishness, of hope and of despair. The barbarity and backwardness, the ignorance and superstition of the Middle Ages still persisted. Disorder, violence, bloodshed and tavern brawls still prevailed. Highway robberies, as mentioned in Henry IV, Part I, were very common. The barbarity of the age is seen in such brutal sports as bear baiting, cock and bull fighting, to which numerous references are found in the plays of Shakespeare. Despite the advancement of science and learning, people still believed in superstitions, ghosts, witches, fairies, charms and omens of all sorts. In spite of great refinement and learning it was an age of easy morals. People did not care for high principles of morality and justice. Bribery and international delays of justice were common evils. Material advancement was by fair means or foul, the main aim of men in high places. Hardly anyone of the public men of this age had a perfectly open heart and very few had quite clean hands. In spite of the ignorance

and superstition, violence and brutality, easy morals and lax values, Elizabethan Age was an age in which men lived very much, thought intensely and wrote strongly.

## **1.5 ELIZABETHAN PROSE**

The Age of Elizabeth was also conspicuous for the remarkable development of prose, which was variously written with great stylistic and linguistic excellence. The following prose genres developed during this period:

## 1.5.1 Prose in Early Renaissance

The prose of early Renaissance consists largely of translations. The writers of this period were educationists and reformers rather than creative writers. The following major writers need to be considered in a nutshell: Sir Thomas More--- He was one of the early humanists and the first prose writer of great literary significance. His famous work Utopia was written in Latin, but it was translated into English in 1551 by Ralph Robinson. It is the — true prologue of Renaissance. It shows the influence of Plato. Utopia has been called — the first monument of modern socialism. Thomas More extols democratic communism - people's state, elected government, equal distribution of wealth and nine hours' work a day. In it we find for the first time the foundation of civilized society, the three great words - Liberty, Equality and Fraternity. More advocates religious tolerance. In English literary history Thomas More is not remembered for his contributionto style but for the originality of his ideas. Roger Ascham--- He was a great educationist. His first work The School of Shooting was written in English. Commenting on the state of English language he writes: - Everything has been done excellently well in Greek and Latin, but in the English tongue so meanly that no man can do worse. But — I have written this English matter, in the English tongue for Englishmen. His second work, The School Master contains intellectual instructions for the young. Ascham's prose style is conspicuous for economy and precision. He was the first writer who wrote — the English speech for the Englishmen. He is — the first English stylist. Sir Thomas Elyot and Sir John Cheke Elyot's *The Governor* is a treatise on moral philosophy and education.

His prose does not concern the common man but it is restrained and classical. Cheke was a teacher of Greek art at Cambridge. He wrote *The Heart of Sedition* which shows the influence of classicism and antiquity. To him both form and matter were equally important. His prose is vigorous, argumentative, eloquent and humorous.

#### 1.5.2 The Essay

The Essay, which Montaigne began in France, was a very popular prose form during this Age. It has been variously defined. An essay is a short composition more or less incomplete. It is like lyric in poetry. It may be written on any subject under the sun. The year 1597, when Bacon published his ten essays, marks the beginning of essay writing in English literature. Sir Francis Bacon-Bacon occupies a dominant place in English prose. He wrote varied type of prose. He is philosophical in The Advancement of Learning, historical in the History of Henry VII, and speculative in New Atlantis. Bacon occupies a permanent place in English prose due to his *Essays*, ten in number, which appeared in 1597. The second edition and the third edition raised the number to 38 and 58 respectively. They are on familiar subjects and they represent the meditations of trained and learned mind. They contain utilitarian wisdom and are written in lucid, clear and aphoristic style. Bacon began the vogue of essay writing in English. His essays introduced a new form of literature into English literature. He was the first English writer who employed a style that is conspicuous for lucidity, clarity, economy, precision, directness, masculinity and mathematical plainness. His images and figures of speech are simple and clearly illustrate the ideas that he wishes to communicate. Ben Jonson— Ben Jonson wrote aphoristic essays which are compiled in The Timber of Discoveries which was published posthumously about 1641. His essays are moral and critical. Jonson's style is noticeable for lucidity, terseness and strength. He treats a subject in a simple and plain manner. John Selden John Selden's Table Talk abounds in sharp, acid-natured aphorisms, exhibiting tough common sense and little imagination. As a practitioner of aphoristic essay he standsnext to Bacon and Ben Jonson. He also wrote The Titles of Honour and The History of Titles.

## **1.5.3 Character Writers**

The seventeenth century witnessed the origin and development of another kind of essay, known as character writing. The character writers were influenced by Theophrastus, Seneca and dramatists. They are also highly indebted to Bacon who provided them with a pattern of style – concise, pointed and sententious. The following are the character writers:

- I. Thomas Dekkar wrote the *Bellman of London* and *A Strange Horse Race* which are noticeable for the portrayal of vivid character sketches. In character sketch the sentences are unusually short.
- II. Joseph Hall wrote the *Good Magistrate* and *Virtues and Vices*. He was endowed with the qualities required for character writing. Satire distinguishes his character sketches.
- III. Thomas Overbury's *Characters* is a collection of numerous well portrayed characters. He usually packs the characters to some trade or occupation. The character takes color from the occupation from whichit draws its virtues and vices. His style is artificial and he subordinates substance to form, matter to manner.
- IV. Earle is superior to both Hall and Overbury as a character writer. His *Microcosmography* is his collection of well portrayed characters. It is written in a delightful and witty style. His style is easy, vigorous and fluent.
- V. George Herbert differs from all other character writers of his time. His famous work A Priest in the Temple or A Country Parson is not a collection of unconnected sketches, but a short treatise in thirty seven chapters. Each of the characters delineates a phase of parson's life his knowledge, his praying, his preaching, his comforting etc. He aims at imparting reality to his character. His aim is to recommend religion by the portrayal of a charming and saintly life.

VI. Thomas Fuller in his *Holy War* and *Profane State* does not follow the Theophrastian model. He belongs to a school of his own. What distinguishes Fuller is his boundless humanity which is visible in every page. He mixes his character sketches with interesting stories. He also imparts personal touch to his essays. His characters of virtues and vices are not merely fanciful exercises but they are real and concrete. His style is condensed and discursive.

#### **1.5.4 Religious Prose**

During this period religious controversy was in vogue. It gave rise to fine English prose and it also contributed to the evolution of English prose style. The religious prose writers are as under: I. Sir John Tyndale is remembered for the translation of the *Bible* and the *Book of Common Prayer*. This translation formed the basis for *The Authorized Version of the Bible* (1611). It is written in traditional prose, purged form, ornateness and triviality. Its style is remarkable for simplicity, clarity, lucidity and directness because Tyndale's aim was to make the Bible readable even to peasants. II. Latimer's *Sermon on the Ploughers* and *others* were written in plain and straightforward English. Richard Hooker wrote *The Laws of Ecclesiastical Polity* which is an outstanding contribution in the field of theology and prose style. Hooker's style is highly latinized but it is free from pedantry and vulgarity. It is logical and convincing, musical and cadenced, clear and vigorous.

## **1.5.5 Prose Romances**

The writing of prose romances is a remarkable development of this period. They anticipated novel which came into being during the eighteenth century. The prose romances of this period consisted of tales of adventure as well as of romance. They dealt with contemporary life and events of the past, with the lifeat the court and the life of the city. It was by turns humorous and didactic, realistic and fanciful. In short, it represented the first rough drafts of English novel. The prose romances of varied forms and shapes were written by many writers. I. George Gascoigne wrote the *Adventures of Master E.J.* which depicts lively sketch of English country – house life. It has well-portrayed characters.

II. John Lyly is the pioneer of the English novel, the first stylist in prose, and the most popular writer of the age. His famous work Euphues is incidentally — the first novel in English language. It deals with love and romance. It foretells the rise of the novel of manners. It moves away from the fanciful idealism of medieval romance and suggests an interest in contemporary life. Euphues is especially remarkable for its style, which is based on alliteration, play upon words, and antithesis. Lyly aimed at precision and emphasis by carefully balancing his words and phrases. III. Sir Philip Sidney wrote a prose romance Arcadia (1590) which represents the restless spirit of adventure of the age of chivalry. It is a dream world compounded of Sidney's knowledge of classicism and Christianity, medieval chivalry and Renaissance luxury. Its style is full of affectations and artificiality. It is highly poetical. IV. As a writer of prose romances, Robert Greene is remembered for Pandosto, Mamillia and Menaphone. His romances are in moral tone and their style is imitative of Lyly. He has a sense of structural unity, restraint and verisimilitude. What distinguishes Greene is the skilful portraiture of women characters. Besides, these romances, Greene strikes a realistic note in Mourning of Garment and Never Too Late.

V. Lodge's *Rosalynde* (1590) is a pastoral romance, written in imitation of the ornate style of *Eupheus*. It is considered to be the source of Shakespeare's *As You Like It*. VI. Thomas Nashe is the first great realist who graphically depicted contemporary London life and its manners. His descriptions of respectable roguery are tinged with satire. Nash's memorable work is *The Unfortunate Traveller or The Life of Jack Wilton* (1594) which has the rare distinction of being the first picaresque or rogue novel. It combines both comedy and tragedy. It may also be called the first historical novel. His prose style is clear, lucid, simple and forceful. VII. Thomas Deloney was a realist, who in his works *Thomas of Reading, Jack of Newbury* and the *Gentle Craft*, realistically depict contemporary bourgeois life. His style is remarkable for simplicity, clarity, directness and spontaneity. His prose runs easily into spirited dialogue. VIII. Robert Burton was a humanist whose *The Anatomy of Melancholy* (1621) is a distinguished work of philosophical prose. His style changes with the subject. It is lucid, tense, precise and rhetorical.

## **1.6 ELIZABETHAN DRAMA**

The period marks the real beginning of drama. It is the golden age of English drama. The renewed study of classical drama shaped English drama in its formative years. Seneca influenced the development of English tragedy, and Plautus and Terence directed the formation of comedy. The classical drama gave English drama its five acts, its set scenes and many other features. Regular English tragedy, comedy and historical play were successfully written during this period. Nicholas Udall's Ralph Roister Doister (1553) is the first English comedy of the classical school, which is divided into acts and scenes. Gamar Gurton's Needle (1575), written by an unknown writer is another comedy in the classical style. The first complete tragedy of the Senecan type is *Gorboduc* (1562), which was written by Thomas Norton and Thomas Sackville. The example of *Gorboduc* was followed by Thomas Hughes in The Misfortunes of Arthus (1588) and George Gascoigne's Jocasta (1566). All these tragedies were influenced by Seneca both in style and treatment of theme. Another dramatic genre, which emerged during this period, is tragic-comedy, which mixes lamentable tragedy with pleasant mirth. Some memorable plays of this type are Whetstone's *Right Excellent* and *Famous History*, Preston's A Lamentable Tragedy, Richard Edward's Demons and Rithias and R.B.'s Apius and Virginia. Historical plays too were written during this period. Famous among the early historical plays are - The Troublesome Reign of John, King of England (1590), Tragedy of Richard, the Third (1590–94), The Victories of Henry the Fifth (1588) and The Chroniete History of Lear (1594).

#### 1.6.1 The University Wits

Lyly, Peele, Greene, Lodge, Nashe, Kyd and Marlowe are known as the University Wits because they came either from Cambridge or from Oxford. They were romantic by nature and they represented the spirit of Renaissance. The great merit of the University Wits was that they came with their passion and poetry, and their academic training. They paved the way for the successive writers like Shakespeare to express his genius. The contribution of the University Wits to the development of drama needs to be highlighted: I. John Lyly: Lyly wrote eight comedies, of which the best are *Campaspe, Endymion*,

Gallathea, Midas and Love's Metamorphosis. He wrote for the private theatres. His writing is replete with genuine romantic atmosphere, humour, fancy for romantic comedy, realism, classicism and romanticism. Lyly established prose as an expression of comedy. He deftly used prose to express light feelings of fun and laughter. He also used a suitable blank verse for the comedy. High comedy demands a nice sense of phrase, and Lyly is the first great phrase maker in English. He gave to English comedy a witty phraseology. He also made an important advance at successful comic portrayal. His characters are both types and individuals. Disguise as a devise was later popularized by Shakespeare in his plays especially in his comedies. The device of girl dressed as a boy is traced back to Lyly. The introduction of songs, symbolical of the mood owes its popularity to Lyly. II. George Peele: His work consists of The Arraignment of Paris, The Battle of Alcazar, The Love of King David and Fair Bethsabe and The Old Wives' Tales. He has left behind a pastoral, a romantic tragedy, a chronicle history and a romantic satire. He juxtaposes romance and reality in his plays. As a humorist he influenced Shakespeare. In The Old Wives' Tales he for the first time introduced the note of satire in English drama. III. Robert Greene: Greene wrote The Comical History of Alphonsus, King of Aragon and Friar Bacon and Friar Bungay. Greene was the first master of the art ofplot construction in English drama. In his plays Greene has three distinct words mingled together – the world of magic, the world of aristocratic life, and the world of the country. There is peculiar romantic humour and rare combination of realism and idealism in his plays. He is the first to draw romantic heroines. His heroines Margaret and Dorothea anticipate Shakespeare's Rosalind and Celia. IV. Thomas Kyd: Kyd's The Spanish Tragedy, a Senecan tragedy, is an abiding contribution to the development of English tragedy. It is a well constructed play in which the dramatist has skillfully woven passion, pathos and fear until they reach a climax. Kyd succeeded in producing dialogue that is forceful and capable. He introduced the revenge motif into drama. He, thus, influenced Shakespeare's *Hamlet* and Webster's *The Duchess of Malfi*. The device of play within play, which Shakespeare employed in *Hamlet*, is used for the first time in *The Spanish Tragedy.* He also introduced the hesitating type of hero, suffering from bouts of madness, feigned or real, in the character of Hieronimo, who anticipates the character of Hamlet. V. Christopher Marlowe: Marlowe's famous plays Tamburlaine, the Great, Dr. Faustus, Edward II and The Jew of Malta give him a place of pre-eminence among the University

Wits. Swinburne calls him — the first great poet, the father of English tragedy and the creator of blank verse. He is, indeed, the protagonist of tragic drama in English and the forerunner of Shakespeare and his fellows. Marlowe provided big heroic subjects that appealed to human imagination. He for the first time imparted individuality and dignity to the tragic hero. He also presented the tragic conflict between the good and evil forces in *Dr. Faustus*. He is the first tragic dramatist who used the device of Nemesis in an artistic and psychological manner. Marlowe for the first time made blank verse a powerful vehicle for the expression of varied human emotions. His blank verse, which Ben Jonson calls, — Marlowe's Mighty Line is noticeable for its splendour of diction, picturesqueness, vigour and energy, variety in pace and its responsiveness to the demands of varying emotions. Marlowe has been termed the father of English tragedy. He was in fact the first to feel that romantic drama was the sole form in harmony with the temperament of the nation. He created authentic romantic tragedy in English and paved the way for the full blossoming of Shakespeare's dramatic genius.

#### **1.6.2 Dramatic Activity of Shakespeare**

William Shakespeare was not of an age but of all ages. He wrote 37 plays, which may be classified as tragedies, comedies, romances or tragic-comedies and historical plays. The period of Shakespeare's dramatic activity spans twenty four years (1588 – 1612) which is divided into the following four sub-periods: i) The First Period (1588 – 96): It is a period of early experimentation. During this period he wrote *Titus Andronicus, First Part of Henry VI, Love's Labour Lost, The Comedy of Errors, The Two Gentlemen of Verona, A Midsummer Night's Dream, Richard II and Richard III and King John.* His early poems *The Rape of Lucrece and Venus and Andonis* belong to this period. ii) The Second Period (1596 – 1600): Shakespeare wrote his great comedies and chronicled plays during this period. The works of this period are *The Merchant of Venice, The Taming of the Shrew, The Merry Wives of Windsor, Much Ado About Nothing, As You Like It, The Twelfth Night, Henry IV, Part I & II, and Henry* 

V. iii) The Third Period (1601 - 08): It is a period of great tragedies *Hamlet*, Macbeth, King Lear Othello, Julius Caesar, and of somber and better comedies All's Well That Ends Well, Measure For Measure and Troilus and Cressida. iv) The Fourth Period (1608 - 1613) : Shakespeare's last period begins with Antony and Cleopatra, Coriolanus, Timon of Athens, Henry VII and Pericles. What distinguishes Shakespeare's last period is the reawakening of his first love romance in Cymbeline, The Tempest and The Winter's Tale. Shakespearean Comedy Shakespeare brought perfection to the writing of romantic comedy. His comedies are classified into the following three categories. i) The Early Comedies: They are The Comedy of Errors, Love's Labour Lost and The Two Gentlemenof Verona. The plays show signs of immaturity. The plots are less original, the characters are less finished and the style is also vigorous. The homour lacks the wide human sympathy of his mature comedies. ii) The Mature Comedies: Shakespeare's comic genius finds expression in Much Ado About Nothing. TwelfthNight, The Merchant of Venice and As You Like It. These plays are full of loveand romance, vigour and vitality, versatility of homour, humanity and well-portrayed characters. iii) The Somber Comedies: All's Well That Ends Well, Measure for Measure and Troilus and Cressida belong to the period of great tragedies. These comedies have a serious and somber time. Characteristics of Shakespearean Comedy: Shakespearean comedy is pre-eminently romantic. His predecessors – Lyly, Greene and Peele influenced his art of writing comedy. The main characteristics of Shakespearean comedy are given below: i) Romance and Realism: Shakespearean comedy is romantic. The classical unities of time, place and action are not observed in it.

The settings are all imaginative. The action takes place in some remote far off place, and not in familiar surroundings. According to Raleigh, Shakespearean comedy is a — rainbow world of love in idleness. What distinguishes Shakespearean comedy is the fine and artistic blend of romance and realism. All his comedies are related to real life. There are contemporary figures and contemporary fashions in *Love's Labour Lost*. Bottom and his companions exist with fairies; Sir Toly Belch and Sir Andrew are companions of Viola and Olivia. Shakespeare's characters are real. His dramatic personages are ordinary human beings and incidents are such as occurring in every day life. The romantic main plot and the realistic sub plot are harmoniously put together in *As You Like It, Twelfth Night and A Midsummer Night's Dream*. Charlton writes: — Shakespearean comedies are not

satiric; they are poetic. They are not conservative, they are creative. ii) Love: Shakespearean comedy is essentially a comedy of love, which ends with the ringing of the marriage bells. Wooing distinguishes it from classical comedy. The entire atmosphere is surcharged with love. Not only the hero and the heroine arein love but all are in love. The Shakespearean comedy ends not with the celebration of one marriage but with many marriages. Shakespeare has vividly exhibited carried manifestations of love in his comedies. In As You Like It, he has described the love at first sight between Orlando and Rosalind, thoughtful love between Celia and Oliver, pastoral love between Phebe and Silvius. The men and women who love truly become superb representations of human nature. True love is spiritual. It is a union of minds and hearts. iii) Shakespeare's Heroines: Heroines in Shakespearean comedy play leading roles and surpass their male counterparts. Ruskin's remark that — Shakespeare has only heroines and no heroes is certainly true to his comedies. Shakespeare's heroines Rosalind Portia, Viola, Beatrice etc. are endowed with wit, common sense, human feelings and noble qualities of head and heart. They are wise, winning and charming. They have beautiful feelings, thoughts and emotions. They radiate joy, peace and spirit of harmony. Male characters in Shakespearean comedy only play a second fiddle. His heroines know how to fulfil their desires and resolve crisis. All heroines in Shakespearean comedy are guided by infinitive insight. iv) Disguise: The use of dramatic device of disguise is common to all the comedies of Shakespeare. In The Merchant of Venice Jessica disguises herself in — the lovely garnish of a boy, and Portia and Nerissa likewise donmasculine attire. This devise is also employed for instance, in As You Like It Rosalind and Celia become Ganymede and Aliena, and in All's Well That Ends Well. Helena passes off in bed as Diana.

v) Humour: Humour is the soul of Shakespearean comedy. It arouses thoughtful laughter. It is full of humane and genial laughter. Shakespeare's wit lacks malice and his mockery has no bite. Brilliant wit mingles with kindly mirth and genial humour. Shakespeare's humour is many sided. He can arouse laughter from the mumblings of a drunkard and the intelligent repartees of leading women. The alert wit and bright good sense of Rosalind arouse exquisite pleasure. Her all pervasive spirit of mirth gains much from the presence of the Fool. Bottom and his companions,

Feste, Sir Andrew, Sir Toby, Touchstone, Dogberry, Verges and Falstaff are Shakespeare's memorable fools, who not only create humour and laughter, but they also interlink the main and the subplots, and provide a running commentary on character and action. Falstaff is a superb comic character of Shakespeare. vi) Admixture of Tragic and Comic Elements: Shakespearean comedy differs from the classical comedy in the sense that in it the comic and the tragic elements are commingled. However, the tragic note does not dominate and the play ends on a note of joy. For example, The Merchant of Venice is pervaded by the tragic element from the signing of the bond to the end of the trial scene. Ultimately the play ends happily, as Antonio, whose life has been threatened by Shylock, feels happy at heart as his life has been saved. vii) Music and Song: Since music is the food of love. Shakespearean comedy is abundantly full of song and music. The *Twelfth Night* opens with a note of music which strikes the keynote of the play. Several romantic songs are scattered all over A Midsummer Night's Dream, Twelfth Night, As You Like It and Much Ado About Nothing. viii) The Role of Fortune: — The course of true love never runs smooth. Lovers have to face the hostilities of parents, friends or relatives; and consequently, there are much tears and sighs, before the final union takes place. But all these difficulties and complications are unexpectedly removed by the benign power of Fortune. Shakespearean comedy radiates the spirit of humanity and a broad vision of life.It is large-hearted in the conception, sympathetic in its tone and humanitarian in its idealism. Shakespeare created his own hallmark on the comedies in English drama. Shakespearean Tragedy, Shakespearean comedy is romantic and not classical. It observes the fundamental requirements of tragedy expounded by Aristotle in The Poetics.

The main characteristics of Shakespearean tragedy are as follows: i) Tragic Hero: Shakespearean tragedy is pre-eminently the story of one person, the hero or the protagonist. It is, indeed, a tale of suffering and calamity resulting in the death of the hero. It is concerned always with persons of high degree, often with Kings or princes or with leaders in the state like Coriolanus, Brutus and Antony. Shakespeare's tragic heroes are not only great men, they also suffer greatly, their calamity and suffering are exceptional. The sufferings and calamities of an ordinary man are not worthy of note, as they affect his own life. The story of the prince like Hamlet, or the King like Lear, or the generals like Macbeth or Othello hasa greatness and dignity of its own. His fate affects the fate of a whole nation or empire. When he falls from the height of earthly greatness to the dust, his fall produces a sense of contrast of the powerlessness of man. His fall creates cathartic effects on the audience. Shakespeare's tragic hero is endowed with noble qualities of head and heart. He is built on a grand scale. For instance, Macbeth has -vaulting ambition, Hamlet noble inaction, Othello credulity and rashness in action, and Lear the folly and incapacity to judge human character. Owing to this — fatal flaw the hero falls from a state of prosperity and greatness into adversity and unhappiness, and ultimately dies. ii) Tragic Waste: In Shakespearean tragedy we find the element of tragic waste. All exceptional qualities of the protagonist are wasted. At the end of the tragedy, the Evil does not triumph. It is expelled but at the cost of much that is good and admirable. The fall of Macbeth does not only mean the death of evil in him, but also the waste of much that is essentially good and noble. In *Hamlet* and *King Lear* the good is also destroyed along with the evil. There is no tragedy in the expulsion of evil, the tragedy is that it involves the waste of good. iii) Fate and Character: The actions of the protagonist are of great importance as they lead to his death. What we do feel strongly as the tragedy advances to its close is that the calamities and catastrophe follow inevitably from the deeds of man, and that the main source of these deeds is character. But to call Shakespearean tragedy the story of human character is not the entire truth. Shakespeare's tragedies, as Nicoll points out are - tragedies of character and destiny. There is a tragic relationship between the hero and his environment. A. C. Bradley also points out that with Shakespeare — character is destiny is an exaggeration of a vital truth. Fate or destiny places the protagonist in just those circumstances and situations with which he is incapable of dealing. The flaw in the character of the protagonist proves fatal for him in the peculiar circumstances in which cruel *Destiny* has placed him. The essence of Shakespearean tragedy, therefore, is that Fate presents a problem which is difficult for the particular hero at a time when he is least fitted to tackle it. The tragic relationship between the

hero and his surroundings is a significant factor in Shakespearean tragedy. So, both character and destiny are responsible for the hero's tragic end. iv) Abnormal Psychology: Some abnormal conditions of mind as insanity, somnambulism and hallucinations affect human deeds. Lear and Ophelia become victims of insanity. Lady Macbeth suffers from somnambulism and her husband Macbeth from hallucinations. v) The Supernatural Element: The supernatural agency plays a vital role in Shakespearean tragedy. It influences the thoughts and deeds of the hero. In the age of Shakespeare ghosts and witches were believed to be far more real than they are today. It is the supernatural agency that gives the sense of failure in Brutus, to the half formed thoughts of guilt in *Macbeth* and to suspicion in *Hamlet*. Supernatural agency has no power to influence events unless by influencing persons vi) Chance: In most of Shakespeare's tragedies chance or accident exerts an appreciable influence at some point in the action. For instance it may be calledan accident, the pirate ship attacked Hamlet's ship, so that he was able to return forthwith to Denmark; Desdemona drops her handkerchief at the most fatal of moments; Edgar arrives in the prison just too late to save Cordelia's life. vii) Conflict: Conflict is an important element in Shakespearean tragedy. According to Aristotle it is the soul of tragedy. This conflict may arise between two persons, e.g. the hero and the villain, or between two rival parties or groups in one of which the hero is the leading figure. This is called the external conflict. In Macbeth, the hero and the heroine are opposed to King Duncan. There is also an

— inner conflict, an inward struggle, in the mind of the hero and, it is this inner conflict which is of far greater importance in the case of the Shakespearean tragedy. In it there is invariably such as inner conflict in the mind of one or more of the characters. In *Macbeth*, according to Bradley, we find that — treasonous ambition in Macbeth collides with loyalty and patriotism in Macduff and Malcolm:here is the outward conflict. But these powers and principles equally collide in the soul of Macbeth of himself; here is the inner. viii) Catharsis: Shakespearean tragedy is cathartic. It has the power of purging and thus easing us of some of the pain and suffering which is the lot of us all in the world. Compared to the exceptionally tragic life of the hero before our eyes, our own sufferings begin to appear to us little and insignificant. In a Shakespearean tragedy, the spectacle of

the hero's sufferings is terrible and it arouses the emotions of pity and terror. Itis truly cathartic, as it purges the audience of the emotions of self-pity and terror. ix) No Poetic Justice: Shakespearean tragedy is true to life. So, it excludes — poetic justice which is in flagrant and obvious contradiction of the facts of life. Although villainy is never ultimately triumphant in Shakespearean tragedy, there is yet an idea that the fortunes of the persons should correspond to their deserts and dooms. We feel that Lear ought to suffer for his folly and for his unjust treatment of Cordelia, but his sufferings are out of all proportion to his misdeeds. In Shakespearean tragedy we find that the doer must suffer. We also find that villainy never remains victorious and prosperous at the end. Nemesis overtakes Macbeth and all evil characters in Shakespearean tragedy. x) Moral Vision: Shakespearean tragedy is not depressing. It elevates, exalts and ennobles us. Shakespeare shows in his tragedies that man's destiny is always determined to a great extent by his own character. He is an architect of his own fate. It always reveals the dignity of man and of human endeavour over the power of evil, which is ultimately defeated. Shakespearean tragedy ends with the restoration of the power of the good.

**Shakespeare's Historical Plays:** The historical plays were immensely popular in Elizabethan England. They reflected the spirit of the age. The people were intensely patriotic and were very proud of the achievements of their ancestors or the foreign fields. The newly awakened spirit of patriotism and nationalism enabled the people to take keen interest in the records of bygone struggle against foreign invasion and civil disunion. Shakespeare's historical plays span a period of 350 years of English history, from 1200 to 1550. His famous historical plays are *HenryVI*, *Parts I, II & III, Richard III, King John, Henry IV, Parts I & II and Henry V.* Shakespeare's historical plays are suffused with the spirit of patriotism. They show his love for authority and discipline. He considers law and authority necessary for civilized life, he fears disorder for it leads to chaos. Shakespeare's last plays known as dramatic romances form a class apart. His last four plays – *Pericles, Cymbeline, The Winter's Tale and The Tempest* are neither comedies nor tragedies. All of them end happily but all fetch happiness to shore out of shipwreck and suffering. These last plays have a lot in common. It is

appropriate to call them dramatic romances or tragic comedies. They contain incidents which are undoubtedly tragic but they end happily.

### 1.6.3. Other Playwrights

## I. Ben Jonson and the Comedy of Humours

Ben Jonson was a classicist in Elizabethan England, which was romantic both in character and temper. Jonson was the first great neo-classic. Like Donne, he revolted against the artistic principles of his contemporaries, and he sought a measure for the uncontrolled, romantic exuberance of Elizabethan literature in the classical literature. In all branches of his writings, he is the conscious artist and reformer. To him the chief function of literature was to instruct and educate the audience and readers. All plays of Ben Jonson are neo-classic in spirit. They aim at reforming and instructing society and individuals. He is primarily a writer of the comedies of humour. His famous comedies are The Case is Altered, Every Man in His Humour, Every Man Out of His Humour, Epicoene or The Silent Woman, The Alchemist, The Bartholomew Fair, The Devil is an Ass, The Light Heart, Humour Reconciled and A Tale of A Tub. Ben Jonson also wrote two tragedies Sejanus and Cataline. Jonson propounded the theory of the comedy of humours. To him the purpose of the comedy is corrective and cathartic. The corrective and moral tone necessitated the presence of satire in his comedies. The audience must laugh to some end and the play must deal with some folly and cureit by its ridiculous and comic presentation. To him a comedy was a — comical satire. He derived the idea of humours from medieval medical science. In the olderphysiology the four major humours corresponding with the four elements and possessing the qualities of moisture, dryness, heat and cold. These elements, in different combinations, formed in each body and declare his character. Variations in the relative strength of these humours showed the individual differences. The disturbance of the natural balance is dangerous and it results in different ailments of body. In order to restore the natural balance of the body many purgings, bleedings and other painful reductions were affected in medieval times. BenJonson used this term to include vices as well as follies, cruelty as well as jealousy. It was also used in the sense of mere caprice or trick of manner or

peculiarity of chess. It also included vanity and affectation.

### II. John Webster and the Revenge Tragedy

Webster's two tragedies *The White Devil* and *The Duchess of Malfi* have earned for him an outstanding place in British drama. In subtlety of thought and reality of tragic passion he is second to Shakespeare. Both his tragedies are based on the revenge motif. In them he emerges as a painstaking artist who had refined the material and motives of the earlier tragedies of blood and gloom. He had converted melodrama into tragedy. He imparted moral vision, psychological subtlety and emotional depth to the tragedy of revenge and horror.

#### **III. Francis Beaumont and John Fletcher**

Francis Beaumont and John Fletcher combined to produce a great number of plays. Their typical comedies are *A King and No King, The Knight of Burning Pestle* and *The Scornful Lady*. They wrote two tragedies – *The Maid's Tragedy* and *Philaster*.

## **IV. George Chapman**

George Chapman was a classicist like Jonson. His two comedies *All Fools' Day* and *Eastward Ho* are remarkable for Jonsonian humour. His historical plays dealing with nearly contemporary history are *The Blind Beggar of Alexandria, Charles, Duke of Byron and The Tragedy of Chabot. V.* Thomas Middleton: Thomas Middleton was one of the most original dramatists of his time. His light farcical comedies like *A Mad World My Masters* and *A Chaste Maid in Cheapside* are remarkable for vivacity. His other memorable plays are *Women Beware Women, Changeling and The Witch. The Spanish Gypsy* is a romantic comedy which reminds us of *As You Like It*.

### 1.7 LET US SUM UP

In this unit we have studied the importance of English Renaissance which exercised a great impact on the development of English literature. We have taken an outline of the socio-political milieu of the Elizabethan and Jacobean age including the literary features of these ages. Further we studied different kinds of poetry like love poetry, patriotic poetry, philosophic poetry and satirical poetry to name few. You have also been introduced with the important poets of the age. The unit continues with the peculiarities of the Elizabethan prose and its various forms: essay, character writing, religious writing and prose romances. This prose writing projected the novel writing in the later ages. The final part of the unit focuses on the dramatic art developed by the Elizabethan playwrights. It includes the University Wits and their contributions to drama, and as to how they pave the way for Shakespeare. The unit extensively studies the dramatic activities of William Shakespeare and characteristics of his different kinds of drama like comedy, tragedy and historical plays.

## **1.8 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS**

- 1. What are the characteristics of Elizabethan Age?
- 2. How does poetry reflect the spirit of Age in Elizabethan England? Discuss.
- 3. Write an account of the evolution of English poetry during the Age of Shakespeare.
- 4. What roles do Wyatt and Surrey play in the development of English poetry? Describe.
- 5. Give an account of Songs and Lyrics in Elizabethan Poetry.
- 6. Write a note on Elizabethan sonnets and sonneteers.
- 8. Discuss briefly the development of Elizabethan prose.
- 11. Discuss the development of drama during the Elizabethan Age.
- 12. Discuss the characteristics of Shakespearean tragedy.
- 13. What are the main characteristics of Shakespearean comedy? Discuss.
- 14. Write a note on the contemporary playwrights of Shakespeare and their contribution to development of drama.
- 15. Write Short Notes on the following:

- i. Character Writers in Elizabethan Period
- ii. Prose Romances
- iii. Love and Patriotic Poetry
- iv. Elizabethan Poets.
- v. Contemporary playwrights of Shakespeare
- vi. University Wits.

## **1.9 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS**

- 1. Who is often regarded as the most famous playwright of Elizabethan drama?
  - A) Christopher Marlowe
  - B) Ben Jonson
  - C) William Shakespeare
  - D) Thomas Kyd
- 2. What type of theaters were commonly used for Elizabethan drama performances?
  - A) Indoor theaters
  - B) Circular theaters
  - C) Open-air amphitheaters
  - D) Small private theaters
- *3.* Which Elizabethan playwright is known for his tragic works like *Doctor Faustus* and *Tamburlaine*?
  - A) Ben Jonson
  - B) Christopher Marlowe
  - C) Thomas Kyd
  - D) John Webster

- 4. The term "blank verse" in Elizabethan drama refers to:
  - A) Unrhymed lines of iambic pentameter
  - B) Rhymed couplets
  - C) Free verse with no specific meter
  - D) Sonnets written within plays
- 5. What was the name of the acting company that Shakespeare was associated with during his career?
  - A) The King's Men
  - B) The Lord's Men
  - C) The Queen's Men
  - D) The Globe Players
- 6. Which famous tragedy by Shakespeare features the character Othello?
  - A) Macbeth
  - B) Hamlet
  - C) Othello
  - D) Romeo and Juliet
- 7. Who was the monarch of England during most of the Elizabethan era?
  - A) Queen Mary I
  - B) King James I
  - C) Queen Elizabeth I
  - D) King Charles I
- 8. In Elizabethan drama, what was a "groundling"?
  - A) A wealthy theatergoer who sat in the best seats

- B) An actor who performed on the ground level of the stage
- C) An audience member who stood in the pit in front of the stage
- D) A theater critic of the time
- 9. Which Elizabethan playwright is known for his comedies, including "The Alchemist" and "Volpone"?
  - A) Christopher Marlowe
  - B) Thomas Middleton
  - C) Ben Jonson
  - D) Thomas Kyd
- 10. What was the name of the famous open-air theater associated with many Elizabethan productions, including Shakespeare's plays?
  - A) The Rose Theatre
  - B) The Blackfriars Theatre
  - C) The Whitehall Palace Theatre
  - D) The Globe Theatre

Answers: 1C, 2C, 3B, 4A, 5A, 6C, 7C, 8C, 9C, 10D.

## **1.10 SUGGESTED READINGS**

- Chambers, E. K. *The Elizabethan Stage*. 4 Volumes, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1923.
- Gurr, Andrew. *The Shakespearean Stage 1574–1642*. Third edition, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- Halliday, F. E. A Shakespeare Companion 1564–1964. Baltimore, Penguin, 1964.
- Keenan, Siobhan. *Travelling Players in Shakespeare's England*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2002.

- Dawson, Anthony B. (2002). "International Shakespeare". In Wells, Stanley; Stanton, Sarah. The Cambridge Companion to Shakespeare on Stage. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. pp. 174–193.
- Keenan, Siobhan. Acting Companies and Their Plays in Shakespeare's London (London: Arden, 2014).

-----

COURSE No. ENG-121 DRAMA-I

LESSON No. 2

M.A. ENGLISH

UNIT - I

LITERARY AND INTELLECTUAL BACKGROUND OF DRAMA UPTO THE JACOBEAN PERIOD

## THRESHOLD FOR SHAKESPEAREAN THEATRE

## **STRUCTURE :**

- 2.1 Introduction
- 2.2 Objectives
- 2.3 The Theatre and the Stagecrafts of the Elizabethan Era
- 2.4 The Elizabethan Theatre
- 2.5 The Shakespearean Theatre
- 2.6 Drama during the Reign of James I
- 2.7 Let Us Sum Up
- 2.8 Examination Oriented Question
- 2.9 Multiple Choice Questions
- 2.10 Suggested Readings

## **2.1 INTRODUCTION**

This lesson introduces Elizabethan theatre with special reference to Shakespeareantheatre.

#### **2.2 OBJECTIVES**

This unit will help the learners to explore the background of the Shakespearean period. It is equally important to know the theatrical scenario of the Age, because without familiarity with it, one cannot grasp the Elizabethan Era completely. The unit also will relate the nutshell summary of the play.

# 2.3 THE THEATRE AND THE STAGE CRAFTS OF THE ELIZABETHAN ERA

In England the influence of the Italian Renaissance was weaker, but the theatre of the Elizabethan Age was all the stronger for it. Apart from the rediscovery of classical culture, the 16th century in England was a time for developing a new sense of national identity, necessitated by the establishment f a national church. Furthermore, because the English were more suspicious of Rome and the Latin tradition, there was less imitation of classical dramatic forms and an almost complete disregard for the rules that bound the theatre in France and Italy. England built on its own foundations by adapting the strong native tradition of medieval religious drama to serve a more secular purpose. When some of the continental innovations were blended with this cruder indigenousstrain, a rich synthesis was produced. Consequently, the theatre that emerged was resonant, varied, and in touch with all segments of society. It included the high seriousness of morality plays, the sweep of chronicle histories, the fantasy of romantic comedies, and the irreverent fun of the interludes. At the same time, the theatre had to contend with severe restrictions. The suppression of the festival of Corpus Christi in 1548 as a means of reinforcing the Protestant Church marked the rapid decline of morality plays and mystery cycles. Their forced descent into satirical propaganda mocking the Catholic faith polarized the audience and led to riots. By 1590, playwrights were prohibited from dramatizing religious issues and they had to resort and confine to history, mythology, allegory, or allusion in order to say anything about contemporary society. Violations and flouting these restrictions meant imprisonment. Nevertheless, playwrights managed to argue highly explosive political topics. In Shakespeare's histories, for instance, the subject of kingship is thoroughly examined in all its implications: both the

rightful but incompetent sovereign and the usurping but strong monarch are scrutinized. It was the most daring undertaking during the reign of Elizabeth I (1558-1603). The situation for actors was not helped by the hostile attitude of the City of London authorities. The authorities regarded theatre as an immoral pastime to be discouraged rather than tolerated. Professional companies, however, were invited to perform at court from the beginning of the 16th century and public performances took place wherever a suitable space could be found—in large rooms of inns, in halls, or in quiet innyards enclosed on all sides with a temporary platform stage. Around the stage, the audience could gather while others looked out from the windows above. But such makeshift conditions only retarded the development of the drama and kept it on an amateurish level.

#### 2.4 THE ELIZABETHAN THEATRE

These conditions were considerably improved during Elizabeth's reign by the legitimizing in 1574 of regular weekday performances and the building of the first playhouse in 1576 by James Burbage. The new theatre called simplythe Theatre was erected in London immediately outside the City boundary. Other theatres followed, including The Curtain, The Rose, The Swan, and The Globe, where most of Shakespeare's plays were first staged. Just as the Spanish playhouse reproduced the features of the corrale it had grown out of, so the Elizabethan playhouse followed the pattern of the improvised innyard theatre. It was anenclosed circular structure containing two or three galleries with benches orstools and had an unroofed space in the middle where spectators could stand on three sides of the raised platform stage. Behind the stage was a wall with curtained doors and, above this was actors' and musicians' gallery. Large number of people could be accommodated, and the price was kept low at between one penny and sixpence. This type of stage allowed for fluid movement and considerable intimacy between actors and audience, while its lack of scenery placed the emphasis firmly on the actor interpreting the playwright's words. Such sheer simplicity presented a superb challenge for the writer: the quality of both language and acting had to be good enough to hold the attention of the spectators and make them use their imaginations. This challenge was quickly taken up by

a generation of playwrights who could carry forward the established dramatic forms and test the possibilities of the new stage. Christopher Marlowe was the major innovator who developed a vigorous style of tragedy that was refined by his contemporary. William Shakespeare began writing for the theatre about 1590. At this time, professional companies operated under the patronage of a member of the nobility. In Shakespeare's company, the Chamberlain's Men, the actors owned their playhouse, prompt books, costumes, and properties, and they shared in the profits. Other companies paid rent to the patron and received salaries from him. There were very few rehearsals for a new play, and because the texts were not immediately printed (to avoid pirating by rival companies) each actor was usually given only his own lines, with the relevant cues, in manuscript form. No women appeared on the Elizabethan stage. The female roles were taken either by boy actors or, in the case of older women, by adult male comedians. As inItaly, all the actors had to be able to sing and dance and often to make their own music. The great actors of the day were Richard Burbage, who worked in Shakespeare's company, and Edward Alleyn, who was mainly associated withBen Jonson. In spite of the fact that theatres like the Globe played to a cross section of London's populace, audiences seem to have been attentive and well behaved. An alternative to the outdoor public playhouse was the private indoor theatre. The first of these was an abandoned monastery near St. Paul's Cathedral. It was converted in 1576 by Richard Farrant and renamed the Blackfriars Theatre. Others included The Cockpit, The Salisbury Court, and the Whitefriars. Initially these theatres were closer to the Spanish model, with the bare stage across one end, an inner stage at the back, benches in front for the audience, and galleries all around. Later, they made use of more elaborate scenery and featured the Italian-style proscenium arch. Because of the reduced size of the audience, higher prices had to be charged, which excluded all except the wealthier and learned segment of the public. This in turn affected the style of writing. These private theatres were mostly used by boy companies that presented a more refined and artificial type of drama. One of their chief dramatists was John Lyly, though Ben Jonson wrote many of his plays for them. Growing rivalry between the boy and adult companies, exacerbated by hostility from the increasingly

powerful Puritan movement, resulted in James I imposing even tighter controls and exercising heavy censorship on the theatre when he came to the throne in 1603.

#### 2.5 THE SHAKESPEAREAN THEATRE

Before Shakespeare's time and during his boyhood, troupes of actors performed wherever they could in halls, courts, courtyards, and any other open spaces available. However, in 1574, when Shakespeare was ten years old, the Common Council passed a law requiring plays and theatres in London to be licensed. In 1576, actor and future Lord Chamberlain's Man, James Burbage, built the first permanent theater, called "The Theatre", outside London city walls. After this many more theatres were established, including the Globe Theatre. Elizabethan theatres were generally built after the design of the original Theatre. Built of wood, these theatres comprised three tiers of seats in a circular shape, with a stage area on one side of the circle. The audience's seats and part of the stage were roofed, but much of the main stage and the area in front of the stage in the center of the circle were open to the elements. About 1,500 audience members could pay extra money to sit in the covered seating areas, while about 800 "groundlings" paid less money to stand in this open area before the stage. The stage itself was divided into three levels: a main stage area with doors at therear and a curtained area in the back for "discovery scenes"; an upper, canopied area called "heaven" for balcony scenes; and an area under the stage called "hell," accessed by a trap door in the stage. There were dressing rooms located behind the stage, but no curtain in the front of the stage, which meant that scenes had to flow into each other, and "dead bodies" had to be dragged off. Performancestook place during the day, using natural light from the open center of the theater. Since there could be no dramatic lighting and there was very little scenery or props, audiences relied on the actors' lines and stage directions to supply the time of day and year, the weather, location, and mood of the scenes. Shakespeare's plays masterfully supply this information. For example, in Hamlet the audience learns within the first twenty lines of dialogue where the scene takes place ("Have you had quiet guard?"), what time of day it is ("'Tis now strook twelf"),

what the weather is like ("'Tis bitter cold"), and what mood the characters are in ("and I am sick at heart"). One important difference between plays written in Shakespeare's time and those written today is that Elizabethan plays were published after their performances, sometimes even after their authors' deaths. Those plays were in many ways a record of what happened on stage during these performances rather than directions for what should happen. Actors were allowed to suggest changes to scenes and dialogue and had much more freedom with their parts than actors today. Shakespeare's plays are no exception. In Hamlet, for instance, much of the plot revolves around the fact that Hamlet writes his own scene to be added to a play in order to ensnare his murderous father. Shakespeare'splays were published in various forms and with a wide variety of accuracy during his time. The discrepancies between versions of his plays from one publication to the next make it difficult for editors to put together authoritative editions of his works. Plays could be published in large anthologies called Folios (the First Folio of Shakespeare's plays contains 36 plays) or smaller Quartos. Folios were so named because of the way their paper was folded inhalf to make chunks of two pages each which were sewn together to make a large volume. Quartos were smaller, cheaper books containing only one play. Their paper was folded twice, making four pages. In general, the First Folio is of better quality than the quartos. Therefore, plays that are printed in the First Folio are much easier for editors to compile. Although Shakespeare's language and classical references seem archaic to some modern readers, they were commonplaceto his audiences. His viewers came from all classes, and his plays appealed toall kinds of sensibilities, from "highbrow" accounts of kings and queens of old to the "lowbrow" blunderings of clowns and servants. Even his most tragicplays include clown characters for comic relief and to comment on the events of the play. Audiences would have been familiar with his numerous references to classical mythology and literature, since these stories were staples of the Elizabethan knowledge base. While Shakespeare's plays appealed to all levels of society and included familiar story lines and themes. They also expanded his audiences' vocabularies. Many phrases and words that we use today, like "amazement," "in my mind's eye," and "the milk of human kindness" have been

coined by Shakespeare. His plays contain a greater variety and number of words than almost any other work in the English language. This indicates that he was quick to innovate. He had a huge vocabulary, and was interested in using new phrases and words.

#### 2.6 DRAMA DURING THE REIGN OF JAMES I

Although the Italian influence gradually became stronger in the early part of the 17th century, the English theatre was by then established and confident enough to take over foreign ideas without losing any of its individuality. Jonson became increasingly preoccupied with the dramatic unities, while other writers of the Jacobean period such as John Webster, Thomas Middleton, and John Ford favoured a more definite separation of comedy and tragedy than had been the case in Elizabethan drama. They were given to sensationalism intheir revenge plays, finding inspiration in the darker moods of Seneca and often setting them in Italy. Meanwhile, at court the pastoral was finding new popularity, partly because it provided opportunities for spectacular scenery, and with it came the revival of the masque. The masque is a sumptuous allegorical entertainment combining poetry, music, dance, scenery, and extravagant costumes. As court poet, Ben Jonson collaborated with the architect and designer Inigo Jones to produce some of the finest examples of the masque. Havingspent a few years in Italy, Jones was greatly influenced by the Italian painted scenery and its use of machinery. On his return to England he did much to bring scenic design up to date and introduced many innovations. Members of the court had thorough training in dancing, fencing, singing, instrumental music, and courtly ceremonial. They were therefore well prepared to perform in the masques, even to take solo parts and to appear in the chorus. Masques became even more elaborate under Charles I. In 1634 Jonson, however, angrily withdrew his contribution when he saw that the visual elements were completely overtaking the dramatic content. When the Civil War broke out in 1642, the Puritans closed all the theatres and forbade dramatic performances of any kind. This created an almost complete break in the acting tradition for 18 years until the Restoration of Charles II. Thereafter the theatre flourished once again though on quite different lines.

#### 2.7 LET US SUM UP

The unit covers the background information about the Elizabethan theatres including the theatres during the reigns of James I. The condition of theatres before and after Shakespeare has been discussed. It also speaks of the stages, scripts, sources of the plays and the audience.

#### 2.8 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTION

1. Give an account of Elizabethan and Jacobean theatres.

#### 2.9 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS

- 1. In which London neighborhood was the Globe Theatre located during Shakespeare's time?
  - A) Westminster
  - B) Southwark
  - C) Covent Garden
  - D) Mayfair
- 2. What was the primary material used to construct the Globe Theatre?
  - A) Stone
  - B) Wood
  - C) Brick
  - D) Steel
- 3. Who was the famous actor and shareholder of the Lord Chamberlain's Men (later the King's Men) who performed in many of Shakespeare's plays?
  - A) Richard Burbage
  - B) Christopher Marlowe
  - C) Ben Jonson
  - D) Thomas Kyd

- 4. What was the name of the theater company Shakespeare was associated with for most of his career?
  - A) The Lord's Men
  - B) The King's Men
  - C) The Globe Players
  - D) The Royal Troupe
- 5. What was the purpose of the "tiring house" in a Shakespearean theater?
  - A) A place for actors to rest during performances
  - B) A costume storage area and backstage space
  - C) The ticket booth where patrons purchased tickets
  - D) The area where musicians played live music
- 6. In Shakespearean times, who played female roles on the stage?
  - A) Women
  - B) Boys or young men
  - C) Elderly men
  - D) Female impersonators
- 7. What was the "groundling" area in the Globe Theatre?
  - A) The upper gallery for nobility
  - B) The area for musicians and sound effects
  - C) The courtyard where standing patrons watched the performance
  - D) The area where actors rested between scenes
- 8. During performances, what signaled the beginning of a play at the Globe Theatre?
  - A) A trumpet fanfare

- B) The ringing of a bell
- C) The lighting of a torch
- D) The raising of a flag
- 9. What was the name of Shakespeare's famous indoor theater, located in Blackfriars?
  - A) The Rose Theatre
  - B) The Globe Theatre
  - C) The Whitehall Palace Theatre
  - D) The Blackfriars Theatre
- 10. Which of Shakespeare's plays features the line, "All the world's a stage, and all the men and women merely players"?
  - A) Macbeth
  - B) Romeo and Juliet
  - C) As You Like It
  - D) Othello

Answers: 1B, 2B, 3A, 4B, 5B, 6B, 7C, 8B, 9D, 10C.

#### 2.10 SUGGESTED READINGS

- Chambers, E. K. *The Elizabethan Stage*. 4 Volumes, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1923.
- Gurr, Andrew. *The Shakespearean Stage 1574–1642*. Third edition, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- Halliday, F. E. A Shakespeare Companion 1564–1964. Baltimore, Penguin, 1964.
- Keenan, Siobhan. *Travelling Players in Shakespeare's England*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2002.

- Dawson, Anthony B. (2002). "International Shakespeare". In Wells, Stanley; Stanton, Sarah. The Cambridge Companion to Shakespeare on Stage. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. pp. 174–193.
- Keenan, Siobhan. *Acting Companies and Their Plays in Shakespeare's London* (London: Arden, 2014).

-----

DRAMA-I

LESSON No. 3

M.A. ENGLISH

**COURSE No. ENG-121** 

UNIT - I

LITERARY AND INTELLECTUAL BACKGROUND OF DRAMA UPTO THE JACOBEAN PERIOD

# THEATRE IN THE MIDDLE AGES

### STRUCTURE

- 3.1 Introduction
- 3.2 Objectives
- 3.3 Theatre in the Early Middle Ages
- 3.4 Theatre in the Middle and Late Medieval Period
- 3.5 European Theatre and Drama in the Middle Ages
- 3.6 Medieval Drama : An Introduction to Middle English Plays
- 3.7 Decline of Medieval Theatre
- 3.8 Let Us Sum Up
- 3.9 Examination Oriented Questions
- 3.10 Multiple Choice Questions
- 3.11 Suggested Readings

# **3.1 INTRODUCTION**

After the fall of the Roman Empire, small nomadic bands traveled around performing wherever there was an audience. They consisted of storytellers, jesters, jugglers and many other performers. Later, festivals cropped up where entertainers would show their talents. However, the powerful Catholic Church made headway during the Middle Ages to stamp out such performances and convert the entertainers. during the Middle Ages to stamp out such performances and convert the entertainers.

Despite its insistence that acting and traveling performances were sinful, the Church was actually instrumental in reviving theatre in the Middle Ages. In one type of church service, called *The Hours*, Bible stories were dramatized. Music often would be incorporated into the dramatizations. The very first written- down liturgical drama or play is known as the *Regularis Concordia* by Ethelwold,Bishop of Winchester. The majority of performances were held in monasteries at the beginning of the age. Religious drama was performed exclusively in churches until around 1200 when they were performed outside on occasion.

One of the most popular of the Bible stories that were dramatized was the story of Mary visiting Christ's tomb to discover Christ's resurrection. Jesus' crucifixion, however, was rarely dramatized. Other stories that were often dramatized were Daniel in the lion's den, Lazarus raised from the dead, and the conversion of St. Paul.

#### **3.2 OBJECTIVES**

After reading this lesson, you will be able to explain the background of theatre in the early, middle and later period of the Middle Ages. You will also be able to explain the condition of drama in Europe during this age. You will get an idea of the medieval plays and the decline of this medieval theatre.

#### 3.3 THEATRE IN THE EARLY MIDDLE AGES

In the early Middle Ages, churches began to stage dramatized versions of important biblical events. The churches were faced with explaining a new religion to a majorly illiterate population, so these dramas visualized what would later be able to be read in the Bible. These productions also celebrated annual religious events. These productions evolved into liturgical dramas. The earliest known liturgical drama is the *Easter trope*, *Whom do you Seek*, which dates circa 925. Liturgical drama did not involve actors impersonating characters, but it did involve singing by two groups.

An important playwright in early Medieval times was Hrotsvit, a historian and

aristocratic canoness from northern Germany in the 10th century. Hrotsvit wrote six plays which she modeled after Terence's comedies. Though Terence's comedies show ordinary human subjects and situations involving marriage, sex and love, Hrostvit put a moral and religious spin on Terence's plays in order to avoid criticism from the church.

She wrote a preface to her collection of plays which stated that her purpose for writing was to save Christians from the guilt that reading Classical Literature instilled in its readers. She is the first recorded female playwright. She is also wrote the first identified Western dramatic works of the post-classical era. Her works were first published in 1501 and had a large influence on religious drama of the sixteenth century.

Following Hrotsvit was another female playwright, Hildegard of Bingen. Hildegard's most famous work, *OrdoVirtutum*, is regarded as the first play set to music, or the first musical play. Her songs were collected into a symphony, *Symphoniaarmoniaecelestiumrevelationum*, that was set to words from Hildegard's own hymns, sequences and responsories.

Secular Latin plays were an important aspect in the 12th century in England and in France. Other early Medieval performances included mimes, minstrels, storytellers and jugglers who traveled in search of employment. There is not much information available about specific performances of these entertainers.

#### 3.4 THEATRE IN THE MIDDLE AND LATE MEDIEVAL PERIOD

Liturgical dramas spread across Europe and Russia throughout the Middle Ages. Muslim-occupied Spain was the only area in which liturgical dramas were not present. However, though there is a large presence of surviving liturgical dramas, most churches only performed one or two per year. Some churches performed none at all.

An important milestone in the development of comedy was the *Feast of Fools*. The Feast of Fools was a festival in which the lower clergy were allowed to mock the higher clergy as well as church life. Comic plays and burlesque skits sometimes filtered into the events of the festival as well. True comedy did not exist until drama and the liturgy were separated, but the Feast of Fools undoubtedly had an effect on the incorporation of comedy into religious plays.

Religious plays began production outside of the church during the 12th century. The process began by merging shorter liturgical dramas into longer plays which were then performed by laymen rather than clergy. The plays were then accessible to more people which now included the working class. These plays were usually staged outdoors.

Plays in the Middle Medieval Period led to the growth of towns and formation of guilds. This also led to important changes politically and economically, and more significant changes in the late Medieval Period.

Plays were produced in over 120 different towns in the British Isles during the Middle Ages. These plays, most often Mystery plays, were written in large numbers. Some examples include the York plays (48 plays), Chester Plays (24) and Wakefield Plays (32). A large number of plays also survive from Germany and France. Common elements in these plays include devils and clowns.

Actors in plays in the late Middle Ages were usually laymen from the town's local population. Plays at this time were staged on wheeled platforms which were used to move scenery. These stages were called pageant wagon stages, and were convenient for location changes. Playhouses were not a common occurrence. Contrary to popular belief, both sexes performed in plays in some European countries in the late Middle Ages. However, in England plays were performed by all-male casts.

Professional actors became more prevalent towards the end of the Middle Ages throughout Europe. Both Richard III and Henry VII kept small acting troupes. These actors performed plays in a nobleman's residence. Mummers' plays were also important events.

#### 3.5 EUROPEAN THEATRE AND DRAMA IN THE MIDDLE AGES

In the staging of liturgical drama there were many conventions used in the

church. Small scenic structures called mansions were used to illustrate the surroundings of a play. Small plays had only one mansion, longer plays had two or more. Costumes for liturgical drama were church clothing to which real or symbolic accessories were added. Most of the lines of the drama were chanted in Latin rather than spoken.

It was late in Middle Ages when religious plays were performed outside the churches. This seemingly small step opened the door for many other more significant changes in medieval drama. With the formation of guilds, the growth of towns, and a decline in feudalism, theatre had great opportunities to flower. Between the years 1200 to 1350 vernacular plays took over the number one spot previously taken by liturgical plays.

Many plays were performed outdoors during the spring and summer months. Cycle plays also became popular. The cycle plays were composed of many short plays or episodes and could or could not be religious. Cycle plays could take a few hours or 25 or more days to perform. The cycle plays varied but usually all dealt with religious figures, biblical writings of the church and sermons of the church. The plays had little sense of chronology, and most of their authors were anonymous.

Around the end of the 14th century the church was controlling less and less of the production of plays, but it always kept an eye on the contents of plays and their presentation. Sometimes towns would put on shows, but often individuals would arrange a production. The church always reserved the right to approve or disapprove a script before it became a production.

Directors emerged to handle the sometimes large number of actors, special effects, and money that would be put into productions. Sometimes a committee of overseers was put together to stage productions. These overseers would have duties such as directing the erection of the stage, constructing seating for the audience, casting and rehearsing the actors, working with actors on refining roles, assigning people to take up money at the door, and addressing the audience at the beginning and end of the play.

Actors and the number needed changed for each play. For instance, the cycle

plays needed as many as 300 actors. Most actors were found in the local area where directors would hold auditions. Most of the time the actors were boys or men, but in France women were occasionally allowed to act. Often an actor would have multiple roles in a show.

The morality play was a special play much like the cycle plays which centered around men's continuous struggle between good and evil. One of the most influential morality plays was *Romance of the Rose*. This play had characters such as Slander, Danger, and Fair Welcome. Another interesting morality play which was written in 1425 was the *Castle of Perseverance* which depicted mankind's progress from birth to death and showed the final judgment.

# 3.6 MEDIEVAL DRAMA : AN INTRODUCTION TO MIDDLE ENGLISH PLAYS

#### FOLK PLAYS

In England the folk-plays, throughout the Middle Ages and in remote spots down almost to the present time, sometimes took the form of energetic dances (Morris dances, they came to be called, through confusion with Moorish performances of the same general nature).

Others of them, however, exhibited in the midst of much rough-and-tumble fighting and buffoonery, a slight thread of dramatic action. Their characters gradually came to be a conventional set, partly famous figures of popular tradition, such as St. George, Robin Hood, Maid Marian, and the Green Dragon.

Other offshoots of the folk-play were the 'mummings' and 'disguisings,' collective names for many forms of processions, shows, and other entertainments, such as, among the upper classes, that precursor of the Elizabethan Mask in which a group of persons in disguise, invited or uninvited, attended a formal dancing party. In the later part of the Middle Ages, also, there were the secular pageants, spectacular displays (rather different from those of the twentieth century) given on such occasions as when a king or other person of high rank made formal entry into a town. They consisted of an elaborate scenic background set up near the city gate

or on the street, with figures from allegorical or traditional history who engaged in some pantomime or declamation, but with very little dramatic dialog, or none.

But all these forms, though they were not altogether without later influence, were very minor affairs, and the real drama of the Middle Ages grew up, without design and by the mere nature of things, from the regular services of the Church.

#### TROPES, LITURGICAL PLAYS, AND MYSTERY PLAYS.

We must try in the first place to realize clearly the conditions under which the church service, the mass, was conducted during all the medieval centuries. We should picture to ourselves congregations of persons for the most part grossly ignorant, of unquestioning though very superficial faith, and of emotions easily aroused to fever heat. Of the Latin words of the service they understood nothing; and of the Bible story they had only a very general impression. It was necessary, therefore, that the service should be given a strongly spectacular and emotional character, and to this end no effort was spared. The great cathedrals and churches were much the finest buildings of the time, spacious with lofty pillars and shadowy recesses, rich in sculptured stone and in painted windows that cast on the walls and pavements soft and glowing patterns of many colours and shifting forms. The service itself was in great part musical, the confident notes of the full choir joining with the resonant organ-tones; and after all the rest the richly robed priests and ministrants passed along the aisles in stately processions enveloped in fragrant clouds of incense. That the eye if not the ear of the spectator, also, might catch some definite knowledge, the priests as they read the Bible stories sometimes displayed painted rolls which vividly pictured the principal events of the day's lesson.

Still, however, a lack was strongly felt, and at last, accidentally and slowly, began the process of dramatizing the services. First, inevitably, to be so treated was the central incident of Christian faith, the story of Christ's resurrection. The earliest steps were very simple. First, during the ceremonies on Good Friday, the day when Christ was crucified, the cross which stood all the year above the altar, bearing the Savior's figure, was taken down and laid beneath the altar, a dramatic

symbol of the Death and Burial; and two days later, on 'the third day' of the Bible phraseology, that is on Easter Sunday, as the story of the Resurrection was chanted by the choir, the cross was uncovered and replaced, amid the rejoicings of the congregation. Next, and before the Norman Conquest, the Gospel dialog between the angel and the three Marys at the tomb of Christ came sometimes to be chanted by the choir in those responses which are called "tropes":

Whom seek ye in the sepulcher, O Christians?
Jesus of Nazareth the crucified, O angel.
He is not here; he has arisen as he said. Go,
announce that he has risen from the sepulcher.'

After this a little dramatic action was introduced almost as a matter of course. One priest dressed in white robes sat, to represent the angel, by one of the squarebuilt tombs near the junction of nave and transept, and three others, personating the Marys, advanced slowly towards him while they chanted their portion of the same dialog. As the last momentous words of the angel died away a jubilant 'Te Deum' burst from, organ and choir, and every member of the congregation exulted, often with sobs, in the great triumph which brought salvation to every Christian soul.

Little by little, probably, as time passed, this Easter scene was further enlarged, in part by additions from the closing incidents of the Savior's life. A similar treatment, too, was being given to the Christmas scene, still more humanly beautiful, of his birth in the manger, and occasionally the two scenes might be taken from their regular places in the service, combined, and presented at any season of the year. Other Biblical scenes, as well, came to be enacted, and, further, there were added stories from Christian tradition, such as that of Antichrist, and, on their particular days, the lives of Christian saints. Thus far these compositions are called Liturgical Plays, because they formed, in general, a part of the church service (liturgy). But as some of them were united into extended groups and as the interest of the congregation deepened, the churches began to seem too small and inconvenient, the excited audiences forgot the proper reverence, and the performances were transferred to the churchyard, and then, when the gravestones proved troublesome, to the market place, the village-green, or any convenient field. By this time the people had ceased to be patient with the unintelligible Latin, and it was replaced at first, perhaps, and in part, by French, but finally by English; though probably verse was always retained as more appropriate than prose to the sacred subjects. Then, the religious spirit yielding inevitably in part to that of merrymaking, minstrels and mountebanks began to flock to the celebrations; and regular fairs, even, grew up about them. Gradually, too, the priests lost their hold even on the plays themselves; skilful actors from among the laymen began to take many of the parts; and at last in some towns the trade-guilds, or unions of the various handicrafts, which had secured control of the town governments, assumed entire charge.

These changes, very slowly creeping in, one by one, had come about in most places by the beginning of the fourteenth century. In 1311 a new impetus was given to the whole ceremony by the establishment of the late spring festival of Corpus Christi, a celebration of the doctrine of transubstantiation. On this occasion, or sometimes on some other festival, it became customary for the guilds to present an extended series of the plays, a series which together contained the essential substance of the Christian story, and therefore of the Christian faith. The Church generally still encouraged attendance, and not only did all the townspeople join wholeheartedly, but from all the country round the peasants flocked in. On one occasion the Pope promised the remission of a thousand days of purgatory to all persons who should be present at the Chester plays, and to this exemption the bishop of Chester added sixty days more.

The list of plays thus presented commonly included: The Fall of Lucifer; the Creation of the World and the Fall of Adam; Noah and the Flood; Abraham and Isaac and the promise of Christ's coming; a Procession of the Prophets, also foretelling Christ; the main events of the Gospel story, with some additions from Christian tradition; and the Day of Judgment. The longest cycle now known, that at York, contained, when fully developed, fifty plays, or perhaps even more. Generally each play was presented by a single guild (though sometimes two or three guilds or two or three plays might be combined), and sometimes, though not

always, there was a special fitness in the assignment, as when the watermen gave the play of Noah's Ark or the bakers that of the Last Supper. In this connected form the plays are called the Mystery or Miracle Cycles. In many places, however, detached plays, or groups of plays smaller than the full cycles, continued to be presented at one season or another.

Each cycle as a whole, it will be seen, has a natural epic unity, centering about the majestic theme of the spiritual history and the final judgment of all Mankind. But unity both of material and of atmosphere suffers not only from the diversity among the separate plays but also from the violent intrusion of the comedy and the farce which the coarse taste of the audience demanded. Sometimes, in the later period, altogether original and very realistic scenes from actual English life were added, like the very clever but very coarse parody on the Nativity play in the 'Towneley' cycle. More often comic treatment was given to the Bible scenes and characters themselves. Noah's wife, for example, came regularly to be presented as a shrew, who would not enter the ark until she had been beaten into submission;and Herod always appears as a blustering tyrant, whose fame still survives in a proverb of Shakespeare's coinage—'to out-Herod Herod.'

The manner of presentation of the cycles varied much in different towns. Sometimes the entire cycle was still given, like the detached plays, at a single spot, the market-place or some other central square; but often, to accommodate the great crowds, there were several 'stations' at convenient intervals. In the latter case each play might remain all day at a particular station and be continuously repeated as the crowd moved slowly by; but more often it was the, spectators who remained, and the plays, mounted on movable stages, the 'pageant'-wagons, were drawn in turn by the guild-apprentices from one station to another. When the audience was stationary, the common people stood in the square on all sides of the stage, while persons of higher rank or greater means were seated on temporary wooden scaffolds or looked down from the windows of the adjacent houses.

In the construction of the 'pageant' all the little that was possible was done

to meet the needs of the presentation. Below the main floor, or stage, was the curtained dressing-room of the actors; and when the play required, on one side was attached 'Hell-Mouth,' a great and horrible human head, whence issued flames and fiendish cries, often the fiends themselves, and into which lost sinners were violently hurled. On the stage the scenery was necessarily very simple. A small raised platform or pyramid might represent Heaven, where God the Father was seated, and from which as the action required the angels came down; a single tree might indicate the Garden of Eden; and a doorway an entire house. In partial compensation the costumes were often elaborate, with all the finery of the church wardrobe and much of those of the wealthy citizens. The expense accounts of the guilds, sometimes luckily preserved, furnish many picturesque and amusing items, such as these: 'Four pair of angels' wings, 2 shillings and 8 pence.' 'For mending of hell head, 6 pence.' 'Item, link for setting the world on fire.' Apparently women never acted; men and boys took the women's parts. All the plays of the cycle were commonly performed in a single day, beginning, at the first station, perhaps as early as five o'clock in the morning; but sometimes three days or even more were employed. To the guilds the giving of the plays was a very serious matter. Often each guild had a 'pageant-house' where it stored its 'properties,' and a pageantmaster who trained the actors and imposed substantial fines on members remiss in cooperation.

We have said that the plays were always composed in verse. The stanza forms employed differ widely even within the same cycle, since the single plays were very diverse in both authorship and dates. The quality of the verse, generally mediocre at the outset, has often suffered much in transmission from generation to generation. In other respects also there are great contrasts; sometimes the feeling and power of a scene are admirable, revealing an author of real ability, sometimes there is only crude and wooden amateurishness. The medieval lack of historic sense gives to all the plays the setting of the authors' own times; Roman officers appear as feudal knights; and all the heathens (including the Jews) are Saracens, worshippers of 'Mahound' and 'Termagaunt'; while the good characters, however long they may really have lived before the Christian era, swear stoutly by St. John

and St. Paul and the other medieval Christian divinities. The frank coarseness of the plays is often merely disgusting, and suggests how superficial, in most cases, was the medieval religious sense. With no thought of incongruity, too, these writers brought God the Father onto the stage in bodily form, and then, attempting in all sincerity to show him reverence, gilded his face and put into his mouth long speeches of exceedingly tedious declamation. The whole emphasis, as generally in the religion of the times, was on the fear of hell rather than on the love of righteousness. Yet in spite of everything grotesque and inconsistent, the plays no doubt largely fulfilled their religious purpose and exercised on the whole an elevating influence. The humble submission of the boy Isaac to the will of God and of his earthly father, the yearning devotion of Mary the mother of Jesus, and the infinite love and pity of the tortured Christ himself, must have struck into even callous hearts for at least a little time some genuine consciousness of the beauty and power of the finer and higher life. A literary form which supplied much of the religious and artistic nourishment of half a continent for half a thousand years cannot be lightly regarded or dismissed.

#### THE MORALITY PLAYS

The Mystery Plays seem to have reached their greatest popularity in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries. In the dawning light of the Renaissance and the modern spirit they gradually waned, though in exceptional places and in special revivals they did not altogether cease to be given until the seventeenth century. On the Continent of Europe, indeed, they still survive, after a fashion, in a single somewhat modernized form, the celebrated Passion Play of Oberammergau. In England by the end of the fifteenth century they had been for the most part replaced by a kindred species which had long been growing up beside them, namely the Morality Plays.

The Morality Play probably arose in part from the desire of religious writers to teach the principles of Christian living in a more direct and compact fashion than was possible through the Bible stories of the Mysteries. In its strict form the Morality Play was a dramatized moral allegory. It was in part an offshoot from the Mysteries, in some of which there had appeared among the actors abstract allegorical figures, either good or bad, such as The Seven Deadly Sins, Contemplation, and Raise-Slander. In the Moralities the majority of the characters are of this sort—though not to the exclusion of supernatural persons such as God and the Devil—and the hero is generally a type-figure standing for all Mankind. For the control of the hero the two definitely opposing groups of Virtues and Vices contend; the commonest type of Morality presents brief glimpses the entire story of the hero's life, that is of the life of every man. It shows how he yields to temptation and lives for the most part in reckless sin, but at last in spite of all his flippancy and folly is saved by Perseverance and Repentance, pardoned through God's mercy, and assured of salvation.

As compared with the usual type of Mystery plays the Moralities had for the writers this advantage, that they allowed some independence in the invention of the story; and how powerful they might be made in the hands of a really gifted author has been finely demonstrated in our own time by the stage-revival of the best of them, 'Everyman' (which is probably a translation from a Dutch original). In most cases, however, the spirit of medieval allegory proved fatal, the genuinelyabstract characters are mostly shadowy and unreal, and the speeches of the Virtues are extreme examples of intolerable sanctimonious declamation. Against this tendency, on the other hand, the persistent instinct for realism provided a partial antidote; the Vices are often very lifelike rascals, abstract only in name. In these cases the whole plays become vivid studies in contemporary low life, largely human and interesting except for their prolixity and the coarseness which they inherited from the Mysteries and multiplied on their own account. During the Reformation period, in the early sixteenth century, the character of the Moralities, more strictly so called, underwent something of a change, and they were—sometimes made the vehicle for religious argument, especially by Protestants.

#### THE INTERLUDES

Early in the sixteenth century, the Morality in its turn was largely superseded by another sort of play called the Interlude. But just as in the case of the Mystery and the Morality, the Interlude developed out of the Morality, and the two cannot always be distinguished, some single plays being distinctly described by the authors as 'Moral Interludes.' In the Interludes the realism of the Moralities became still more pronounced, so that the typical Interlude is nothing more thana coarse farce, with no pretense at religious or ethical meaning. The name Interludedenotes literally 'a play between,' but the meaning intended (between whom or what) is uncertain. The plays were given sometimes in the halls of nobles and gentlemen, either when banquets were in progress or on other festival occasions; sometimes before less select audiences in the town halls or on village greens. The actors were sometimes strolling companies of players, who might be minstrels 'orrustics, and were sometimes also retainers of the great nobles, allowed to practice their dramatic ability on tours about the country when they were not needed for their masters' entertainment. In the Interlude-Moralities and Interludes first appears The Vice, a rogue who sums up in himself all the Vices of the older Moralities and serves as the buffoon. One of his most popular exploits was to belabor the Devil about the stage with a wooden dagger, a habit which took a great hold onthe popular imagination, as numerous references in later literature testify. Transformedby time, the Vice appears in the Elizabethan drama, and thereafter, as the clown.

#### THE LATER INFLUENCE OF THE MEDIEVAL DRAMA

The various dramatic forms from the tenth century to the middle of the sixteenth at which we have thus hastily glanced—folk-plays, mummings and disguisings, secular pageants, Mystery plays, Moralities, and Interludes—have little but a historical importance. But besides demonstrating the persistence of the popular demand for drama, they exerted a permanent influence in that they formed certain stage traditions which were to modify or largely control the great drama of the Elizabethan period and to some extent of later times. Among these traditions were the disregard for unity, partly of action, but especially of time and place; the mingling of comedy with even the intense scenes of tragedy; the nearly complete lack of stage scenery, with a resultant willingness in the audience to make the largest possible imaginative assumptions; the presence of certain stock figures, such as the clown; and the presentation of women's parts by men and boys. The plays, therefore, must be reckoned with in dramatic history.

#### **3.7 DECLINE OF MEDIEVAL THEATRE**

A change in interests among popular culture, a change in patronage to the theater, and the establishment of playhouses signified the death of the theatre in the Middle Ages. The interest in religious plays was replaced by a renewed interest in Roman and Greek culture. Roman and Greek plays began to be performed, and plays that were written began to be influenced by Greek and Roman classics.

Changes in the theater were also caused by the support of nobility and monarchs. When the upper class began to support non-religious professional theater troupes, religious theater as a whole began to decline. The tastes of the nobility filtered down to the lower classes. The construction of permanent playhouses was also a contributing factor to the downfall of Medieval Theater.

Because players no longer had to rely on churches and inns for staging, more creative storytelling and staging options were now available. Productions now had a more professional quality and thus a wider audience appeal.

#### 3.8 LET US SUM UP

Theatre in the Middle ages covered a wide variety of genres and subject matter. Some of the most popular genres of plays in the Middle Ages include morality plays, farces, masques and drama. Medieval drama began with religiousand moral themed plays. An early prominent Medieval playwright was Hrotsvit of Gardensheim of the 10th century. Some other famous examples of Medieval plays include the N-Town plays, the morality play, Everyman, Hildegard of Bingen'splay set to music, OrdoVirtutum. The early Medieval period provides few surviving records of Medieval plays due to the low literacy rate of the general population. The clergy was also opposed to some types of performance. Drama began to thrive in the late medieval period, and more records of performances and plays exist from this time.

#### **3.9 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS**

- 1. What do you understand by Middle Ages?
- 2. Discuss the European theatre during the medieval period.
- 3. What are the chief characteristics of the drama of this period?
- 4. What are folk, mystery and miracle plays?
- 5. What are Tropes, Liturgical and Morality plays?
- 6. What are interludes?
- 7. How did the medieval theatre decline?

#### 3.10 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS

- 1. In which London neighborhood was the Globe Theatre located during Shakespeare's time?
  - A) Westminster
  - B) Southwark
  - C) Covent Garden
  - D) Mayfair
- 2. What was the primary material used to construct the Globe Theatre?
  - A) Stone
  - B) Wood
  - C) Brick
  - D) Steel

- 3. Who was the famous actor and shareholder of the Lord Chamberlain's Men (later the King's Men) who performed in many of Shakespeare's plays?
  - A) Richard Burbage
  - B) Christopher Marlowe
  - C) Ben Jonson
  - D) Thomas Kyd
- 4. What was the name of the theater company Shakespeare was associated with for most of his career?
  - A) The Lord's Men
  - B) The King's Men
  - C) The Globe Players
  - D) The Royal Troupe
- 5. What was the purpose of the "tiring house" in a Shakespearean theater?
  - A) A place for actors to rest during performances
  - B) A costume storage area and backstage space
  - C) The ticket booth where patrons purchased tickets
  - D) The area where musicians played live music
- 6. In Shakespearean times, who played female roles on the stage?
  - A) Women
  - B) Boys or young men
  - C) Elderly men
  - D) Female impersonators
- 7. What was the "groundling" area in the Globe Theatre?
  - A) The upper gallery for nobility
  - B) The area for musicians and sound effects

- C) The courtyard where standing patrons watched the performance
- D) The area where actors rested between scenes
- 8. During performances, what signaled the beginning of a play at the Globe Theatre?
  - A) A trumpet fanfare
  - B) The ringing of a bell
  - C) The lighting of a torch
  - D) The raising of a flag
- 9. What was the name of Shakespeare's famous indoor theater, located in Blackfriars?
  - A) The Rose Theatre
  - B) The Globe Theatre
  - C) The Whitehall Palace Theatre
  - D) The Blackfriars Theatre
- 10. Which of Shakespeare's plays features the line, "All the world's a stage, and all the men and women merely players"?
  - A) Macbeth
  - B) Romeo and Juliet
  - C) As You Like It
  - D) Othello

Answers: 1B, 2B, 3A, 4B, 5B, 6B, 7C, 8B, 9D, 10C.

#### 3.11 SUGGESTED READINGS

- Chambers, E. K. *The Elizabethan Stage*. 4 Volumes, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1923.
- Gurr, Andrew. *The Shakespearean Stage 1574–1642*. Third edition, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

- Halliday, F. E. *A Shakespeare Companion 1564–1964*. Baltimore, Penguin, 1964.
- Keenan, Siobhan. *Travelling Players in Shakespeare's England*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2002.
- Dawson, Anthony B. (2002). "International Shakespeare". In Wells, Stanley; Stanton, Sarah. The Cambridge Companion to Shakespeare on Stage. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. pp. 174–193.
- Keenan, Siobhan. Acting Companies and Their Plays in Shakespeare's London (London: Arden, 2014).

-----

# COURSE No. ENG-121DRAMA-ILESSON No. 4M.A. ENGLISHUNIT - ILITERARY AND INTELLECTUALBACKGROUND OF DRAMA UPTO THE JACOBEAN AGE

## **RENAISSANCE DRAMA**

#### STRUCTURE

4.1 Introduction

- 4.1.1 The Great Chain of Being
- 4.1.2 Political Implications of the Chain of Being
- 4.1.3 Humanism
- 4.1.4 Imitation
- 4.1.5 The Protestant Reformation
- 4.1.6 Literary Ramifications
- 4.2 Objectives
- 4.3 The Elizabethan and Jacobean Ages
  - 4.3.1 Contradictions and Set of Oppositions
  - 4.3.2 Literary Tendencies
- 4.4 Offshoots of Renaissance Drama
- 4.5 Major Poets of this Age
- 4.6 Let Us Sum Up
- 4.7 Examination Oriented Questions
- 4.8 Multiple Choice Questions
- 4.9 Suggested Readings

#### **4.1 INTRODUCTION**

"Renaissance" literally means "rebirth." It refers especially to the rebirth of learning that began in Italy in the fourteenth century, spread to the north, including England, by the sixteenth century, and ended in the north in the mid-seventeenth century. During this period, there was an enormous renewal of interest in and study of classical antiquity. Yet the Renaissance was more than a "rebirth." It was also an age of new discoveries, both geographical (exploration of the New World) and intellectual. Both kinds of discovery resulted in changes of tremendous importance for Western civilization. In science, for example, Copernicus (1473-1543) attempted to prove that the sun rather than the earth was at the center of the planetary system, thus radically altering the cosmic world view that had dominated antiquity and the Middle Ages. In religion, Martin Luther (1483-1546) challenged and ultimately caused the division of one of the major institutions that had united Europe throughout the Middle Ages-the Church. In fact, Renaissance thinkers often thought of themselves as ushering in the modern age, as distinct from the ancient and medieval eras. Study of the Renaissance might well center on five interrelated issues. First, although Renaissance thinkers often tried to associate themselves with classical antiquity and to dissociate themselves from the Middle Ages, important continuities with their recent past, such as belief in the Great Chain of Being, were still much in evidence. Second, during this period, certain significant political changes were taking place. Third, some of the noblest ideals of the period were best expressed by the movement known as Humanism. Fourth, and connected to Humanist ideals, was the literary doctrine of "imitation," important for its ideas about how literary works should be created. Finally, what later probably became an even more far- reaching influence, both on literary creation and on modern life in general, was thereligious movement known as the Reformation. Renaissance thinkers strongly associated themselves with the values of classical antiquity, particularly as expressed in the newly rediscovered classics of literature, history, and moral philosophy. Conversely, they tended to dissociate themselves from works written in the Middle Ages, a historical period they looked upon rather negatively. According to them, the Middle Ages were set in the "middle" of two much more valuable historical periods, antiquity and their own. Nevertheless, as modern scholars have noted,

extremely important continuities with the previous age still existed.

#### 4.1.1 The Great Chain of Being

Among the most important of the continuities with the Classical period was the concept of the Great Chain of Being. Its major premise was that every existing thing in the universe had its "place" in a divinely planned hierarchical order, which was pictured as a chain vertically extended. ("Hierarchical" refers to an order based on a series of higher and lower, strictly ranked gradations.) An object's "place" depended on the relative proportion of "spirit" and "matter" it contained-the less "spirit" and the more "matter," the lower down it stood. At the bottom, for example, stood various types of inanimate objects, such as metals, stones, and the four elements (earth, water, air, fire). Higher up were various members of the vegetative class, like trees and flowers. Then came animals; then humans; and thenangels. At the very top was God. Then within each of these large groups, there were other hierarchies. For example, among metals, gold was the noblest and stoodhighest; lead had less "spirit" and more matter and so stood lower. (Alchemy was based on the belief that lead could be changed to gold through an infusion of "spirit.") The various species of plants, animals, humans, and angels were similarly ranked from low to high within their respective segments. Finally, it was believed that between the segments themselves, there was continuity (shellfish were lowest among animals and shaded into the vegetative class, for example, because without locomotion, they almost resembled plants). Besides universal orderliness, there was universal interdependence. This was implicit in the doctrine of "correspondences," which held that different segments of the chain reflected other segments. For example, Renaissance thinkers viewed a human being as a microcosm (literally, a "little world") that reflected the structure of the world as a whole, the macrocosm; just as the world was composed of four "elements" (earth, water, air, fire), so too was the human body composed of four substances called "humours," with characteristics corresponding to the four elements. (Illness occurred when there was an imbalance or "disorder" among the humours, that is, when they did not exist in proper proportion to each other.) "Correspondences" existed everywhere, on many levels. Thus the hierarchical organization of the mental faculties was also thought of as reflecting the hierarchical order within the family, the state, and the

forces of nature. When things were properly ordered, reason ruled the emotions, just as a king ruled his subjects, the parent ruled the child, and the sun governed the planets. But when disorder was present in one realm, it was correspondingly reflected in other realms. For example, in Shakespeare's King Lear, the simultaneous disorder in family relationships and in the state (child ruling parent, subject ruling king) is reflected in the disorder of Lear's mind (the loss of reason) as well asin the disorder of nature (the raging storm). Lear even equates his loss of reasonto "a tempest in my mind." Though Renaissance writers seemed to be quite on theside of "order," the theme of "disorder" is much in evidence, suggesting that the age may have been experiencing some growing discomfort with traditional hierarchies. According to the chain of being concept, all existing things have their precise place and function in the universe, and to depart from one's proper place was to betray one's nature. Human beings, for example, were pictured as placed between the beasts and the angels. To act against human nature by not allowing reason to rule the emotions—was to descend to the level of the beasts. In the other direction, to attempt to go above one's proper place, as Eve did when she was tempted by Satan, was to court disaster. Yet Renaissance writers at times showed ambivalence towards such a rigidly organized universe. For example, the Italian philosopher Pico della Mirandola, in a work entitled On the Dignity of Man, exalted human beings as capable of rising to the level of the angels through philosophical contemplation. Also, some Renaissance writers were fascinated by the thought of going beyond boundaries set by the chain of being. A major examplewas the title character of Christopher Marlowe's play Doctor Faustus. Simultaneously displaying the grand spirit of human aspiration and the more questionable hunger for superhuman powers, Faustus seems in the play to be both exalted and punished. Marlowe's drama, in fact, has often been seen as the embodiment of Renaissance ambiguity in this regard, suggesting both its fear of andits fascination with pushing beyond human limitations.

#### 4.1.2 Political Implications of the Chain of Being

The fear of "disorder" was not merely philosophical—it had significant political ramifications. The proscription against trying to rise beyond one's place was of

course useful to political rulers, for it helped to reinforce their authority. The implication was that civil rebellion caused the chain to be broken, and according to the doctrine of correspondences, this would have dire consequences in other realms. It was a sin against God, at least wherever rulers claimed to rule by "Divine Right." (And in England, the King was also the head of the Anglican Church.) In Shakespeare, it was suggested that the sin was of cosmic proportions: civil disorders were often accompanied by meteoric disturbances in the heavens. (Before Halley's theory about periodic orbits, comets, as well as meteors, were thought to be disorderly heavenly bodies.) The need for strong political rule was in fact very significant, for the Renaissance had brought an end for the most part to feudalism, the medieval form of political organization. The major political accomplishment of the Renaissance, perhaps, was the establishment of effective central government, not only in the north but in the south as well. Northern Europesaw the rise of national monarchies headed by kings, especially in England and France. Italy saw the rise of the territorial city-state often headed by wealthy oligarchic families. Not only did the chain of being concept provide a rationale for the authority of such rulers; it also suggested that there was ideal behaviour that was appropriate to their place in the order of things. It is no wonder then that much Renaissance literature is concerned with the ideals of kingship, with the character and behaviour of rulers, as in Machiavelli's Prince or Shakespeare's Henry V. Other ideals and values that were represented in the literature were even more significant. It was the intellectual movement known as Humanism that may have expressed most fully the values of the Renaissance and made a lasting contribution to our own culture.

#### 4.1.3 Humanism

A common oversimplification of Humanism suggests that it gave renewed emphasis to life in this world instead of to the otherworldly, spiritual life associated with the Middle Ages. Oversimplified as it is, there is nevertheless truth to the idea that Renaissance Humanists placed great emphasis upon the dignity of man and upon the expanded possibilities of human life in this world. For the most part, it regarded human beings as social creatures who could create meaningful lives only in association with other social beings. In the terms used in the Renaissance itself, Humanism represented a shift from the "contemplative life" to the "active life." In the Middle Ages, great value had often been attached to the life of contemplation and religious devotion, away from the world (though this ideal applied to only a small number of people). In the Renaissance, the highest cultural values were usually associated with active involvement in public life, in moral, political, and military action, and in service to the state. Of course, the traditional religious values coexisted with the new secular values; in fact, some of the most important Humanists, like Erasmus, were Churchmen. Also, individual achievement, breadth of knowledge, and personal aspiration (as personified by Doctor Faustus) were valued. The concept of the "Renaissance Man" refers to an individual who, in addition to participating actively in the affairs of public life, possesses knowledge of and skill in many subject areas. (Such figures included Leonardo Da Vinci and John Milton, as well as Francis Bacon, who had declared, "I have taken all knowledge to be my province.") Nevertheless, individual aspiration was not the major concern of Renaissance Humanists, who focused rather on teaching people how to participate in and rule a society (though only the nobility and some members of the middle class were included in this ideal). Overall, in consciously attempting to revive the thought and culture of classical antiquity, perhaps the most important value the Humanists extracted from their studies of classical literature, history, and moral philosophy was the social nature of humanity.

#### 4.1.4 Imitation

Another concept derived from the classical past (though it was present in the Middle Ages too), was the literary doctrine of "imitation." Of the two senses in which the term had traditionally been used, the theoretical emphasis of Renaissance literary critics was less on the "imitation" that meant "mirroring life" and more on the "imitation" that meant "following predecessors." In contrast to our own emphasis on "originality," the goal was not to create something entirely new. To a great extent, contemporary critics believed that the great literary works expressing definitive moral values had already been written in classical antiquity. Theoretically, then, it was the task of the writer to translate for present readers the moral vision of the past, and they were to do this by "imitating" great works, adapting them toa Christian perspective and milieu. (Writers of the Middle Ages also practiced

"imitation" in this sense, but did not have as many classical models to work from.) Of course Renaissance literary critics made it clear that such "imitation" was tobe neither mechanical nor complete: writers were to capture the spirit of the originals, mastering the best models, learning from them, then using them for their own purposes. Nevertheless, despite the fact that there were a great many commentsby critics about "imitation" in this sense, it was not the predominant practice of many of the greatest writers. For them, the faithful depiction of human behaviour-what Shakespeare called holding the mirror up to nature—was paramount, and therefore "imitation" in the mimetic sense was more often the common practice. The doctrine of "imitation" of ancient authors did have one very important effect: since it recommended not only the imitation of specific classical writers, but also the imitation of classical genres, there was a revival of significant literary forms. Among the most popular that were derived from antiquity were epic and satire. Even more important were the dramatic genres of comedy and tragedy. In fact, Europe at this time experienced a golden age of theater, led by great dramatists such as Shakespeare.

#### 4.1.5 The Protestant Reformation

Finally, as it developed during the Renaissance, the Protestant Reformation was a movement that had profound implications, not only for the modern world in general, but specifically for literary history. Just as Renaissance Humanists rejected medieval learning, the Reformation seemed to reject the medieval form of Christianity. (It should be noted, however, that both Catholics and Protestants were Humanists, though often with different emphases.) In the early sixteenth century, the German monk Martin Luther reacted against Church corruption, the sort depicted, for example, by Chaucer in the *Canterbury Tales*. Many Catholics like Erasmus wanted to reform the Church from within. However, Luther's disagreements with Church policy ultimately led him to challenge some of the most fundamental doctrines of the Church, which in turn led him and his followers to break away from the Catholic Church in protest; hence they were known as Protestants. The Reformation had significant political ramifications, for it split Europe into Protestant and Catholic countries which often went to war with each other during this period. Protestantism broke up the institution that had for so long unified all Europe under

the Pope (though there were also national struggles with the Papacy that had little to do with Protestantism). Among the most important tenets of Protestantism was the rejection of the Pope as spiritual leader. A closely related Protestant doctrine was the rejection of the authority of the Church and its priests to mediate between human beings and God. Protestants believed that the Church as an institution could not grant salvation; only through a direct personal relationship with God— achieved by reading the *Bible*—could the believer be granted such. Many scholars argue that this emphasis on a personal, individual connection with God spawned the modern emphasis on individualism in those cultures affected by Protestantism. On the other hand, some Protestants also believed that after the Fall of Adam in Eden, human nature was totally corrupted as far as human spiritual capabilities were concerned. (Early Protestantism's emphasis on human depravity distinguishes it sharply from Renaissance Humanism.) Humans therefore are incapable of contributing to their salvation, for instance through good deeds; it could only be achieved through faith in God's grace. Overall, there is a good deal of ambivalence regarding many of the Protestant positions, and in fact the disagreement among the many Christian sects may be precisely what distinguishes Renaissance from Medieval religion.

## 4.1.6 Literary Ramifications

Among the literary ramifications of the Reformation, two stand out. First, the Protestant rejection of the authority of Church representatives resulted in placing that authority entirely on the *Bible*, at least in theory. Consequently, Protestants stressed the need for all believers to read the *Bible* for themselves. To help make that possible, they were active in translating the *Bible* into the vernacular languages so that all laymen could read it. This practice was opposed by the Catholic Church, which insisted on preserving the *Bible* in Latin. At the same time, Protestants also stressed the need to understand the*Bible* in its original languages (Hebrew and Greek) so that it could be properly translated. In their interest in such learning, particularly of ancient languages, Protestants were similar to Humanists. This emphasis on the *Bible* had a significant impact on literature because the *Bible* became a renewed source of literary inspiration, both in literary form and subject matter; it also became arich source of symbols. The other way the Reformation impacted on literature

was perhaps more subtle, and the effects did not appear till much later in literary history. Certainly the emphasis on inner feeling found later in the Romantic Movement received at least some of its inspiration and reinforcement from the religious thrust of the Protestant Reformation. English Renaissancetheatre, also known as early modern English theatre, or (commonly) as Elizabethan theatre, refers to the theatre of England between 1562 and 1642. This is the style of the plays of William Shakespeare, Christopher Marlowe and Ben Jonson. It is considered to be the most brilliant period in the historyof English theatre. English Renaissance theatre encompasses the period between 1562 (performance at the Inner Temple during the Christmas season of 1561of Gorboduc, the first English play using blank verse) and 1642 (ban on theatrical plays enacted by the English Parliament). The phrase Elizabethan theatre is used at times improperly (especially in languages other than English) to mean English Renaissance theatre, even though in a strict sense this only applies to 1603. Strictly speaking one distinguishes within English Renaissance theatre between Elizabethan theatre from 1562 to 1603, Jacobean theatre from 1603 to 1625 and Caroline theatre from 1625 to 1642. Along with the economics of the profession, the character of the drama changed toward the end of the period. Under Elizabeth, the drama was a unified expression as far as socialclass was concerned: the Court watched the same plays the commoners saw in he public playhouses. With the development of the private theatres, drama became more oriented toward the tastes and values of an upper-class audience. By the later part of the reign of Charles I, few new plays were being written for the public theatres, which sustained themselves on the accumulated works of the previous decades Theatrical life was largely centered in London, but plays were performed by touring companies all over England. English companies even toured and performed English plays abroad, e.g. in Germany and in Denmark. The period starts before the establishment of the first permanent theatres. Two types of locations which were used for performing plays before the establishment of permanent theatres and continued to be used all through the period even after permanent theatres were established were the courtyards

of inns and the Inns of Court such as the Inner Temple. The first permanent English theatre, the 'Red Lion' opened in 1567 but it was a shortlived failure. The first successful theatres, such as The Theatre started operation in 1576. The establishment of large and profitable public theatres was an essential enabling factor in the success of English Renaissance drama. Once they werein operation, drama could become a fixed and permanent rather than a transitory phenomenon. Their construction was prompted when the Mayor and Corporation of London first banned plays in 1572 as a measure against the plague, and then formally expelled all players from the city in 1575. This prompted the construction of permanent playhouses outside the jurisdiction of London, in the liberties of Halliwell/Holywell in Shoreditch and later the Clink, and at Newington Butts near the established entertainment district of St. George's Fields in rural Surrey. The Theatre was constructed in Shoreditch in 1576 by James Burbage with his brother-in-law John Brayne (the owner of the unsuccessful Red Lion playhouse of 1567 and the Newington Butts playhousewas set up, probably by Jerome Savage, some time between 1575 and 1577. The Theatre was rapidly followed by the nearby Curtain Theatre (1577), the Rose (1587), the Swan (1595), the Globe (1599), the Fortune (1600), and the Red Bull (1604). Archaeological excavations on the foundations of the Roseand the Globe in the late twentieth century showed that all the London theatreshad individual differences; yet their common function necessitated a similar general plan. The public theatres were three stories high, and built around anopen space at the centre. Usually polygonal in plan to give an overall rounded effect (though the Red Bull and the first Fortune were square), the three levels f inward-facing galleries overlooked the open center, into which jutted the stage—essentially a platform surrounded on three sides by the audience, only the rear being restricted for the entrances and exits of the actors and seating for the musicians. The upper level behind the stage could be used as a balcony, as in Romeo and Juliet or Antony and Cleopatra, or as a position from whichan actor could harangue a crowd, as in Julius Caesar. Usually built of timber, lath and plaster and with thatched roofs, the early theatres were vulnerable tofire, and were replaced (when necessary) with stronger structures. When the

Globe burned down in June 1613, it was rebuilt with a tile roof; when theFortune burned down in December 1621, it was rebuilt in brick (and apparently was no longer square). A different model was developed with the Blackfriars Theatre, which came into regular use on a long-term basis in 1599. The Blackfriars was small in comparison to the earlier theatres and roofed rather than open to the sky; it resembled a modern theatre in ways that its predecessors did not. Other small enclosed theatres followed, notably the Whitefriars (1608) and the Cockpit (1617). With the building of the Salisbury Court Theatre in1629 near the site of the defunct Whitefriars, the London audience had six theatres to choose from: three surviving large open-air "public" theatres, the Globe, the Fortune, and the Red Bull, and three smaller enclosed "private" theatres, the Blackfriars, the Cockpit, and the Salisbury Court. Audiences of the 1630s benefited from a half-century of vigorous dramaturgical development; the plays of Marlowe and Shakespeare and their contemporaries were still being performed on a regular basis (mostly at the public theatres), while the newest works of the newest playwrights were abundant as well (mainly at the private theatres). Around 1580, when both the Theatre and the Curtain were full on summer days, the total theatre capacity of London was about 5000 spectators. With the building of new theatre facilities and the formation of new companies, the capital's total theatre capacity exceeded 10,000 after 1610. In 1580, the poorest citizens could purchase admittance to the Curtain or the Theatre for a penny; in 1640, their counterparts could gain admittance to the Globe, the Cockpit, or the Red Bull—for exactly the same price (Ticket prices at the private theatres were five or six times higher). The literary decline after Chaucer's death was due in considerable measure to political reasons. The dispute about the throne, which culminated in the War of Roses, dissipated the energy and resources of the country and finally destroyed in large measure the noble families. The art and literature depended on their patronage. The accession of Henry VII in 1485 brought about a period of quiet and recovery. Henry VII established a strong monarchy and restored social and political order. He curtailed the powers and privileges of barons and patronized the new rich

class. The country resumed its power among European nations, and began through them to feel the stimulus of the Renaissance. Caxton's press, which was established in 1476 in London, was the earliest forerunner of Renaissance in England. Rickett remarks: The Renaissance had come with Caxton. It began in London with the publication of English masterpieces that awakened a sense of their national life in the minds of the people. King Henry VIII, who acceded to the throne of England in 1509, began an era of significant and purposeful changes. He ruled in the spirit of modern statecraft. He encouraged trade and manufacturers, and increased the wealth of the country. He hastened the decline of feudalism by allowing men of low birth to high positions. Thus the court became the field for the display of individual ambition. Men of talent and learning found honourable place in his court. During his reign, England contributed her part to the spread of the new civilization and new learning. Education was popularized. Cardinal's College and Christ Church College at Oxford were founded. The Reign of Henry VIII also expedited the Reformation which had begun in England nearly two centuries before with Wycliffe. The spirit of emancipation of conscience from priestly control was strengthened by the example of German and Swiss reformers. In 1534 Henry VII enforced political separation from Rome on the occasion of the annulment of his first marriage. It provided an opportunity for radical theological reforms. Hugh Latimer was a powerful spokesman of the spirit of Reformation. His writings represent a development of popular English prose. The Reformation and various religious and political controversies gave rise to the writing of pamphlets, serious and satirical. The translation of the Bible by William Tyndale and Miles Coverdale is a significant development in English prose. During Henry's reign the court emerged as a great patron of learning, art and literature. The atmosphere of peace and calm which began to prevail after long turmoil and chaos paved the way for extraordinary development of literary activity. Edward VI ruled from 1547 to 1553. The reign of Queen Mary from 1553 to 1558 was marred by religious conflicts. She restored Roman Catholicism in England. Creative activity was arrested during her time but it was replenished with much

greater vigour in the reign of Queen Elizabeth (1558 - 1603). The above historical overview is just an introduction to the socio-political and religious conditions leading to the golden period which is called the Age of Elizabeth. The English Renaissance covers a long span of time, which is divided for the sake of convenience into the following three periods: i) The Beginning of Renaissance (1516 - 1558). ii) The Flowering of Renaissance (1558 - 1603). It is actually called the Age of Elizabeth. iii) The Decline of Renaissance (1603 - 1625). It is also termed the Jacobean Age. Let's see these literary periods through different perspectives.

## **4.2 OBJECTIVES**

The objective of this lesson is to introduce the learner to the literary tendencies of the Elizabethan and Jacobean ages.

## 4.3 THE ELIZABETHAN AND JACOBEAN AGES

Both the Elizabethan and Jacobean Periods in the history of English literature are also known as The Age of Shakespeare. This span of time is the golden age of literature. It extends from the accession of Elizabeth in 1558 to the death of James I in 1625. It was an era of peace, of economic prosperity, of stability, of liberty and of great explorations. It was an age of both contemplation and action. It was an era which was illustrious for the unprecedented development of art, literature and drama. John Milton calls England, during this age, as — a noble and puissant nation, rousing herself, like a strong man after sleep, and shaking her invincible locks. Let's see the main characteristics of this age. This is the most remarkable epoch for the expansion of both mental and geographical horizons. It was an age of great thought and great action. It is an age which appeals to the eye, the imagination and the intellect. New knowledge was pouring in from all directions. The great voyagers like Hawkins, Frobisher, Raleigh and Drake brought home both material and intellectual treasures from the East and the West. The spirit of adventure and exploration fired the imagination of writers. The spirit of action and adventure paved the way for the illustrious development of dramatic literature.

Drama progresses in an era of action and not of speculation. It has rightly been called the age of the discovery of the new world and of man. Influence of foreign fashions: Italy, the home of Renaissance, fascinated the Elizabethans. All liked to visit Italy and stay there for some time. People were not only fond of Italian books and literature, but also of Italian manners and morals. Consequently the literature of England was immensely enriched by imitating Italian classics.

## 4.3.1 Contradictions and Set of Oppositions

It was an age of great diversity and contradictions. It was an age of light and darkness, of reason and of unreason, of wisdom and of foolishness, of hope and of despair. The barbarity and backwardness, the ignorance and superstition of the Middle Ages still persisted. Disorder, violence, bloodshed and tavern brawls still prevailed. Highway robberies, as mentioned in Henry IV, Part I, were very common. The barbarity of the age is seen in such brutal sports as bear baiting, cock and bull fighting, to which numerous references are found in the plays of Shakespeare. Despite the advancement of science and learning people still believed in superstitions, ghosts, witches, fairies, charms and omens of all sorts. In spite of great refinement and learning it was an age of easy morals. People did not care for high principles of morality and justice. Bribery and international delays of justice were common evils. Material advancement was by fair means or foul, the main aim of men in high places. Hardly anyone of the public men of this age had a perfectly open heart and very few had quite clean hands. In spite of the ignorance and superstition, violence and brutality, easy morals and lax values, Elizabethan Age was an age in which men lived very much, thought intensely and wrote strongly. Let's discuss the literary tendencies of the age.

## **4.3.2 Literary Tendencies**

Both the Elizabethan and Jacobean periods in the history of English literature are also known as The Age of Shakespeare. This span of time is the golden age of literature. It extends from the accession of Elizabeth in 1558 to the death of James I in 1625. It was an era of peace, of economic prosperity, of stability, of liberty and of great explorations. It was an age of both contemplation and action. It was an era which was illustrious for the unprecedented development of art, literature and drama. John Milton calls England, during this age, as — a noble and puissant nation, rousing herself, like a strong man after sleep, and shaking her invincible locks. Let's see the main characteristics of this age.

#### 4.3.2.1 Political Peace and Stability

Elizabeth brilliantly framed and followed the policy of balance and moderation both inside and outside the country. A working compromise was reached with Scotland. The rebellious northern barons were kept in check. She, therefore, could successfully establish peace in traditionally disturbed border areas. Under her able administration the English national life rapidly and steadily progressed.

#### 4.3.2.2 Social Development

It was an age of great social contentment. The rapid rise of industrial towns gave employment to thousands. Increasing trade and commerce enriched England. The wealthy were taxed to support the poor. This created the atmosphere for literary activities.

## 4.3.2.3 Religious Tolerance

It was an era of religious tolerance and peace. Upon her accession she found the whole nation divided against itself. The north was largely Catholic, and the South was strongly Protestant. Scotland followed the Reformation intensely. Ireland followed its old traditional religion. It was Elizabeth who made the Anglican Church a reality. Anglicanism was a kind of compromise between Catholicism and Protestantism. Both the Protestants and the Catholics accepted the Church. All Englishmen were influenced by the Queen's policy of religious tolerance and were united in a magnificent national enthusiasm. The mind of man, now free from religious fears and persecutions, turned with a great creative impulse to other forms of activity. An atmosphere of all pervading religious peace gave great stimulus to literary activity.

## 4.3.2.4 Sense and Feeling of Patriotism

It was an age of patriotism. Queen Elizabeth loved England ardently and she

made her court one of the most brilliant courts in Europe. The splendour of her court dazzled the eyes of the people. Her moderate policies did much to increase her popularity and prestige. Worship of the Virgin Queen became the order of the day. She was Spenser's Gloriana, Raleigh's Cynthia, and Shakespeare's — fair vestal throned by the West. Even the foreigners saw in her — a keen calculating intellect that baffled the ablest statesmen in Europe. Elizabeth inspired all her people with the unbounded patriotism which exults in Shakespeare and with the personal devotion which finds a voice in the *Faery Queen*. Under her administration the English national life progressed faster not by slow historical and evolutionary process. English literature reached the very highest point of literary development during her period.

### 4.3.2.5 Discovery, Exploration and Expansion

This is the most remarkable epoch for the expansion of both mental and geographical horizons. It was an age of great thought and great action. It is an age which appeals to the eye, the imagination and the intellect. New knowledge was pouring in from all directions. The great voyagers like Hawkins, Frobisher, Raleigh and Drake brought home both material and intellectual treasures from the East and the West. The spirit of adventure and exploration fired the imagination of writers. The spirit of action and adventure paved the way for the illustrious development of dramatic literature. Drama progresses in an era of action and not of speculation. It has rightly been called the age of the discovery of the new world and of man. Influence of Foreign Fashions Italy, the home of Renaissance, fascinated the Elizabethans. All liked to visit Italy and stay there for some time. People were not only fond of Italian books and literature, but also of Italian manners and morals. Consequently the literature of England was immensely enriched by imitating Italian classics.

## CHIEF LITERARY TENDENCIES OF THE AGE

#### **Foreign Influences**

England was under the full effect of the revival of learning. It was now not confined to the scholars alone at the universities and to the privileged ones at the court. The numerous translations of the celebrated ancient classics were now available for common people who could not read the original classics. Then it came under the all pervading influence of humanism, openness of mind, love of beauty and freedom. The knowledge of the world of antiquity exercised a great influence on the literature of this period. It was obtained through the recovery of the writings and works of art of the classical period. The idea presented in the literature of Athens and Rome that life was to be lived for its many sided development and fullest enjoyment, had a powerful influence on the literature of the period. The writers and artists cultivated the artistic forms used by classical poets, orators, sculptors and architects. In the year 1453, when the Turk Vandals invaded Constantinople, many Greek scholars, took shelter along with their manuscripts and libraries in Italy. Italy became the centre of classical literature and culture. Italy, thus, became the teacher of Europe in philosophy, art and literature.

## **Influence of Reformation**

Both the Renaissance and the Reformation greatly influenced the literatureof this age. Hudson says, — While the Renaissance aroused the intellect and the aesthetic faculties, the Reformation awakened the spiritual nature; the same printing press which diffused the knowledge of the classics, put the English Bible into the hands of the people; and a spread in the interest of religion was accompanied by a deepening of moral earnestness. All the great writers and dramatists of the Elizabethan Age were influenced by both the Renaissance and the Reformation.

## **Ardent Spirit of Adventure**

An ardent spirit of adventure characterized this age. The new discoveries and explorations beyond the seas by voyagers kindled human imagination and popular curiosity. The entire literature of this period, especially the plays of the University Wits and Shakespeare, are imbued with the spirit of adventure and imagination.

## **Abundance of Output**

It was an age rich in literary productions of all kinds. In Elizabethan Age

treatises, pamphlets, essays, prose romances, sonnets, both Petrarchan and Shakespearean, Lyric, plays etc. were abundantly written. The output of literary productions was very wide. Several important foreign books were translated into English. By the end of Elizabeth's reign, many of the great books of modern times had been translated into English. Many translations were as popular as the original works. Many celebrated writers, including Shakespeare, derived the plots of their works from translations. Sir Thomas North translated Plutarch's *Lives* John Florio translated Montaigne's *Essais*. It was an era of peace and of general prosperity of the country. An intense patriotism became the outstanding characteristic of the age. It is the greatest and golden period of literature in English which developed all genres of literature.

### 4.4 OFFSHOOTS OF THE RENAISSANCE DRAMA

#### **ELIZABETHAN POETRY**

One of the literary historians called Elizabethan age as a nest of singing birds about the composition of poetry in this period. There were many poets who contributed to develop this form of literature and it reached the peak of its development. The poets not only adopted and innovated the forms of poetry and wrote on the varied themes. The poetry of Elizabethan era mirrors the spirit of age. It reflects the spirit of conquest and self-glorification, humanism and vigorous imagination, emotional depth and passionate intensity. Sublimity was considered to be the essential quality of poetry. Spenser, Shakespeare and Marlowe had the immense power to exalt and sublimate the lovers of poetry. The poetry of his period is remarkable for the spirit of independence. The poets refused to followset rules of poetic composition. Consequently, new poetic devices and new linguisticmodes developed. All varieties of poetic forms like lyric, elegy, eclogue, ode, sonnet etc. were successfully attempted. Thematically, the following main divisionsof poetry existed during this period:

#### Love Poetry

The love poetry is characterized by romance, imagination and youthful vigour, Sidney's Astrophel and Stella, Spenser's Amoretti, Daniel's Delia, Marlowe's *Hero and Leander*, Shakespeare's *Venus and Adonis* and his sonnets are noticeable love poems of this period.

#### **Patriotic Poetry**

The ardent note of patriotism is the distinctive characteristic of Elizabethan poetry. Warner's *Abbicen's England*, Daniel's *Civil Wars of York and Lancaster*, Draytron's *The Barons War and The Ballad of Agincourt* are some memorable patriotic poems.

### **Philosophical Poetry**

Elizabethan age was a period both of action and reflection. Action found its superb expression in contemporary drama. People thought inwardly. The tragedies of Shakespeare represent this aspect of national life. Brooke's poems, *On Human Learning, On Wars, On Monarchy,* and *On Religion* have philosophicalleanings.

#### **Satirical Poetry**

It came into existence after the decline of the spirit of adventure and exploration, of youthful gaiety and imaginative vigour towards the end of Elizabeth's reign. Donne's *Satires* and Drummond's *Sonnets* are some fine examples of this type of poetry. In the reign of James I life's gaiety was lost. A harsh cynical realism succeeded. Poetry had grown self-conscious. Poetry had crept under the shadow of the approaching civil conflicts. The poetry of this age is original. The early classical and Italian influences were completely absorbed and the poetry of this period depicts the typical British character and temperament.

## 4.5 MAJOR POETS OF THIS AGE

Wyatt and Surrey traveled widely in Italy. They brought to England the Italian and classic influence. They modeled their poetry on Italian pattern. They are the first harbingers of the Renaissance in English poetry. They are the first modern poets. The book that contains their poems is *Songs and Sonnets*, known as the Tottle's *Miscellany*. The brief introduction of the major poets of the age is necessary to be discussed along with their remarkable works.

#### I. Sir Thomas Wyatt.

Wyatt brought to English poetry grace, harmony and nobility. He followed the Italian models and attempted a great variety of metrical experiment – songs, sonnets, madrigals and elegies. He was the first poet, who introduced sonnet, which was a favorite poetical form in England with Shakespeare, Milton, Spenser, Wordsworth, Keats, Shelley, Arnold and many others. He first of all introduced personal or autobiographical note in English poetry. Wyatt's true ability as a poet is revealed not by the sonnets but by a number of lyrics and songs that he composed.

#### **II. Earl of Surrey**

Surrey is a disciple of Wyatt rather than an independent poetical force. His sonnets are more effective than those of Wyatt. The former followed the Petrarchan pattern of sonnet, whereas the latter modified it and made it typical English. The Petrarchan form is perhaps more impressive, the modified English form the more expressive. Shakespeare followed the English pattern of sonnet, introduced by Surrey. He was the first poet to use blank verse in his translationof *Aeneid*.

#### **III. Thomas Sackville**

Sackville was a great humanist whose only contribution to England poetry is *The Induction*. He has a sureness of touch and a freedom from technical errors which make him superior to Wyatt and Surrey.

#### **IV. Sir Philip Sidney**

Sidney was the most celebrated literary figure before Spenser and Shakespeare. As a man of letters he is remembered for *Arcadia* (a romance), *Apology For Poetry* (a collection of critical and literary principles) and *Astrophel and Stella* (a collection of sonnets). These 108 love sonnets are the first direct expressions of personal feelings and experience in English poetry. He analyses the sequence of his feelings with a vividness and minuteness. His sonnets owe much to Petrarchand Ronsard in tone and style.

#### V. Edmund Spenser

Edmund Spenser is rightly called the poet's poet because all great poets of England have been indebted to him. C. Rickett remarks, - Spenser is at once the child of the Renaissance and the Reformation. On one side we may regard him with Milton as — the sage and serious Spenser, on the other he is the humanist, alive to the finger tips with the sensuous beauty of the Southern romance. Spenser's main poetical works are: The Shepherd's Calendar (1579), two eclogues, March and December. Amoretti (1595), a collection of eighty eight Petrarchan sonnets *Epithalamion* (1959), a magnificent ode written on the occasion of his marriage with Elizabeth Boyle Prothalamion (1596), an ode on marriage Astrophel (1596), an elegy on the death of Sir Philip Sidney Four Hymns (1576) written to glorify love and homour. His epic, The Faerie Queen (1589 – 90). Spenser's finest poetry is characterized by sensuousness and picturesqueness. He is a matchless painter in words. His contribution to poetic style, diction and versification is memorable. He evolved a true poetic style which the succeeding generations of English poets used. The introduction of Spenserian stanza is Spenser's most remarkable contribution to poetry. He is great because of the extraordinary smoothnessand melody, his verse and the richness of his language, a golden diction which he drew from every source new words, old words, obsolete words. Renwick says

— 'Shakespeare himself might not have achieved so much, if Spenser had not lived and laboured.' Dryden freely acknowledged that Spenser has been his master in English. Thompson referred to him as —my master Spenser. Wordsworth praises him as the embodiment of nobility, purity and sweetness. Byron, Shelley and Keats are his worthy followers. The Pre- Raphaelites were inspired by Spenser's wordpaining and picturesque descriptions. Therefore he is aptly called Poet's poet.

## VI. Christopher Marlowe and George Chapman

*The Hero and Leander* was left incomplete due to Marlowe's untimely death. It was completed by Chapman. This poem is remarkable for felicity of diction and flexibility of versification. The poets show great skill in effectively using words and images. Besides completing *Hero and Leander*, Chapman also translated *Iliad*  and Odyssey and composed some sonnets.

## **VII.** William Shakespeare

Shakespeare composed many beautiful sonnets and two long poems – *Venus* and Adonis and The Rape of Lucrece. In the former the realistic passions are expressed through equally realistic pictures and episodes. It is remarkable for astonishing linguistic beauty. The latter is a contrast to the former. Having painted the attempts of an amorous woman, Shakespeare now proceeded to represent the rape of a chaste wife.

## VIII. Ben Jonson

Ben Jonson was a pioneer in the field of poetry. His poetic work consists of short pieces, which appeared in three collections – *Epigrammes, The Forest* and *The Underwood*. He is a first-rate satirist in Elizabethan poetry. The spirit of satire looms large in these three collections of his poetry. He presents vivid sarcastic portraits in ten or twenty lines. His moral satires were nobler in tone and more sincere in expression than of Hall or Marston. Ben Jonson was the first English poet to write Pindaric odes. His Ode to Himself is a fine example of this genre. His poetic style is lucid, clear and free from extravagances. He is also the forerunner of neo-classicism, which attained perfection in the works of Dryden and Pope. *To Celia, Echo's Song* and *A Song* are his memorable lyrics.

## IX. John Donne

As the pioneer of the Metaphysical Poetry, Donne stands unrivalled. His contribution to poetry will be discussed along with the metaphysical Poetry.

Apart from the above major poets, there are few poets whose names need to be mentioned. They are Joseph Hall, John Marsten, George Wither, and William Browne because they contributed verse satire to the literature of Elizabethan period.

#### 4.6 LET US SUM UP

English Renaissance drama, or English Renaissance theatre, means the stage plays written and acted in the later 16th century and the first half of the 17th century, during the Renaissance period in England. This period in English history saw a great growth in drama as an art form and public entertainment. William Shakespeare, widely thought of as the greatest writer in English literature, is the most famous of many important playwrights, poets, and writers who worked in this era.

English Renaissance drama is sometimes called Elizabethan drama, sinceits most important developments started when Elizabeth I was queen of England from 1558 to 1603. But this name is not very accurate; the drama continued after Elizabeth's death, into the reigns of King James I (1603–1625) and hisson King Charles I (1625–1649). Shakespeare, for example, started writing plays in the later years of Elizabeth's reign, but continued into the reign of James. When writing about plays from James's reign, scholars and critics sometimes use the term Jacobean drama; plays from Charles I's reign are called Caroline drama.

Playwrights worked in both the classic types of drama, tragedy and comedy. They also began their own type of history play, mainly about earlier English kings and the events of their reigns. Richard Thayer, Roberts the third and Shakespeare's *Richard III* and Marlowe's *Edward II* are two examples of this type of English history play. Plays were often written in poetry; early plays were mainly in rhymed verse, though as time passed playwrights came to prefer unrhymed blank verse. Prose was also used in some plays, mostly for comedy.

English Renaissance drama grew and developed until 1642, when it suddenly stopped. In the early years of the English Civil War, the Puritans who were fighting King Charles gained control of London and the region around it. The Puritans were against play-acting; they thought it was sinful and immoral. On September 2, 1642, the Puritans forced the London theatres to close, and to stay closed for most of the time until 1660. Then the English Restoration brought a new king, Charles II, who let the theatres re-open. In the 18-year gap between 1642 and 1660, English society had changed a good deal, and a new style of drama rose up in the Restoration era; it is usually called Restoration drama or Restoration theatre.

# 4.7 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS

- 1. Discuss the background of Renaissance movement.
- 2. Discuss in detail the Elizabethan and Jacobean Ages of Literature.
- 3. What are the chief characteristics of Renaissance drama?
- 4. What are the products of Renaissance drama?
- 5. Discuss the major poets of the Age of Renaissance and their works.

## 4.8 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS

- 1. Who is often credited with writing the first blank verse play in English during the English Renaissance?
  - A) Christopher Marlowe
  - B) William Shakespeare
  - C) Ben Jonson
  - D) Thomas Middleton
- 2. During the English Renaissance, which monarch's reign is often associated with the flourishing of English drama?
  - A) King James I
  - B) Queen Elizabeth I
  - C) King Henry VIII
  - D) King Charles I
- 3. What type of plays were known for their exaggerated humor, stock characters, and farcical situations during the English Renaissance?
  - A) Tragedies
  - B) Histories

- C) Comedies
- D) Romances
- 4. What is the term for a short, humorous play often performed during intermissions of larger productions in the Renaissance theatre?
  - A) Masque
  - B) Satire
  - C) Interlude
  - D) Morality play
- 5. In the English Renaissance theatre, what were "public" or "open-air" playhouses often called?
  - A) Inns
  - B) Palaces
  - C) Theatres
  - D) Universities
- 6. Who is known for his satirical comedies, including "Volpone" and "The Alchemist," during the English Renaissance?
  - A) William Shakespeare
  - B) Christopher Marlowe
  - C) Ben Jonson
  - D) John Webster
- 7. Which famous English Renaissance playwright wrote the tragedy "Doctor Faustus"?
  - A) Ben Jonson
  - B) Christopher Marlowe

- C) William Shakespeare
- D) Thomas Kyd
- 8. What was the name of the first permanent English theatre built during the Renaissance era?
  - A) The Globe Theatre
  - B) The Blackfriars Theatre
  - C) The Rose Theatre
  - D) The Swan Theatre
- 9. Which type of play in the English Renaissance often depicted historical events, particularly those related to the monarchy?
  - A) Tragedies
  - B) Histories
  - C) Comedies
  - D) Pastorals
- 10. In English Renaissance theatre, what were "morality plays" primarily intended to teach?
  - A) Religious lessons and moral values
  - B) Political ideologies
  - C) Historical facts and events
  - D) Courtly manners and etiquette

Answers: 1A, 2B, 3C, 4C, 5C, 6C, 7B, 8C, 9B, 10A.

# **4.9 SUGGESTED READINGS**

 Chambers, E. K. *The Elizabethan Stage*. 4 Volumes, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1923.

- Gurr, Andrew. *The Shakespearean Stage 1574–1642*. Third edition, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- Halliday, F. E. A Shakespeare Companion 1564–1964. Baltimore, Penguin, 1964.
- Keenan, Siobhan. *Travelling Players in Shakespeare's England*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2002.
- Dawson, Anthony B. (2002). "International Shakespeare". In Wells, Stanley; Stanton, Sarah. The Cambridge Companion to Shakespeare on Stage. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. pp. 174–193.
- Keenan, Siobhan. Acting Companies and Their Plays in Shakespeare's London (London: Arden, 2014).

-----

#### DRAMA-I

# LESSON No. 5 UNIT - I

# LITERARY AND INTELLECTUAL BACKGROUND OF DRAMA UPTO THE JACOBEAN AGE

# **RESTORATION DRAMA**

# STRUCTURE

- 5.1 Introduction
- 5.2 Objectives
- 5.3 Historical Background

COURSE No. ENG-121

**M.A. ENGLISH** 

- 5.4 National Life from 1603-1660
- 5.5 Ben Jonson
- 5.6 Other Dramatists
- 5.7 Reasons for decadence in Jacobean Drama
- 5.8 Let's Sum up
- 5.9 Self-Assessment Questions
- 5.10 Examination Oriented Questions
- 5.11 Suggested Readings

# 5.1 INTRODUCTION

The Jacobean era was the period in English and Scottish history that coincides with the reign of James VI of Scotland who also inherited the crown of England in

1603 as James I. The Jacobean era succeeds the Elizabethan era and precedes the Caroline era. The term "Jacobean" is often used for the distinctive styles of Jacobean architecture, visual arts, decorative arts, and literature which characterized that period.

#### **5.2 OBJECTIVES**

The lesson examines the background of Jacobean age and the kind of influence that Elizabethan dramatists had on this age. Furthermore, it discusses the characteristics of Jacobean age, its political and historical background and the factors that led to the fall in stature of the drama of this age.

## 5.3 HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

Around the turn of sixteenth century, Shakespeare's drama fell into neglect, and as he had eclipsed Lyly, and other, he got eclipsed by Ben Johnson and his contemporaries. In other words, the Elizabethan drama of Shakespeare gave way to the Jacobean drama of Johnson. Beside Johnson, John Marston (1575-1634), Thomas Middleton (1570-1627), GeorgeChapman (1559-1634), Francis Beaumont (1584-1616), John Fletcher(1579-1625) and John Webster, were the other dramatists of the age. As tragedy during the age went back to Seneca, so went comedy back to the Plautus.

The contemporaries of Shakespeare like, Beaumont and Fletcher, forgetting the deep meaning of life, strove for effect by increasing the sensationalism of their plays; Webster revelled in tragedies of blood and thunder; Massinger and Ford made another step downward, producing evil and licentious scenes for their own sake, making characters and situations more immoral till, notwithstanding these dramatists' ability, the stage had become insincere, frivolous and bad. James Shirley was, in Lamb's phrase, "the last of a great race" after which the decline of the drama was apparent.

With the exception of Ben Jonson, all these dramatists neglected the simple fact that man in his deepest nature is a moral being, and that only a play which satisfies the whole nature of man by showing the triumph of the moral law can over wholly satisfy an audience or a people.

Jacobean drama (the drama of the age of James I 1603-25) was a decadent form of the drama of Shakespeare and his contemporaries. It was inevitable, says, Long,

that drama should decline after Shakespeare, for the simple reason that there was no other great enough to fill his place. The dramatists of the Jacobean age can be divided into two classes-

(i) The dramatists of the old school-Dekker Heywood, Webster, Beaumont and Fletcher, and (ii) The satiric group-Chapman, Jonson, Marston, Middleton, and Tourneur.

Thomas Dekker is known for *The Shoemaker's Holiday*, a humorous comedy of plane working people. Thomas Heywood produced a large number of plays but only a few are known now. The best are *A Woman Killed With Kindness, The Four MaidOf The West*, a melodrama of the popular kind. John Webster is ranked with Shakespeare for his power of expression. He was largely attracted by the blood and thunder plays began by Marlowe. His two best known plays are *The White Devil* and *The Dutchess of Malfi*. The latter made him stand with the greatest masters of English tragedy. Beaumont and Fletcher were good friends, living and working together. The former supplied the judgement and solid work of the play, while Fletcher furnished the sentiment and the lyric poetry. Of their joint plays the two best known are *Philaster* and *The Maid's Tragedy*.

Jonson is known for his "comedy of humours" and his comedies are intensely realistic. *Everyman In His Humour* aims at ridiculing (characteristic whim) of the city. His three best known comedies are *Volpone or The Fox, The Alchemist,* And *Epicene Or The Silent Woman.* Jonson also wrote twogreat tragedies *Sajanus* and *Catiline* on classical lines.Middleton is known for his two plays *Changeling* and *Women Beware Women.* In poetry and diction they rank with Shakespeare's plays but hurt the moral sense. Chapman was a reflective and didactive poet rather than a dramatist. He completed Marlowe's *Hero and Leander* and collaborated with Jonson and Marston in *Edward Ho*!. Marston's plays are bitter and misanthropic in expression. Tourneur wrote highly sensational tragedies dealing with the life of Italy. His two plays *The Revenger's Tragedy* and *The Athiest's Tragedy* are gloomy melodramas. Massinger, Ford and Shirley marked the end of Elizabethans drama. Their works show a deliberate turning away from the light ideas of their own art. In fact, after Shakespeare's death the theatres were nothing but the breeders of lies and immortality.

Being academic and learned, Jonson, along with his contemporaries, made scathing attack on popular taste, upon the romantic conventions, and upon the licentious clown, and fashioned a learned comedy with satirical thrust and classical plotting. Adhering to the Horatian view, the Jacobean comedy satirized contemporary behaviours and represented vice. Thus, whereas for Elizabethans imitations were an act of creation and interpretations, for the Jacobeans it became an act of reproduction, the domestic comedy replaced the romantic comedy. Johnson's *Volpole, Or The Fox* (1605); Dekker's *The Shoemaker's Holiday* (1600) and *The Honest Whore* (1604); Heywood's *A Woman Willed With Kindness* (1603); Marston's *The Malcontent* (1604); Middleton's *The Roaring Girl* (1611); Chapman's *May-Day* (1611) and; Fletcher's *The Scornful Lady* (1610), all reveal the domestic themes with the immediate desire of reforming the morals and manners of the middle classes.

## 5.4 NATIONAL LIFE FROM 1603-1660

We have already observed that, as Shakespeare's career suggests, there was no abrupt change in either life or literature at the death of Queen Elizabeth in 1603; and in fact the Elizabethan period of literature is often made to include the reign of James I, 1603-1625 (the Jacobean period, or even, especially in the case of the drama, that of Charles I, 1625-1649 (the Caroline period).

Certainly, the drama of all three reigns forms a continuously developing whole, and, should be discussed as such. None the less the spirit of the first half of the seventeenth century came gradually to be widely different from that of the preceding fifty years, and before going on to Shakespeare's successors we must stop to indicate briefly wherein the difference consists and for this purpose to speak of the determining events of the period. Before the end of Elizabeth's reign, indeed, there had been a perceptible change; as the queen grew old and morose the national life seemed also to lose its youth and freshness.

Her successor and distant cousin, James of Scotland (James I of England), was a bigoted pedant, and under his rule the perennial court corruption reached its peak. The national Church, instead of protesting, steadily identified itself more closely with the Court party, and its ruling officials, on the whole, grew more and more worldly and intolerant. Little by little the nation found itself divided into two great

factions; on the one hand the Cavaliers, the party of the Court, the nobles, and the Church, who continued to be largely dominated by the Renaissance zest for beauty and, especially, pleasure; and on the other hand the Puritans, comprising the bulk of the middle classes, controlled by the religious principles of the Reformation, often, in their opposition to Cavalier frivolity, stern and narrow, and more and more inclined to separate themselves from the English Church in denominations of their own. The breach steadily widened until in 1642, under the arbitrary rule of Charles I, the Civil War broke out. In three years the Puritan Parliament was victorious, and in 1649 the extreme minority of the Puritans, supported by the army, took the unprecedented step of putting King Charles to death, and declared England a Commonwealth. But in four years more the Parliamentary government, bigoted and inefficient, made itself impossible, and then for five years, until hisdeath, Oliver Cromwell strongly ruled England as Protector. Another year and a half of chaos confirmed the nation in a natural reaction, and in 1660 the unworthy Stuart race was restored in the person of the base and frivolous Charles II. The general influence of the forces which produced these events shows clearly in the changing tone of the drama, the work of those dramatists who were Shakespeare's later contemporaries and successors.

The reason why most of the dramatists, with the exception of Johnson, are forgotten today is that their plays are dated, being period pieces. With its greater reliance on prose rather than poetry, with rather than humour, dialogue rather than action, reason rather than imagination, the Jacobean comedy not only neglected the Elizabethan comedy but also adumbrated the restoration comedy and the neoclassical drama of the age of pope and Johnson.

#### 5.5 BEN JONSON

The second place among the Elizabethan and Jacobean dramatists is universally assigned to Ben Jonson, who both in temperament and in artistic theories and practice presents a complete contrast to Shakespeare. Jonson, the posthumous son of an impoverished gentleman-clergyman, was born in London in1573. At Westminster School he received a permanent bent toward classical studies from the headmaster, William Camden, who was one of the greatest scholars of the time. Forced into the uncongenial trade of his stepfather, a master-bricklayer, he soon deserted it to enlist

among the English soldiers who were helping the Dutch to fight their Spanish oppressors. Here he exhibited some of his dominating traits by challenging a champion from the other army and killing him in classical fashion in single combat between the lines. By about the age of twenty he was back in London and married to a wife whom he later described as being virtuous but a shrew, and who at one time found it more agreeable to live apart from him. He became an actor (at which profession he failed) and a writer of plays. About 1598 he displayed his distinguishing realistic style in thecomedy *Every Man in His Humour*, which was acted by Shakespeare's company, it is said through Shakespeare's friendly influence. At about the same time the burly Jonson killed another actor in a duel and escaped capital punishment only through 'benefit of clergy' (the exemption still allowed to educated men).

The plays which Jonson produced during the following years were chiefly satirical attacks on other dramatists, especially Marston and Dekker, who retorted in kind. Thus, there developed a fierce actors' quarrel, referred to in Shakespeare's Hamlet, in which the children's' companies had some active but now uncertain part. Before it was over most of the dramatists had taken sides against Jonson, whose arrogant and violent self-assertiveness put him at odds, sooner or later, with nearly everyone with whom he had much to do. In 1603 he made peace, only to become involved in other, still more, serious difficulties. Shortly after the accession of King James, Jonson, Chapman, and Marston brought out a comedy, Eastward Hoe, in which they offended the king by satirical flings at the needy Scotsmen to whom James was freely awarding Court positions. They were imprisoned and for a while, according to the barbarous procedure of the time, were in danger of losing their ears and noses. At a banquet celebrating their release, Jonson reports, his old mother produced a paper of poison which, if necessary, she had intended to administer to him to save him from this disgrace, and of which, she said, to show that she was no churl, she would herself first have drunk.

Just before this incident, in 1603, Jonson had turned to tragedy and written *Sejanus*, which marks the beginning of his most important decade. He followedup *Sejanus* after several years with the less excellent *Catiline*, but his most significant dramatic works, on the whole, are his four great satirical comedies.

Volpone, or the Fox, assails gross vice; Epicoene, the Silent Woman, ridicules various sorts of absurd persons; The Alchemist castigates quackery and its foolish encouragers; and Bartholomew Fair is a coarse but overwhelming broadside at Puritan hypocrisy. Strange as it seems in the author of these masterpieces of frank realism, Jonson at the same time was showing himself the most gifted writerof the Court masks, which nowarrived at the last period of their evolution, were reaching the extreme of spectacular elaborateness. Early in James' reign, therefore, Jonson was made Court Poet, and during the next thirty years he produced about forty masks, devoting to them much attention and care, and quarrelling violently with Inigo Jones, the Court architect, who contrived the stage settings. During this period Jonson was under the patronage of various nobles, and he also reigned as dictator at the club of literary men which Sir Walter Raleigh had founded at the Mermaid Tavern (so called, like other inns, from its sign). A well-known poetical letter of the dramatist Francis Beaumont to Jonson celebrates the club meetings; and equally well known is a description given in the next generation from hearsay and inference by the antiquary Thomas Fuller: "Many were the wit- combats betwixt Shakespeare and Ben Jonson, which two I behold like a[Spanish great galleon and an English man-of-war: Master Jonson, like the former, was built far higher in learning; solid, but slow in his performances; Shakespeare, with the English man-of-war, lesser in bulk, but lighter in sailing, could turn with all tides, tack about and take advantage of all winds, by the quickness of his wit and invention.

The last dozen years of Jonson's life were unhappy. Though he had a pension from the Court, he was sometimes in financial straits; and for a time he lost his position as Court Poet. He resumed the writing of regular plays, but his style no longer pleased the public; and he often suffered much from sickness.Nevertheless, at the Devil Tavern he collected about him a circle of younger admirers, some of them among the oncoming poets, who were proud to be known as 'Sons of Ben', and who largely accepted as authoritative his opinions on literary matters. Thus, his life, which ended in 1637, did not altogether go out in gloom. On the plain stone which alone, for a long time, marked his grave in Westminster Abbey an unknown admirer inscribed the famous epitaph, O rare Ben Jonson. As a man Jonson, pugnacious, capricious, ill-mannered, sometimes surly, intemperate in drink and in other respects, is an object for only very qualified admiration; and as a writer he cannot properly be said to possessthat indefinable thing, genius, which is essential to the truest greatness. But both as man and as writer he manifested great force; and in both drama and poetry he stands for several distinct literary principles and attainments highly important both in themselves and for their subsequent influence.

Most conspicuous in his dramas is his realism, often, as we have said, extremely coarse, and a direct reflection of his intellect, which was as strongly masculine as his body and altogether lacking, where the regular drama was concerned, in fineness of sentiment or poetic feeling. He early assumed an attitude of pronounced opposition to the Elizabethan romantic plays, which seemed to him not only lawless in artistic structure but unreal and trifling in atmosphere and substance. (That he was not, however, as has sometimes been said, personally hostile to Shakespeare is clear, among other things, from his poetic tributes in the folio edition of Shakespeare and from his direct statement elsewhere that he loved Shakespeare almost to idolatry.) Jonson's purpose was to present life as he believed it to be; he was thoroughly acquainted with its worst side; and he refused to conceal anything that appeared to him significant. His plays, therefore, have very much that is flatly offensive to the taste which seeks in literature, prevailingly, for idealism and beauty; but they are, nevertheless, generally speaking, powerful portrayals of actual life.

Jonson's purpose, however, was never unworthy; rather, it was distinctly to uphold morality. His frankest plays, as we have indicated, are attacks on vice and folly, and sometimes, it is said, had important reformatory influence on contemporary manners. He held, indeed, that in the drama, even in comedy, the function of teaching was as important as that of giving pleasure. His attitude toward his audiences was that of a learned schoolmaster, whose ideas they should accept with deferential respect; and when they did not approve his plays he was outspoken in indignant contempt.

Jonson's self-satisfaction and his critical sense of intellectual superiority to the generality of mankind also a marked and disagreeable lack of sympathy in his portrayal of both life and character. The world of his dramas is mostly made up of knaves,

scoundrels, hypocrites, fools, and dupes; and it includes among its really important characters very few excellent men and not a single really good woman. Jonson viewed his fellow-men, in the mass, with complete scorn, which it was one of his moral and artistic principles not to disguise. His characteristic comedies all belong, further, to the particular type which he himself originated, namely, the 'Comedy of Humors.'The meaning of this, term can be understood only by some explanation of the history of the word'Humor'. According to medieval physiology there were four chief liquids in the human body, namely blood, phlegm, bile, and black bile, and an excess of any of them produced an undue predominance of the corresponding quality; thus, an excess of phlegm made a person phlegmatic, or dull; or an excess of black bile, melancholy. In the Elizabethan idiom, therefore, 'humor' came to mean a mood, and then any exaggerated quality or marked peculiarity in a person. Aiming in these plays to flail the follies of his time, he makes his chief characters, in spite of his realistic purpose, extreme and distorted humors, each, in spite of individual traits, the embodiment of someone abstract vice-cowardice, sensualism, hypocrisy, or what not. Too often, also, the unreality is increased because Jonson takes the characters from the stock figures of Latin comedy rather than from genuine English life.

In opposition to the free Elizabethan romantic structure, Jonson stood for and deliberately intended to revive the classical style; though with characteristic good sense he declared that not all the classical practices were applicable to English plays. He generally observed unity not only of action but also of time (a single day) and place, sometimes with serious resultant loss of probability. In his tragedies, *Sejanus* and *Catiline*, he excluded comic material; for the most part he kept scenes of death and violence off the stage; and he very carefully and slowly constructed plays which have nothing, indeed, of the poetic greatness of Sophocles or Euripides. He carried his scholarship, however, to the point of pedantry, not only in the illustrative extracts from Latin authors with which in the printed edition he filled the lower half of his pages, but in the plays themselves in the scrupulous exactitude of his rendering of the details of Roman life. The plays reconstruct the ancient world with much more minute accuracy than do Shakespeare's; the student should consider for himself whether they succeed better in reproducing its human reality, making it a living part of the reader's mental and spiritual possessions.

Jonson's style in his plays, especially the blank verse of his tragedies, exhibits the same general characteristics. It is strong, compact, and sometimes powerful, but it entirely lacks imaginative poetic beauty-it is really only rhythmical prose, though sometimes suffused with passion. The surprising skill which Jonson, author of such plays, showed in devising the court masks, daintily unsubstantial creations of moral allegory, classical myth, and Teutonic folklore, is rendered less surprising, perhaps, by the lack in the masks of any very great lyric quality. There is no lyric quality at all in the greater part of his non-dramatic verse, though there is an occasional delightful exception, as in the famous "Drink to me only with thine eyes.' But of his non-dramatic verse we shall speak in the next chapter.

Last, and not least: Jonson's revolt from romanticism to classicism initiated, chiefly in non-dramatic verse, the movement for restraint and regularity, which, making slow headway during the next half century, was to issue in the triumphant pseudoclassicism of the generations of Dryden and Pope. Thus, notable in himself, he was significant also as one of the moving forces of a great literary revolution.

## 5.6 THE OTHER DRAMATISTS

From the many other dramatists of this highly dramatic period, some of whom in their own day enjoyed a reputation fully equal to that of Shakespeare and Jonson, we may merely select a few for brief mention. For not only does their light now pale hopelessly in the presence of Shakespeare, but in many cases their violations of taste and moral restraint pass the limits of present-day tolerance. Most of them, like Shakespeare, produced both comedies and tragedies, prevailingly romantic but with elements of realism; most of them wrote more often in collaboration than did Shakespeare; they all shared the Elizabethan vigorously creative interest in life; but none of them attained either Shakespeare's wisdom, his power, or his mastery of poetic beauty. One of the most learned of the group was George Chapman, whose verse has a Jonsonian solidity not unaccompanied with Jonsonian ponderousness. He won fame also in non-dramatic poetry, especially by vigorous but rather clumsy verse translations of the 'Iliad' and 'Odyssey,' Another highly individual figure is that of Thomas Dekker, who seems to have been one of the completest embodimentsof irrepressible Elizabethan cheerfulness, though this was joined in him with an irresponsibility which kept him commonly floundering in debt or confined in debtor's prison. His *Shoemaker's Holiday* (1600), still occasionally chosen by amateur companies for reproduction, gives a rough-and-ready but (apart from its coarseness) charming romanticized picture of the life of London apprentices and whole-hearted citizens.

Thomas Heywood, a sort of journalist before the days of newspapers, produced an enormous amount of work in various literary forms; in the drama he claimed to have had 'an entire hand, or at least a main finger' in no less than two hundred and twenty plays. Inevitably, therefore, he is careless and slipshod, but some of his portrayals of sturdy English men and women and of romantic adventure are of refreshing naturalness and breeziness. Thomas Middleton, also a very prolific writer, often deals, like Jonson and Heywood, with sordid material. John Marston, as well, has too little delicacy or reserve; he also wrotecatch-as-catch-can non- dramatic satires.

The sanity of Shakespeare's plays, continuing and indeed increasing toward the end of his career, disguises for modern students the tendency to decline in the drama which set in at about the time of King James' accession.

Not later than the end of the first decade of the century the dramatists as a class exhibit not only a decrease of originality in plot and characterization, but also a lowering of moral tone, which results largely from the closer identification of the drama with the Court party. There is a lack of seriousness of purpose, an increasing tendency to return, in more morbid spirit, to the sensationalism of the 1580's, and an anxious straining to attract and please the audiences by almost any means These tendencies appear in the plays of Francis Beaumont and John Fletcher, whose reputations are indissolubly linked together in one of the most famous literary partnerships of all time. Beaumont, however, was short-lived, and much the greater part of the fifty and more plays ultimately published under their joint names really belong to Fletcher alone or to Fletcher and other collaborators. The scholarship of our day agrees with the opinion of their contemporaries in assigning to Beaumont the greater share of judgment and intellectual power and to Fletcher the greater share of spontaneity and fancy. Fletcher's style is very individual. It is peculiarly sweet; but its unmistakable mark is his constant tendency to break down the blank verse line by the use of extra syllables, both within the line and at the end. The lyrics which he scatters through his plays are beautifully smooth and musical. The plays of Beaumont and Fletcher, as a group, are sentimentally romantic, often in an extravagant degree, though their charm often conceals the extravagance as well as the lack of true characterization. They are notable often for their portrayal of the loyal devotion of both men and women to king, lover, or friend. One of the best of them is *Philaster*, or Love Lies Bleeding, while Fletcher's *Faithful Shepherdess* is the most pleasing example in English of the artificial pastoral drama in the Italian and Spanish style.

The Elizabethan tendency to sensational horror finds its greatest artistic expression in two plays of John Webster, The White Devil, or Vitoria Corombona, and The Duchess of Malfi. Here the corrupt and brutal life of the Italian nobility of the Renaissance is presented with terrible frankness, but with an overwhelming sense for passion, tragedy, and pathos. The most moving pathos permeates some of the plays of John Ford (of the time of Charles I), for example, The Broken Heart; but they are abnormal and unhealthy. Philip Massinger, a pupil and collaborator of Fletcher, was of thoughtful spirit, and apparently a sincere moralist at heart, in spite of much concession in his plays to the contrary demands of the time. His famous comedy, A New Way to Pay Old Debts, a satire on greed and cruelty, is one of the new plays of the period, aside from Shakespeare's, which are still occasionally acted. The last dramatist of the whole great line was James Shirley, who survived the Commonwealth and the Restoration and died of exposure at the Fire of London in 1666. In his romantic comedies and comedies of manners Shirley vividly reflects the thoughtless life of the Court of Charles I and of the well-to-do contemporary London citizens and shows how surprisingly far that life had progressed toward the reckless frivolity and abandonment which after the interval of Puritan rule were to run riot in the Restoration period.

The great Elizabethan dramatic impulse had thus become deeply degenerate, and nothing could be more fitting than that it should be brought to a definite end. When the war broke out in 1642 one of the first acts of Parliament, now at last free to work its will on the enemies of Puritanism, was to decree that whereas public sports do not well agree with public calamities, nor public stage-plays with the seasons of humiliation, all dramatic performances should cease. This law, fatal, of course, to the writing as well as the acting of plays, was enforced with only slightly relaxing rigor until very shortly before the Restoration of Charles II in 1660.

Doubtless to the Puritans it seemed that their long fight against the theatre had ended in permanent triumph; but this was only one of many respects in which the Puritans were to learn that human nature cannot be forced into permanent conformity with any rigidly over-severe standard, on however high ideals it may be based.

## 5.7 REASONS FOR DECADENCE IN JACOBEAN DRAMA

The Change of Patrons: One of the reasons for the decadence in Jacobean drama was its loss of national spirit and patronage. In the age of Elizabeth, drama was trulv national, as it was patronised alike by the queen, the nobles the courtiers, and groundlings. But in the age of James I, it lost contact with common people and came to be patronised by, to quote Hardin Craig, "the courtly classes, their hangers-on, and the socially irresponsible parts of the population." Consequently, to quote the same critic "the stage spoke not to all men, but to men with somewhat specialized interests". Dramatists had to cater to the somewhat decadent courtly taste with tales of intrigue, cruelty, and immorality couched in a high-flown, "polished" style.

Marked Foreign Influence: The drama of the age of James shows, unlike that of the age of Elizabeth, a very marked foreign influence, for more ill than good. In this connection Hardin Craig observes: "The older dramatists and their audiences had been satisfied with such intrigue as was afforded by the Italian short story. Their patriotism had sent them to Holinshed, who had rifled Geoffrey of Monmouth... But in the new age foreign influences of increased potency made themselves felt. Dramatists borrowed the declamatory themes and exaggerated sentiments of Spanish drama, and discovered new ranges of intrigue, crime, and licentiousness in Italy and Italian subjects. Specifically, they revived the drama of revenge and, driving it to the extreme, converted it into a drama of horror."

Plot-construction: In spite of the overall inferiority of Jacobean dramatists to Elizabethan dramatists, some credit must be given to them for their gift of plotconstruction. Elizabethan dramatists, including Shakespeare, did not show any skill at architectonics. Moreover, they were generally too lazy to invent plots for themselves and were content to borrow them rather too frequently. It does not mean, however, that they were plagiarists pure and simple. Shakespeare borrowed the plots of most of his plays, but by virtue of his imagination, dramatic skill, poetic gift, and psychological insight transformed them into altogether new entities. But the fact remains that he was a borrower. "The Jacobean dramatists", observes Hardin Craig, "seem for the first time to have begun to invent plots to suit their own tastes and ends." This is particularly true of the comic dramatists like Marston and Ben Jonson. Secondly, Jacobean dramatists show a greater skill in the construction and development of their plots. In many of them the various threads of the action are carefully interwoven into a wonderful harmony of texture seldom to be met with in Elizabethan plays. Jonson, Middleton, and Fletcher were particularly endowed with the gift of plotconstruction. Ben Jonson's Alchemist is, according to Coleridge, one of the three literary works of the world (the two others being Sophocles. Oedipus the King and Fielding's novel Tom Jones') which have perfectly constructed plots. Butwhat applies to the above-named dramatists does not apply to all Jacobean dramatists. Many of them, such as Dekker, are egregious offenders in this respect. As JenetSpenspoints out in Elizabethan Drama, "the lack of connexion between plot and sub-plotwas one of the most marked vices of the post-Shakespearean dramatists, and Dekkerhappens to offer the most absurd instance of it. There is unity in Dekker's better plays, but it is the unity of the novel rather than that of the drama."

**New Experiments:** In addition to their overall better plot-construction Jacobean dramatists may be credited with setting up some new patterns of drama. It was they who gave us the following kinds of drama, till then unattempted, or indifferently attempted, in England:

- (i) Domestic drama : such as Heywood's *A Woman Killed with Kindness*.
- (ii) Drama glorifying a particular profession such as Dekker's *The Shoemaker's Holiday* (which came, however, in 1599).
- (iii) Drama showing the life and manners of the people of London: such as many of Ben Jonson's comedies.

(iv) Drama dealing with social problems, mostly prostitution: such as Dekker's *The Honest Whore*. This kind of drama later came to be practised by no less a distinguished dramatist than George Bernard Shaw.

Moral Laxity: After giving Jacobean drama its due, let us discuss some elements of decadence which appear in it. One of these elements is its moral laxity. As we have already said, Jacobean drama was patronised mostly by the courtly classes which were known for their lack of moral discipline. James I himself was, to use the words of Hardin Craig, "a moralist without character." The same is true of most of the dramatists of his age. Some of them made fairly sincere attempts to preach morality, and none of them commended, or even condoned, sin or vice. But that does not absolve them of the charge of-showing an almost morbid interest in sexual immorality even though for the purpose of condemning it. Play after play was written on the theme of immoral love- The White Devil, A Woman Killed with Kindness, and The Duchess of Malfi are all tragedies of illicit love. A King and No King is a tragedy of incestuous love. Later, in the Caroline age, Ford produced his very shocking play It is Pity She Is a Whore in which he openly dealt with the incestuous passion of a brother and sister which ends in disaster for both. Prostitutes appeared as heroines in many a play, such as Dekker's The Honest Whore and Marston's The Dutch Courtesan. Abject debauchees figured prominently in numerous plays. It was only Heywood and Webster who abstained from licentious themes. Heywood looked to the past and, in the words of Irvin Ribner in his Jacobean Tragedy, "doggedly continued to assert the moral values of an earlier age in a new world in which they no longer had great meaning." As regards Webster, the same critic observes that his plays "are an agonised search for moral order in the uncertain and chaotic world of Jacobean scepticism."

**Gloom and Pessimism:** This scepticism led the Jacobean age to spiritual vacuity and despair. The courtiers, in particular, became voluptuous cynics. But this voluptuousness was not without the agonising sense of melancholy arising chiefly from the prospect of human mortality without any Christian consolation regarding the future. Themes of death, time, and mutability engaged the attention of most writers and the tragedies of the Jacobean period, too, are exhibitive of what Ribner calls a "spirit of negation and disillusion, depair and spiritual no-confidence." Shakespearean tragedy does give rise to the feelings of pity and fear, and even awe, but it does not create any pessimistic feeling. There are death and destruction no doubt, but the human spirit rises phoenix-like from the pyre with a new, resplendent glory. But this kind of reassuring feeling is absent from Jacobean tragedy. All that happens in it is quite earthly, lacking the spiritual dimension of Hamlet, and still less, the much vaster, cosmic dimension of Lear. The scepticism, gloom, despair, and pessimism of the age are thus reflected by its tragedy also.

Melodramatic Sensationalism: The English have always had, in spite of the long line of critics from Sidney to Dryden to Addison to Dr. Johnson, a taste for crude and melodramatic sensationalism generally of the kind of physical violence and bloodshed. Even Elizabethan dramatists including Shakespeare could not do without catering to the popular taste by introducing into their tragedies a large number of murders and scenes of violence. They might have been partly influenced by the tradition of Senecan revenge tragedy, but the popular taste for "blood and thunder" was also a dictating factor. Considered from the point of view of story alone, such Marlowe's Tamburlaine and The Jew plays of as *Malta*, Shakespeare's *Hamlet*, and Kyd's *The Spanish Tragedy* are all melodramas. But their melodramatic nature does not force itself on the eye or the ear, thanks to the rare poetic power which sustains them in a higher region. But the same is not true of the Jacobean melodramatic tragedies. With the departure or decay of the poetic power they have not much left to recommend themselves to us. Most of the tragedies of the age only succeed in covering the stage in the last act with a virtual rivulet of blood so revolting to the refined eye.

**Sentimentalism:** On the other side of the scale to this artless and unthinking bloodshed was the Jacobean tendency towards sentimentalism. To quote Allardyce Nicoll, "there is apparent in the audience of the seventeenth century an increasing love of pathos and of what may be called sentimentalism." The pathos sought to be created by Jacobean dramatists is generally of the artificial kind. But some plays, such as Heywood's Woman Killed with Kindness, contain some really pathetic scenes. The death scene of the duchess in Webster's *The Duchess ofMalfi* is one of the superb examples of pathos in the whole range of English literature. But such scenes are more of the nature of an exception than a rule. The pathos of Lear's or Cordelia's or

Edward II's death is seldom captured by a Jacobean dramatist. Allardyce, Nicoll observes about these dramatists: "The dramatists employ every means, illegitimate as well as legitimate, to stir the emotions of the spectators and to present before them something of novelty."

Satire and Realism: The Jacobean age brought into vogue a new kind of realistic and satiric comedy aimed at the exposure of London life and manners and the vices and follies of the times. The exposure was affected for the purpose of correction through satire and ridicule. We find comedy writers like Ben Jonson and Marston holding the mirror to their age and lashing the follies of the Londoners. Their plays are quite realistic with real London as their background. They do not transport us to the fairyland atmosphere of the romantic comedies such as As You Like It or A Midsummer Night's Dream. What they give us is a much more direct criticism of life. Ben Jonson was quite articulate about his aim., I'll strip the ragged follies of the time, Naked, as at their birth;, And with a whip of steel, Print wounding lashes in their iron ribs., Marston and Ben Jonson were engaged in what is known as "the War of Theatres" and wrote stinging satires against each other: The humour of Jacobean comedy was no longer the genial, puckish, fresh and refreshing humour of Shakespeare's comedies, but the bitter and satirical humour which always arises from poor characterisation, Jacobean drama suffered decadence in the all-important field of characterisation. It could not boast of a character of the stature and psychological compexity of Shakespeare's Lear or Hamlet, or even Marlowe's Dr. Faustus.

Jacobean dramatists relied for dramatic effect more on the situation than on the character. In the field of comedy, the Jacobean age presents conventional and wooden types, as, for instance, Ben Jonson's 'humours." In the field of tragedy, we have again some highly conventional and recurring characters such as, to use the words of Nicoll, the "Headstrong monarch", the "lustful tyrant," "a predetermined hero, often a husband, and with him the inevitable heroine either sinning or sinned against." Then there is the "faithful friend" of the hero. "Again, there are," says Nicoll, "sudden and wholly unpsychological revolutions of character which mar the majority of these dramas and we realize that there could be no possible delving into the depths of personality such as we find in Shakespeare."

Poor Poetry: Poetically, Jacobean drama is much less rich than Elizabethan drama. The passionate lyricism of Shakespeare and the grandeur of Marlowe's mighty line became things of the past. Rhetorical devices took the place of true poetry. In Ben Jonson's tragedies, Catiline and Sejanus, there is more of oratory than poetry. Playwrights such as Dekker, Heywood, and Tourneur handled blank verse quite loosely, nor could they breathe into it that pulsating life and poetic beauty which constitute an overwhelming proportion of the pleasure we derive from Elizabethan drama.

#### 5.8 LET US TO SUM UP

Jacobean drama presents the deglamourized vision of renaissance in the first half of the 17th century. It is marked by the reign period of James1 who the successor of Queen Elizabeth to the throne of England was. In Greek James is called Jacob from which the term Jacobean drama has been derived. The English societywitnessed vital changes on socio-economic, political and religious front in the aftermath of the death of Queen Elizabeth. Peace and tranquillity in society was badly disturbed. Human relationships were receded to the lowest ebb. Nobody was thinking in terms of norms and principles. Reign of terror and anarchy loomed large which crippled the economy severely causing irreparable damage to the state exchequer. On the political horizon the situation further worsened following the differences emerged in the relationship between the king and the parliament. Queen Elizabeth succeeded in winning the confidence of parliament using her talent and insight which his successor lacked. It led to the rift between the two power centres. James1 had firm faith in the concept of divine rights of kingship and considered him the chief arbiter of justice with nobody showing dissent with his jurisdiction. He was even not ready to make any compromise with the parliament on this issue The relationship between the two forces receded to the lowest ebb. They locked horns which other affected the welfare program and created panic in society. The king's growing apathy and negligence to the interest of the masses enhanced their apprehension that the welfare of the people did not enjoy top priority in his agenda. The country also witness infighting among various religious sects. James first was an ardent admirer of Roman Catholicism. People showing strong affinity wait catholic religion were elevated to the higher position in the royal hierarchy sending a wrong message among

those having faith in religious sects other than Catholicism. Charges of step motherly treatment were labelled against the Monarch by the Protestants, the Anglicans and the Calvins. Catholicism emerged as the state religion and the interest of other religious sects was severely damaged forcing them to fight with one another to ensure their supremacy. In this way a grim picture of society was painted during the Jacobean period. The policies of James first divided the society into several independent pockets who quarreled with one another eroding the warmth and vitality of society. People no longer craved for moral values. Money reigned supreme. People were ready to make compromise in order to achieve their objective human relationships were brought to the dock. Under the background of this socio-political milieu the citadel of Jacobean drama was erected. Ben Jonson, John Webster, Philip Messenger, Thomas Middleton, John Fletcher, John Marston, John Ford, Thomas Heywood have contributed significantly for the enrichment of Jacobean drama. In the Jacobean period there was steep decline in drama.

The playwrights attempted to fulfil the desire of the audience but failed miserably since they lacked organic unity which a supreme art must possess. After Shakespeare there was no other dramatist who could fill his space which marked the decline of drama. Their Plot construction shows a complete want of coherence. There are effective episodes, but structural harmony or organization is badly wanting in their drama. Except for Ben Jonson's Alchemist and Volpone and Webster's The White Devil not a single drama achieved the height of that of Shakespeare. The Shakespearean balance between romance and realism was poorly replaced by narrow social activities or by romantic excesses. The Puritan opposition to the drama is also responsible for the decline of Jacobean drama. They regarded drama as the devil's work which must be avoided. Ben Jonson was the most prominent figure of English drama after Shakespeare. Unlike Shakespeare he took keen interest in classical form of dramas. He was a great scholar traces of which can be noticed in his dramas. The basic difference between Jonson and Shakespeare was that while the former wrote drama to display his scholarship the later used it as a medium to amuse people. Jonson has given a photographical presentation of Jacobean society satirizing their craving or lust for power forcing norms and values to the background. People's growing desire for material advancement has been successfully cultivated in his dramas The Alchemist

and *Volpone* or Fox. He was also the exponent of comedy of humors of which he was the single practitioner. Webster was the other significant dramatist who contributed significantly to the repertory of Jacobean drama. His drama *The White Devil* is the fine example of the deterioration or moral bankruptcy set in Jacobean society. Besides them Beaumont and Fletcher too have enriched Jacobean drama making significant contributions.

#### 5.9 SELF-ASSESSMENT QUESTIONS

- (1) Which King or Queen's rule is associated with Jacobean Era?
  - a) James I
  - b) Elizabeth I
  - c) Charles I
  - d) Charles II
- (2) What proceeded Jacobean era?
  - a) Elizabethan Era
  - b) Caroline era
  - c) Victorian era
  - d) Jacobean Era
- 3) The Jacobean era ended with a severe economic depression in 1620–1626, complicated by a serious outbreak of \_\_\_\_\_\_ in London in 1625.
  - a) Cholera
  - b) Tuberculosis
  - c) Bubonic plague
  - d) Plague (disease)
- 4) The word "Jacobean" is derived from the \_\_\_\_\_\_name Jacob, which is the original form of the English name James.

- a) Samaritan Hebrew language
- b) Biblical Hebrew
- c) Mishnaic Hebrew
- d) Hebrew language
- 5) The Jacobean era succeeds the \_\_\_\_\_\_ and precedes the Caroline era, and specifically denotes a style of architecture, visual arts, decorative arts, and literature that is predominant of that period.
  - a) Elizabethan era
  - b) English Reformation
  - c) England
  - d) Tudor period
- 6) Jonson was also an important innovator in the specialized literary sub-genre of the \_\_\_\_\_, which went through an intense development in the Jacobean era.
  - a) William Shakespeare
  - b) Ben Jonson
  - c) Masque
  - d) A Midsummer Night's Dream
- 7) The first fire-breathing dragon in English literature occurs in which Old English epic poem.
  - a) Iliad
  - b) Odyssey
  - c) Beowulf
  - d) Canterbury Tales

- 8) What are the beginning and ending dates of the reign of James I?
  - a) 1592-1608
  - b) 1603-1625
  - c) 1607-1627
  - d) 1608-1639
- 9) Famous satiric dramaVolponeis written by?
  - a) Sir Walter Scott
  - b) Christopher Marlow
  - c) Ben Johnson
  - d) George Herbert
- 10) The foremost poet of Jacobean era was?
  - a) John Milton
  - b) Charles Bacon
  - c) John Donne
  - d) Herbert Spencer
- 11) "The Jacobean Era" refers to a period of time in the early 17th century in which of the following countries?
  - a) Jordan
  - b) England
  - c) Malaysia
  - d) Tunisia

#### **Answers:**

1)

а

- 2) b
- 3) c
- 4) d
- 5) a
- 6) c
- 7 )c
- , )c
- 8) b
- 9) c
- 10) c
- 11) b

#### 5.10 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS:

Q.1 What were the chief characteristics of Jacobean Era?

#### Answer:

Q.2 Write a short note on the dramatists who were writing in the Jacobean Era. **Answer:** 

Q.3 Enumerate the causes responsible for the decline of Jacobean Drama.

#### Answer:

Q.4 How did Renaissance values influence the development of Jacobean Drama?

**Ans:** The Renaissance was a dynamic era that looked back toward the past with delight, relished the present, and struck out toward the future. It valued the human person, the glories of classical Greece and Rome, and the expansion of the known world through exploration and discovery. These values are reflected in the drama of the Elizabethan and Jacobean periods.Let's look at some examples of how this worked. In the medieval period, drama was largely centered around the Bible. Mystery plays and morality plays dominated the scene as actors presented Bible stories and moral lessons for eager audiences.

In the Renaissance, however, the subject matter of drama greatly expanded. Think, for instance, of the broad scope of Shakespeare's plays. They cover everything from ancient Rome (Julius Caesar, for instance) to Denmark (Hamlet) to England's history (Richard II, Henry IV). Quite a few are set in the era of classical Greece, showing the Renaissance appreciation for that time but also the Renaissance innovation that made Greek ideas and characters relevant for the Renaissance era. Renaissance drama also tended to focus on the individual. Examples here include Christopher Marlowe's Doctor Faustus and Shakespeare's Macbeth. Renaissance dramatists created characters that are complex and nuanced. They are not allegories or types, but real individuals with real issues, challenges, and choices.

Q.5 Write a short note on Revenge Tragedy.

#### Answer:

Q.6 Discuss the main characteristics of Comedy Humours with specific reference to the plays of Ben Jonson.

#### Answer:

#### 5.11 SUGGESTED READINGS:

- Ĥume, Robert D. (1976). The Development of English Drama in the Seventeenth Century. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Û Hughes, Derek (1996). *English Drama*, 1660-1700. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

-----

# COURSE No. ENG-121DRAMA-ILESSON No. 6M.A. ENGLISHCHRISTOPHER MARLOWEUNIT - IIDR. FAUSTUSDR. FAUSTUS

### CHRISTOPHER MARLOWE'S EARLY LIFE: INFLUENCES AND EXPERIENCES

#### STRUCTURE

- 6.1 Introduction
- 6.2 Objectives
- 6.3 Life of Christopher Marlowe
- 6.4 Marlowe's works
- 6.5 His Formative Years
- 6.6 Literary Influences
- 6.7 Let Us Sum Up
- 6.8 Self-Assessment Questions with Answers
- 6.9 Examination Oriented Questions
- 6.10 Suggested Readings

#### 6.1 **INTRODUCTION**

Christopher Marlowe (1564-1593) is one of the most suggestive figures of the English Renaissance, and the greatest of Shakespeare's predecessors. The gl ory of the El i zabethan drama dates f rom his *Tamburlaine* (1587), wherein the whole restless temper of the age finds expression:

Nature, that framed us of four elements Warring within our breasts for regiment, Doth teach us all to have aspiring minds: Our souls--whose faculties can comprehend, The wondrous architecture of the world, And measure every wandering planet's course, Still climbing after knowledge infinite, And always moving as the restless spheres-- Will us to wear ourselves and never rest.

Tamburlaine, Pt. I, II, vii.

#### 6.2 **OBJECTIVES**

In this lesson we shall study in detail the early life of Christopher Marlowe and experiences which influenced him and his writings. We shall also glance through the formative and literary influences on him.

#### 6.3 LIFE OF CHRISTOPHER MARLOWE

Marlowe was born in Canterbury, only a few months before Shakespeare.He was the son of a poor shoemaker, but through the kindness of a patron was educated at the town grammar school and then at Cambridge. When he came to London (c.1584), his soul was surging with the ideals of the Renaissance, which later found expression in Faustus, the scholar longing for unlimited knowledge and for power to grasp the universe. Unfortunately, Marlowe had also the unbridled passions which mark the early, or Pagan Renaissance, as Taine calls it, and the conceit of a young man just entering the realms of knowledge. He became an actor and lived in a low-tavern atmosphere of excess and wretchedness. In 1587, when he was twenty-three years old, he produced *Tamburlaine*, which brought him instant recognition. Thereafter, notwithstanding his wretched life, he holds steadily to a high literary purpose. Though all his plays abound in violence, no doubt reflecting many of the violent scenes in which he lived, he develops his "mighty line"

and depicts great scenes in magnificent bursts of poetry, such as the stage had never heard before. In five years, while Shakespeare was serving his apprenticeship, Marlowe produced all his great work. Then he was stabbed in a drunken brawl and died wretchedly, as he had lived. The Epilogue of Faustus is written across his tombstone:

> Cut is the branch that might have grown full straight, And burned is Apollo's laurel bough That sometime grew within this learned man.

#### 6.4 MARLOWE'S WORKS

Marlowe is famous for four dramas, now known as the Marlowesque or oneman type of tragedy, each revolving about one central personality who is consumed by the lust of power. The first of these is 'Tamburlaine', the story of Timur, the Tartar. Timur begins as a shepherd chief, who first rebels and then triumphs over the Persian king.Intoxicated by his success, Timur, rushes like a tempest over the whole of East. Seated on his chariot drawn by captive kings, with a caged emperor before him, he boasts of his power which overrides all things. Then, afflicted with disease, he raves against thegods and would overthrow them as he has overthrown earthly rulers. *Tamburlaine* is an epic rather than a drama; but one can understand its instant success with a people only halfcivilized, fond of military glory, and the instant adoption of its "mightyline" as the instrument of all dramatic expression. Dr. Faustus, the second play, is one of the best of Marlowe's works. The story is that of a scholar who longs for infinite knowledge, and who turns from Theology, Philosophy, Medicine, and Law, the four sciences of the time to the study of magic, much as a child might turn from jewels to tinsel and coloured paper. In order to learn magic he sells himself to the devil, on condition that he shall have twenty-four years of absolute power and knowledge. The play is the story of those twenty-four years. Like Tamburlaine, it is lacking in dramatic construction, but has an unusual number of passages of rare poetic beauty. Milton's Satan suggests strongly that the author of 'Paradise Lost' had access to Faustus and used it, as he may also have used Tamburlaine,

for the magnificent panorama displayed by Satan in '*Paradise Regained*'. For instance, more than fifty years before Milton's hero says, "Which wayI turn is hell, myself am hell," Marlowe had written:

Faustus : How comes it then that thou art out of hell? Mephistophles : Why this is hell, nor am I out of it.

\* \* \* \* \*

Hell hath no limits, nor is circumscribed In one self-place; for where we are is hell, And where hell is there must we ever be.

Marlowe's third play is '*The Jew of Malta*', a study of the lust for wealth, which centres about Barabas, a terrible old money lender, strongly suggestive of Shylock in '*The Merchant of Venice*'. The first part of the play is well constructed, showing a decided advance, but the last part is an accumulation melodramatic horrors. Barabas is checked in his murderous career by falling into a boiling caldron which he had prepared for another, and dies blaspheming, his only regret being that he has not done more evil in his life.

Marlowe's last play is 'Edward II', a tragic study of a king's weakness and misery. In point of style and dramatic construction, it is by far the bestof Marlowe's plays, and is a worthy predecessor of Shakespeare's historical drama. Marlowe is the only dramatist of the time who is ever compared with Shakespeare. When we remember that he died at twenty-nine, probably before Shakespeare had produced a single great play, we must wonder what he might have done had he outlived his wretched youth and become a man. Hereand there his work is remarkable for its splendid imagination, for the statelinessof its verse, and for its rare bits of poetic beauty; but in dramatic instinct, in wide knowledge of human life, in humour, in delineation of woman's character, in the delicate fancy which presents an Ariel as perfectly as a Macbeth, in a word, in all that makes a dramatic genius, Shakespeare stands alone. Marlowe simply prepared the way for the master who was to follow.

#### 6.5 HIS FORMATIVE YEARS

Marlowe was born in 1564 (the same year as Shakespeare as generally referred), the son of a shoemaker at Canterbury. Taking his master's degree after seven years at Cambridge, in 1587, he followed the other 'University Wits' to London. There, probably the same year and the next, he astonished the public with the two parts of '*Tamburlaine the Great*,' a dramatization of the stupendous career of the bloodthirsty Mongol fourteenth-century conqueror. Various influences—psychological, social, economic and political—work as forces upon the child-artist who imbibes in him all that later becomes the source-material for his artistic creations. He is the creative medium throughwhich his age and society find expression. It is, therefore, necessary to examine, analyse and record the early influences which went a long way to the making of Marlowe as an artist. Though the major influences, the literary, the philosophical and the artistic, are dealt with a little later, the early influences are significant in their own way.

Christopher Marlowe, the man, was shaped by environment, the social forces of his times, just as any or all artists have been shaped through ages. His childhood, his family background, his early education and the social milieu of the age were the forces which infused fire and spirit in the youngchild who was later to make significant changes in the world of thought andart. His psychology like that of any great artist was planted ready-made in him. He identified himself with the currents of thought and movements which though glorious were in their early phases received with shock by the conservative. Though much has been written on Marlowe's childhood and early life, only a few significant facts stand out as authentic records. There is no doubt that the boy was endowed by nature with a precocious nature, sharp mind and keen eye. His humble birth could prove no hurdle in the way of his ambitious and independent pursuits. The absence of any elder brother and sister in the family must have made the boy confident and self-reliant. Thus his psychology was of a lone genius in a world of commonality in which he was to rise by the force of his will and the power of his mind.

As in the case of Shakespeare, so in the case of Marlowe we have very few biographical details. He was the son of John Marlowe, who was a shoe-maker in Canterbury. About his father, Wright says, -'John Marlowe, the shoe- maker is best described in the words of Dr. Urry as a 'busy, active, pugnacious fellow' clearly very fond of the lime-light, prone to go to law at the slightest excuse, ready to perform public office and probably rather neglectful of his business". The boy Marlowe must have imbibed some of the traits of his own father. Consciously or unconsciously, at least, he did have the fondness of coming into the lime-light, especially when he had the intellectual resources of a genius. His unusual faculties, it is natural, would not let him find satisfactionin following the trade of his father. Moreover, his unusual qualities must have outshone all others in the family and in his father's trade. Wright records, "His son Christopher ...must have been a strikingly beautiful bright-eyed boy, intelligent beyond the usual, who might readily have attracted the attention of a patron amongst his father's wealthier clients. A likely benefactor has been suggested in the person of Sir Roger Manwood, who might have put in goodword to further the lad to the scholarship which he was awarded to the King's school". He got this scholarship when he was about fifteen years old. We do not have much knowledge as to how the boy equipped himself with knowledge that enabled him to get the scholarship.

By attending some local school in Canterbury, he had acquired the basic knowledge of letters, but his restless mind had also rummaged through the books and literary works in quest of more and more knowledge. This can be offered as a plausible explanation of his competence to qualify for the scholarship, notwithstanding the recommendation of the benefactor Sir Roger Manwood. Moreover, the knowledge of classical literature brought by the Renaissance was gaining popularity by the English translations of classical works, which printed by Caxton, were reaching all corners of England. Canterbury, in particular, had imbibed the influence Renaissance in its earliest phase on account of its situation, its unbroken relationship with the church,

its history and also its links with other centers of trade in England and in Europe. As an important place of pilgrimage, it attracted men of all views, professions, trades, ranks and classes.

About his mother we know even less. His mother's tastes reveal that John Marlowe was a flourishing business man and the boy had seen many beautiful things in his house. Boas says, "Catherine Marlowe lingers solicitously over the bestowal of her gold and silver rings, her greatest silver spoons, her taffeta cushions and the rest, it is fanciful to conjecture that Christophermay, in part, have inherited from his mother his eye for 'seld-seen precious stones' for the dazzling blaze and colours of the world. It is permissible to catch at oven such a sight due to the sudden flowering from a prosperous, well-ordered tradesman stock of a revolutionary poetic genius-as inexplicable sport of nature as the emergence in a later age of a Shelley or a Swinburne from an equally conventional though far higher, social environment". Marlowe, there is no doubt might have this and some other sources of his passion forgold, silver, diamond, pearls, rubies and jewels which the reader so often finds scattered in his works. This passion of the best links him at once with the Elizabethan passion for wealth and splendour. As a boy, his psychologywas rooted in the life around him to provide him with first hand knowledge. Besides this, we find in his works an elaborate system of imagery of objects such as stars and heavenly bodies which give us a peep into the splendour of the poet's imagination. The consciousness of his intellectual powers combined with his father's fearless spirit, must have made the boy ambitious, with a desire to achieve fame and come into the limelight. "So Christopher Marlowe grew up in a family dominated by females, with a rather fearless father, no doubt that was important dominated his psychological makeup".

The Elizabethans had a passion for fashions, glossy dresses with diamonds stitched or studded, ribbons and gold-laces, brilliantly inlaid scabbards, hilts and poniards. Women had cultivated unusual interest in delicate but costly works of art and handicraft such as, tapestries, hoods, trailing gowns and skirts and jewellery. They took pride in possessing rare pieces of cutlery and other articles of antiquity. All these and many other trends which had branched out in the Elizabethan age may have made the boy look for higher standards, particularly when he found them enlivened by his powerful imagination and recreated by his poetic talent. Beauty both of sight and sound environed Marlowe in his most impressionable years".

Not only the art, but also the architecture of the age with its roots in the past and its glories in the future was likewise making rapid progress. The old and mossy castles and fortress presented a striking contrast with the towering pinnacles of cathedrals and churches. The present seemed to be pregnant will all that would be a fitting expression of the Renaissance urgefor glory greater and more impressive than the past had to boast of.

Marlowe was only nine when he had the occasion to witness the arrival of Queen Elizabeth and the royal pageant in all its splendour. It must have been an occasion of imposing significance to the child. "The Queen made it her policy to allow herself to be seen by her subjects and it may be assured that kid had his first glimpse at this tender age of the fabulous Virgin Queenwhom he was later to serve as a political agent". It can be reasonably deduced that the sight of royalty with its splendour would have taken whole of the young boy's imagination, which later helped him to conceive of royal glory in his portraiture of *Tamburlaine*.

Christopher Marlowe joined the King's School when he was just two months short of fifteen. He spent just two years at the King's School. But ona boy of his exceptional gifts and interests, in the formative period between fifteen and seventeen, they must have had a highly important influence. "The curriculum of the school, as has been seen was fashioned according to the Renaissance pedagogic ideals, and its chief aim was to train the scholars to speak and write Latin fluently. The foundation of his familiarity with Latin literature and with the mythology of Greece and Rome must have been laid at the King's School in 1579-80. A favourite Renaissance method of teaching boys to speak Latin intelligently was training them to act in classical or neoclassical plays But whether or not there was acting by the king's scholars in 1579-80, it must have counted for something in Christopher's development that his school had a tradition of theatrical production which was favoured by the authorities of the Cathedral". Marlowe in these two years found his potential dramatic talent awakened, his fecund mind touched by his pilgrimage through the classical world of Greece and Rome and his heart fascinated by the wealth of beauty and splendour in the world of art. Marlowe had begunhis journey at the King's School, from the world of wonders, he had moved into the world of art and literature made more complex and interesting by the classical creed of the age.

From the rich and colourful world of Canterbury, he found himself in the rich fields of classical literature. He would have been astounded at the beauty and grace of the classics. It is reasonable to suppose that his creative imagination awakened at school, by the study of classical poetry, and by witnessing or participating in the performance of plays, which were popular at school. We have a detailed account of the curriculum which gives us understanding of the development of his mind at this stage. "According to the statutes of 1541 governing the curriculum, by the time a boy reached Fourth Form (and Marlowe would have gone into this straight way at least or probably to a higher grade) he would have been required to know his Latin syntax thoroughly, and there he would be practiced in poetic tales, the familiar letters of the learned men, and other literature of that sort. In the Fifth Form Latin oratory and classical rules of verse-making were taught, and here Christopher would have made a beginning in 'translating the chaste poets and the best historian'. In the sixth and highest form, he would cope with Erasmus and learn to very speech in every mood in Latin...as implied by its name and evidenced by the curriculum one of the main functions of the grammar and speech with Greek as second language. To encourage fluency in this, the favoured teaching method of the Renaissance was the performance of these plays in these languages...it may be assumed that early contact with the drama proved a formative influence in the life of Marlowe who was

destined to create an entirely new dramatic form for the English stage and call into being a dramatic literature unsurpassed in the history of the world".

In fact, Marlowe was fortunate to be initiated into the dramatic world by his time and place. The Elizabethan Age, as we know, had inherited the tradition of dramatic performance from native sources. The Mysteries, Miracles and Moralities were popular in every town of England and these were patronised by the guilds. Rowse tells us that city like Canterbury with numerous guilds would have provided means for the "regular performances of Miracle plays, Moralities and musings. There is much more of this elementin *Dr. Faustus* than in any of the plays of Shakespeare". The young dramatist was thus being nursed and nourished in the Renaissance tradition and in the tradition of exploration into the new-found land of human experience, aspiration and achievement.

There was also another side to this glory and splendour of the Elizabethan Age. It was an age, which reveled in punishing its victims. On the one hand, it presented the procession of glorious adventures and statesmen, on the other hand it provided the spectacle of men who had dissented with authorityor the Church going to the gallows. Political murders were neither unknownnor infrequent. "There were three public gibbets in Canterbury. The third was put up, evidently to meet a pressing need in 1576. Otherwise, while these were still tenanted, men were hanged on the city walls from the condemned cells in an upper room of West-gate. This seems to have impressed Marlowe as a boy, for in *Tamburlaine*. The Governor of Babylon is hung up in Chains on the city walls and shot to death". Again, "Under 1540 the city account books, itemize the sums paid out to the various people engaged in the hanging, and perboiling of Fraiar Stone... It may be that Marlowe was drawing upon this event in the annals of Canterbury when he made Barabas fall into a boiling cauldron in the last scene of the *Jew of Malta*".

Thus we see that Marlowe had been collecting material in his psychological

reservoir so laboriously that he had simply to turn towards it whenever he wanted source material for his plays. So far as the various scenes of atrocityand cruelty are concerned, he "did not have to go very far afield for the more atrocious incidents in his plays, and conversely, the Elizabethan appetite for horrors was fully catered for by the dramatists... For Marlowe, scenes of cruelty seem to have been a source of exhilaration. His friend Thomas Nashwas also very representative of the age in this combination of lyrical sensibility and sadism, for it would be hard to parallel the gusto with which he, describes the death by torture of Cutwolfe in *"The Unfortunate Traveller"*. Marlowe's life in his early youth was thus rich in personal experience. Even the rise of puritanism had a different effect on him, for instead of making him devout, it made him react against it. At school, he got more entrenched in the confirmation of experience of his boyhood days.

Thus, upto the age of fifteen, Marlowe's mind had been formed by the colourful yet cruel life of the Renaissance England. He had become familiar with classical stories, he had seen the pageants of Queen Elizabeth, he had felt the wonder and the beauty of the Gothic cathedral of Canterbury, he had known something of the wickedness of human nature by the innumerable fables and stories of ancient and contemporary life which he had read and heard. He had seen plays acted both in and outside school, and had heard the exaggerated declamations of Elizabethan actors.

Thus he was able to gather the rich harvest of the Elizabethan Age even before he crossed the portals of the Cambridge University.

#### 6.6 LITERARY INFLUENCES

To be initiated into the literary world, a young artist has to pass through his environmental set up. Cross-currents of literary forces play upon him and give him a direction which leads him to his peculiar literary achievements. Every age has its characteristic literary trends which influence a growing youth. He is nursed upon a certain well-defined tradition which in some sense influences the contemporary literary activity of the nation. Literary

influences begin with the boy's entry into the academic circles or the literary field, particularly if he happens to be extra-intelligent and ambitious. Consciously or unconsciously, he identifies himself with a certain thought, an idea, a movement or a passion chiefly represented in the literary works available to him. Marlowe's own case exemplifies this statement when we probe deeplyinto the literary scene of his times, the literary activities in the immediate past and the revolutionary thought unravelling the problems and mysteries of life and nature, was fast sweeping England under the impact of the Renaissance. A new angle and a new colour was imparted to the vision of life, literature, human affairs, religion, ethics and politics. Men of very rare potentialities, though in minority, were at work to lead the majority into an altogether novel world of human experience. Marlowe was at that time a student in Cambridge, one of the greatest centres of learning in England. He, by Nature, being unusual in his acceptance, or rejection of knowledge imparted indiscriminately, found himself attracted most to what was being dug out from the so far unexplored areas of investigation in almost every field. "And Cambridge offered a more variegated, a more disturbed and a more exciting scene."

Marlowe was a typical Elizabethan Englishman in the making. He had around him the world of the grown-up Elizabethan Englishmen with their eyes at everything appearing in the intellectual firmament of Europe. Will and Ariel Durant observe, "All in all, the Elizabethan Englishman was a scion of the Renaissance.... The dominant man of the age was a charge of energy released from old dogmas and inhibitions and not yet bound to new; boundless in ambition, longing to develop his capacities, unshackled in humour, sensitive to literature if it breathed life, given to violence of action and speech, but struggling, amid his bombast, vices and cruelties to be a gentleman. His ideal hovered between the amiable courtesies of Castiglione's "Courties" and the ruthless immoralism of Machiavelli's '*Prince*'. He admired Sidney, but he aspired to be Drake."

Such models of daring men were before and around him. He was imbibing ideas both from life and literature just as he was developing in himself the qualities of 'the scion' of the Renaissance. Moreover, we have to remember that it was the age of both creative and the critical effort. The creative ledthem to the creation of the new models and the critical procured source material from outside the range of ordinary in thought and art. It was thus the national glow of life and thought which made a fitting place for literaryand intellectual development of Marlowe.

One of the major sources of the English literary revival was the attempt of English scholars to translate classical works into English. The influence of these translations upon Elizabethan literature was immense. These translations "gave plots to Marlowe, Shakespeare, Webster, Massinger and Ford, and Italian locale to many Elizabethan plays. Italy, which had rejected the Reformation, had gone beyond it to break down the old theology, even the Christian ethics, while Elizabethan religion debated Catholicism and protestantism, Elizabethan literature ignoring that conflict, returned to the spirit and verve of the Renaissance." This was happening at the intellectual centres of England—Oxford and Cambridge. Marlowe was imbibing these influences to the marrow of his bones. He was reading Divinity, apparentlyin preparation for a life in holy orders at Canterbury. But Marlowe was madeof different stuff. His sceptical mind would not let him accept anything without sifting it through the filter of his reason. This scepticism soon led him to atheism. This last loosely defined term comprised any kind of questioning of the accepted religious dogmas. Such an atmosphere proved congenial to the young Marlowe's mind. At Cambridge he found opportunity to study in the small library of Archbishop Parker, where he avidly read Machievelli, Erasmus, and the Latin Bible. He also read Aristotle and Ramus. But apart from the serious religious and philosophical works, he was particularly interested in Virgil and Ovid, who, alongwith other writers, revealed to him the glories of the antique world. He was already turning away from <sup>1</sup>Divinity, although he was morally bound to it by the terms of the scholarship. His natural urge lay in the free manifestation of his will. He broke the agreement and instead of taking to clerical life and going back to Canterbury, he went

to London and became a play-wright. Thus, he followed the opportunistic doctrine of Machiavelli. Wright observes, 'Machiavellian policy was something Christopher was well able to expound. Arch-Bishop Parker scholars consisting of Greek and Latin Bibles, Erasmus's New Testament (Latin version in two volumes), a Latin Bible concordance, classical lexicons and 'thesauri' and history of Cambridge. If Marlowe resorted to this little library, it was butto sharpen his critical faculty and he was soon weaned on to other literature, browsing particularly happily among the Latin classical authors, Virgil and Ovid claiming him as disciple. Aristotle and Ramus he also read. The controversy over these two was the pivot of much Cambridge disputation. Echoes of this are found in Marlowe's works. As at Canterbury, so now at Cambridge his genius was to receive to some extent, the stamp of his environmentand be enriched by it."

In fact, the Cambridge atmosphere of classical learning had endowed Marlowe with an artistic mind and was largely responsible for shaping him into a dramatic artist. The picture of Greek and Roman drama lay vivid before his eyes. Boas says, "Without denying that Marlowe during his six years residence at Cambridgemay have acquired the elements of Greek, there can be no doubt that to him asto nearly all English humanists of his time, except a select group of scholars and divines, revelation of the antique world came through the literature of Rome. And to Marlowe the pre-eminent source of this revelation was Ovid—not only in the 'Amores of which his translation may even have dated from his Cambridge days, but even such storehouses of myth and legend as the '*Metamorphosis*', the 'Fasti', and the 'Heroide's only second was the attraction for him of Virgil to whom his debt extends well beyond the confines of Dido, Queen of Carthage. Indeed, for him the corpus of Latin literature would include the medieval and neo-classic analysts and biographers who furnished him with materials for *Tamburlaine*.

Marlowe absorbed what he had read, but he did not attempt a slavish imitation of classical models. He was to transform everything that entered his mind into something altogether different, novel and beautiful. His study of classics coupled with his company with dare-devil thinkers and free-lancers at Cambridge made him a symbol of free thought. He became a blasphemous atheist. Will Durant observes, "His study of the classics unsettled his theology and his acquaintance with Machiavelli's ideas gave his skepticism a cynical turn. Movingto London after receiving his M.A. (1517), he shared a room with Thomas Kyd, and found the free-thinking circle of Raleigh and Harriot. Richard Barnes, a Government agent, reported to the Queen (June 3, 1593), that Marlowe had declared that 'the first beginning of religion was only to keep men in awe. That Christ was a bastard...." "He would be delighted to find Bruno calling Christa carpenter and treating Greek and Christian legends, in the spaccio, on the same level, or to read in Ramus's *De Religions* that Moses was an Egyptian braggart and the Christians dunces and scoundrels there are direct traces of Bruno's and Machiavelli's influence in his plays."

Marlowe stayed at Cambridge for six and a half years. During this period, apart from absorbing classical knowledge he started his own creative work. Like most scholars he tried his hand at translation, besides composing poetry. "While he should have been studying Divinity, he was writing poetry." He translated Ovid's *Amores* and *Heroides*, and Lucan's *Pharsalia*. "In translating this first book, Marlowe appears for once to look at the centre of the Elizabethan world picture without eccentricity of judgment or tone. The emphasis in social and political, rather than personal...In Marlowe's Lucan, the vision of a worldin confusion provokes some of the most deeply-felt writing.... In all this, the matter is of central and common Elizabethan interest, but the voice is distinctly and forcefully Marlowe's."

The translation of Ovid was important in the sense that it enabled him to gain mastery in writing rhymed couplets which makes his '*Hero and Leander*' a classic Elizabethan poem. The translation of Lucan in blank verse gave him mastery in a form which he was to introduce and establish as the vehicle of poetic dream. He translated the first look of Lucan's *Pharsalia* into blank verse; Since he was translating into blank verse...this gave him a tough apprenticeship in the art of blank verse. Here, in this stiff apprenticeship, is the origin of Marlowe's mighty line.

Lucan helped Marlowe in another way. He found a strange kinship between his imagination and that of Lucan. Lucan "Let his eye range over the three continents, to the farthest limits where the legions had trod, and he poured forth a wealth of geo-graphical and ethnographical detail which was not lost on the author of *Tamburlaine*. His gaze, too, at times swept the heavens, and here again he touched an answering chord in the Cambridge student of cosmology."

This 'temperamental kinship' with Lucan, Ovid and other ancient masters, and a wilful and diligent apprenticeship gave Marlowe a necessary further vision into the world where lay his polestar, 'the Renaissance tradition.' But it brought him into conflict with the authorities at Cambridge. His bold translations were dangerous in a society governed by morals and manners expounded by archbishops. He did translate but, "it was hardly the sort of poetry the authorities would approve. They did not. Marlowe's translations of Ovid's elegies or 'Amores' achieved the distinction of being publicly burnt by the order of the Archbishop Canterbury and the Bishop of London, and Marlowe's translations from Ovid and Lucan are the work of an immature genius, but they are important for the influence exerted on his creative mind in his formative period. With Ovid and Lucan, Marlowe went to school."

Marlowe's experiments in poetic composition helped him when he started writing plays. The Elizabethan Age was rich in dramatic production, and Marlowe was attracted towards it. Cambridge curriculum encouraged the writings of original dramatic essays. Such an atmosphere at Cambridge perhaps goaded Marlowe to deviate from the Senecan tradition. He must have done a good dealof thinking before he wrote his first play, *Tamburlaine*. Evan probably persuaded him to discard the classical form of dramatic poetry and inspired him to write plays that were different in construction from Senecan plays. He had before him very few literary models. Upto that time England had not produced many dramaticworks of high quality; there were the Miracle and Morality plays heavily over- burdened with didactic intent, there was the first attempt at tragedy, *Gorboduc* written in 1562, and there was Kyd's *Spanish Tragedy*, an imitation of Seneca's Revenge tragedies. Marlowe's contemporaries like Nashe and Greene were also

writing plays. Professor Wright thinks that he collaborated with Nashe in writing the first draft of his first play. "Casting around for a subject for his first real drama. He may have collaborated in a first draft with Thomas Nashe of St. John'sCollege, who from 1582 onwards, was at the University contemporaneously with Marlowe. Another dramatist with whom Kyd might have first struck acquaintance in Cambridge was Robert Greene." Boas says, Marlowe is more likely to have been influenced by the plays of Lyly dealing with classical subjects and acted by the children of the Chapel and of Paul. The name of Lyly has been so long associated with that of Marlowe as the first heir of his invention. Yet a close examination suggests that Marlowe's Cambridge studies and translations of Latin poetry may well have led him to the choice of the Scythian conqueror for hisfirst play."

#### 6.7 LET US SUM UP

Thus, Marlowe grew up in an atmosphere of drama both at school and at Cambridge for as the records show the Elizabethan men and women were in spirit more akin to drama and dramatic poetry that to any other form of literatureto attain than to contemplation. Wright says, "Early contact with the drama proved a formative influence in the life of Marlowe, who was destined to create an entirely new dramatic form for the English stage and call into being a dramatic literature unsurpassed in the history of the world." Marlowe had a very close association with the important University Wits, a privilege which Shakespeare did not have. In their company, he discussed and disputed what might have formed the source material, the thematic fabric and the philosophical nucleus of his great plays. The literary environmental conditions were thus like the furnace in which Marlowe the youngman was being shaped into an artist: a poet he was born and a dramatist he became. The stage was set for the great creator, who had instinctively been turning for authority to the Renaissance, to populate the Elizabethan stage with the Renaissance heroes of unusual aspirations, extraordinary dimensions and actions that would shock the Elizabethan out of orthodoxy into admiration.

#### 6.8 SELF-ASSESSMENT QUESTIONS WITH ANSWERS

- 1. How old was Marlowe when he produced *Tamburlaine*?
- Ans. He produced *Tamburlaine* in 1587 when he was only 23 years old.
- 2. What was the cause of his early death?
- Ans. He was stabbed in a drunken brawl and died wretchedly in 1593 at the age of 29.
- 3. What is Marlowesque drama?
- Ans. It is one-man type of tragedy that revolves around one central personality consumed by the lust for power.
- 4. Name the four major dramas written by Marlowe.Ans.

The major dramas' are:

- (i) Tamburlaine
- (ii) Dr. Faustus
- (iii) Edward II
- (iv) The Jew of Malta
- 5. How was Marlowe initiated into the dramatic world?
- Ans. The mysteries, miracles and moralities were popular in every town of England and they were patronized by the guilds.
- 6. What did his skeptical mind lead him to?
- Ans. It led him to atheism.

#### 6.9 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS

- 1. Write the themes of the four major plays of Marlowe in brief.
- 2. What do you know about the early age of Marlowe?

- 3. How was Marlowe initiated into the dramatic world?
- 4. Marlowe was a typical Elizabethan English man in the making. Discuss.
- 5. Discuss Marlowe as a symbol of free thought.

#### 6.10 SUGGESTED READINGS

- Bevington, David. From Mankind to Marlowe: Growth of Structure in Elizabethan Drama. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1965.
- Chambers, E. K. The Elizabethan Stage. 4 Volumes, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1923.
- Geckle, George L. *Tamburlaine* and *Edward II*: Text and Performance. New Jersey: Humanities Press International, 1988.
- Kuriyama, Constance Brown. *Christopher Marlowe: A Renaissance Life*. Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press, 2002.
- Waith, Eugene. The Herculean Hero in Marlowe, Chapman, Shakespeare, and Dryden. New York: Columbia University Press, 1967.
- Wilson, F.P. Marlowe and the Early Shakespeare. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1953

-----

# COURSE No. ENG-121 DRAMA-I LESSON No. 7 M.A. ENGLISH CHRISTOPHER MARLOWE UNIT - II DR. FAUSTUS

## THE FIVE PLAYS OF MARLOWE

#### **STRUCTURE**

- 7.1 Introduction
- 7.2 Objectives
- 7.3 The Spirit on Renaissance
- 7.4 'Tamburlaine'
- 7.5 Doctor Faustus
- 7.6 The Jew of Malta
- 7.7 Edward II
- 7.8 The Massacre at Paris
- 7.9 Let Us Sum Up
- 7.10 Self-Assesment Questions with answers
- 7.11 Examination Oriented Questions
- 7.12 Multiple Choice Questions
- 7.13 Suggested Readings

#### 7.1 INTRODUCTION

Christopher Marlowe in writing his plays gave a new direction to drama.

Marlowe's heroes represent the romantic dreams of Elizabethan England more than

the characters of any other contemporary dramatist except Shakespeare. There are two reasons for it: firstly, he had absorbed like Shakespeare the manifold and colourful life of the age deeply, and secondly, his heroes are more subjective than Shakespeare's heroes. This is due to the fact that they are poetically conceived, and their romantic glamour provides the mirror for the romantic heroic conceptionof the age.

Marlowe's plays are closely associated with his tragic vision in the context of the Renaissance England—her glory, her inordinate ambition of a world Empire, her fabulous wealth and her efforts to cross the boundaries of traditional ethics to gain political supremacy. "Marlowe was the epitome of the Renaissance man, who aspires to grasp all knowledge and all experience within the compass of his brief, and all too hazardous life. He has been aptly described, 'the morning star' of the great literary effulgence that took place in the Renaissance England of Queen Elizabeth's reign and at his end, like a shooting star, he fell.".

#### 7.2 **OBJECTIVES**

In this lesson we shall have an overview of the five prominent dramas of Christopher Marlowe. Also to appreciate Marlowe's dramatic creations, it is essential to appreciate the age in which he lived and wrote. It was an age of an inborn urge in the Renaissance hero to struggle.

#### 7.3 THE SPIRIT ON RENAISSANCE

The five plays of Marlowe reveal the spirit of Renaissance England. Whatever the places of scenes in the play, whatever people taken up as characters, and whatever the problems chosen for dramatic representation, they are closely associated with the times of Marlowe's England. Rightfrom *Tamburlaine*' to '*Massacre at Paris*' the plays mirror the Renaissance sentiment, character and life. Tamburlaine's urge for wealth and power springs from the national feeling of the Englishmen of the sixteenth century England. His military adventures, his urge for conquest and his war-craft reflect the British desire for the conquest of the world. The Englishmen in the sixteenth century thought their England to be a very small place and their own position in the world politically and economically inadequate and sent daring Englishmen abroad in search of new lands of promising wealth. Symbolically Tamburlaine's stature as he rose from a mere shepherd to be the monarch of Asia, represents the parallel of the British isle expanding and subjugating numerous rich but weak nations in Asia, Africa and many other islands.

The personality and political prowess of Queen Elizabeth was gradually raising England from a small island into the center of the world affairs. To the sixteenth century Englishmen, the ever-widening horizon of their success inspired them for more expansion of imperialistic forces. This was due to the personality, prowess and glamour of Queen Elizabeth. She was "a person of exceptional intelligence and studious, and of inquisitive temperament, she was educated in the rigorous manner of the Renaissance by the finest scholars of the time." Her influence over her age is visible in almost all fields in the 16th century. She admired heroism and rewarded many a brave adventurous young man. She refused to be orthodox in religion. Her love of wealth, splendour, luxury and beauty made her an admirable person of emulation to her subjects.

The Queen provided a model of heroism to a man like Marlowe, because to her age her wisdom and statesmanship were more important than her feminine qualities. Many of her traits are traceable in the persons of Dr. Faustus, Tamburlaine and Mortimer. Dr. Faustus also was a 'person of exceptional intelligence and studious and of inquisitive nature'. His love of power and knowledge and a sense of adventure make him a truly Renaissance figure. Tamburlaine and Mortimer are also figures of exceptional courage and cunning. They delight in bloodshed and violence because they think that the way to the crown and power lies through bloodshed. Their activities such as wars, strategies, bloodshed reflect their lovefor glory, grandeur, wealth and beauty for which they shed blood. Thus in many ways they are the children of the Renaissance England.

#### 7.4 **'TAMBURLAINE'**

There is a lot of information about the 16th century warfare and warcraftin Tamburlaine that helped him to gain victories. Kocher says, "So much of technical information enters into Tamburlaine, specially that all of the action and much of the characterization of the play leap into full significance only against a background of the 16th century warfare and military usage... Marlowe's greater interest, however, is in the heavy cavalry arm, to which Tamburlaine and his sons as leaders of the army, properly belong: 'Well done, my boy, Thou shalt have shield and lance, Armour of proof horse, helm, and curtle axe'. This was the ponderous steel equipment and these were the shock tactics of the western armored horseman even so late as Marlowe's days. We find a good deal of realism in this play. It is not a matter of mere reproduction of technical information collected from catalogues: Marlowe creates a realistic picture of warfare and warcraft of the 16th century England. "Especially in *Tamburlaine*, by repeated hints, touches and more elaborate reference to armies and tactics distributed everywhere through the action, he keeps us always cognizant of these things and offers to our imagination three-dimensional scenes busy with the movements and sounds of war." Tamburlaine, as a whole reflects the Englishman's aspiration and desire for material progress. They wanted to see the crowns of the Asian and African kings tumbling before the British Crown. The Crown imagery in *Tamburlaine* thus symbolizes the Elizabethan sentiment of political supremacy in the world.

The defeated Cosroe calls Tamburlaine "bloody and insatiate Tamburlaine". "Not so", replies the aggressive hero, and he proceeds to defend himself by the examples of the gods and of Nature: "The thrust../.../Doth teach us all to have aspiring minds" (I, *Tamb*.II, vii, 12-20). Awesome and charismatic, Tamburlaine still looks to continuity and at his death leaves the reins of government to his surviving sons, establishing himself as the model for their political conduct."

#### 7.5 DOCTOR FAUSTUS

Doctor Faustus reflects Renaissance England on more levels than does Tamburlaine. This play shows on the stage the luxury and the ease which were available to the affluent Elizabethans. Records show how fabulous sums of money were spent on parties, dinners and pageants in the Elizabethan age. Lords and ladies lived in the most luxuriant ways and comforts. Money was spent on purchasing fashionable garments, jewellery, diamonds, tapestry and fashionable dresses. Dr. Faustus's action of exchanging knowledge for power shows the Englishman's devotion to professions, arts and trades which would bring them immeasurable wealth. The material trends of the people and their sad indifference to religion under the impact of the Renaissance is also reflected in this play. Under the influence of Machiavelli they had begun to think freely and would not be blind followers of religion. Voyages and journeys were on the increase. Faustus's journey epitomizes these journeys and voyages. The play would be out of place in any other age of England before or after the sixteenth century. His martyrdom in the name of knowledge and science fits in only in the framework of the intellectual age of the Renaissance in England. "Dr. Faustus has all the divine discontent, the unwearied and unsatisfied striving after knowledge that marked the age in which Marlowe wrote. An age of exploration, its adventurers were not only the merchants and seamen who sailed round the world but also the scientists, astronomers who surveyed the heaven with their optic glass and those scholars who travelled in the realms of gold to bring back tales of a mighty race of gods and heroes in ancient Greece and Rome." Harry Levin says that the first soliloquy of Dr. Faustus is "no mere reckoning of accounts but an inventory of the Renaissance mind." Today both Tamburlaine and Faustus would come under scathing criticism for being evil to some extent. But in the context of Renaissance England, they would be the darlings of the age because their over- reaching ambitions reflect the spirit, the tenor and the milieu of the sixteenth century England. Moreover, as Dr. Tillyard observes: "The greatness of the Elizabethan age was that it contained so much of the new without bursting the

noble form of the old order. It is here that the Queen herself comes in. Somehow the Tudors had inserted themselves into the constitution of the medieval universe. They were part of the pattern and they made themselves indispensable. If they were to be preserved, it had to be as part of this pattern." This fact is supportedby the picture of Dr. Faustus and his world. His tragedy clearly shows that thoughhe revolted against the Christian tenets, against Christ and Heaven, he could not repudiate reality. His conflict between the new and the old forms of order illustrates the conflicting pattern of the Elizabethan mind. His decision to court the Devil lands him into Hell. While he is being dragged to Hell, his repentance and cries for help uphold his belief in the old order. Doctor Faustus, a well- respected German scholar, grows dissatisfied with the limits of traditional forms f knowledgelogic, medicine, law, and religion-and decides that he wants to learn to practice magic. His friends Valdes and Cornelius instruct him in the black arts, and he begins his new career as a magician by summoning up Mephistophilis, a devil. Despite Mephistophilis's warnings about the horrors of hell, Faustus tells the devil to return to his master, Lucifer, with an offer of Faustus's soul in exchange for twenty-four years of service from Mephistophilis. Meanwhile, Wagner, Faustus's servant, has picked up some magical ability and uses it to press a clown named Robin into his service.

Mephistophilis returns to Faustus with word that Lucifer has accepted Faustus's offer. Faustus experiences some misgivings and wonders if he should repent and save his soul; in the end, though, he agrees to the deal, signing it with his blood. As soon as he does so, the words "Homo fuge," Latin for "O man, fly," appear branded on his arm. Faustus again has second thoughts, but Mephistophilis bestowsrich gifts on him and gives him a book of spells to learn. Later, Mephistophilis answers all of his questions about the nature of the world, refusing to answer onlywhen Faustus asks him who made the universe. This refusal prompts yet another bout of misgivings in Faustus, but Mephistophilis and Lucifer bring in personifications of the Seven Deadly Sins to prance about in front of Faustus, and he is impressed enough to quiet his doubts.

Armed with his new powers and attended by Mephastophilis, Faustus beginsto travel. He goes to the pope's court in Rome, makes himself invisible, and plays a series of tricks. He disrupts the pope's banquet by stealing food and boxing the pope's ears. Following this incident, he travels through the courtsof Europe, with his fame spreading as he goes. Eventually, he is invited to the court of the German emperor, Charles V (the enemy of the pope), who asks Faustus to allow him to see Alexander the Great, the famed fourth-century B.C. Macedonian king and conqueror. Faustus conjures up an image of Alexander, and Charles is suitably impressed. A knight scoffs at Faustus's powers, and Faustus chastises him by making antlers sprout from his head. Furious, the knight vows revenge.

Meanwhile, Robin, Wagner's clown, has picked up some magic on his own, and with his fellow stablehand, Rafe, he undergoes a number of comic misadventures. At one point, he manages to summon Mephistophilis, who threatens to turn Robin and Rafe into animals (or perhaps even does transform them; the text isn't clear) to punish them for their foolishness.

Faustus then goes on with his travels, playing a trick on a horse-courser along the way. Faustus sells him a horse that turns into a heap of straw when ridden into a river. Eventually, Faustus is invited to the court of the Duke of Vanholt, where he performs various feats. The horse-courser shows up there, along with Robin, a man named Dick (Rafe in the A text), and various others who have fallen victim to Faustus's trickery. But Faustus casts spells on them and sends them on their way, to the amusement of the duke and duchess.

As the twenty-four years of his deal with Lucifer come to a close, Faustus begins to dread his impending death. He has Mephistophilis call up Helen of Troy, the famous beauty from the ancient world, and uses her presence to impress a groupof scholars. An old man urges Faustus to repent, but Faustus drives him away. Faustus summons Helen again and exclaims rapturously about her beauty. But time is growing short. Faustus tells the scholars about his pact, and they are horrorstricken and resolve to pray for him. On the final night before the expiration of the twenty-four years, Faustus is overcome by fear and remorse. He begs for mercy, but it is too late. At midnight, a host of devils appears and carries his soul off to hell. In the morning, the scholars find Faustus's limbs and decide to hold a funeral for him.

# 7.6 THE JEW OF MALTA

The Jew of Malta, however, repugnant to the Christians is also the product of the Renaissance mind. He is the mercantile prince and the Machiavellian merchant. He has trade contacts in almost all the important cities of the world. His Malta is no other country than the sixteenth century England, for at that time, England had commercial contacts with many countries in the world. *The Jew of Malta* engages directly with the social environment in which it was reared in its treatment of the new world of international mercantilism. There is a passage in the play that supports this view : "Warehouses stuffed with spices and with drugs at Alexandria merchandise unsold : But yesterday two ships went from this town,

/ their voyage will be worth ten thousand crown : / in Florence, Venus, Antwerp, London, Sevilla/Frankfort, Leubeck, Moscow, and where not." This reflects the milieu into which Barabas initiates us, i.e. into the cosmopolitan commercial world. Historical records show that the state of commerce in England and the fabulous wealth pouring into the purses of England tallies with the state of affairs in Malta. The play thus reflects the contemporary situation of England. "There is the concrete particularity of a real world in the opening scene...and at the same moment that he is expanding his most opulent verse on the varnishing of the higher cupidity—'bags of fiery opals, sapphires, amethysts/Jacinth, hard topaz, grass-green emeralds..." The play also reflects the economic and political power of England for Barabas struggles not only for wealth but also for political power by ransoming the town to the Turks. The play certainly reflects the state of Commerce, the hunger for power, cupidity for wealth and the English cunning by which the sixteenth century rulers and commoners were trying to begin with tradecontacts and end with political possession of the lands they rowed in and

established their colonies in. Machiavelli entered subtly into the English politics of the time. The play reflects dramatically the techniques of power used in constructing the British Empire by emancipating the political mind from the traditional bonds of morality. 'Everything is fair in politics', had become the policy of the sixteenth century Britishers which is amply pictured in the character of the Jew.

The play opens with a Prologue narrated by Machevill, a caricature of the author Machiavelli. This character explains that he is presenting the "tragedy of Jew" who has become rich by following Machiavelli's teachings.

Act I opens with a Jewish merchant, called Barabas, waiting for news about the return of his ships from the east. He discovers that they have safely docked in Malta, before three Jews arrive to inform him that they must go to the senate-houseto meet the governor. Once there, Barabas discovers that along with every other Jew on the island he must forfeit half of his estate to help the government pay tribute to the Turks. When the Barabas protests at this unfair treatment, the governorFerneze confiscates all of Barabas's wealth and decides to turn Barabas's house into a convent. Barabas vows revenge but first attempts to recover some of the treasures he has hidden in his mansion. His daughter, Abigail, pretends to convert to Christianity in order to enter the convent. She smuggles out her father's gold at night.

Ferneze meets with Del Bosco, the Spanish Vice-Admiral, who wishes to sell Turkish slaves in the market place. Del Bosco convinces Ferneze to break his alliance with the Turks in return for Spanish protection. While viewing the slaves, Barabas meets up with Ferneze's, Lodowick. This man has heard of Abigail's great beauty from his friend (and Abigail's lover) Mathias. Barabas realizes that he can use Lodowick to exact revenge on Ferneze, and so he dupes the young man into thinking Abigail will marry him. While doing this, the merchant buys a slave called Ithamore who hates Christians as much as his new master does. Mathias sees Barabas talking to Lodowick and demands to know whether they are discussing Abigail. Barabas lies to Mathias, and so Barabas deludes both young men into thinking that Abigail has been promised to them. At home, Barabas orders his reluctant daughter to get betrothed to Lodowick. At the end of the second Act, the two young men vow revenge on each other for attempting to woo Abigail behind one another's backs. Barabas seizes on this opportunity and gets Ithamore to deliver a forged letter to Mathias, supposedly from Lodowick, challenging him to a duel.

Act III introduces the prostitute Bellamira and her pimp Pilia-Borza, who decide that they will steal some of Barabas's gold since business has been slack. Ithamore enters and instantly falls in love with Bellamira. Mathias and Lodowick kill each other in the duel orchestrated by Barabas and are found by Ferneze and Katherine, Mathias's mother. The bereaved parents vow revenge on the perpetratorof their sons' murders. Abigail finds Ithamore laughing, and Ithamore tells her of Barabas's role in the young men's deaths. Grief-stricken, Abigail persuades a Dominican friar Jacomo to let her enter the convent, even though she lied once before about converting. When Barabas finds out what Abigail has done, he is enraged, and he decides to poison some rice and send it to the nuns. He instructs Ithamore to deliver the food. In the next scene, Ferneze meets a Turkish emissary, and Ferneze explains that he will not pay the required tribute. The Turk leaves, stating that his leader Calymath will attack the island.

Jacomo and another friar Bernardine despair at the deaths of all the nuns, who have been poisoned by Barabas. Abigail enters, close to death, and confesses her father's role in Mathias's and Lodowick's deaths to Jacomo. She knows that the priest cannot make this knowledge public because it was revealed to him in confession.

Act IV shows Barabas and Ithamore delighting in the nuns' deaths. Bernardine and Jacomo enter with the intention of confronting Barabas. Barabas realizes that Abigail has confessed his crimes to Jacomo. In order to distract the two priests from their task, Barabas pretends that he wants to convert to Christianity and give all his money to whichever monastery he joins. Jacomo and Bernardine start fighting in order to get the Jew to join their own religious houses. Barabas hatches a plan and tricks Bernardine into coming home with him. Ithamore then strangles Bernardine, and Barabas frames Jacomo for the crime. The action switches to Bellamira and her pimp, who find Ithamore and persuade him to bribe Barabas. The slave confesses his master's crimes to Bellamira, who decides to report them to the governor after Barabas has given her his money. Barabas is maddened by the slave's treachery and turns up at Bellamira's home disguised as a French lute player. Barabas then poisons all three conspirators with the use of a poisoned flower.

The action moves quickly in the final act. Bellamira and Pilia-Borza confess Barabas's crimes to Ferneze, and the murderer is sent for along with Ithamore. Shortly after, Bellamira, Pilia-Borza and Ithamore die. Barabas fakes his own death and escapes to find Calymath. Barabas tells the Turkish leader how bestto storm the town. Following this event and the capture of Malta by the Turkish forces, Barabas is made governor, and Calymath prepares to leave. However, fearing for his own life and the security of his office, Barabas sends for Ferneze. Barabas tells him that he will free Malta from Turkish rule and kill Calymathin exchange for a large amount of money. Ferneze agrees and Barabas invites Calymath to a feast at his home. However, when Calymath arrives, Ferneze prevents Barabas from killing him. Ferneze and Calymath watch as Barabas dies in a cauldron that Barabas had prepared for Calymath. Ferneze tells the Turkish leader that he will be a prisoner in Malta until the Ottoman Emperor agrees tofree the island.

# 7.7 EDWARD II

*Edward II* and *Massacre at Paris* mirror some other aspects of the Renaissance England—other than mere economic and political aspects. *EdwardII* reflects the weakness of flesh in the form of Gaveston and the king and an ambition to power and a sense of order and harmony in the state as embodied in Mortimer. Gaveston entices the king with the pleasures of the senses and promises 'pleasing wits/Musicians.../Sweet speeches, comedies and pleasing shows/ ...men, like satyrs grazing On the Lawns, (I, 1,' 52-58/). The king is so fascinated by this promise that he neglects his Queen Isabella. This accounts for the weakness of the rich gentry and royalty in the Elizabethan Age. Vital matters of the state were neglected and sensual pleasures were sought in thepagan standards of beauty, but Mortimer reflects the stronger aspect and the tougher attitude of the Renaissance England. His character, his ambition and his action mirror the young aspiring lords of England gathering round Queen Elizabeth in the 16th century. He resembles Lord Essex and Gloucester in many ways. Mortimer loved the Queen. But in spite of his own ambition for power, he was devoted to the State ruled by the weak king. His fortitude at the timeof his death is the fortitude of many young lords like Essex who was ordered to be executed by Queen Elizabeth. "Elizabeth signed his (Essex's) death warrant. Essex was only thirty four at the time of his execution. The glamour went out of the court when Essex died." King Edward II recalls his favourite, Pierce de Gaveston, from exile; Gaveston joyfully returns to England. While hurrying to Westminster to rejoin his monarch, he comes upon the king talking to his courtiers. Secretively, he hides from the royal assemblage and overhears the noblemen discussing his repatriation.

They discuss how Edward, an immature and weak-minded yet stubborn man, nourished for Gaveston an unwholesome and unyielding love, in spite of the fact that Edward's father originally banished the man. The noblemen of England, sworn to uphold the decree of exile, hate the royal favourite. Most passionate in his fury is young Mortimer. Others are not far behind Mortimer in their antipathy, and they threaten the king with revolt if Gaveston remains in England. None but the king's brother Edmund will harbour Gaveston. The fiery discussion ends; the nobles stalk off in haughty displeasure.

Gaveston, still in hiding, rejoices in his knowledge of the king's love, for Edward reveals his pettiness by his unconcern for the welfare of his kingdom as weighed against his desire to clasp Gaveston to his bosom once more. When Gaveston reveals his presence, Edward ecstatically rewards him with a series of titles and honours, the scope of which causes even Edmund to comment wryly that Edward outdid himself. Gaveston claims with a smirk that all he desires is to be near his monarch. To add salt to the kingdom's wounds, Edward sentences the Bishop of Coventry, the instigator of Gaveston's exile, to die in the Tower of London.

This action, coupled with the titles and estates lavishly bestowed upon Gaveston, so incenses the rebellious nobility that under the leadership of the two Mortimers, Warwick, and Lancaster, they plot to kill Gaveston. The Archbishop of Canterbury, protesting the damage inflicted upon the Church by the king's folly, allies himself with the plot. Queen Isabella, who professes to love her lord dearly, complains to the noblemen that since Gaveston's return Edward snubs her beyond endurance. She agrees that Gaveston must be done away with, but she cautions the angry noblemen not to injure Edward.

When the rebellious nobility seize Gaveston, Edward, yielding to the archbishop's threat to enforce his papal powers against the king, can do nothing but stand by and allow his beloved friend to be carried off. A bitter exchange of words between the king and his lords is tempered by the gentle sentiments of Gaveston as he bids Edward farewell. Driven by childish anger, perhaps incensed by an intuitive knowledge, Gaveston attacks the queen and accuses her of a clandestine association with the younger Mortimer, a charge that she denies. Sensing his advantage, Edward seizes upon the accusation as a wedge to undermine his enemies, and he compels the queen to use her influence to save Gaveston. The queen, because of her love for Edward and her hopes for a reconciliation, resolves to mend the rift by abetting her husband.

## 7.8 THE MASSACRE AT PARIS

*The Massacre at Paris* deals chiefly with the religious intolerance that was the Renaissance bane of 16th century England. There was a bloody tug of war, between the Roman Catholics who derived their strength from the

Pope of Rome and the Protestants who relied on their sovereign and the new awakening brought about by Luther and Erasmus. It is a very realistic picture of the religious war going on in England at various levels. The genius of Guise exploited religion in his own favour and interpreted it to his own convenience as the powerful religious men in England were doing to the annoyance of the Crown. Nor are the melodramatic ways of poisoning and killing less representative Elizabethan methods learnt in Italy and practiced in England. One of the most dominant similarities between the lives reflected in The Massacre at Paris and the sixteenth century Renaissance England is the struggle for power by fair or foul means and the art of destroying the enemies. The incident of Mary Stuart's beheading by the order of Queen Elizabeth who was her rival is a striking example of the struggle for power and religious fanaticism of the age. The Massacre at Paris is without doubt the play of Marlowe's that has received least attention historically both from staging and a critical perspective, and justifiably so. It is only extant in what is believed to be both an abridged and 'reported' text, a single undated Octavo version, published by Edward White almost certainly some time between 1594 and 1606. The result is a play text approximately half the length of Edward II, The Jew of Malta, and each part of Tamburlaine, mostly comprised of fast moving and bloody action, but lacking for the most part much depth of characterisation or good quality verse.

There is however much of historical interest here. The play is virtually unique in addressing contemporary European history, and indeed a sensitive political situation on England's own doorstep. The St. Bartholomew's Day massacre, instigated by the French royal rulers and Catholic nobles (including the Duke of Guise) saw the systematic murder and execution of thousands of protestant Huguenots in the French capital in August 1572. Many of the Huguenot leadership were in Paris for the wedding of their leader, Henry of Navarre, to the French King's sister Margaret. With the notable exceptions of Navarre and the Princeof Condé, virtually all the Huguenot nobles present were exterminated along with a large number of ordinary protestants living in Paris, including scholars, preachers, clergymen, and all manner of ordinary men, women and children. It was a horrific act of mass murder that shocked the world, especially neighbouring protestant countries such as England and the Netherlands. The terror was more acute due to a good number of Englishmen in Paris who witnessed the butchery first hand, including the Queen's Ambassador Sir Francis Walsingham, and Sir Philip Sidney.

The massacre occupies the first half of the play, before Marlowe brings the story of the French Wars of Religion up to date through the reign of Henry III. Indeed the climax of this play, most likely written in 1592, covers some very recenthistory indeed: the murder of the Duke of Guise and his brother the Cardinal of Guise in December 1588, and the subsequent murder in turn of Henry III by a Dominican friar, Jacques Clément, in August 1589. This latest cycle of religious and political assassinations left Henry of Navarre as King Henry IV of France, although it would take another four years and the new King's conversion to Catholicism before he could be crowned.

## 7.9 LET US SUM UP

The man of the Renaissance England had acquired a special and particular love for beauty in all its forms. It was seen in the general way of living, dress, furniture, buildings, glittering coaches, gilded chariots and attitude towards beautiful women. Tamburlaine's ecstatic joy expressed on seeing Zenocrate and Faustus's poetic and passionate outbursts on seeing Helen reflect the passionate love of the Renaissance man for physical beauty. In literature, we have numerous ballads and sonnets written on the beauty of women by Spenser, Sidney and Shakespeare. Love of splendour and grandeur is mirrored in these plays exactly as it was displayed by the people in the reign of Elizabeth The Queen in her golden chariot visited the Lords and her subjects in public ; her dazzling and grand pageant is reflected in Tamburlaine's numerous journeys and marches to the battle front. Besides this, the demonstration of military power and the subjugation of various rebellions by Elizabeth are reflected in *Tamburlaine*- All the plays of Marlowe are the windows that open into the 16th century Renaissance England and reveal in full the life, the living, the sentiments, actions and thoughts of the contemporary English society. "The whole story of Renaissance humanism is told in four Elizabethan tragedies : the two parts of *Tamburlaine The Great*, *Dr. Faustus*, *The Jew of Malta* and *Edward II*. "To claim so much for Marlowe' play is not, I think, to fabricate a Renaissance Summer from one swallow".

## 7.10 SELF- ASSESSMENT QUESTIONS WITH ANSWERS

- 1. What are the essential features of Renaissance man?
- Ans. He aspires to grasp all knowledge and all experience within the compass of his brief but hazardous life.
- 2. What does crown imagery in *Tamburlaine* symbolize?
- Ans. It symbolises the Elizabethan sentiment of political supremacy in the world.
- 3. What does Malta in *Jew of Malta* signify?
- Ans. It signifies the sixteenth century England.
- 4. What is the theme of Massacre at Paris?
- Ans. It deals with the religious intolerance of the 16<sup>th</sup> century Renaissance England.

# 7.11 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS

- 1. Comment on the realism of the play *Tamburlaine*.
- 2. What are the interests of English men reflected in *Doctor Faustus*?
- 3. Comment on the social environment reflected in *The Jew of Malta*.
- 4. Write a note on the themes of *Edward II* and *The Massacre at Paris*.
- 5. How do the plays of Marlowe reveal the spirit of Renaissance England?

# 7.12. MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS

- 1. In Christopher Marlowe's play "Doctor Faustus," what does Faustus trade his soul for?
  - A) Wealth and power
  - B) Immortality
  - C) Knowledge and magical abilities
  - D) True love
- 2. Which historical figure is the central character in Marlowe's play "Edward II"?
  - A) King Richard III
  - B) Queen Elizabeth I
  - C) King Edward II
  - D) King Henry V
- 3. In "Tamburlaine," what is the titular character's ambition?
  - A) To become a great doctor
  - B) To conquer the world
  - C) To achieve spiritual enlightenment
  - D) To become a famous actor
- 4. What is the name of the tragic protagonist in Marlowe's play "The Jew of Malta"?
  - A) Doctor Faustus
  - B) Tamburlaine
  - C) Barabas
  - D) Edward II

- 5. In "Dido, Queen of Carthage," which legendary hero falls in love with Queen Dido?
  - A) Aeneas
  - B) Hercules
  - C) Perseus
  - D) Achilles
- 6. What is the primary theme of Marlowe's play "The Massacre at Paris"?
  - A) Revenge and betrayal
  - B) Love and passion
  - C) Political intrigue and violence
  - D) Religious conversion
- 7. Which of Marlowe's plays features the famous line, "Was this the face that launched a thousand ships"?
  - A) "Edward II"
  - B) "Tamburlaine"
  - C) "Dido, Queen of Carthage"
  - D) "Doctor Faustus"
- 8. What is the genre of Marlowe's play "Hero and Leander"?
  - A) Tragedy
  - B) Comedy
  - C) Romance
  - D) History

- 9. In "Doctor Faustus," who serves as Faustus's loyal and well-meaning servant?
  - A) Benvolio
  - B) Mephistopheles
  - C) Wagner
  - D) Lucifer
- 10. What was the probable cause of Christopher Marlowe's early death in 1593?
  - A) Illness
  - B) Old age
  - C) Murder
  - D) Natural causes

Answers: 1C, 2C, 3B, 4C, 5A, 6C, 7C, 8C, 9C, 10C.

# 7.13 SUGGESTED READINGS

Burnett, Thornton Mark (2004) "Tamburlaine the Great. Parts one and two".In *The Cambridge Companion to Christopher Marlowe*, 127–143. Patrick Gerard Cheney, ed. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Camden, Jr. Carroll (1929) "Tamburlaine: the choleric man". *Modern Language Notes* 44, 7, 430–435.

Greenblatt, Stephen (2005) *Renaissance self-fashioning from Marlowe to Shakespeare*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Henderson, Philip (1972) Christopher Marlowe. London: Longman

Jump, John D., ed. (1967) *Marlowe: Tamburlaine the Great*. London: Edward Arnold.

Kocher, P. H. (1962) *Christopher Marlowe: a study of his thought, learning and character.* New York: Russell.

Hutchings, Mark. "Marlowe's 'Scourge of God'" *Notes and queries* 51 (2004): 244-247.

Izard, Thomas C. "The Principle Source for Marlowe's Tamburlaine." *Modern Language Notes* 58 (1943): 411-417.

-----

# COURSE No. ENG-121 DRAMA-I LESSON No. 8 M.A. ENGLISH CHRISTOPHER MARLOWE UNIT - II (DR. FAUSTUS)

# THE FAUSTUS LEGEND AND ITS ADAPTATION

# **STRUCTURE**

- 8.1 Introduction
- 8.2 Objectives
  - 8.2.1 The Legend
  - 8.2.2 Reformation and Renaissance
  - 8.2.3 Fautus and Hamlet
  - 8.2.4 Lutheranism and the Faustian Legand
  - 8.2.5 Faustus and Marlowe's England
  - 8.2.6 Popular Beliefs

## 8.3 Faustus

- 8.3.1 Faustus : An Ambitious Man
- 8.3.2 His Moral Degeneration
- 8.3.3 His Tragic end
- 8.3.4 His Thirst For Power
- 8.3.5 Fall in Faustus' Character
- 8.3.6 Faustus' Union with Helen

- 8.4 Structure and Dramatic Effect
- 8.5 Comic Sub-plot
- 8.6 Play as a Satanic Tragedy
- 8.7 Themes
- 8.8 Symbols
- 8.9 Motifs
- 8.10 Multiple Choice Questions
- 8.11 Self Assessment Questions
- 8.12 Suggested Readings

# 8.1 INTRODUCTION

The lesson will discuss the legend of Doctor Faustus, its origin and adaptation. The major themes and the structure and dramatic effect of the play.

## 8.2 OBJECTIVES

The lesson aims to acquaint the learner with the cultural history of the origin of the legend of Faustus, its multifarious themes and the structure and dramatic effect of the play.

#### 8.2.1 The Legend

Although Marlowe's Doctor Faustus appeared in 1592, the legend is much older. Like all other Elizabethan dramatists, Marlowe also borrowed the plot of the play from one of the sources available to the dramatists of the day. The real historical personage, Faustus, is believed to have been born in southern Germany in 1480, perhaps in a village near Wurtemberg on Heidelberg, and died in the late 1530's at Staufen in Baden. A German account of his life appeared in 1587. This account was entitled Historia von D. Johan Fausten. The English version of this book was prepared by P. F. Gent and appeared perhaps the same year. One important aspect of the story is that the actual Faustus of Germany was a contemporary of Martin Luther (1483-1546), who launched the reformist movement of the Christian church. The movement came to be known as Reformation, which, along with the movement of the Renaissance, changed the course of life and civilization in Europe. Luther and Faustus lived in and responded to the same society, sometimes at fairly close quarters. Therefore, for an understanding of the personality and the issues raised by the pursuit of Doctor Faustus, it is important to understand the society, in which this person acquired the status of a legend. It was, obviously, the legendary Faustus who fascinated Marlowe, compelling him to write a play which has been an attraction of the ages in England and abroad.

#### 8.2.2 Reformation and Renaissance

In the Germany of Faustus and Luther, the Humanist influence - an interest in and enthusiasm for the classical learning of the ancient Graeco-Roman period and the subsequent interest in education, reformation existed side by side. The Church governed the lives of the common folk, offering sacraments, pilgrimages, indulgences, and the intercession of the saints as a solace for personal disquiet. People believed in the existence of spirits, which thronged the countryside. Life on earth was regarded by the people of this German society as a preparation for the next world of Heaven and Hell. To ensure one's salvation the best way was to renounce the earthly or material world and join a monastery. Martin Luther also tried this religious recipe. By an accident of life, he was struck down by lightning in 1505. After the accident, he decided to become a Monk. But the profession gave no relief to his tormented conscience, which kept telling him that he was a sinner unworthy of the presence of God who is just and holy. A visit to Rome only convinced him of the levity and corruption in the church. He reflected on the sacrament of penance, which requires that he confess his sins to a priest before receiving absolution, but that only made him aware of his being a sinner. He came to the conclusion that sinfulness was not simply a series of the acts of sin, but an inescapable condition. This further drove him into deep despair. In 1511, he became a professor of Divinity at the university of Wittenberg, where his job was to expound the teaching of the Bible. On studying the New Testament directly in depth, he felt that its message of spiritual freedom

through trust in God's love was very different from the orthodox religion of ritualistic, penitential and ascetic practices and blind submission to the priesthood. He felt revolved against these practices, he decided to raise a banner of revolt against it.

Luther thought of church reform in terms of education. He pleaded that students as well as common people should study original documents of Christianityin the Bible. They should avoid reading later comment aries and interpretation of the Bible, which only distorted its teaching and made religion a matter of fear and superstition instead of love and reconciliation. In 1517, Luther objected to a sanction of Pope, by which Indulgences were to be sold, permitting purchase of penance by people. Luther called it commercialization of religion and a debasement of its seriousness. This set in the movement of Reformation. Very soon, it led to the formation of National Churches (free from the domain of the Church of Rome) in several European countries, including England. By insisting upon individual's direct communion with God through Christ, without the need for priest or ritual, Luther recovered a basis for the self-confidence of the individual in the face of his own guilt and anxiety.

This central element in Luther's teaching is of great significance in the study of Doctor Faustus. In fact, the German Faustbuch has been recognized by scholars as a piece of Lutherian writing. The narrative of the German book goes even beyond the Lutherian position, and so does Marlowe's play. It is important to note that Faustus taught at the University of Erfurt, which was Luther's own place of residence. And like Luther, Faust came against hostile authorities. He was expelled from the cities of Nuremberg and Ingolstadt. Faustus also lived for a while at Wittenberg, where Luther was the Professor of Divinity. This very city became the mise-en-scene of the Faustbuch. Like Faustus, Luther believed in personal encounters with demonic forces, and had experienced extreme despair, from which he finally recovered by faith in God's love and by a life of meaningful hard work. In 1527, Luther wrote, "The Devil can so beleaguer a heart, so terrify it, that it will avoid God, become His enemy, and blaspheme, for to a miserable conscience there is nothing other than that God, devil, death, sin, hell, and all creatures are eternal unceasing enemies For more than a week I was close to the gates of death and hell. I trembled in all my members. Christ was wholly lost. I was shaken by desperation and blaspheme of God."

The cases of Faustus and Luther represent two very different faces of Renaissance individualism. In their different ways, both challenged the orthodoxy of the Christian society of Germany. Consequently, each had to face his personal devils. Luther survived in integrity, Faustus did not. Ernst Troeltsch, a German sociologist, has made out a difference between the individualism of Renaissance. In his view, the Protestant emphasis on freedom of conscience in the matters of belief is accompanied by an emphasis on secular vocation as a means relating oneself to mankind through one's work. This work of secular vocation is to be performed in a spirit of duty, earnestness, and industry. Thus, individualism of conscience and conviction is moderated by social concern. On the other hand, the Renaissance individualism is of greater extreme. Its ideal is uomo universale, which means the universal man, who is a man without vocation, who receives freedom for self-development and self-achievement in the universal potential of his talents through joining the ruling powers. This distinction holds good in the case of Luther and Faustus also. While Luther represents the Protestant individualism, Faustus represents the Renaissance individualism. Faustus tries different vocations, uses his magic as a means of self-aggrandizement, and hob-nobs with the ruling powers. All these activities of Faustus measure up to the model of the Renaissance ideal of individualism. Burckhardt's classic study, The Civilization of the Renaissance in Italy (1860), supports Troeltsch's theory. In fact, the latter was inspired by the former. Burckhardt provides with enough evidence to show that there was in the Italian Renaissance a lack of moral scruples in the individualistic pursuit of political and personal goals. At the same time, the tone of the Renaissance thought before Machiavelli was idealistic. Certain significant Italian writings even imbibed a new sense of man's capacity for self-expression and self-expansion to older religious values, including self-restraint. An example of such a document is an oration by Picodella Mirandole, "On the Dignity of Man." It is regarded as a representative of the Renaissance view on man. In the very beginning of his piece, Mirandola gives his version of the creation of Adam. In his view, the Creator (God) completed the structure of nature before creating man. He decided that

man should be an indeterminate and composite being, who represents the whole range of nature within himself. His address to man is important:

I have placed thee **at the centre of the world**, that from there thou mayest more conveniently look around and see whatsoever is in the world. Neither heavenly nor earthly, neither mortal nor immortal have we made thee. Thou, like a judge appointed for being honourable, art the moulder and maker of thyself; thou mayst sculpt thyself into whatever shape thou dost prefer. Thou canst grow down ward into the lower natures, which are brutes. Thou canst againgrow upward from thy soul' reason into the higher natures which are divine.

# 8.2.3 Faustus and Hamlet

This at once explains why Faustus of Marlowe and Hamlet of Shakespeare have uttered similar views about the nature and status of man. Pico goes on to say more things about the nature of man as also about the goals that he should pursue in life. His oration, thus, is crucial for a proper understanding of the plays like Marlowe's Doctor Faustus, Shakespeare's Hamlet, and several others of the type.

## **Pico's View of Man :**

Even more pertinent to the understanding of Marlowe's Faustus is the following passage from Pico's "oration":

Let a certain holy ambition invade the mind, so that we may not be content with mean things, but may aspire to the highest things and strive with all our forces to attain them: for if we do, we can. Let us spurn earthly things; let us struggle towards the heavenly. Let us put in the last place whatever is of the world; and let us fly beyond the chambers of the world to the chamber near the most lofty divinity... let us compete with the angels in dignity and glory.

Strange though it may sound, Pico had, along with this high view of man, also a rather traditional view of the ascetic discipline and moral life necessary for

man to achieve his full potential. His position is notable for the checks and balances, which he offers to man's aspiration. For example, whereas the medieval church condemned magic outright, Pico carefully distinguished between good and evil magic. His interest in the Hermetic writings, in Orpheus and Pythagoras, in the Jewish Cabbla is representative of the wide intellectual curiosity of the Renaissance, which if freed from his scrupulous reservations could run into dangerous results.

Pico saw dialectic, natural philosophy and theology as three complementary disciplines in carrying man towards the end of his aspirations. In contrast, Faustus's dissatisfaction with the different disciplines of knowledge - dialectic, law, medicine, divinity may look like an egotistic parody of Pico's view of man's divine dissatisfaction and his hunger for the universal. It must go to Marlowe's credit that he makes us feel the solemn and ominous power of this initial restlessness, poised in all its potentiality for good or evil. **Like Pico's man of aspiration, Faustus yearns upward beyond his midway status on the ladder of creation.** "A sound magician is a demi-god." But to be God in goodness is one thing; to aspire for his power without holiness is another. Faustus does not distinguish, as Pico did, between kinds of magic (bad and good). If his ambition is to soar to the sky, to heavens, both physically and intellectually, his means to achieve his ambitions are of the kinds of magic, Pico condemns.

## **Influence of Ficino Views :**

Faustus's case seems closer to the view of man held by Marsilio Ficino than that of Pico. In his Platonic Theology on the Immortality of Souls (1482), Ficino regards man's reason as free from three levels of determining causes in the universe - providence, fate, nature and believes that there is an actively changing and recreating spirit in natural world caused by art and industry. The following view ofman is the key to Marlowe's Faustus:

The human mind indicates to itself a right to divinity not only in Forming and shaping matter through the methods of arts... butalso in transmuting the species of things by command, which work is indeed called a miracle, not indeed because it is beyond the nature of our soul, but because, since it is something great and rarely done, it generates admiration.

But man's intellectual reason, in Ficino's view, seeks the cause of things, and his imaginative reason demands and invents new pleasures. It is then spurred on by repentance, and is troubled by suspicion. Finally, "Man alone in this present condition of life never relaxes, he alone in this place is notcontent." No wonder that Ficino's vision of man is called Faustian, because the two are so similar.

## 8.2.4 Lutheranism and the Faustian Legend:

Several versions of Faustus come from the Luther circle, Luther himself mentions him in his Table-Talk as an assistant to the devil. Luther's humanist friend, Melanchthon, who was university teacher at Wittenberg in 1521, also mentions him as a magician who met with a violent death. As a university offi- cial, Melanchthon was also entrusted with the duty of quelling the "public tu- mults at tight" caused by bands of unruly students. Interestingly, Faustbuch placesFaustus as the central figure of a reveling and irreverent student group. Here, Marlowe's source is apparent, as much as are the others, we have been talking about in this lesson. The Faustus legend, in fact, goes back to 1507, when his mention begins to be made in the letters of the humanists. One of the more strik- ing of these mentions is in the Sermones Conviviales (1548) by a Protestant preacher, Jonhannes Gast. Soon after his death in the late 1530's he seems tohave become in the folk imagination a leader of carnival and an example of levity and buffoonery. He began to be used as an example for the moral instruction of reformed Germany.

The first complete life of Doctor Faustus in print was published by Johan Spies in Frankfurt. It came to be known as Spies Faustbuch. It is almost identical with an earlier manuscript of the 70's, written by Wolfenbuttel. This earlier version combines sensational legendary story with awful warning to the student community as well as to the world in general. The English Historie of 1592, an English translation of the Spies edition, contains several themes and episodes from the medieval literature of festivity, which have been transferred to Faustus.

But it begins and ends as a serious religious tract on the dangers of impiety of which Faustus is an outstanding example. The devil figures in Faustbuch were an active part of the folk imagination in the Germany of the 16th century. In fact, there is a whole farrago of comic, grotesque, and moralizing tales, which were available to Marlowe to choose from. Faustbuch reflects several kinds of dra- matic spectacle and entertainment common on the German streets and in student circles.

#### 8.2.5 Faustus and Marlowe's England:

When Marlowe came to write his famous play on the legend of Doctor Faustus, he looked through all this wealth of material available to him, large part of which stood translated into English. His penetration into the character of Faustus is remarkable. He surpassed all his sources and made the eccentric man of magic, an immortal figure. Marlowe borrowed part of his parapharnelia for the plot of Doctor Faustus from the England of his time also. Trials of witches, for instance, was still a living phenomenon in Marlowe's England. Diabolism or worship of the devil, which also involved often making a pact with him, used to be one of the accusations made at trials for witchcraft from the eleventh century onwards. Even the view of magic in the medieval thinking carried a latent tension between human and demonic power. Marlowe presents both in a highly dramatized form. He poses in his play the question: who was really in power, magician or devil? In early records of witch-trials, the devil was considered subservient to the witch. But in the fifteenth century trials, the accused was presented as having made ? himself over to the devil. Although not so common as in certain other countries of Europe, such as Germany, accusations of pact with the devil were made in certain cases. Literary accounts of such phenomenon did add to the scare of witchcraft among the educated. Obviously, the sources that Marlowe seems to have used for his play were literary rather than oral. Also, perhaps, these sources were classical and continental rather than romantic and native.

#### 8.2.6 Popular Beliefs

Just as the English society of Marlowe's time began to pay attention to Faustus legend, a controversy was brewing between Puritans and Moderates in the Anglican church over the legitimacy of devils who had possessed the minds of the victims. Much publicity was given to certain cases of this type. A famous instance was that of a law student called Briggs, who in 1574 was afflicted by melancholia after hearing a religious discourse on unpardonable sin. He is said to have fallen into a trance. At last, he himself was prevailed upon to command the Devil to depart in God's name, and his troubles were over. The exorcist in this case was the famous Puritan writer, John Foxe, whose writings made available to Marlowe, the story of Pope Bruno in Doctor Faustus. By 1580's the custom of exorcism had spread among the Puritans. In 1589, an exorcist was prosecuted by the High Commission for fraud. Even in the Roman Catholic circles of England the practice was in vogue at the time.

The Dutch humanist Erasmus, in his book, On Preparing to Die (1532), had presented three vivid dialogues with the Devil. Later William Perkins of Cambridge, a powerful Puritan preacher, in his A Discourse of Conscience (1596) also presented a dialogue between Satan and a man whom he tempts to lose his faith. Decidedly, Marlowe, a Cambridge University Wit, knew all this, and he made use of it in his play. Similarly, Dr. John Dee's example, who studied law and medicines, studied abroad and lectured, and was under suspicion for being a conjurer or magician, is also said to be an immediate source for Marlowe. There is no end of the source material for this legendary figure of Doctor Faustus and the practices he adopted. Marlowe being a university scholar did make use of whatever appeared to him. But more important than sources is his own art; or what he made of his sources.

#### 8.3 FAUSTUS

#### 8.3.1 Faustus : An Ambitious Man

Faustus is an ambitious scholar, although with a humble birth. He has developed an evil desire for magic. He thinks about the choices available to him for a life of ultimate value. He considers and rejects philosophy. Similarly, he considers and rejects the disciplines of medicine, law, and divinity. He finally settles on magic. At this stage, arrives a Good Angel to dissuade Faustus from his choice of magic. He is advised to look to God. At the same time comes a Bad Angel, who encourages Faustus to continue with his plan to learn and practice magic. Fully convinced that magic is the best means to achieve power, he approaches two magicians. Their names are Valdes and Cornelius. They encourage him to study the art of magic. Faustus now feels confident that with the help of these two master magicians he can conjure spirits. On hearing his course of action, two scholar-friends of Faustus approach the university Rector for help in dissuading Faustus from the damnable practice. But Faustus makes fast progress, and in his very first attempt conjures, Mephistophilis. Since his shape is horrible (grotesque), on Faustus's request it is changed to that of an old Franciscan Friar. Faustus sends Mephistophilis to Lucifer, ruler of Hell, with his terms, which are that Faustus will sell his soul to the devil in return for 24 years of power.

## 8.3.2 His Moral Degeneration

Faustus also has an apprentice, whose name is Wagner. He also feels confident that he has learnt the art of magic. He enlists the service of a poor Clown with the promise that he would teach the Clown the black art of magic. Mephistophilis returns from Hell with the news that the contract is cleared by Lucifer. Now, Faustus asks questions about the cosmos. Once he has a temporary relapse into repentance, but his diabolical agents return to reaffirm the bargain. He then vows his allegiance to the bond and is rewarded with the parade of the Seven Deadly Sins. Now, Faustus and Mephistophilis make a visit to Rome and appear in Pope's private chamber. When Pope begins eating a lavish banquet, Faustus, now invisible, torments him. Finally, the Pope orders that the spirits causing disorder be communicated. But the clerics who try to do it are beaten and tormented by Faustus. The third Chorus tells about the spread of Faustus's fame throughout the world. Now Faustus arrives at the court of Emperor Charles

V. Here he brings forth the spirits of Alexander, Darius, and Alexander's Paramour. The Emper feels delighted at the magic show of Faustus. Benvolio, a nobleman at the Emperor's court, shows disbelief in Faustus's power of magic. After Faustus has shown his power, Benvolio is punished with a pair of horns on his head. But the Emperor orders for the removal of the horns. Next, Faustus visits the court of the Duke of Vanholt. Here, he performs more magic. He pro- vides the Duke's pregnant wife with a dish of ripe grapes. Interrupted by the four men he has fooled or cheated, Faustus silences each with his magic, which de- lights all at the court.

## 8.3.3 His Tragic End

Now, Faustus returns home. He entertains his scholar-friends with a feast and the magical vision of Helen of Troy, All his friends agree that Helen was the most beautiful woman ever born. After all have departed, Faustus is visited by an old man, who tries to persuade him to repent. Faustus refuses to repent. On the contrary, he asks Mephistophilis to make Helen his paramour. Helen comes in all her beauty. She is escorted by two Cupids. On seeing Helen, Faustus forgets his salvation. Now, Faustus's time of 24 years is almost complete. The devils who have served him now savor the agony he will suffer in his last moments. Faustus undergoes great suffering. He now feels that he cannot receive the grace of God, no matter how hard he tries. First of all, the eleventh hour strikes, then the half hour, then midnight. This is followed by Faustus's desperate cries as the devils approach to take him away to Hell. When his friends come in the morning to meet Faustus, they find nothing but his torn limbs, which they promise to bury. The fourth chorus laments that a man with such fine possibilities was so utterly lost.

One of the questions raised about the character of Faustus is: once he has acquired the magical powers of doing whatever he wishes to do, why does he not pursue goals worthy of his professed ideals? Why does he abandon these without a qualm of conscience? Why does he show himself content to amuse the Emperor with conjuring tricks? Why does he play childish pranks on Pope? It seems quite natural to raise these questions regarding the character of Faustus, for they point to an inconsistency of a vital nature. What Marlowe seems to have done is to show us the betrayal of ideals, or the lapse into luxury and buffoonery, on the part of Faustus after he has signed the bond with the devil. Well, all that happens to Faustus after the bond is signed, or all that he does after that unholy agreement, is decidedly the devil's work, not of the scholar Faustus. This is precisely what the playwright has attempted to show in Faustus; that once you have chosen the devil's company, you will be doing the devilish deeds, not the good ones, which you would have, had you not fallen in the devil's company.

After the bond has been signed, whatever Faustus does cannot, and should not, be measured by human standards, because he ceases to be a human character.

Who but a fool would dream that any power but evil could be won by a bargain with evil? Not that Faustus is a fool. But a wise man tempted by the lure of power can become worse than a fool. He gets blinded by the dazzle of the vanity fair he wants at his command. Once given to the ways of the devil he has no benefit of human understanding or human considerations. His mind works in lowerregions and only the evil doings come to his mind. Also, once he has gained power, he gets corrupted in the ways of the world. As the saying goes, power corrupts, and the absolute power corrupts absolutely. Marlowe knew the nature of the evil power of magic he was putting into the hands of his hero, as also the inevitable curse it carried with it. Of course, the corruption of character in Faustus is not an automatic outcome of his pact with the devil. He has an earnest desire to know truth. His means may be wrong, his goal is not ignoble or evil. Marlowe also casts about the character of Faustus the glamour of the Marlowean hero, which makes him superior to others, gives him an aura of a superman, and a pride of an imperial sort. But all these factors notwithstanding, the fact remains that the seeds of decay are in his character from the start. How else should he come to make his fatal bargain with the devil? We know how from the beginning Faustus shows a strong passion for knowledge as also a lust for riches, pleasure, and power.

Faustus may for wealth: be less single-minded than Barbas, he shares the latters thirst

I'll have them fly to India for gold, Ransack the ocean for orient pearl, And search all corners of the new-found world For pleasant fruits and princely delicates...

Also, Faustus has an inordinate ambition, like any politician has, for power. And like a politician, his patriotism is only a garb for hiding his ambition :

> He will Chase the Prince of Parma from our land And reign sole king of all our provinces...

#### 8.3.4 His Thirst for Power

His ambition for power knows no bounds. Like Tamburlaine, he would like to conquer the entire world. Although born with humble origin, like Tamburlaine, his hunger for power is insatiable. Maybe, in both the cases the hunger is bred by the humble origin itself. See how he is impatient to have the entire earth beneath his feet:

> I'll join the hills that bind the Afric shore And make that country continent to Spain, And both contributory to my crown: The Emperor shall not live but by my leave, Nor any potentate in Germany.

Here, Faustus clearly emerges a prototype of Hitler who, mad for power, launched the World War II to have all countries under his supreme control. Faustus's air of superiority is no less frightening than that of Hitler. The Marlowean hero aspires to become the Jove of the earth. As Jove is in Greek mythology, the God of gods, he would like to be the Emperor of emperors. As the Bad Angel reveals the inside of the hero's mind,

> Be thou on earth, as Jove is in the sky, Lord and commander of these elements.

Faustus has also an inordinate fascination for a life of sensuousness. His early demand to "live in all voluptuousness" anticipates his later carnal desires:

Whilst I am here on earth let me be cloyed With all things that delight the heart of man; My four and twenty years of liberty I'll spend in pleasure and in dalliance

He seems to be fully aware, though, that "the god thou serv'st is thine own appetite." He is greatly dazzled by the unlimited power of magic. And he is alive to his own weakness for things of beauty and pleasure which to him are a joy forever. After he signs his bond with the devil in his own blood, we can notice several stages of a gradual deterioration in his character. His first interview with Mephistophilis strikes a note of earnest, if slightly skeptical, inquiry with which he enters on his quest for knowledge. He eagerly questions about hell, to which the spirit replies. But the moment the bond is signed, we can detect a change in the character of Faustus. His skeptical levity takes on a more truculent and jeering tone. When he asks Mephistophilis, "Where is the place that men call hell?" the latter replies:

> Why, this is hell, nor am I out of it: Think'st thou that I who saw the face of God And tasted the eternal joys of heaven, Am not tormented with ten thousand hells Or In being deprived of everlasting bliss? Hell hath no limits, nor is circumscribed In one self place, but where we are is hell, And where hell is, there must we ever be: And to conclude, when all the world dissolves And every creature shall be purified, All places shall be hell that is not heaven.

#### 8.3.5 Fall in Faustus's Characterd

We see a further fall in the character of Faustus in the next scene when there arises a quarrel on the spirit's refusal to say who made the world. This leads to the intervention of Lucifer and the "pastime" of the Seven Deadly Sins. Faustus is here no less delighted with the dance of the devils that offered him crowns with rich apparel on his signing the bond. His further degradation is well indicated by his demanding "the fairest maid in Germany, for I am wanton and lascivious." Until this point, Faustus has not left Wittenberg, and emphasis has been rather on the

hollowness of his bargain in respect of any intellectual enlightenment than on the actual degradation of his character. As yet only his childish pleasure in the devildance and the pageant of the sins hints at the depth of vulgar triviality into which he is doomed to descend. In company with Mephistophilis he now launchesforth into the world. However, his dragon-flights "to find the secrets of astronomy," and "to prove cosmography" only land him at last in the Pope's privy-chamber to "take some part of holy Peter's feast, and to live with dalliance in "the view of rarest things and royal courts, of kings." It is true that in the more complete text of 1616, the rescue of "Holy Bruno," who is an imperial candidate for the papal throne, lends a more serious touch to the sheer horse-play of the Roman scenes in the 1604 version. Even the "horning" episode at the Emperor's court is at least developed into some dramatic coherence. But this only brings out more clearly andpointedly the progressive fatuity of Faustus's career. His career sinks to the depth of buffoonery in the clowning and conjuring tricks at Anhalt.

Some readers have argued that the gradual deterioration in the character of Faustus and the prostitution of his powers stand out less clearly than they should. This has been attributed by others to the dramatist's negligent handling of a theme that failed to kindle his wayward inspiration. It can also be attributed partly to the inaptitude of his collaborator. One also notices that there is something strange and peculiar not-only in Faustus's situation, but also in his character. Once he has committed himself to the bond with the devil, he is in a position of having of his own free will renounced salvation. This much seems quite clear. But much less clear is the inner change Faustus has brought upon himself There is no doubt that Faustus, through his bargain with the devil, has himself taken on the infernal nature. At the same time, it is also made clear that Faustus, despite the bond, still retains his human soul. This throws a fresh light upon the question, whether Faustus can be saved by repentance, which continues to be debated throughout the play. Of course, Faustus is shown repenting forever. He is also shown recanting through fear of bodily torture and death. The point is forever disputed by the Good Angel and Bad Angel, who represent the two sides of the character of Faustus as well as of everyone.

There are two passages in the play which are particularly significant in respect of the key question: whether Faustus can be saved by repentance. The first passage is the following :

Good Angel : Faustus, repent; yet God will pity thee. Bad
Angel : Thou art a spirit; God cannot pity thee. Faustus

Who buzzeth in mine ears, I am a spirit?
Be I a devil, yet God may pity me;
Yea, God will pity me if I repent.

Bad Angel : Ay, but Faustus never shall repent.

Here, it is clear that the Bad Angel is trying to evade the issue. The question remains unanswered. Later in the same scene, when Faustus calls on Christ to save his soul, Lucifer replies with remarkable logic:

> Christ cannot save thy soul, for he is just: There's none but I have interest in the same.

Thus, the question of Faustus's salvation is left nicely poised in doubt.

A significant change takes place in the character of Faustus after he returns among his students at Wittenberg. It is here that he faces the final reckoning, and it is by this act that he attains some measure of heroic dignity. The passage of time has wrought a change. Faustus's faithful apprentice, Wagner, gets puzzled at change :

> I wonder what he means; if death were nigh, He would not banquet and carouse and swill Among the students, as even now he doth...

Decidedly, this is a very different Faustus from the fearless teacher his students used to know, whose even a slight absence from the class caused serious concern -

I wonder what's become of Faustus, that wont to make our schools ring with sic probo. Two qualities seem to remain permanent with Faustus: One, his genuine tenderness towards his students; two, his love of beauty in nature and in art : ???

Have not I made blind Homer sing to me Of Alexander's love and Oenon's death? And hath not he that built the walls of Thebes With ravishing sound of his melodious harp Made music -?

# 8.3.6 Faustus's Union with Helen

The climax in the career of Faustus comes in his union with the immortal beauty of Helen. Here, Marlowe's measures are admittedly the most lovely that flowed from his lyre. It raises also an important question: Is Faustus's sensitive appreciation of Helen's beauty something that has survived uncorrupted from his days of innocence? There seems no evidence to this effect in the austere student of the early scenes. Is it then some strange flowering of his moral decay? This seems to be a greater possibility. What, after all, is that "ravishing sound" if not the symphony of hell?

Made music with my Mephistophilis !

And Helen, what of her? Here, for sure, we come to the central theme of the damnation of Faustus. The lines, in which he addresses Helen, are some of the most famous in the English language:

Was this the face that launched a thousand ships And burnt the topless towers of Illium? Sweet Helen, make me immortal with a kiss! ... Here will I dwell, for heaven is in these lips, And all is dross that is not Helen.

In these lines, Marlowe's uncertain genius soared to its height. But the splendour of these lines obscures the real nature of the situation. "Her lips suck forth

my soul," says Faustus. What is Helen? Her position in the play is made clear by the scene, in which she appears the second time. Urged by the Old Man, Faustus has attempted a last revolt. As usual, he has been cowed into submission and has renewed the blood-bond.

Faustus sinks so low near the play's end that he begs revenge upon his would be saviour -

Torment, sweet friend, that base and aged man, That durst dissuade me from thy Lucifer, With greatest torments that our hell affords.

And, in the first place, it is as a safeguard against relapse that he seeks possession of Helen. Love and revenge seem to be similar insurances against salvation. "Helen" then is a "spirit," and in this play a spirit means a devil. In making Helen his paramour Faustus commits the sin of demoniality, that is, bodily intercourse with demons. The implication of Faustus's action is made clear in the remarks of the Old Man and the Angels. Immediately before the Helen episode, the Old Man called on Faustus to repent:

Ah, Doctor Faustus, that I might prevail To guide thy steps into the way of life !

But with Faustus' union with Helen the nice balance between his possible salvation and an imminent damnation is upset. The Old Man, who has seen the union, recognizes the inevitable:

Accursed Faustus, miserable man, That from thy soul exclud'st the grace of heaven And fliest the throne of his tribunal-seat!

The Good Angel says the same: "Oh thou hast lost celestial happiness." And Faustus himself, still haunted in his final agony by the sure prospect of the salvation being out of his reach -

#### See, see, where Christ's blood streams in the firmaments

#### One drop would save my soul -

In his talk with his students, Faustus shows a great clarity of vision: "A surfeit of deadly sin, that hath damned both body and soul... Faustus's offence can never be pardoned: the Serpent that tempted Eve may be saved, but not Faustus." This clear vision is also echoed by Mephistophilis: "Ay, Faustus, now hast thou no hope of heaven!"

Thus, Faustus dies damned, unsaved by the grace of God. Marlowe's masterly dramatization of the fall and damnation of Faustus, coupled with the grandeur of verse, has made immortal both the figure of Faustus as well as the tragic drama that makes a presentation of this figure. Marlowe's hero remains more memorable than even Shakespeare's Macbeth who, too, chooses the course of damnation. Both Marlowe and Shakespeare use their powers of poetry to enable their villain- heroes. Both succeed remarkably because of the excellence of their art. The dazzle of their poetry blinds us to the dark interior of their heroes.

## 8.4 STRUCTURE AND DRAMATIC EFFECT

## **Aristotle's Views**

By plot or structure of a literary work we mean the organization of the material into a coherent whole. It all started with Aristotle who, in his Poetics, says that plot is the soul of tragedy, and prescribes various elements which would make a plot perfect. In his view, episodic plots are the worst, because they are not unified. He also does not approve of double plots, where action runs into two or more directions. He speaks of plot in terms of an action of certain magnitude with a beginning, middle, and end, where all the three are interlinked, one leading to the other. For him, linking of different incidents on the principle of probability is very important. Also important for Aristotle is the principle of three unities, namely, of place, time and action. In his view, while time should not be too long nor too short for a play which is to be acted in about three hours. Hence, the action should be confined to a period not exceeding one year. Similarly, there should no be shifting of scenes from one place to another. For instance, the scene should not move from Rome to London, or from London to Paris. All scenes should take place, if not at the same place, at least on places near each other. As for the unity of action, he insists on the different incidents being a chain with interlinking of each with the other, moving from beginning to middle to end logically and coherently. Making characters and incidents life-like is another virtue of a good plot.

### **Act Division**

Gradually, tradition developed in which dramatists came to insist on the division of action into acts and scenes. The Roman critics like Horace and the dramatists like Terence insisted on dividing a play's action into five acts. The Greeks did not have any such division, nor does Aristotle insist in Poetics on any such a split. But the Elizabethans came to prefer the division of action into scenes. Evidence shows that the act division was not there at that time. It was only later in the seventeenth century that the five-act division was imposed on the plays of Shakespeare, Marlowe and other Elizabethan dramatists. Also, mere division does not constitute any kind of structure of a play. Such a division does not impart any unity. Unity has to be sought only in terms of relation between interests or themes, even between images and motives.

The act-division of Marlowe's Doctor Faustus is arbitrary. The texts available to us in the different manuscripts or editions of the play do not show any such division. The play, as it has been handed down to us, is only a stream or sequence of scenes with various choruses studded in between them. In fact, even the order of the scenes cannot be said to be the same as it obtained at the time. the play was staged in Marlowe's time. The arrangement might have been altered later by the actors or the managers who staged it after Marlowe's death. On the arrangement of scenes, there has been no unanimity among critics. Different critics hold different views on the subject.

Most critics, in fact, are of the opinion that several scenes in the text available to us were later added by others; they were not there in the original manuscript of the play composed by Marlowe. There is also an argument to the effect that more than one scene of the original text seem to be missing. In terms of the Aristotalian beginning, middle, and end, we can divide Doctor Faustus, without much effort. For there seems to be a clear line of development of the action focused on the action related to a single character. From the opening scene to the end of Act II, Scene 2, which covers the hero's initial examination of choices to the signing of the bond with the devil, can be said to be the beginning of the play. The middle of the play's action is spread from Act II, Scene 3 to Act IV, Scene 6, which concerns the effects of magic for all those involved in it. The end or the last part of the play's plot can be said to spread from Act V, Scene 1 to the last scene of that act, which reveals the dire consequences of Faustus' bargain with the devil in personal terms. For an understanding of Marlowe's play, we need to examine the relationship of these three parts to see whether they do make a coherent action, a whole, and do not remain episodic or unrelated marring the unity.

Some readings of the play have insisted on the linear progress of Faustus from temptation to damnation. Others have sought to find a coherent theological framework which Marlowe is supposed to have used for imparting unity to his work. The history of Doctor Faustus is decidedly not linear, which we may like to make it for our convenience as readers. Also, Marlowe's play derives its dramatic power from its conflict between doubt and faith, not from any religious framework. There is no single theological perspective which Marlowe seems to have adopted or embodied in his play. In fact, the play seems to juxtapose various theological viewpoints, and none seems to dominate the dramatic work. As in Shakespeare's mature plays, say King Lear, dramatic necessity dictates or determines the induction of a particular viewpoint. One illustration can clarify the dramatic method used by Marlowe. Pope Adrian is not an attractive figure, but Faustus chooses Bruno who is still in the Catholic framework. At the same time, the low tricks that Faustus and Mephostophilis play upon the Pope indicate an anti-Catholic stance. Obviously, the dramatic juxtaposition of the two only suggests ambivalence. Those, who search for the religious perspective of the dramatist by examining the play, or by applying one perspective to both Marlowe and his hero, do gross injustice to the dramatic art of Marlowe as well as his intellectual integrity.

No doubt, Marlowe was keenly aware of the religious controversies of his times. The play's intellectual range as well as its complex vision are demonstrated by the dramatist through a conscious manipulation of the contesting viewpoints represented by the Calvinists, the Lutherians, and the Catholics. The best way to approach the text of Marlowe's play is to view it as a study of the tension between the Renaissance or secular view of the possibilities of man's potential and the Medieval or Christian view of man's limitations. Faustus stands at the crossroads of the Medieval and the Renaissance with all the perplexities attendant on a crossing of opposing ideas. The fresh allurements on the one side and the old commandments on the other pull him into opposite directions. His tragedy is caused, not by the possibilities available to him in an open-minded approach to life, but by the inherited legacy which still sticks to him. He is not able to free himself from the dark world of his medieval heritage. The contradiction, which proves fatal, arises from his Renaissance goals on the one hand and the medieval means on the other. The goals and the means do not square up with each other. His power of language reaches ahead of time.

If viewed from another angle, the great strength of Faustus lies in his power of disputation. He is a talker of the highest order. In this view, Doctor Faustus becomes a kind of commentary on the art of rhetoric as it was blossoming forth in England during the 1580's. In some respects, the power of magic and that of imagination are related to each other. Of course, the two are also critically differentiated in certain respects. The language of magic has its limitations, just as its uses. Magic permits Faustus to explore the cosmos, but it does not empower him to change it. Imagination, on the other hand, can make a new world. As Philip Sidney suggested, poetry can create a "golden" world, a "utopia," that might be best. This is the way Faustus looks at it in the beginning. But magic stifles imagination and confines it. The tragedy of Faustus is that he fails to see it even near the end.

Not withstanding all these aspects, however, one characteristic of the play's structure is clear. Faustus, the play's hero, dominates it from beginning to end. No other character gets a chance to step into the spotlight for a full exposure. **In fact, all the characters in the play except Doctor Faustus are flat. They are only used as** 

means towards the one and only end of the play, which is to unfold the round and complex character of the hero. One can call the play's structure as unipolar, in which the entire edifice is erected around the only pillar standing at its centre. Faustus stands above all particular themes. In other words, all themes in the play issue from the personality of the hero. In revolving, as Faustus does, between the utter extremesof passion, insight and poetry, he becomes a cosmos himself. He represents man's universe of possibilities. That is why he is grand as well as hateful, poetic as well as petty, cruel as well as pathetic, brilliant as well as foolish. He is large enough to represent multitudinous dimensions of humanity.

One of the controversies about the structure of Doctor Faustus has been the nature of the plot. While some readers have viewed it as a progression from the hero's pride and ambition to his phased fall, others have seen the movement of the plot as circular, ending where it begins, without any progress at all. But the problematic point in the structure is the play's middle, which is spread over a period of twenty four years that lapse between the time Faustus signs the bond with the devil and his tragic end in utter damnation. Readers have found it hard to accept the scenes of long digression in the middle portion of Marlowe's play. These digressionary scenes are predominantly comic, which fill the middle Acts III and IV. One of the more favoured explanations has been in terms of the play's dependence on The Damnable Life, on the need to entertain an audience with a play of a certain length without long monotony on guilt and damnation. It has also been explained in terms of the play's theme of vast expectations disappointed, of powers frittered away in frivolity. And yet it (the middle portion of the play) continues to be questioned in terms of its irreconcilability with the tragic beginning and ending of the play's plot. Here, the critical contention is that there are better ways of presenting boredom, than by being bored, or triviality than by being trivial. But there have also been readers like Goethe (who also wrote his famous Faust) who is credited with the remark, "How greatly is it all planned!"

One of the interesting studies made in this regard is by David Bevington. His study concerns the influence of the Tudor practice of doubling or tripling of parts by members of the popular dramatic Troupes on the structure of the moral play. The practice is said to have led to alternation of scenes consisting of different groups of characters, and effects of symmetry. These comic figures used to be a part of the historical setting. They would dwell in alternate scenes that comment satirically on the main action of the play. Theatre in Marlowe's time did have more actors than the conventional five of the traveling popular troupes. The clowning scenes in Doctor Faustus which involve the hero's comic servant, Wagner, are precisely of this type. We see in these scenes the 'low' magic of man parodying the 'high' magic of the master. All the same, this constitutes only one layer of the comic matter in the play. These scenes of the middle portion of the play's plot overtake the main action; the play's plot collapses into the underplot. The seeds of this collapse can be seen in the person of Faustus himself who shows traces of the Vice about him in his universalized acquaintance of evil and his penchant for practical humour.

# 8.5 COMIC SUB-PLOT

These comic scenes of the sub-plot or underplot of the play are said to descend from those of Combyses, Apius and Virginia, and Horsetes, where there are rustics and servants, who perpetuate something of the Vice's attendants. They were considered, quite conventionally, as a "foil" to the main plot. They were also viewed as "comic relief" in the tragic drama of the age. In our own times, the dominant tendency in the critical readings of the play has been to view these comic scenes, not as digression or relief, but as functional to the main plot. They become functional in various ways, by offering parody or burlesque of the main plot, by offering choric commentary in a more serious form, or by offering reinforcing contrast to the main intent of the play. This approach to the comic scenes in tragedy goes back to De Quincey's brilliant essay on the knocking scene in Shakespeare's Macbeth. That pioneering insight set the approach for subsequent interpretations of the Elizabethan tragedies, including Doctor Faustus. In the same vein, the comic scenes of Marlowe's play have been viewed as both an effect of, and a parodic analogue to, the preceding episode in the career of Faustus. Thus, the comic middle of the play is interpreted as an assimilation of the parodic effect of the subplot into the main plot and the gradual descent of the main plot into the subplot.

## **Relevance of Middle Comic Scenes :**

In another attempt to offer an explanation for the presence of the middle comic scenes in Doctor Faustus, a comparison has been drawn between Marlowe's play and those of the theatre of the Absurd. The relevant parallel seen here is in the common dramatic strategy of breaking the unity and concentration of the tragic effect, considered artificial and unrealistic by the theatre of the absurd. Thus, the reader response or that of the audience to the hero, the centre of the play, is unsettled or decentred by the alternation of the scenes of delusion followed by discomfiture through realism. Neither of the two impulses is allowed to dominate or give way to the other. Each is allowed to co-exist with the other. As a result, a state of mind is produced in the audience which is at once contradictory and yet profoundly true of feeling and thinking about the play's central concern, the fulfillment of will. Thus, more than one explanations have been offered for the relevance of the middle scenes, to show how they are not digressionery, but are functional in producing the intended dramatic effect.

Further, there are critics who have not only found these middle scenes relevant to the play's structure, but also an instance of Marlowe's innovation in dramaturgy. David Palmer, for instance, has emphasized the originality of Marlowe's contribution to the Elizabethan dramaturgy. By adding these middle scenes, it is argued, Marlowe transforms the stage into an illusion of a vast world embracing heaven, hell, and earth. This recalls the scope of the medieval cycle plays. Marlowe maintains this illusion, which the medieval drama was never able to do, through language as well as action. In a way, Marlowe creates Sidney's 'golden world'. At the same time, he defies Sidney's humanistic structure by not observing the unities and by mingling the comic with the tragic. Yet magical grandeur is only half of Marlowe's vein. The other is shrinkage to cramping dimensions, as Faustus realizes that he has been trapped.

Another praise for the structure of Doctor Faustus has come from C. L Barber, who has related the openness of its plot to the new kind of stage for which Marlowe wrote. In fact, Marlowe himself had created this new kind of stage for his first tragedy, Tamburlaine. This new stage provided the audience with a multiple perspective on the action, through which they could consider blasphemy as either good or bad. Another suggestion on the subject has come from Max Bluestone. His view is that in their dramaturgy the morality elements tragically compound rather than homiletically resolve ambiguities. In this approach. it is made out that the Aristotelian concept of plot as a cause-and-effect sequence of incidents is not relevant to a play like Doctor Faustus, which covers the entire cosmos on its canvas rather than a single action restricted to a town. From whatever angle, in this view, the play is regarded, it is found full of ambiguities which unsettle our assurances and invite a questioning response.

# 8.6 PLAYASA SATANIC TRAGEDY

Marlowe's tragedy is faulted by some critics in its withdrawal of pity from the damned subject, Faustus. It has also invited adverse comments because of its melodramatically simple division of good and evil. No less severe has been the criticism of its appeal to the cruder feelings of the audience. The defence offered against all these negative comments is that Marlowe maintains pity and sympathy for Faustus, despite the different nature of his tragedy, different from the Graeco-Roman classical tragedy, as defined by Aristotle. The best way to characterize Marlowe's play would be to agree with Una Ellis-Fermor, who groups it with a class of tragedy she calls "Satanic". She considers it a rare negative form which oversets tragic balance, not merely by denying immanent good, but by implying a Satanic universe, a world-order behind the manifestation of event as evil as the event itself. In this category of drama, there is clearly implied interpretation of the universe surrounding the events. Also, by the reason of its conflict with the systems of positive religion, this interpretation will generally be original to the writer. This category of tragedy disturbs, in greater or lesser degree, that supreme balance which characterizes tragedy "of the centre."

Marlowe's Faustus, represents the height of its dramatist's achievement in Satanic tragedy. Here, the dramatist takes a unique position as a tragic thinker, because of the implacable paradox on which his reading of the universe rests. In Doctor Faustus, so far as drama is Satanic, it loses tragic balance. But in so far it is tragic, it is not Satanic. In Marlowe's play, though in less degree than in the tragedies of the centre, we can see the same balancing of content by form which we find in the tragedies of Sophocles. A partial challenge to the suffering and evil in the outer action comes from that beauty of form and style which itself gives the lie to the implication that the fundamental order of things is evil. In a way, this itself implies harmony. As, in the work of Sophocles, though not so fully, the revelation of beauty in form is an unwitting testimony to that beneficence or immanent good of which beauty and form are manifestations.

Nevertheless, in Marlowe's Doctor Faustus, absolute tragic balance is overset, although magnitude of passion and thought again become possible. The action of the play is related to a surrounding universe greater in scope and significance than the figures and events which make up that action. Even though the direct inference be to a universe of implacable evil, this does not detract from the grandeur, though it may form the wholeness and saneness of the final impression. Moreover, beyond this direct influence lies the indirect and seemingly unwitting testimony to the "world of profit and delight" that, residing in beauty, in form and in unacknowledged sources of the poet's vision, maintains a partial balance in the play, despite his logical and intentional Satanism. Thus, Doctor Faustus is a com-plex play which combines within its unique structure elements of medieval morality with its allegorical characters, Elizabethan tragedy with its inevi- table clash between man and his surroundings, and Dantesque quest for knowl-edge and salvation. A combination of all these could naturally be achieved only by widening the scope of the conventional classical tragedy. Marlowe's achieve- ment lies in his successful combination of all the three different strands of dramatictradition.

### 8.7 THEMES

Themes are the fundamental and often universal ideas explored in a literary work.

### Sin, Redemption and Damnation

Insofar as *Doctor Faustus* is a Christian play, it deals with the themes at the heart of Christianity's understanding of the world. First, there is the idea of sin, which Christianity defines as acts contrary to the will of God. In making a pact with

Lucifer, Faustus commits what is in a sense the ultimate sin: not only does he disobey God, but he consciously and even eagerly renounces obedience to him, choosing instead to swear allegiance to the devil. In a Christian framework, however, even the worst deed can be forgiven through the redemptive power of Jesus Christ, God's son, who, according to Christian belief, died on the cross for humankind's sins. Thus, however terrible Faustus's pact with Lucifer may be, the possibility of redemption is always open to him. All that he needs to do, theoretically, is ask God for forgiveness. The play offers countless moments in which Faustus considers doing just that, urged on by the good angel on his shoulder or by the old man in scene 12—both of whom can be seen either as emissaries of God, personifications of Faustus's conscience, or both.

Each time, Faustus decides to remain loyal to hell rather than seek heaven. In the Christian framework, this turning away from God condemns him to spend an eternity in hell. Only at the end of his life does Faustus desire to repent, and, in the final scene, he cries out to Christ to redeem him. But it is too late for him to repent. In creating this moment in which Faustus is still alive but incapable of being redeemed, Marlowe steps outside the Christian worldview in order to maximize the dramatic power of the final scene. Having inhabited a Christian world for the entire play, Faustus spends his final moments in a slightly different universe, where redemption is no longer possible and where certain sins cannot be forgiven.

# The Conflict Between Medieval and Renaissance Values

Scholar R.M. Dawkins famously remarked that *Doctor Faustus* tells "the story of a Renaissance man who had to pay the medieval price for being one." While slightly simplistic, this quotation does get at the heart of one of the play's central themes: the clash between the medieval world and the world of the emerging Renaissance. The medieval world placed God at the center of existence and shunted aside man and the natural world. The Renaissance was a movement that began in Italy in the fifteenth century and soon spread throughout Europe, carrying with it a new emphasis on the individual, on classical learning, and on scientific inquiry into the nature of the world. In the medieval academy, theology was the queen of the sciences. In the Renaissance, though, secular matters took center stage.

Faustus, despite being a magician rather than a scientist (a blurred distinction in the sixteenth century), explicitly rejects the medieval model. In his opening speech in scene 1, he goes through every field of scholarship, beginning with logic and proceeding through medicine, law, and theology, quoting an ancient authority for each: Aristotle on logic, Galen on medicine, the Byzantine emperor Justinian on law, and the Bible on religion. In the medieval model, tradition and authority, not individual inquiry, were key. But in this soliloquy, Faustus considers and rejects this medieval way of thinking. He resolves, in full Renaissance spirit, to accept no limits, traditions, or authorities in his quest for knowledge, wealth, and power.

The play's attitude toward the clash between medieval and Renaissance values is ambiguous. Marlowe seems hostile toward the ambitions of Faustus, and, as Dawkins notes, he keeps his tragic hero squarely in the medieval world, where eternal damnation is the price of human pride. Yet Marlowe himself was no pious traditionalist, and it is tempting to see in Faustus—as many readers have—a hero of the new modern world, a world free of God, religion, and the limits that these imposed on humanity. Faustus may pay a medieval price, this reading suggests, but his successors will go further than he and suffer less, as we have in modern times. On the other hand, the disappointment and mediocrity that follow Faustus's pact with the devil, as he descends from grand ambitions to petty conjuring tricks, might suggest a contrasting interpretation. Marlowe may be suggesting that the new, modern spirit, though ambitious and glittering, will lead only to a Faustian dead end.

### Power as a Corrupting Influence

Early in the play, before he agrees to the pact with Lucifer, Faustus is full of ideas for how to use the power that he seeks. He imagines piling up great wealth, but he also aspires to plumb the mysteries of the universe and to remake the map of Europe. Though they may not be entirely admirable, these plans are ambitious and inspire awe, if not sympathy. They lend a grandeur to Faustus's schemes and make his quest for personal power seem almost heroic, a sense that is reinforced by the eloquence of his early soliloquies.

Once Faustus actually gains the practically limitless power that he so desires, however, his horizons seem to narrow. Everything is possible to him, but his ambition is somehow sapped. Instead of the grand designs that he contemplates early on, he contents himself with performing conjuring tricks for kings and noblemen and takes a strange delight in using his magic to play practical jokes on simple folks. It is not that power has corrupted Faustus by making him evil: indeed, Faustus's behavior after he sells his soul hardly rises to the level of true wickedness. Rather, gaining absolute power corrupts Faustus by making him mediocre and by transforming his boundless ambition into a meaningless delight in petty celebrity.

In the Christian framework of the play, one can argue that true greatness can be achieved only with God's blessing. By cutting himself off from the creator of the universe, Faustus is condemned to mediocrity. He has gained the whole world, but he does not know what to do with it.

#### The Divided Nature of Man

Faustus is constantly undecided about whether he should repent and return to God or continue to follow his pact with Lucifer. His internal struggle goes on throughout the play, as part of him of wants to do good and serve God, but part of him (the dominant part, it seems) lusts after the power that Mephastophilis promises. The good angel and the evil angel, both of whom appear at Faustus's shoulder in order to urge him in different directions, symbolize this struggle. While these angels may be intended as an actual pair of supernatural beings, they clearly represent Faustus's divided will, which compels Faustus to commit to Mephastophilis but also to question this commitment continually.

# 8.8 SYMBOLS

### Blood

Blood plays multiple symbolic roles in the play. When Faustus signs away his soul, he signs in blood, symbolizing the permanent and supernatural nature of this pact. His blood congeals on the page, however, symbolizing, perhaps, his own body's revolt against what he intends to do. Meanwhile, Christ's blood, which Faustus says he sees running across the sky during his terrible last night, symbolizes the sacrifice that Jesus, according to Christian belief, made on the cross; this sacrifice opened the way for humankind to repent its sins and be saved. Faustus, of course, in his proud folly, fails to take this path to salvation.

In scene 1, Faustus goes through a list of the major fields of human knowledge logic, medicine, law, and theology—and cites for each an ancient authority (Aristotle, Galen, Justinian, and Jerome's Bible, respectively). He then rejects all of these figures in favor of magic. This rejection symbolizes Faustus's break with the medieval world, which prized authority above all else, in favor of a more modern spirit of free inquiry, in which experimentation and innovation trump the assertions of Greek philosophers and the Bible.

### The Good Angel and Bad Angel

The angels appear at Faustus's shoulder early on in the play—the good angel urging him to repent and serve God, the bad angel urging him to follow his lust for power and serve Lucifer. The two symbolize his divided will, part of which wants to do good and part of which is sunk in sin.

# 8.9 MOTIFS

### Magic and the Supernatural

The supernatural pervades Doctor Faustus, appearing everywhere in the story. Angels and devils flit about, magic spells are cast, dragons pull chariots (albeit offstage), and even fools like the two ostlers, Robin and Rafe, can learn enough magic to summon demons. Still, it is worth noting that nothing terribly significant is accomplished through magic. Faustus plays tricks on people, conjures up grapes, and explores the cosmos on a dragon, but he does not fundamentally reshape the world. The magic power that Mephastophilis grants him is more like a toy than an awesome, earth-shaking ability. Furthermore, the real drama of the play, despite all the supernatural frills and pyrotechnics, takes place within Faustus's vacillating mind and soul, as he first sells his soul to Lucifer and then considers repenting. In this sense, the magic is almost incidental to the real story of Faustus's struggle with himself, which Marlowe intended not as a fantastical battle but rather as a realistic portrait of a human being with a will divided between good and evil. Once he gains his awesome powers, Faustus does not use them to do great deeds. Instead, he delights in playing tricks on people: he makes horns sprout from the knight's head and sells the horse-courser an enchanted horse. Such magical practical jokes seem to be Faustus's chief amusement, and Marlowe uses them to illustrate Faustus's decline from a great, prideful scholar into a bored, mediocre magician with no higher ambition than to have a laugh at the expense of a collection of simpletons.

### The Pursuit of Knowledge

Whether it is Faustus's or Lucifer's, the pursuit of knowledge is a recurring motif within the play and is the driving force behind the plot. Faustus's pursuit of knowledge is marked by restlessness, arrogance, and, ultimately, mediocrity. The more knowledge he gains, the clearer it becomes that the universe bends toward God, whom Faustus has now forsaken. Paralleling the escalation of his desire for knowledge are opportunities to showcase his talents. In the midst of his travels, his reputation grows, but ironically it is the acquisition of everything he ever wanted—power, fame, knowledge, riches—that saps him of his earlier ambition, rendering him little more than a magician listlessly performing party tricks for heads of state.

# 8.10 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS

- 1. What is the full name of the main character in "Doctor Faustus"?
  - A) John Faustus
  - B) Thomas Faustus
  - C) Christopher Faustus
  - D) Johann Faustus
- 2. In "Doctor Faustus," what does Faustus desire above all else?
  - A) Wealth and power
  - B) Immortality
  - C) True love
  - D) Worldly knowledge and magical abilities

- 3. How does Faustus initially summon the demon Mephistopheles in the play?
  - A) By reciting a Latin incantation
  - B) By signing a blood pact
  - C) By ringing a bell
  - D) By praying to God
- 4. Who serves as the chorus in "Doctor Faustus," providing commentary on the events of the play?
  - A) Wagner
  - B) Lucifer
  - C) The Pope
  - D) The Good Angel and the Evil Angel
- 5. What does Faustus use his newfound magical powers to do in the play?
  - A) Predict the future
  - B) Perform miracles for the needy
  - C) Amuse himself with pranks
  - D) Satisfy his worldly desires and ambitions
- 6. Who represents the forces of good in Faustus's internal struggle between good and evil?
  - A) Mephistopheles
  - B) Lucifer
  - C) The Good Angel
  - D) The Pope
- 7. What is the tragic fate that ultimately befalls Doctor Faustus at the end of the play?

- A) He becomes the ruler of a powerful kingdom.
- B) He is forgiven by God and lives a virtuous life.
- C) He is taken to hell by Mephistopheles.
- D) He escapes his pact with Lucifer and lives happily ever after.
- 8. Who is the author of the original legend of Faust, upon which Marlowe's play is based?
  - A) William Shakespeare
  - B) Johann Wolfgang von Goethe
  - C) Thomas Kyd
  - D) Anonymous folklore
- 9. In the play, what is the name of the emperor who seeks Faustus's magical services?
  - A) Emperor Nero
  - B) Emperor Augustus
  - C) Emperor Charles V
  - D) Emperor Constantine
- 10. What is the significance of the clock striking in the final scene of "Doctor Faustus"?
  - A) It signals the end of Faustus's time on Earth.
  - B) It marks the beginning of Faustus's magic'l powers.
  - C) It indicates the time for Faustus to meet with the Pope.
  - D) It symbolizes Faustus's etern'l torment in hell.

Answers : 1D, 2D, 3A, 4D, 5D, 6C, 7C, 8D, 9C, 10A.

# 8.11 SELFASSESSMENT QUESTIONS

- 1. Discuss Marlowe as a tragic dramatist.
- 2. Examine the plot and structure of *Doctor Faustus*.

# 8.12 SUGGESTED READINGS

- 1. U.M. Ellis-Fermor, Christopher Marlowe (London, 1027).
- 2. M. Poririer, Marlower (London, 1951).
- 3. J.B. Sterne, Marlowe: A Critical Study (Cambridge, 1964).
- 4. Clifford Leech (ed.). *Marlowe : A Collection of Critical Essay*, (New Delhi Prentice-Hall of India, 1979).

-----

DRAMA-I

LESSON No. 9

**UNIT-II** 

M.A. ENGLISH

COURSE No. 121

# DOCTOR FAUSTUS: CHRISTOPHER MARLOWE

# SOURCES, STRUCTURE AND ACT-WISE SUMMARY

# STRUCTURE

- 9.1 Introduction
- 9.2 Objectives
- 9.3 Sources of the Legend
- 9.4 Structure of the play
- 9.5 Scenewise summary of the play
- 9.6 Glossary (Act I, Act II, Act III, Act IV, Act V)
- 9.7 Characters
- 9.8 Plot
- 9.9 Legend
- 9.10 Recapitulation of the lesson
- 9.11 Self Assessment Questions
  - 9.11.1 Short Answer Type Questions
- 9.12 Multiple Choice Questions
- 9.13 Suggested Readings

# 9.1 INTRODUCTION

Doctor Faustus was probably written in 1592, although the exact date of its composition is uncertain, since it was not published until a decade later. The idea of an individual selling his or her soul to the devil for knowledge is an old motif in Christian folklore, one that had become attached to the historical persona of Johannes Faustus, a disreputable astrologer who lived in Germany sometime in the early 1500s. The immediate source of Marlowe's play seems to be the anonymous German work Historia von D. Johan Fausten of 1587, which was translated into English in 1592, and from which Marlowe lifted the bulk of the plot for his drama. Although there had been literary representations of Faust prior to Marlowe's play, Doctor Faustus is the first famous version of the story. Later versions include the long and famous poem Faust by the nineteenth-century Roman-tic writer Johann Wolfgang von Goethe, as well as operas by Charles Gounod and Arrigo Boito and a symphony by Hector Berlioz. Meanwhile, the phrase "Faustian bargain" has entered the English lexicon, referring to any deal made for a short-term gain with great costs in the long run.

# 9.2 OBJECTIVES

After going through this lesson the student will be able to:

- a) gain an insight into this play and its background
- b) get acquainted with the main characters of the play

The Tragical History of the Life and Death of Doctor Faustus, commonly referred to simply as Doctor Faustus, is a play by Christopher Marlowe, basedon the German story Faust. Doctor Faustus was first published in 1604, eleven years after Marlowe's death and at least 10 years after the first performance of the play. It is the most controversial Elizabethan play outside of Shakespeare, with few critics coming to any agreement as to the date or the nature of thetext.

# 9.3 SOURCES OF THE LEGEND

Doctor Faustus is based on an older tale; it is believed to be the first

dramatisation of the Faust legend. Some scholars believe that Marlowe developed the story from a popular 1592 translation, commonly called The English Faust Book. There is thought to have been an earlier, lost, German edition of 1587, which itself may have been influenced by even earlier, equally unpreserved pamphlets in Latin, such as those that likely inspired Jacob Bidermann's treatment of the damnation of the doctor of Paris, Cenodoxus (1602). Several soothsayers or necromancers of the late fifteenth cen tury adopted the name Faustus, a reference to the Latin for "favoured" or "auspicious"; typical was Georgius Faustus Helmstetensis, calling himself astrologer and chiromancer, who was expelled from the town of Ingolstadt for such practices. Subsequent commenta tors have identified this individual as the prototypical Faustus of the legend.

Whatever the inspiration, the development of Marlowe's play is very faithful to the Faust Book, especially in the way it mixes comedy with tragedy. However, Marlowe also introduced some changes to make it more original. He made three main additions:

- Faustus's soliloquy, in Act 1, on the vanity of human science
- Good and Bad Angels
- The substitution of a Pageant of Devils for the Seven Deadly Sins

He also emphasised Faustus' intellectual aspirations and curiosity, and minimised the vices in the character, to lend a Renaissance aura to the story.

# 9.4 STRUCTURE OF THE PLAY

The play is in blank verse and prose in thirteen scenes (1604) or twenty scenes (1616). Blank verse is largely reserved for the main scenes while proseis used in the comic scenes. Modern texts divide the play into five acts; act 5 being the shortest. As in many Elizabethan plays, there is a chorus (which functions as a narrator), that does not interact with the other characters but rather provides an introduction and conclusion to the play and, at the beginning of some Acts, introduces events that have unfolded.

Along with its history and language style, scholars have critiqued and

analysed the structure of the play. Leonard H. Frey wrote a document entitled "In the Opening and Close of Doctor Faustus," which mainly focuses on Faustus's opening and closing solilo- quies. He stresses the importance of the soliloquiesin the play, saying: "the soliloquy, perhaps more than any other dramatic device, involved the audience in an imaginative concern with the happenings on stage". By having Doctor Faustus deliver these soliloquies at the beginning and end of the play, the focus is drawn to his inner thoughts and feelings about succumbingto the devil. The soliloquies have parallel concepts. In the introductory soliloquy, Faustus begins by pondering the fate of his life and what he wants his career to be. He ends his soliloquy with the solution and decision to give his soul to the devil. Similarly in the closing soliloquy, Faustus begins pondering, and finally comes to terms with the fate he created for himself. Frey also explains: "The whole pattern of this final soliloquy is thus a grim parody of the opening one, where decision is reached after, not prior to, the survey".

# 9.5 SCENEWISE SUMMARY OF THE PLAY

Act I-Scene i, Scene ii, Scene iii, Scene iv, Act II-Scene i, scene ii, Scene iii, Chorus I Act III-Scene i, Scene ii, Seene iii, Chorus II Act I

# Scene i

The play begins with a long soliloquy where Faustus reflects on the most rewarding type of scholarship. He first considers logic, quoting the Greek philosopher Aristotle, but notes that disputing well seems to be the only goal of logic, and, since Faustus debating skills are already good, logic is not scholarly enough for him. He considers medicine, quoting the Greek physician Galen, and decides that medicine, with its possibility of achieving miraculous Cures, is the most fruitful pursuit yet he notes that he has vachieved great renown as a doctor already and that this fame has not brought him satisfaction.He considers law, quoting the Byzantine emperor Justinian, but dismisses law as too petty, dealing with trivial matters rather than larger ones. Divinity, the study of religion and theology, seems to offer wider vistas, but he quotes from St. Jerome's Bible that all men sin and finds the Bible's assertion that "[t]he reward of sin is death" an unacceptable doctrine. He then dismisses religionand fixes his mind on magic, which, when properly pursued, he believes will make him "a mighty god" (1.62).

Wagner, Faustus's servant, enters as his master finishes speaking. Faustus asks Wagner to bring Valdes and Cornelius, Faustus's friends, to help him learn the art of magic. While they are on their way, a good angel and an evil angel visit Faustus. The good angel urges him to set aside his book of magic and read the Scriptures instead, the evil angel encourages him to go forward in his pursuit of the black arts. After they vanish, it is clear that Faustus is going to heed the evil spirit, since he exults at the great powers that the magical arts will bring him. Faustus imagines sending spirits to the end of the world to fetch him jewels and delicacies, having them teach him secret knowledge and using magic to makehimself king of all Germany.

Valdes and Cornelius appear, and Faustus greets them, declaring that he has set aside all other forms of learning in favor of magic. They agree to teach Faustus the principles of the dark arts and describe the wondrous powers that will behis if he remains committed during his quest to learn magic. Comelius tells him that "[t]he miracles that magic will perform / Will make thee vow to study nothing else" (1.136-137). Valdes lists a number of texts that Faustus should read, and the two friends promise to help him become better at magic than even they are. Faustus invites them to dine with him, and they exit.

### Scene ii

Two scholars come to see Faustus. Wagner makes jokes at uicu p then tells them that Faustus is meeting with Valdes and Cornelius. Aware that Valdes and Comelius are infamous for their involvement in the black arts, the scholars leave with heavy hearts, fearing that Faustus may also be falling into "that damned art" as well (2.29).

# Scene iii

That night, Faustus stands in a magical circle marked with various signs and words, and he chants in Latin. Four devils and Lucifer, the ruler of hell, watchhim from the shadows. Faustus renounces heaven and God, swears allegiance to hell, and demands that Mephastophilis rise to serve him. The devil Mephastophilisthen appears before Faustus, who commands him to depart and return dressedas a Franciscan friar, since "[t]hat holy shape becomes a devil best" (3.26). Mephastophilis vanishes, and Faustus remarks on his obedience. Mephastophilis then reappears, dressed as a monk, and asks Faustus what he desires. Faustus demands his obedience, but Mephastophilis says that he is Lucifer's servant and can obey only Lucifer. He adds that he came because he heard Faustus deny obedience to God and hoped to capture his soul.

Faustus quizzes Mephastophilis about Lucifer and hell and learns that Lucifer and all his devils were once angels who rebelled against God and have been damned to hell forever. Faustus points out that Mephastophilis is not in hell now but on earth; Mephastophilis insists, however, that he and his fellow demons are always in hell. even when they are on earth, because being deprived of the presence of God, which they once enjoyed, is hell enough. Faustus dismisses this sentiment as a lack of fortitude on Mephastophilis's part and then declares thathe will offer his soul to Lucifer in return for twenty-four years of Mephastophilis's service. Mephastophilis agrees to take this offer to his master and departs. Left alone, Faustus remarks that if he had "as many souls as there be stars," he would offer them all to hell in return for the kind of power that Mephastophilis offers him (3.102). He eagerly awaits Mephastophilis's return.

#### Scene iv

Wagner converses with a clown and tries to persuade him to become his servant for seven years. The clown is poor, and Wagner jokes that he would probably sell his soul to the devil for a shoulder of mutton; the clown answers that it would have to be well-seasoned mutton. After first agreeing to be Wagner's servant, however, the clown abruptly changes his mind. Wagner threatens to cast a spell on him, and he then conjures up two devils, who he says will carry the clown away to hell unless he becomes Wagner's servant. Seeing the devils, the clown becomes terrified and agrees to Wagner's demands. After Wagner dismisses the devils, the clown usks his new master if he can learn to conjure as well, and Wagner promises to teach him how to turn himself into any kind of animal but he insists on being called "Master Wagner"

# Act II

#### Scene i

Faustus begins to waver in his conviction to sell his soul. The good angel tells him to abandon his plan and "think of heaven, and heavenly things," but he dismisses the good angel's words, saying that God does not love him (5.20). The good and evil angels make another appearance, with the good one again urging Faustus to think of heaven, but the evil angel convinces him that the wealth he can gain through his deal with the devil is worth the cost. Faustus then calls back Mcphastophilis, who tells him that Lucifer has accepted his offer of his soul in exchange for twenty-four years of service. Faustus asks Mephastophilis why Lucifer wants his soul, and Mephastophilis tells him that Lucifer seeks to enlarge his kingdom and make humans suffer even as he suffers.

Faustus decides to make the bargain, and he stabs his arm in order to write the deed in blood. However, when he tries to write the deed his blood congeals, making writing impossible. Mephastophilis goes to fetch fire in order to loosen the blood, and, while he is gone, Faustus endures another bout of indecision, as he wonders if his own blood is attempting to warn him not to sell his soul. When Mephistophilis returns, Faustus signs the deed and then discovers an inscriptionon his arm that reads "Homo fuge," Latin for "O man, fly" (5.77). While Faustus wonders where he should fly Mephastophilis presents a group of devils, who cover Faustus with crowns and rich garments. Faustus puts aside his doubts. He hands over the deed, which promises his body and soul to Lucifer in exchangefor twenty-four years of constant service from Mephistophilis

# Scene ii

After he turns in the deed, Faustus asks his new servant where hell is

located. and Mephastophilis says that it has no exact location but exists everywhere. He continues explaining, saying that hell is everywhere that the damned are cutoff from God eternally. Faustus remarks that he thinks hell is a myth. At Faustus's request for a wife, Mephistophilis offers Faustus a she-devil, but Faustus refuses. Mephastophilis then gives him a book of magic spells and tells him to read it carefully.

Faustus once again wavers and leans toward repentance as he contemplates the wonders of heaven from which he has cut himself off. The good and evil angels appear again, and Faustus realizes that "my heart's so hardened I cannot repent!" (5.196). He then begins to ask Mephastophilis questions about the planets and the heavens. Mephastophilis answers all his queries willingly, until Faustus asks who made the world. Mephastophilis refuses to reply because the answer is "against our kingdom", when Faustus presses him, Mephastophilis departs angrily (5.247). Faustus then turns his mind to God, and again he wonders if it is too late for him to repent. The good and evil angels enter once more, and the good angel says it is never too late for Faustus to repent. Faustus begins to appeal to Christ for mercy, but then Lucifer, Belzebub (another devil), and Mephastophilis enter. They tell Faustus to stop thinking of God and then present a show of the Seven Deadly Sins. Each sin Pride, Covetousness, Envy, Wrath, Gluttony, Sloth, and finally Lecheryappears before Faustus and makesa brief speech. The sight of the sins delights Faustus's soul, and he asks to see hell. Lucifer promises to take him there that night. For the meantime ho gives Faustus a book that teaches him how to change his shape.

#### Scene iii

Meanwhile, Robin, a stablchand, has found one of Faustus's conjuring books, and he is trying to learn the spells. He calls in an innkeeper named Rafe, and the two go to a bar together, where Robin promises to conjure up any kindof wine that Rafe desires.

#### Chorus I

Wagner takes the stage and describes how Faustus traveled through the

heavenson a chariot pulled by dragons in order to learn the secrets of astronomy. Wagner tells us that Faustus is now traveling to measure the coasts and kingdoms of the world and that his travels will take him to Rome.

# Act III

#### Scene i

Faustus appears, recounting to Mephastophilis his travels throughout Europe first from Germany to France and then on to Italy. He asks Mephastophilis if they have arrived in Rome, whose monuments he greatly desires to see, and Mephastophilis replies that they are in the Pope's privy chamber. It is a day of feasting in Rome, to celebrate the Pope's victories, and Faustus and Mephastophilis agree to use their powers to play tricks on the Pope.

As Faustus and Mephastophilis watch, the Pope comes in with his attendants and a prisoner, Bruno, who had attempted to become Pope with the backing of the German emperor. While the Pope declares that he will depose the emperor and forces Bruno to swear allegiance to him, Faustus and Mephastophilis disguise themselves as cardinals and come before the pope. The Pope gives Bruno to them, telling them to carry him off to prison; instead, they give him a fast horse and send him back to Germany.

### Scene ii

Later, the Pope confronts the two cardinals whom Faustus and Mephastophilis have impersonated. When the cardinals say that they never were given custody of Bruno, the Pope sends them to the dungeon. Faustus and Mephastophilis, both invisible, watch the proceedings and chuckle. The pope and his attendants then sit down to dinner. During the meal, Faustus and Mephastophilis make themselves invisible and curse noisily and then snatch dishes and food as they are passed around the table. The churchmen suspect that there is some ghost in the room, and the Pope begins to cross himself, much to the dismay of Faustus and Mephastophilis. Faustus boxes the Pope's car, and the Pope and all his attendants run away. A group of friars enters, and they sing a dirge damning the unknown spirit that has disrupted the meal. Mephastophilis and Faustus beat the friars, fling fireworks among them, and flee.

# Scene iii

Robin the ostler, or stableband, and his friend Rafe have stolen a cup froma tavern. They are pursued by a vintner (or wine-maker), who demands that they return the cup. They claim not to have it, and then Robin conjures up Mephastophilis, which makes the vintner flee. Mephastophilis is not pleased to have been summoned for a prank, and he threatens to turn the two into an ape and a dog. The two friends treat what they have done as a joke, and Mephastophilis leaves n a fury, saying that he will go to join Faustus in Turkey.

#### Chorus II

The Chorus enters to inform us that Faustus has returned home to Germany and developed his fame by explaining what he learned during the course of his journey. The German emperor, Charles V, has heard of Faustus and invited him to his palace, where we next encounter him.

### Act IV

# Scene i-ii

At the court of the emperor, two gentlemen, Martino and Frederick, discuss the imminent arrival of Bruno and Faustus. Martino remarks that Faustus has promised to conjure up Alexander the Great, the famous conqueror. The two of them wake another gentleman, Benvolio, and tell him to come down and see the new arrivals, but Benvolio declares that he would rather watch the action from his window, because he has a hangover.

Faustus comes before the emperor, who thanks him for having freed Bruno from the clutches of the pope. Faustus acknowledges the gratitude and then says that he stands ready to fulfill any wish that the emperor might have. Benvolio, watching from above, remarks to himself that Faustus looks nothing like what he would expect a conjurer to look like.,

The emperor tells Faustus that he would like to see Alexander the Great

and his lover. Faustus tells him that he cannot produce their actual bodies but can create spirits resembling them. A knight present in the court is skeptical, and asserts that it is as untrue that Faustus can perform this feat as that the goddess Diana has transformed the knight into a stag. Before the eyes of the court, Faustuscreates a vision of Alexander embracing his lover Faustus conjures a pair of antlers onto the head of the knight. The knight pleads for mercy, and the emperor entreats Faustus to remove the horns. Faustus complies, warning Benvolio to have more respect for scholars in the future.

# Scene iii-iv

With his friends Martino and Frederick and a group of soldiers, Benvolio plots an attack against Faustus. His friends try to dissuade him, but he is so furious at the damage done to his reputation that he will not listen to reason. They resolve to ambush Faustus as he leaves the court of the emperor and totake the treasures that the emperor has given Faustus. Frederick goes out with the soldiers to scout and returns with word that Faustus is coming toward themand that he is alone. When Faustus enters, Benvolio stabs him and cuts off his head. He and his friends rejoice, and they plan the further indignities that they will visit on Faustus's corpse. But then Faustus rises with his head restored. Faustus tells them that they are fools, since his life belongs to Mephastophilisand cannot be taken by anyone else. He summons Mephastophilis, who arrives with a group of lesser devils, and orders the devils to carry his attackers offto hell. Then, reconsidering, he orders them instead to punish Benvolio and his friends by dragging them through thorns and hurling them off of cliffs, so that the world will see what happens to people who attack Faustus. As the men and devils leave, the soldiers come in, and Faustus summons up another clutch of demons to drive them off.

Benvolio, Frederick, and Martino reappear. They are bruised and bloody from having been chased and harried by the devils, and all three of them now have horns sprouting from their heads. They greet one another unhappily, express horror at the fate that has befallen them, and agree to conceal themselves in a castle rather than face the scorn of the world.

# Scene v

Faustus, meanwhile, meets a horse-courser and sells him his horse. Faustus gives the horse-courser a good price but warns him not to ride the horse into the water. Faustus begins to reflect on the pending expiration of his contract with Lucifer and falls asleep. The horse-courser reappears, sopping wet, complaining that when he rode his horse into a stream it turned into a heapof straw. He decides to get his money back and tries to wake Faustus by hollering in his ear. He then pulls on Faustus's leg when Faustus will not wake. The leg breaks off, and Faustus wakes up, screaming bloody murder. The horse-courser takes the leg and runs off. Meanwhile, Faustus's leg is immediately restored, and he laughs at the joke that he has played. Wagner then enters and tells Faustus that the Duke of Vanholt has summoned him. Faustus agrees to go, and they depart together.

Robin and Rafe have stopped for a drink in a tavern. They listen as a carter, or wagon-driver, and the horse-courser discuss Faustus. The carter explains that Faustus stopped him on the road and asked to buy some hay to eat. The carter agreed to sell him all he could eat for three farthings, and Faustus proceeded to eat the entire wagonload of hay. The horse-courser tells his own story, adding that he took Faustus's leg as revenge and that he is keeping it at his home. Robin declares that he intends to seek out Faustus, but only after he has a few more drinks.

### Scene vi

At the court of the Duke of Vanholt, Faustus's skill at conjuring up beautiful illusions wins the duke's favor. Faustus comments that the duchess has not seemed to enjoy the show and asks her what she would like. She tells him she would like a dish of ripe grapes, and Faustus has Mephastophilis bring hersome grapes. Robin, Dick, the carter, the horse-courser, and the hostess from the tavern burst in at this moment. They confront Faustus, and the horse-courser begins making jokes about what he assumes is Faustus's wooden leg. Faustus then shows them his leg, which is whole and healthy, and they are amazed. Each then launches into a complaint about Faustus's treatment of him, but

Faustus uses magical charms to make them silent, and they depart. The duke and duchess are much pleased with Faustus's display, and they promise to reward Faustus greatly.

### Chorus 4

Wagner announces that Faustus must be about to die because he has given Wagner all of his wealth. But he remains unsure, since Faustus is not acting like a dying man-rather, he is out carousing with scholars.

# Act V

# Scene i

Faustus enters with some of the scholars. One of them asks Faustus if he can produce Helen of Greece (also known as Helen of Troy), who they have decided was "the admirablest lady / that ever lived" (12.3-4). Faustus agreesto produce her, and gives the order to Mephastophilis: immediately, Helen herself crosses the stage, to the delight of the scholars.

The scholars leave, and an old man enters and tries to persuade Faustus to repent. Faustus becomes distraught, and Mephastophilis hands him a dagger. However, the old man persuades him to appeal to God for mercy, saying, "I see an angel hovers o'er thy head/And with a vial full of precious grace / Offers to pour the same into thy soul!" (12.44-46). Once the old man leaves, Mephastophilis threatens to shred Faustus to pieces if he does not reconfirm his vow to Lucifer. Faustus complies, sealing his vow by once again stabbing his arm and inscribing it in blood. He asks Mephastophilis to punish the old man for trying to dissuade him from continuing in Lucifer's service; Mephastophilis says that he cannot touch the old man's soul but that he will scourge his body. Faustus then asks Mephastophilis to let him see Helen again. Helen enters, and Faustus makes a great speech about her beuaty and kisses her.

### Scene ii

The final night of Faustus's life has come, and he tells the scholars of the deal he has made with Lucifer. They are horrified and ask what they can do

to save him, but he tells them that there is nothing to be done. Reluctantly, they leave to pray for Faustus. A vision of hell opens before Faustus's horrified eyes as the clock strikes eleven. The last hour passes by quickly, and Faustus exhorts the clocks to slow and time to stop, so that he might live a little longer and have a chance to repent. He then begs God to reduce his time in hell toa thousand years or a hundred thousand years, so long as he is eventually saved. He wishes that he were a beast and would simply cease to exist whenhe dies instead of facing damnation. He curses his parents and himself, and the clock strikes midnight. Devils enter and carry Faustus away as he screams, "Ugly hell gape not! Come not, Lucifer! I'll burn my books-ah, Mephastophilis!" (13.112-113).

### **Chorus 4-Epilogue**

The Chorus enters and warns the wise "only to wonder at unlawful things" and not to trade their souls for forbidden knowledge The final scenes containsome of the most noteworthy speeches in the play, especially Faustus's speechto Helen and his final soliloquy. His address to Helen begins with the famous line "Was this the face that launched a thousand ships," referring to the Trojan War, which was fought over Helen. and goes on to list all the great things that Faustus would do to win her love (12.81). He compares himself to the heroesof Greek mythology, who went to war for her hand, and he ends with a lengthy praise of her beauty. In its flowery language and emotional power, the speech marks a return to the eloquence that marks Faustus's words in earlier scenes, before his language and behavior become mediocre and petty. Having squanderedhis powers in pranks and childish entertainments, Faustus regains his eloquence and tragic grandeur in the final scene, as his doom approaches. Still, as impressive as this speech is, Faustus maintains the same blind spots that lead him down his dark road in the first place. Earlier, he seeks transcendence through magic instead of religion. Now, he seeks it through sex and female beauty, as he asks Helen to make him "immortal" by kissing him (12.83). Moreover, it is not even clear that Helen is real, since Faustus's earlier conjuring of historical figures evokes only illusions and not physical beings. If Helen too is just an illusion,

then Faustus is wasting his last hours dallying with a fantasy image, an apt symbol for his entire life.

Faustus's final speech is the most emotionally powerful scene in the play, as his despairing mind rushes from idea to idea. One moment he is begging timeto slow down, the next he is imploring Christ for mercy. One moment he is crying out in fear and trying to hide from the wrath of God, the next he is begging to have the eternity of hell lessened somehow. He curses his parents for giving birth to him but then owns up to his responsibility and curses himself. His mind's various attempts to escape his doom, then, lead inexorably to an understanding of his own guilt.

The passion of the final speech points to the central question in Doctor Faustus of why Faustus does not repent. Early in the play, he deceives himself into believing either that hell is not so bad or that it does not exist. But, by the close, with the gates of hell literally opening before him, he still ignores the warnings of his own conscience and of the old man, a physical embodiment of the conscience that plagues him. Faustus's loyalty to Lucifer could be explained by the fact that he is afraid of having his body torn apart by Mephastophilis. But he seems almost eager, even in the next-to-last scene, to reseal his vows in blood, and he even goes a step further when he demands that Mephastophilis punish the old man who urges him to repent. Marlowe suggests that Faustus's self-delusion persists even at the end. Having served Lucifer for so long, he has reached a point at which he cannot imagine breaking free.

In his final speech, Faustus is clearly wracked with remorse, yet he no longer seems to be able to repent. Christian doctrine holds that one can repentfor any sin, however grave, up until the moment of death and be saved. Yet this principle does not seem to hold for Marlowe's protagonist. Doctor Faustus isa Christian tragedy, but the logic of the final scene is not Christian. Some critics have tried to deal with this problem by claiming that Faustus does not actually repent in the final speech but that he only speaks wistfully about the possibility of repentance. Faustus appears to be calling on Christ, seeking the precious drop of blood that will save his soul. Yet some unseen force whether inside or outside him--prevents him from giving himself to God.

Ultimately, the ending of Doctor Faustus represents a clash between Christianity, which holds that repentance and salvation are always possible, and the dictates of tragedy, in which some character flaw cannot be corrected, even by appealing to God. The idea of Christian tragedy, then, is paradoxical, as Christianity is ultimately uplifting. People may suffer as Christ himself did but for those who repent, salvation eventually awaits. To make Doctor Faustus a true tragedy, then, Marlowe had to set down a moment beyond which Faustus could no longer repent, so that in the final scene, while still alive, he can be damned and conscious of his damnation.

The unhappy Faustus's last line returns us to the clash between Renaissance values and medieval values that dominates the early scenes and then recedes as Faustus pursues his mediocre amusements in later scenes. His cry, as he pleads for salvation, that he will burn his books suggests, for the first time since early scenes, that his pact with Lucifer is primarily about a thirst for limitless knowledgea thirst that is presented as incompatible with Christianity. As the Chorus says in its final speech:

Faustus is gone! Regard his hellish fall, Whose fiendful fortune may exhort the wise Only to wonder at unlawful things: Whose deepness doth entice such forward wits be To practice more than heavenly power permits.

(Epilogue. 4-8)

In the duel between Christendom and the rising modern spirit, Marlowe's play seems to come down squarely on the side of Christianity. Yet Marlowe, himself notoriously accused of atheism and various other sins, may have had other ideas, and he made his Faustus sympathetic, if not necessarily admirable. While his play shows how the untrammeled pursuit of knowledge and power can be corrupting, it also shows the grandeur of such a quest. Faustus is damned, but the gates that he opens remain standing wide, waiting for others to follow.

# 9.6 GLOSSARY (ACT I, ACT II, ACT III, ACT IV, ACT V)

# Act I

Mars- the god of war Mate- help Dalliance-amusement Muse - poet Vaunt- display Swoln-puffed up Cunning-pride of knowledge Self-conceit egoism Glutted-filled Necromancy-black art, magic Profess-attain proficiency in Ravished charmed Eternized - immortalized Physic - medicine Aphorisms - axioms **Bills - prescriptions** Monuments - memorials Paltry - trivial Mercenary - money-minded siest Trash - something worthless Servile - low and mean

Necromantic - pertaining to magic

Omnipotence - unlimited power

Artizan - artist

Exceeds - excels

Deity- supernatural power

Conference - conversation

Plod- wok laboriously

Blasphemy-insult to God

Conceit- notion

Ambiguities- doubtful or obscure matters

Desperate-reckless

Ransack- search thoroughly

Delecates- delicious eatables

Clad- dressed

Brunt-assault Keel- ship

Fantasy-imagination

**Ruminates-meditates** 

Concise- brief

Syllogisms-logical conclusions

Gravelled-puzzled

Pastor- priest

Swarm-come in a crowd

Infernal- of hell

Canonize-elevate to sainthood bundares

Almain-German

Fleece-treasure

Mystery-magical skill

Delphian-located in Delphi

Entrails-depths

Canvass-examine or discuss

Quiddity-essential

Sirrah-Sir

Licentiates- those studying foe a doctors degree cobi zis) -nozull

Dunces-block heads

Phlegmatic- not excitable

Precisian-puritan

Drizzling-watery

Anagrammatized-rearranged

Virtue- merit

Plint-obedient

Laureate-distinguished

Abjure- renounce

Stoutly- firmly

Belzebub-devil

Elysium-heaventa

Frivolous-triviale

Passionate-emotionally stirred

Fortitude-courage

Tidings- news

Voluptuous-lustful

Potentate-ruler

Speculation-contemplative study.

Bare-half clad

Vile-ugly or foul

Flee- a flying insect

Wenches-girls

# Act II

Altar- place of worship

Contrition-repentance

Illusions- false ideas yoongeb of

Lunacy-madness

Signiory- lordship

Tidings-news

Hazarded-risked

Bequeath- leave to somebody by signing a bill

Solemnly-earnestly

Craves- strong desires

Bind-pledge

Regent- ruler

Congeals-solidifies

Potend- indicate

Chafer-vessel

Apparel- clothes

Scroll- roll of paper

Prescribed-laid down

Inviolate- intact

Wilt-desire

Circumscribed-having definite boundaries

Bowels- interior

Affable-myth

Chaste-pure

Tempest-storm

Armour-armed men

Execute-accomplish

Fain-gladly

Dispositions- attribute

Renounce-give up Salvation-soul's liberation

**Ravishing-charming** 

Despair- hopelessness

Celestial-heavenly

Faint- tnreal

Accursed- damned

Raze-touch lightly

# Distressed- tormented 020 A MATROR

Tartan Slay-kill

Gratify-please

Fie- shame

Scent- smell

Covetousness- greed

Begotten-born

Rapiers-pair of swords

Envy-jealous

Gluttony- greed for food

Sloth-lazy

# Act III

Astronomy-science of stars and planets

Firmament- sky

Toil- tiresome

Exploits- adventures

Flint-rock

Sumptuous-highly ornamented

Privy chamber- private room

Ordinance- artillery and ammunition

Discerned-seen

Choke- suffocate Halt-stop Dirge-funeral services Hog-pig Calf- young one of cow

# Act IV

Conjuring books- books of magic deeply cuential

Prithee- request

cal figures:

Tarry-wait

Dismembered- cut in to pieces

Tabern- liquor bar

Goblet- wine cup

Vintner- wine seller

Gull-cheat

Supernatural-magical

Favour-kind permission

Impeach- accuse

a Scour-punish

Presumption- arrogance

Endamaged-harmed

Closet-private study

Sundry-miscellaneous

Provice- valour

Subdued-conquered

Paramour-mistress

Substantial-important

Deceased- dead

Content- satisfy

Begone- go away

Stag-male deer

Execrable-hateful

Monstrous- ugly and fearful

Worthily-fittingly

Requited-punished

Injurious- unjust

Restless- without a pause

Horse Courser-horse dealer

# Act V

Goods-belongings Banquet- enjoy feasting Carouse- drinks to one's fill Swill-drink heavily Belly-cheer- food and drink Peerless- having no equal Dame- lady Beholding-indebted

Unfeigned- sincere

Paragon- matchless person

Prevail- succeed

Celestial-heavenly

Loathsome-hateful

Filthiness-wickedness

Stench-foul smell

Flagitious- deeply criminal

Commiseration-pity

Vial- a small vessel for holding a

Revolt- rebel against God

Shun- avoid

Snares- trap

Piece meal- bit by bit

Afflict- torment

Glut-satisfy

Dross-something worthless

Sagged- destroyed

Combat- fight

Hapless- unfortunate

Wanton- flirtatious

Azure-blue

Sift-tempt our

Fiends-devils

Surfeit-access

Pants-beat quickly

Quiver- shakes

Felicity- Happiness

Divinity- God

Wrath- anger

Ransomed- redeemed

Incessant-constant

Plagued- tormented

Engendered-produced

Fierce- frightening

Gape- urban

Exhort- instruct

Entice-lure

Wits-Intellects

# 9.7 CHARACTERS

**Doctor Faustus -** Doctor Faustus, the protagonist of the play, is a brilliantsixteenthcentury scholar from Wittenberg, Germany, whose ambition for knowledge, wealth, and worldly might make him willing to pay the ultimate price his soul to Lucifer in exchange for supernatural powers. Faustus's initial tragic grandeur is diminished by the fact that he never seems completely sure of the decision to forfeit his soul and constantly wavers about whether or not to repent. His ambition is admirable and initially awesome, yet he ultimately lacks a certain inner strength. He is unable to embrace his dark path wholeheartedly but is also unwilling to admit his mistake.""Mephistophilis - He is a devil whom Faustus summons with his initial magical experi-""ments. Mephistophilis's motivationsare ambiguous: on the one hand, his oft-expressed goal is to catch Faustus's soul and carry it off to hell; on the other hand, he actively attempts to dissuade Faustus from making a deal with Lucifer by warning him about the horrors of hell. Mephistophilis is ultimately as tragic a figure as Faustus, with his moving, regretful accounts of what the devils have lost in their eternal separation from God and his repeated reflections on the pain that comes with damnation.

**Chorus** - A character who stands outside the story, providing narration and commentary The Chorus was customary in Greek tragedy.

**Old Man -** An enigmatic figure who appears in the final scene. The old man urges Faus to repent and to ask God for mercy. He seems to replace the goodand evil angels, whe in the first scene, try to influence Faustus's behavior.

**Good Angel -** A spirit that urges Faustus to repent for his pact with Lucifer and return to God. Along with the old man and the bad angel, the good angel represents, in many ways, Faustus's conscience and divided will between goodand evil.

**Evil Angel -** A spirit that serves as the counterpart to the good angel and provides Faustus with reasons not to repent for sins against God. The evil angel represents the evil half of Faustus's conscience.

Lucifer - The prince of devils, the ruler of hell, and Mephastophilis's master.

**Wagner** - Faustus's servant. Wagner uses his master's books to learn how to summon devils and work magic.

**Clown** - A clown who becomes Wagner's servant. The clown's antics provide comic relief; he is a ridiculous character, and his absurd behavior initially contrasts with Faustus's grandeur. As the play goes on, though, Faustus's behavior

comes to resemble that of the clown.

**Robin** - An ostler, or innkeeper, who, like the clown, provides a comic contrast to Faustus Robin and his friend Rafe learn some basic conjuring, demonstrating that even the least scholarly can possess skill in magic. Marlowe includes Robin and Rafe to illustrate Faustus's degradation as he submits to simple trickery such as theirs.

**Rafe** - An ostler, and a friend of Robin. Rafe appears as Dick (Robin's friend and a clown) in B-text editions of *Doctor Faustus*.

**Valdes and Cornelius -** Two friends of Faustus, both magicians, who teach him the art of black magic.

**Horse-courser** - A horse-trader who buys a horse from Faustus, which vanishes after the horse-courser rides it into the water, leading him to seek revenge.

The Scholars Faustus's colleagues at the University of Wittenberg. Loyal to Faustus. the scholars appear at the beginning and end of the play to express dismay at the turn Faustus's studies have taken, to marvel at his achievements, and then to hear his agonized confession of his pact with Lucifer.

**The Pope -** The head of the Roman Catholic Church and a powerful political figure in the Europe of Faustus's day. The pope serves as both a source of amusement for the play's Protestant audience and a symbol of the religious faith that Faustus has rejected.

**Emperor Charles V** - The most powerful monarch in Europe, whose court Faustus visits.

**Knight** - A German nobleman at the emperor's court. The knight is skeptical of Faustus's power, and Faustus makes antlers sprout from his head to teach him a lesson. The knight is further developed and known as Benvolio in B-textversions of Doctor Faustus, Benvolio seeks revenge on Faustus and plans to murder him.

Bruno - A candidate for the papacy, supported by the emperor. Bruno is captured

by the pope and freed by Faustus. Bruno appears only in B-text versions of *Doctor Faustus*.

Duke of Vanholt - A German nobleman whom Faustus visits.

**Martino and Frederick** - Friends of Benvolio who reluctantly join his attempt to kill Faustus. Martino and Frederick appear only in B-text versions of *Doctor Faustus*.

# 9.8 PLOT

Doctor Faustus, a well-respected German scholar, grows dissatisfied with the limits of traditional forms of knowledge-logic, medicine, law, and religionand decides that he wants to learn to practice magic. His friends Valdes and Cornelius instruct him in the black arts, and he begins his new career as a magician by summoning up Mephistophilis, a devil. Despite Mephistophilis's warnings about the horrors of hell, Faustus tells the devil to return to his master, Lucifer, with an offer of Faustus's soul in exchange for twenty-four years of service from Mephistophilis. Meanwhile, Wagner, Faustus's servant, has picked up some magical ability and uses it to press a clown named Robin into his service.

Mephistophilis returns to Faustus with word that Lucifer has accepted Faustus's offer. Faustus experiences some misgivings and wonders if he should repent and save his soul; in the end, though, he agrees to the deal, signing it with his blood. As soon as he does so, the words "Homo fuge," Latin for "O man, fly," appear branded on his arm. Faustus again has second thoughts, but Mephastophilis bestows rich gifts on him and gives him a book of spells to learn. Later, Mephastophilis answers all of his questions about the nature of the world, refusing to answer only when Faustus asks him who made the universe. This refusal prompts yet another bout of misgivings in Faustus, but Mephastophilisand Lucifer bring in personifications of the Seven Deadly Sins to prance aboutin front of Faustus, and he is impressed enough to quiet his doubts.

Armed with his new powers and attended by Mephastophilis, Faustus begins to travel. He goes to the pope's court in Rome, makes himself invisible,

and plays a series of tricks. He disrupts the pope's banquet by stealing food and boxing the pope's ears. Following this incident, he travels through the courtsof Europe, with his fame spreading as he goes. Eventually, he is invited to the court of the German emperor, Charles V (the enemy of the pope), who asks Faustus to allow him to see Alexander the Great, the famed fourth- century B.C. Macedonian king and conqueror. Faustus conjures up an image of Alexander, andCharles is suitably impressed. A knight scoffs at Faustus's powers, and Faustus chastises him by making antlers sprout from his head. Furious, the knight vows revenge.

Meanwhile, Robin, Wagner's clown, has picked up some magic on his own, and with his fellow stablehand, Rafe, he undergoes a number of comic misadventures. At on point, he manages to summon Mephastophilis, who threatens to turn Robin and Rafe into animals (or perhaps even does transform them; the text isn't clear) to punish them for their foolishness.

Faustus then goes on with his travels, playing a trick on a horse-courser along the way. Faustus sells him a horse that turns into a heap of straw when ridden into a river. Eventually, Faustus is invited to the court of the Duke of Vanholt, where he performs various feats. The horse-courser shows up there, along with Robin, a man named Dick (Rafe in the A text), and various others who have fallen victim to Faustus's trickery. But Faustus casts spells on themand sends them on their way, to the amusement of the duke and duchess.

As the twenty-four years of his deal with Lucifer come to a close, Faustus begins to dread his impending death. He has Mephastophilis call up Helen of Troy, the famous beauty from the ancient world, and uses her presence to impress a group of scholars. An old man urges Faustus to repent, but Faustus drives him away. Faustus summons Helen again and exclaims rapturously about her beauty. But time is growing short. Faustus tells the scholars about his pact, and they are horror-stricken and resolve to pray for him. On the final night before the expiration of the twenty-four years, Faustus is overcome by fear and remorse. He begs for mercy, but it is too late. At midnight, a host of devilsappears and carries his soul off to hell. In the morning, the scholars find

Faustus's limbs and decide to hold a funeral for him.

Faustus becomes dissatisfied with his studies of medicine, law, logic and theology; there- fore, he decides to turn to the dangerous practice of necromancy, or magic. He has his servant Wagner summon Valdes and Cornelius, two German experts in magic. Faustus tells them that he has decided to experiment in necromancy and needs them to teach him some of the fundamentals.

When he is alone in his study, Faustus begins experimenting with magical incanta- tions, and suddenly Mephistophilis appears, in the form of an ugly devil. Faustus sends him away, telling him to reappear in the form of a friar. Faustus discovers that it is not his conjuring which brings forth Mephistophilis but, instead, that when anyone curses the trinity, devils automatically appear. Faustus sends Mephistophilis back to hell with the bargain that if Faustus is given twenty-four years of absolute power, he will then sell his soul to Lucifer.

Later, in his study, when Faustus begins to despair, a Good Angel and a Bad Angel appear to him; each encourages Faustus to follow his advice. Mephistophilis ap pears and Faust agrees to sign a contract in blood with the devil even though several omens appear which warn him not to make this bond. Faustus begins to repent of his bargain as the voice of the Good Angel continues to urge him to repent. To divert Faustus, Mephistophilis and Lucifer both appear and paradethe seven deadly sins before Faustus. After this, Mephistophilis takes Faustus to Rome and leads him into the pope's private chambers, where the two become invisible and play pranks on the pope and some unsus- pecting friars.

After this episode, Faustus and Mephistophilis go to the German emperor's court, where they conjure up Alexander the Great. At this time, Faustus also makes a pair of horns suddenly appear on one of the knights who had been skeptical about Faustus powers. After this episode, Faustus is next seen sellinghis horse to a horse-courser with the advice that the man must not ride the horse into the water. Later, the horse-courser enters Faustus' study and accuses Faustus of false dealings because the horse had turned into a bundle of hay in the middleof a pond.

After performing other magical tricks such as bringing forth fresh grapes in the dead of winter, Faustus returns to his study, where at the request of his fellow scholars, he conjures up the apparition of Helen of Troy. An old man appears and tries to get Faustus to hope for salvation and yet Faustus cannot. He knows it is now too late to turn away from the evil and ask for forgiveness. When the scholars leave, the clock strikes eleven and Faustus realizes that he must give up his soul within an hour. As the clock marks each passing segment of time, Faustus sinks deeper and deeper into despair. When the clock strikes twelve, devils appear amid thunder and lightning and carry Faustus off to his eternal damnation.

# 9.9 LEGEND

#### Sources of the Legend

Doctor Faustus is based on an older tale; it is believed to be the first dramatisation of the Faust legend. Some scholars believe that Marlowe developed the story from a popular 1592 translation, commonly called The English Faust Book. There is thought to have been an earlier, lost, German edition of 1587, which itself may have been influenced by even earlier, equally unpreserved pamphlets in Latin, such as those that likely inspired Jacob Bidermann's treatment of the damnation of the doctor of Paris, Cenodoxus (1602). Several soothsayers or necromancers of the late fifteenth cen tury adopted the name Faustus, a reference to the Latin for "favoured" or "auspicious"; typical was Georgius Faustus Helmstetensis, calling himself astrologer and chiromancer, who was expelled from the town of Ingolstadt for such practices. Subsequent commenta tors have identified this individual as the prototypical Faustus of the legend.

Whatever the inspiration, the development of Marlowe's play is very faithful to the Faust Book, especially in the way it mixes comedy with tragedy. However, Marlowe also introduced some changes to make it more original. He made three main additions:

- Faustus's soliloquy, in Act 1, on the vanity of human science
- · Good and Bad Angels
- The substitution of a Pageant of Devils for the Seven Deadly Sins

He also emphasised Faustus' intellectual aspirations and curiosity, and minimised the vices in the character, to lend a Renaissance aura to the story.

### 9.10 RECAPITULATION OF THE LESSON

The present lesson discussed the Act IV and V of the play. The final scenes contain some of the most noteworthy speeches in the play, especially Faustu's speech of Helen and his final soliloquy. Faustus's final speech is the most emotionally powerful scene in the play, as his despairing mind rushes from idea to idea. One moment he is begging time to slow down, the next he is imploring Christ for mercy. One moment he is crying out in fear and trying to hide from wrath of God, the next he is begging to have the eternity of hell lessened somehow. He curses his parents for giving birth to him but then owns up to his responsibility and curses himself. His mind's various attempts to escape his doom, then, lead inexorably to an understanding of his own guilt.

The passion of the final speech points to the central question in Doctor Faustus of why Faustus does not repent. Early in the play, he deceives himself into believing either that hell is not so bad or that it does not exist. But, by the close, with the gates of hell literally opening before him, he still ignores the warnings of his own conscience and of the old man, a physical embodiment of the conscience that plagues him. Faustus's loyalty to Lucifer could be explained by the fact that he is afraid of having his body torn apart by Mephastophilis. Marlowe suggests that Faustus's self-delusion persists even at the end. Having served Lucifer for so long, he has reached a point at which he cannot imagine breaking free. In his final speech, Faustus is clearly wracked with remorse, yethe no longer seems to be able to repent.

#### 9.11 SELF ASSESSMENT QUESTIONS

#### 9.11.1 Short AnswerType Questions

# Q1. What are the sources of the legend?

Possible Answer: The old legend that a man could obtain supernatural power

by selling his soul to the devil found its climax in the sixteenth century in the person of Doctor Faustus who really lived in the first half of that century. This man was a wandering scholar who became notorious as a necromancer, braggart, and superquack, who, abandoning the disinterested pursuit of knowledge infavour of its worldly exploitation, and attaining some temporary success, ultimatelymet disaster. After his death, a book called Fausth MCQS (or, Faustbook) appeared in German in 1587. This book was supposed to contain the experiences and adventures of Doctor Faustus. It was translated into English under the title: The History of the Damnable Life and Deserved Death of Doctor John Faush Marlowe must have taken the material for writing of his play from this English translation.

#### Q2. Discuss the plot of the play Doctor Faustus.

**Possible Answer:** Doctor Faustus, a well-respected German scholar, grows dissatis fied with the limits of traditional forms of knowledge-logic, medicine, law, and religion and decides that he wants to learn to practice magic. Hisfriends Valdes and Corneliu instruct him in the black arts, and he begins his new career as a magician by summoning up Mephistophilis, a devil. Despite Mephistophilis's warnings about the horrors of hell, Faushi tells the devil to return to his master, Lucifer, with an offer of Faustus's soul in exchange for twenty-four years of service from Mephistophilis. Meanwhile, Wagner, Faustus's servant, has picked up some magical ability and uses it to press a clown named Robin into his service.

#### Q3. What is the dramatic significance of the opening soliloquy of Faustus?

**Possible answer:** The play begins with a long soliloquy where Faustus reflects on the most rewarding type of scholarship. He first considers logic, but notes that logic him. He considers medicine and law but dismisses law as is not scholarly enough for him. too petty, dealing with trivial matters rather than larger ones. Divinity, the study of religion and theology, seems to offer wider vistas, but he then dismisses religion and fixes his mind on magic, which, when properly pursued, he believes will make him "a mighty god".

# Q4. What does Faustus Study?

**Possible answer:** Faustus renounces heaven and God, swears allegiance to hell, and demands that Mephastophilis rise to serve him. The devil Mephastophilis then appears before Faustus, who commands him to depart and return dressedas a Franciscan friar. Mephastophilis vanishes, and Faustus remarks on his obedience. Mephastophilis then reappears, dressed as a monk, and asks Faustus what he desires. Faustus offers his soul to Lucifer in return for twenty-four years of Mephastophilis's service. Mephastophilis agrees to take this offer to his master and departs. Left alone, Faustus remarks that if he had "as many soulsas there be stars," he would offer them all to hell in return for the kind of power that Mephastophilis offers him (3.102). He eagerly awaits Mephastophilis's return.

# Q5. Discuss Faustus' apostrophe to Helen of Troy?

**Possible Answer:** His address to Helen begins with the famous line "Was this the face that launched a thousand ships," referring to the Trojan War, which was fought over Helen, and goes on to list all the great things that Faustus would do to win her love.

# Q6. How does Faustus teach Benvolio a lesson?

**Possible answer:** The emperor tells Faustus that he would like to see Alexander the Great and his lover. Faustus tells him that he cannot produce their actual bodies but can create spirits resembling them. A knight present in the court is skeptical, and asserts that it is as untrue that Faustus can perform this feat asthat the goddess Diana has transformed the knight into a stag. Before the eyesof the court, Faustus creates a vision of Alexander embracing his lover Faustus conjures a pair of antlers onto the head of the knight. The knight pleads for mercy, and the emperor entreats Faustus to remove the horns. Faustus complies, warning Benvolio to have more respect for scholars in the future.

Q7. What does Faustus thoughts soon turn away from?

Ans. \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Q8. V</b> Ans.	What are the Seven Deadly Sins?
<b>Q9.</b> V \Ans.	Why did Faustus barter his soul to the Devil?
<b>Q10.</b> Ans.	How does Faustus sign his compact with Lucifer?
<b>Q11.</b> Ans.	What does the Latin words "Homo Fuge" means?
<b>Q12.</b> Ans.	Who tries to dissuade Faustus from signing the pact with Devil?
Q13.	Write a short note on the structure of Doctor Faustus.

Q14. Discuss briefly the main characters in the play.

Q15. Explain the opening of Scene V.

Q16. What noteworthy speeches does the final scene contain?

#### 9.12 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS

- Q1. Who is the main character in the play Doctor Faustus?
  - a) Lucifer b) Mephistophillis
  - c) Doctor Faustus d) Good Angel
- Q2. What is the nationality of Doctor Faustus?
  - a) English b) Dutch
  - c) Irish d) German
- Q3 Except for the Good Angel, who dissuades Faustus from signing the deal with

	a) Mephistophillis	b) Lucifer		
	c) The Old Man	d) Wagner		
04.	. This play is written in the form of a:			
	a) Lyric	b) Ode		
	c) Blank Verse	d) Sonnet		
Q5.	5. Which translation was banned?			
	a) Amores	b) Pharsalia		
	c) Doctor Faustus	d) Dido		
Q6.	. Which field of study does Fastus opt for ?			
	a) Logic	b) Theology		
	c) Medicine	d) Necromancy		
Q7.	Who is the ruler of hell ?			
	a) Mephistophillis	b) Dr. Fraustus		
	c) Bad Angel	d) Lucifer		
Q8.	. For how many dears does Faustus offer his soul to Mephistophillis			
	a) 10	b) 12		
	c) 24	d) 30		
Q9. "Homo Fuge" is a term. Latin				
;	a) Spanish	b) Latin		
(	c) Mexican	d) Portugueseb		
Q10.Which German emperor invites Dr. Faustus to his palace?				
	a) Charles V	b) Paul V		
	c) Albert V	d) John V		

	a) 12	b) 11		
	c) 10	d) 8		
Q12.	. Whom did Faustus free from the clutches of the Pope?			
	a) Bruno	b) Old Man		
	c) Helen	d) Wagner		
Q13. Which famous conqueror does Faustus conjure up in the court of the emperior ?				
	a) William the Great	b) Alexander the Great		
	c) Napoleon the Great	d) Charles the Great		
Q14.	. Which war was fought for Helen?			
	a) War of Mesopotamia	b) War of Macedonia		
	c) Punic War	d) Trojan War		
Q15.	5. Doctor Faustus represents a clash between Renaissance and			
	a) Medievalism	b) Modernism		
	c) Utilitarianism	d) Humanitarianism		

Q11. The Trojan War lasted for how many years?

- Answer:
  - c)
     d)
     c)
     c)
     c)
     c)
     d)
  - 6. d)

- 7. c)
- 8. b)
- 9. a)
- 10) c)
- 11) a)
- 12) b)
- 13) d)
- 14) a)

# 9.13 SUGGESTED READINGS

- 1. Doctor Faustus Edited by Suroopa Mukherjee
- 2. The Cambridge Companion to Medieval English Literature R. Beadle
- 3. Christopher Marlowe : a Biographical and Critical study F. S. Boas
- 4. Renaissance Self-fashioning from More to Shakespeare Stephen Greenblatt
- 5. English drama before Shakespeare P. Happe

-----

# COURSE No. 121DRAMA-ILESSON No. 10M.A. ENGLISHUNIT-IIDOCTOR FAUSTUS : CHRISTOPHER MARLOWE

# FAUSTUS AS A RENAISSANCE MAN

#### **STRUCTURE**

- 10.1 Introduction
- 10.2 Objectives
- 10.3 Factus : As a Renaissance man
- 10.4 His thirst for power
- 10.5 His moral degeneration
- 10.6 His tragic fall
- 10.7 His tragic end
- 10.8 Explanation with reference to the context
- 10.9 Recapitulation of the lesson
- **10.10** Check your progress
- 10.11 Self Assessment Questions
  - 10.11.1 Short Answer Type Questions
- 10.12 Examination Oriented Questions
- **10.13** Multiple Choice Questions
- 10.14 Answer Key

10.15 Let us sum up

10.16 Suggested Readings

# **10.1 INTRODUCTION**

The lesson provides a critical analyses of Faustus' character.

# **10.2 OBJECTIVES**

The aim of the present lesson is to discuss the character-sketch of Dr. Faustus in detail. This lesson will discuss in detail

- a) Doctor Faustus as a product of Renaissance
- b) Faustus' thirst for power
- c) the moral degeneration of Faustus from a great scholar to a doomed man.
- d) what led to the tragic fall of Faustus.

## **10.3 FAUSTUS : AS A RENAISSANCE MAN**

Faustus appears as a man of the Renaissance in the very opening scene when, rejecting the traditional subjects of study, he turns to magic and considers the varied uses to which he can put his magic skill after he has acquired it. He contemplated the "world of profit and delight, of power, of honour, of omnipotence" which he hopes to enjoy as a magician. In dwelling upon the advantages which will accrue to him by the exercise of his magic power, he shows his ardent curiosity, his desire for wealth and luxury, his nationalism, and his longing for power. These were precisely the qualities of the Renaissance, which was the age of discovery. A number of allusions in the first scene of Act I maintain our sense of the enlarged outlook and extended horizons of that great period of English history. Faustus desires gold from the East Indies, pearls from the depths of the sea, pleasant fruits and princely delicacies from America. His friend Valdes refers to the Indians in the Spanish colonies, to Lapland giants, and to the annual plate- fleet which supplied gold and silver to the Spanish treasury from the New

World. There was much in this scene to inflame the hearts of English audiences who must have heartily approved of Faustus' intention to chase away the Prince of Parma from the Netherlands. Thus Faustus' dream of power included much that had a strong appeal for the English people including Marlowe himself.

Faustus certainly embodies the new enquiring and aspiring spirit of the age of the Renaissance. Marlowe expressed in this play both his fervent sympathy with that new spirit and, ultimately, his awed and pitiful recognition of the danger into which it could lead those who were dominated by it. The danger is clearly seen in Faustus' last soliloquy in which Faustus offers to burn his books. No doubt these books are chiefly the books of magic. Faustus attributed his downfall, partly at least, to his learning.

Doctor Faustus as not only the first major Elizabethan tragedy, but the first to explore the tragic possibilities of the direct clash between the Renaissance compulsions and the Hebraic-Christian tradition. Faustus put into an old legend a new meaning. He inserted into the old medieval or Christian moral equation the new and ambiguous dynamic of the Renaissance. He treated the legend of Faustus in such a manner as to give it a fascination and a dignity never realized in previous treatments of the story. The story of this 24 year action, compressed by Marlowe in a few vivid scenes, represents a soul torn between the desire to stretch to its utmost limit its new mastery and freedom on the one hand, and, on the other hand, the claims of the old teachings a defiance of which meant guilt and a growing sense of alienation from society.

The legend of Faustus was believed to be a terrible and ennobling example, and a warning to all Christians to avoid the pitfalls of science, pleasure, and ambition which had led to Faustus' damnation. But it has to be noted that all that the Renaissance valued is represented in what the devil has to offer, and one is left wondering whether it is the religious life of the worldly life that is more attractive. All that the Good Angel in this play has to offer is "warnings". For instance, the Good Angel warns Faustus against reading the book of magic because it will invite God's "heavy wrath" upon his head, and asks him to think of heaven. To this the Evil Angel replies: "No Faustus, think of honour and wealth". At another point in the play the Evil Angel urges Faustus to go forward in the famous art of magic and to become a lord and commander of the earth. There can be no doubt that the devil here represents the natural ideal of the Renaissance by appealing to the vague but healthy ambitions of a young soul which wishes to launch itself upon the wide world. No wonder that Faustus, a child of the Renaissance, cannot resist the devil's suggestion. We like him for his love of life, for his trust in Nature, for his enthusiasm for beauty. He speaksfor all when, looking at Helen he cries: "Was this the face that launched a thousand ships/And burnt the topless towers of Ilium?" To sum up, Marlowe's Faustus is a martyr to everything that the Renaissance valued - power, curious knowledge, enterprise, wealth, and beauty. The play shows Marlowe's own passion for these Renaissance values.

#### **10.4 HIS THIRST FOR POWER**

The Prologue, or first Chorus, sets Faustus, his character and his doom before us in clear, emphatic terms. We are here told that Faustus, swollen with pride in his attainments, meets a sad end because he has preferred forbidden pursuits to the pursuit of salvation. His insatiable thirst for knowledge and power is clearly evident from the first scene which deals with Faustus' decisionto take up magic. One by one he examines the branches of higher learning as theywere organized in the universities of his day: philosophy, medicine, law, and theology. One by one the feats of secular leaning are rejected because their ends do not satisfy his demand. But his demands has clearly to be understood. He does not pursue knowledge for the sake of truth, but for the sake of power, super- human power, the power over life and death. His fundamental grievances is:"Yet art thou still but Faustus, and a man". Dissatisfied with his human status, he rebels against human limitations. He would like to have the power to make men live eternally, and the power to bring the dead back to life. He rejects divine learning (or theology) also, because it is based on a recognition of man's mortality and fallibility. Leaving divinity to God, Faustus dedicates himself to the devil. Rejecting the fatalism of the doctrine "Che sera, sera" (what will be, shall be), he performs an act of his own will, and it is one of the developing

ironies of the play that what he wills to be shall be (that is, he chooses the devil and damnation, and he goes, in the end, to the devil and damnation).

When the two Angels make their exit, and Faustus is left alone, in a soliloquy, Faustus dwells upon the power and the pleasures that he will be ableto enjoy by means of magic. He will make spirits bring him gold from India, pearls from the ocean, and delicious fruits from all corners of the New World. He will make spirits read to him "strange philosophy" and tell him the secretsof foreign kings. Through the agency of spirits, he will wall all Germany with brass, make the river Rhine circle the city of Wittenberg, clothe university students with silk, raise an army to chase the Prince of Parma from his land, and have at his disposal marvelous weapons of war.

The study of Necromancy further aids to fulfill his achievements as he makes it clear that magic has "ravished" him. He recalls his victories in the discussions he has had with the priests of the German church and says that hehas resolved to become as great a magician as Agrippa used to be. Valdes encourages Faustus in his resolve and says that spirits of every element will beat the service of Faustus. Spirits will attend on him, guard him, and bring great treasures to him from America. Sometimes these spirits will appear before himas lovely women, or unmarried maidens. Faustus assures Valdes of his firm resolution. Cornelius says that, as Faustus is fully qualified and equipped for the study of magic, he will in course of time be held in greater reverence than the Delphian oracle. Valdes then gives Faustus the necessary guidance and asks him to go to some solitary grove in order to conjure. Faustus decides to conjure this same night, no matter what happens.

The superficial logic by which Faustus rejects the scriptures and divinity is in itself a deeply ironic comment on his character and career. This logic is also misleading, and only an excuse for Faustus to try to gain "a world of profit and delight, of power, of honour, and omnipotence". This logic betrays not onlya willingness to discard the scriptures but also an attitude of mind that will gradually lead him to the sin of despair. In aspiring to be more than man, Faustus repudiates his humanity, and rebels against the ultimate reality. In his aspiration to be as God, he chooses the not-God.

The first section of the play ends with the first scene. Faustus has "settledhis studies" and decided to conjure the same night "thought I die therefore". The reference to death here foreshadows ironically the ultimate result of the choicehe has made.

# **10.5 HIS MORAL DEGENERATION**

As soon as Faustus, has decided that necromancy is the only study worth his while, he seeks the aid of Valdes and Cornelius, who, are already proficient in the art. The pair are ready enough to help Faustus, for they have been trying in the past to lead him into forbidden ways. he tells them that their exhortations have won him at last "to practise magic and concealed arts". At the same time, he is anxious not to appear too pliant, and adds: "Yet not your words only, but mine own fantasy." He makes it plain that he is no humble seeker after instruction, but one who has already earned fame and honour. The two friends are willingto accept him on his own terms.

Utrens He paints a glowing picture of the possibilities before them, the only condition being that Faustus remains firm in his decision: "If learned Faustus will be resolute." However, it soon appears that for all their reputation for proficiency in magic, the two friends of Faustus have not yet gone far. They have certainly called spirits, but they have made no use of this success. They havebeen careful not to sacrifice their salvation for the attainment of supernatural powers. They have never yielded to the temptation of the spirits and never put their powers to test. Even when they agree to guide Faustus in his explorations of magic, they leave us in no doubt of their intention to use Faustus as a tool rather than run into danger themselves. These two men are not perfect magicians welcoming a promising beginner, but merely the devil's decoys !uring Faustus along the road to destruction. They serve their purpose in giving a dramatic turn to the scene of his temptation, and except for a passing mention by the students, we hear no more of them.

Faustus goes to conjure alone, and alone he concludes his pact with the devil.

As for the use to which he will put his newly-acquired powers, he speaks in a heroic vein about the world of profit and delight, of power, of honour, and omnipotence; all things that move between the quiet poles shall be at his command; his dominion will stretch as far as does the mind of man; he will become a demi-god; he will wall all Germany with brass, and chase the Prince of Parma from his land. Whateverbaser elements there may be in his ambition, we should not fail to recognize its nobler elements, even though subsequently Faustus, instead of pursuing ends worthyof his professed ideals, abandons these and appears content to amuse the Emperorwith conjuring tricks and play childish pranks on the Pope.

Faustus soon lapses into luxury and buffoonery. The reason is that all that happens to Faustus, once the pact has been signed, is the devil's work. Who but a fool would imagine that any power but evil could be won by a bargain with evil, or that truth could be elicited from the father of lies? Marlowe knew the nature of the power his hero had acquired and the inevitable curse it carried with it. Of course, Faustus' deterioration is not an automatic results of his pact with the devil. In spite of his genuine desire to know truth, the seeds of decay existed in his character from the first; otherwise he would not have made his fatalbargain. Besides his passion for knowledge, he has a lust for riches and pleasure and power. He does express patriotic sentiments, but he has an almost vulgar desire to exercise authority over kings and rulers and even reveals his sensual nature by speaking of living "in all voluptuousness." Faustus is a man dazzled by an unlimited possibilities of magic, and he shows himself quite aware of his own weakness when he says: "The god thou servest is thine own appetite."

After Faustus has signed the bond with his blood, we can trace the stages of a gradual deterioration. Although he was sceptical regarding hell and heaven in his first interview with Mephistophilis (before he signed the bond), his scepticism now becomes bolder and more jeering. He now tells Mephistophilis that he thinks hell to be a "fable".

He refuses to believe that "after life there is any pain". To Mephistophili's remark that he (Mephistophilis) is now in hell, Faustus's reply is that if this be hell ("sleeping, eating, walking, and disputing"), he will willingly be damned. Faustus's

discussion with Mephistophilis on the subject of astronomy is curiously barren. The quarrel that follows on Mephistophili's refusal to say who made the world leads to the intervention of Lucifer and the "pastime" of the Seven Deadly Sins. It is a much shrunken Faustus who, after seeing the parade of the Seven Deadly Sins, exclaims: "O, this feeds my soul." He had felt equally delighted with the dance of the devils who offered him "crowns and rich apparel" just before his signing the bond. At that time he was told that he would be able to conjure up such spirits at will and even perform greater feats. Faustus had thereupon said: "Then there's enough for a thousand souls." We may perhaps infer that Mephistophili's promise included sensual satisfaction. That interference would accord with Faustus's mood soon, afterwards when he demands a wife, "the fairest maid in Germany", and when, instead of providing a suitable wife for him, Mepbistopilis offered to bring him a mistress, any woman who attracted him, "be she as chaste as was Penelope "

So far Faustus has not left Wittenberg, and the emphasis has been on the hollowness of his bargain in respect of any intellectual progress or enlightenment. Only his childish pleasure in the devil-dance (Act III, Scene I, Lines 82-83) and the pageant of the Seven Deadly Sins (Act II, Scene II, Lines 112-172) hints at the vulgar trivialities to which he will descend. Now, in the company of Mephistophilis, he launches forth into the world (Act III-the speech of the Chorus). 200

There is something strange and peculiar, not only in Faustus's situation, but in his nature. Once he has signed the bond, he has of his own free will renounced salvation. But he has brought upon himself another change also. In this connection, we should not neglect the first clause of his agreement with the devil: "that Faustus may be a spirit in form and substance". This clause has generally been taken to mean merely that Faustus will be free of the bonds of flesh, so that he may be invisible at will, able to change his shape, ride on dragons, and so on.

When Faustus calls on Christ to save his distressed soul, Lucifer replies with admirable logic that Christ, being just, will not interfere because Fastus's soul has been pledged to the devil. Thus the possibility of Faustus's salvation is left nicely balanced in doubt. It is only when, back among his students at

Wittenberg, he faces the final reckoning that Faustus regains some degree of heroic dignity.

One good, or at least amiable, quality, apart from a genuine tenderness towards his students, Faustus shows throughout: a love of beauty in Nature and in art:

Have not I made blind Homer sing to me Of Alexander's love and Oenon's death? And hath not he, that built the walls of Thebes With ravishing sound of his melodious harp, Made music-? (Act II, Scene II, Lines 26-30)

The climax of his career in his union with the immortal beauty of Helen. This sensitive appreciation of beauty could be something that has survived uncorrupted from his days of innocence. And who is Helen? Here we come to the central theme of the damnation of Faustus. When the Emperor asks him to summon Alexander and his paramour, Faustus explains the nature of the figures that will appear. The circumstances in which Helen is summoned for the second time should also be noted. Urged by the Old Man, Faustus has tried for the last time to revolt against the devil. But he has been threatened into submission, and has renewed the blood-bond. He has sunk so low as to ask for the revenge upon the Old Man who had tried to save his soul. And it is in the first place as a safeguard against once again trying to desert the devil that he seeks possession of Helen. He wants Helen so that her sweet embraces "may extinguish clean/ Those thoughts that do dissuade me from my vow,/ And keep mine oath I madeto Lucifer!" Revenge upon the Old Man and the desire to make love to Helenare both sought as guarantees against salvation. Helen then is a "spirit", and inthis play a spirit means a "devil". In making her his paramour Faustus commits he sin of demoniality, that is, bodily or sexual intercourse with a demon.

Thus with Faustus's union with Helen the nice balance between possible salvation and imminent damnation is upset, and the Old Man recognizes the inevitable in his above-quoted speech. Faustus, in his talk with the Scholars inAct V, Scene II, shows a terrible clarity of vision: "a surfeit of deadly sin, that hath damned both body and soul. Faustus' offence can never be pardoned: the serpent that tempted Eve may be saved, but not Faustus." In the final scene Faustus is still haunted by the idea of a salvation beyond his reach:

See, see, where Christ's blood streams in the firmament! One drop would save my soul, half a drop: Ah, my Christ!

(Act V, Scene III, Lines 79-80)

This play presents the fall and slow moral disintegration of an ardent, but erring, spirit. It depicts not only Faustas's spiritual sin of bartering his soul to the powers of evil, but also the physical counterpart of that sin, the physical counterpart being demoniality.

# **10.6 HIS TRAGIC FALL**

The first thing that strikes us about Faustus is his extra-ordinary learning and scholarship. The Chorus, in his very first speech, tell us of this aspect of Faustus's character,. According to the Chorus, Faustus made a rapid progress in the study of divinity. From Faustus' first soliloquy we learn that, before he decided to practice magic, he had already attained mastery over various branches of study. He had acquired great proficiency in logic; his medical skill had wonhim great renown; he had made a name as a theologian; and so on. Intellectually equipped as Faustus is, he soon becomes a great magician whose wonderful performances astonish all Germany. Speaking to the Scholars towards the endof the play, Faustus recalls the wonders that he has done and that the whole world has witnessed.

Faustus is endowed with a rare imaginative faculty. Having rejected the various branches of study, Faustus visualizes the wonders that he will be able to work with the power of magic:

*O*, what a world of profit and delight Of power, of honour, of omnipotence,

#### Is promised to the studious artizan!(Act

#### I, Scene 1, Lines 51-53)

He goes on to speak of the unlimited authority he will wield. He will be greater than emperors and kings, and his dominion will "stretch as far as doth the mind of man". He will become a "mighty god". He sees bright visions of spirits bringing his gold from India, pearls from the ocean, pleasant fruits from distant corners of the world. He hopes to wall all Germany with brass, make the Rhine circle the city of Wittenberg, chase the Prince of Parma from his land, and so on. He thinks that he will be able to make a bridge through the moving air in order to cross the ocean with an army of soldier; he thinks of joining the hills that bind the African shore; he imagines that no ruler will be able to rule except by his permission. Not only does Faustus possess an exceptional imaginative power which enables him to see bright dreams of his future as a magician, but he is a born poet. His poetic faculty is, indeed, remarkable. Almost every major speech of Faustus is instinct with the poetry that is an innate gift with him. Even the manner in which he gives expression to his conflicts and despairs is worthy of a poet.

Faustus' sin is pride, presumption, and elf-conceit. The Chorus refers to his as becoming "swollen with cunning, of a self-conceit," and compares his toIcarus who flew into the sky with wings made of wax which melted with the heat of the sun and led to Icarus' dropping to the earth and meeting his death because of his presumption in challenging the Gods who then "conspired his overthrow". Faustus' pride is the pride of knowledge with which he proceeds to study necromancy. The Chorus puts it thus:

# Nothing so sweet as magic is to him Which he prefers before his chiefest bliss:

In his very first soliloquy, Faustus speaks of a "world of profit, and delight, of honour, of omnipotence" which he hopes to attain. He dreams of ruling all things "that move between the quiet poles" and thinks that his power will "stretch as far as doth the mind of man". "A sound magician is a mighty god", he says, and decides to exert his brains in order "to gain a deity". He quickly responds to the suggestion

of the Evil Angel that he should be on earth "as Jove in the sky", and attain the position of a "lord and commander" of this world. Faustus sees extravagant visions of the power that he will wield, and is encouraged in his hopes by Valdes and Cornelius. He becomes so proud that the word "damnation", he says, "terrifies not him" and he refuses to believe that there is any pain waiting for human beings after death. He scolds Mephistophilis for feeling unhappy at having lost the joys of heaven and asks him to learn from him "manly fortitude". He expects Mephistophilis to do whatever he shall command, "be it to make the moon drop from her sphere,/Or the ocean to overwhelm the world." Closely allied to the sin of pride is Faustus" "curiosity" which makes him probe the secrets of the universe. He not only wants Mephitophilis to tell him what hell is like but he would like to visit to hell in order that he can see it with his own eyes: "O, might I see hell, and return again." He demands from the devil a book which can teach him all about spells and incantations, characters and planets of the heavens, the plants and trees that grow on the earth. With the power of magic, he ascends to the top of the Olympus mountain, sees the Tropic, the Zones, and the heights of Primum Mobile, and studies cosmography, not to speak of his visits of various places on the earth. This excessive curiosity is also regarded as part of Faustus' sin. But that is not all. He is also guilty of sensuality. While laying down his conditions for signing a contract with the devil, he demands that he should be allowed to live for twenty-four years "in all voluptuousness". Soon after the bond has been signed, he demands a wife, "the fairest maid in Germany" because, as he says, he cannot live without a wife. Subsequently, he asks for Helen whose sweet embraces, he says, will drive out from his mind all thoughts of rebellion against Lucifer. When Helen is brought to him, he goes into raptures over her beauty and says that none but she shall be his paramour. Faustus knows very well that the woman to whom he is making love is not the real Helen, but a succuba, a devil in the guise of Helen. This means that, in making love to her, he commits the sin of demoniality. Finally, Faustus is guilty of the sin of despair. "Despair" in this context means allegiance to the devil and loss of faith in God. At several points in the course of the play, Faustus speaks of his despair. For instance, after the Old Man, has spoken to him of his sinful life, Faustus, addressing himself, says: "Damned art thou, Faustas, damned; despair and die!"

Although it is in a mood of exhilaration and elation that Faustus decides to take the study of necromancy and to practice magic, he has no peace once he has taken that decision. Throughout the twenty-four years during which he practices magic, he experiences a mental conflict between his godly and ungodly impulses. A feeling of regret at having alienated God keeps haunting him during this period. The Good and the Evil Angels, who appear to him on several occasions, are merely that personifications of his own good and evil impulses. The words they speak to him are symbolic of the mental debate that goes on his own mind between his desire to enjoy the fruits of the power he has gained through magic and an urge not to renounce his trust in God. Several times he gives an outward expression to the tussle that goes on in his mind.

Even while continuing to practice magic, Faustus experiences the pangs and pricks of his conscience. The manner in which Faustus harasses the Pope by snatching away eatables and drinks from the Pope's hands and the manner in which he befools and cheats the Horse-courses, far from doing him any credit, lower and degrade him in our eyes. This great scholar, who had planned to wall all Germany with brass and to drive away the Prince of Parma from his land, is now frittering away his energies by playing crude tricks and by giving magic performances to entertain the Emperor and the Duke and Duchess of Vanholt. Perhaps the scene of the Pope's harassment and the Horse-courser scene were not written by Marlowe himself. Perhaps it was Marlowe's intention to show, with the help of such scenes, the rapid deterioration that takes place in the character of Faustus after his becoming a disciple of the devil. The Faustus who plays tricks on the Pope, the Knight, and the Horse-courser is different from the Faustus of the opening scenes.

The final monologue of Faustus not only emphasizes Faustus imaginative and poetic faculties, but is unsurpassed as an expression of spiritual horror. Faustus here realizes that time will not stop and that the devil will come at the fixed hour :

The starts move still, time runs, the clock will strike, The devil will come, and Faustus must be damned.

(Act V, Scene III, Lines 76-77)

Faustus wishes that he did not have a soul or that his soul were not immortal. He would like his body to turn into air and his soul to little water- drops which may mingle with the ocean and never be found again. The climax of horror is reached in the last four lines of his monologue.

#### **10.7 HIS TRAGIC END**

We first see Faustus at the peak of his worldly career. He is already master of all the existing knowledge and skills. He is a famous physician, honoured by whole cities and held in reverence by his students. Why, then did he becomes restless? Why was he unwilling to remain "but Faustus, and a man"? (Act I, SceneI, Line 23). Why did he feel an urge to command "all things that move between the quiet poles"? (Act I, Scene I, Line 54). It is because a tragic hero feels the compulsion to realize himself fully in the face of all the odds, and that the test of his heroism is the degree of the risk he is willing to take. In his sense, the tragedy of Faustus is the tragedy of Adam. To Adam, paradise was not enough. He soughtknowledge, and this was a forward step in the direction of self-realization. To the orthodox people, Adam's action is surely sinful, just as Faustus' action is wholly devilish in the eyes of the Chorus who opens and closes of the play. Faustus' opening soliloquy also represents his action as sinful because, after he has dismissedall studies but necromancy (which he thinks to be the key to his self- realization), the Good Angel tells him to put aside the damned book of magic, while the Evil Angel urges him to go forward in that famous art.

Marlowe sees the whole case not only as Good or Evil would see it but as it would be seen by a man of flesh and blood, the man who takes the risk is prepared to face the consequences. The meaning is the total yield of thesituation into which Faustus walks of his own free will, in accordance with the mysterious, tragic urge of his times. Faustus' first move after deciding upon necromancy as the field of his research is one of arrogant and impatient lust for power. His absurd egotism is mixed with intellectual and humanitarian impulses. He would resolve all ambiguities, read strange philosophy, rid his country of the foreign domination and fortify it with a wall of brass, clothe the schoolboys in silk. He is prepared, at the end of Act I, Scene I, to take the ultimate risk: "This night I'll conjure, though I die therefore". Kater, in Act I, Scene III, he rebukes the Devil's own messenger, Mephistophilis, whose heart faints as he anticipates Faustus' awful fate. Faustus here speaks of his own"manly fortitude", he scorns Mephistophilis' warning; he rejects all hope of heavenly joys; and offers his soul to Lucifer for twenty-four years of his heart's desires. With this decision come new energy, new power, new command.

By the time of his second conjuring (Act II, Scene I), even before the signing of the bond, he confesses doubts, "Something sounds in mine ears: Abjure this magic, turn to God again!", he says. And he asks himself why he is wavering. He feels like turning to God again, but thinks that God does not love him. The doubts will not vanish, and Faustus lives out his twenty-four years asthe first modern tragic man, part believer, part unbeliever, wavering between independence, and dependence upon God, now arrogant and confident, now anxious and worried, justified yet horribly unjustified.

Faustus is forced constantly to renew his choice between two alternatives. In contrast moods, he sees greater heights, and he experiences greatest terror. Soon the gentle voice that sounded in his ears, urging him to give up his magic and return to God, takes the shape of "fearful echoes" thundering in his ears: "Faustus, thou art damned" (Act II, Scene II, Lines 20-21). What he is learning is the truth of his own nature, that he is a creature, as well as a creator, a man and not a God, a dependent and a responsible part of a greater whole. He learns that his soul is not a mere trifle which he can use as a commodity, and that contrition, prayer, repentance, hell, and damnation are not just "illusions" (as the Evil Angel told him).

Between the high-soaring scholar of the first scene and the agonised figure of the final scene, there is a notable difference. In the final scene, Faustus enters with the Scholars, and for the first time in the play he has normal, compassionate discourse with his fellows. His role of demi-god is over; he is human once more, a friend and befriended. "Ah, gentlemen, learn me with patience", says he who had been only recently acting as if he were the lord of all creation. His friends now seem more "sweet" (he uses this word thrice for them) than any "princely delicate", or the "Signiory of Emden". Although the thrill of his exploits still lingers (in his recollection of "the wonders he has done"), he is humble and repentant. He longs to weep and pray but finds himself prevented by the devils from doing so. He confesses to the Scholars the cause of all his misery. Knowing his doom is near, he refuses their help and asks them not to talk to him but save themselves and depart. They retire, leaving him to meet his fate alone.

Faustus reaches levels of perception never gained by less venturesome individuals. He must see things with his own eyes. He does not want so much what power can bring: he never takes the Signiory of Emden, never builds abrass wall around Germany, never clothes the school-boys in silk. He wants what all men, good and bad, have wanted. He wants to conquer time, space, and ignorance. Above all, he wants knowledge: What is hell? Where is it? Who made the world? He wants to know everything about "the plants, the herbs, the trees that grow upon the earth". "He explores this world and also the regions above this world; he tries to understand the secrets of the heavens. He digs into the past, making blind Homer sing to him, and Amphion play the harp for him. What Marlowe dramatizes is not only the terror of the black art as the old legend told about it, but the wonder of it, the wonder of the man who dared to use the black art and the wonder of the mysteries it reveals. But the play also points o the peculiar dilemma of modern times. On the one hand is human limitation: on the other is the compulsion of the modern man to deny his limitations, and to press even further into the mysteries of a universe which appears steadily to yield more and more of its secrets to his enquiring mind. To rest content withhis limitations would mean that he refuses to make the fullest use of his own God-given powers; yet to explore the mysteries of the universe is somehow evil and may bring not only the present suffering but the horrors of eternity".

In his last despairing moments, Faustus asks why he was not born a creature lacking in a soul, or why his soul had to be immortal. Medieval theology held that man is because he believes. To this the answer of the Renaissance was that man is because he thinks and acts and discovers. Neither view, as Marlowe presents Faustus' dilemma, is wholly right or wholly wrong. In the world of tragedy, the hero can only take the road of experiment. He must follow his bent, take action, and live it through.

# 10.8 EXPLANATION WITH REFERENCE TO THE CONTEXT Passage.I

These metaphysics of magicians, And necromantic books are heavenly!

**Reference:** These lines are taken from Act I, scene I of Christopher Marlowe's play Dr. Faustus.

**Context :** The play begins with a long soliloquy where Faustus reflects on the most rewarding type of scholarship. He first considers logic, quoting the Greek philosopher Aristotle, but notes that disputing well seems to be the only goalof logic, and, since Faustus' debating skills are already good, logic is not scholarly enough for him. He considers medicine, quoting the Greek physician Galen, and decides that medicine, with its possibility of achieving miraculous cures, is the most fruitful pursuit-yet he notes that he has achieved great renownas a doctor already and that this fame has not brought him satisfaction. He considers law, quoting the Byzantine emperor Justinian, but dis- misses law astoo petty, dealing with trivial matters rather than larger ones. Divinity, the study of religion and theology, seems to offer wider vistas, but he quotes from St. Jerome's Bible that all men sin and finds the Bible's assertion that "the rewardof sin is death" an unacceptable doctrine. He then dismisses religion and fixes his mind on magic, which, when properly pursued, he believes will make him"a mighty god" (1.62).

# Passage II

Think'st tough that I, who saw the face of God, And tasted the eternal joys of heaven, Am not tormented with ten thousand hells In being deprived of everlasting bliss?

#### **Reference:**

This passage has been taken from Scene III of Act I

#### **Explanation:**

Faustus stands in a magical circle marked with various signs and words, and he chants in Latin. Four devils and Lucifer, the ruler of hell, watch him from the shadows. Faustus renounces heaven and God, swears allegiance to hell, and demands that Mephastophilis rise to serve him. The devil Mephastophilis then appears before Faustus, who commands him to depart and return dressed as a Franciscan friar, since "that holy shape becomes a devil best" (3.26). Mephastophilis vanishes, and Faustus remarks on his obedience. Mephastophilis then reappears, dressed as a monk, and asks Faustus what he desires. Faustus demands his obedience, but Mephastophilis says that he is Lucifer's servant and can obey only Lucifer. He adds that he came because he heard Faustus deny obedience to God and hoped to capture his soul.

#### Passage III

Why this is hell, nor am I out of it. Think'st thou that I, who saw the face of God, And tasted the eternal joys of heaven, Am not tormented with ten thousand hells In being deprived of everlasting bliss?

**Reference :** This passage has been extracted from Act I, Scenes III, Lines 76-80.

**Context :** The speaker is Mephistophilis. He offers a powerful portrait of hell that seems to warn against any pact with Lucifer. He exposes the horrors of his own experience as if offering sage guidance to Faustus. His honesty in mentioningthe "ten thousand hells" that torment him shines a negative light on the action of committing one's soul to Lucifer.

# Passage IV

Sweet Helen, make me immortal with a kiss: Her lips sucks forth my soul, see where it flies! Come Helen, come, give me my soul again. Here will I dwell, for heaven be in these lips, And all is dross that is not Helena!

Reference: These lines occur in Act V, Scene I of the play.

**Context:** Faustus enters with some of the scholars. One of them asks Faustus if he can produce Helen of Greece (also known as Helen of Troy), who they have decided was "the admirablest lady / that ever lived" (12.3-4). Faustus agrees to produce her, and gives the order to Mephastophilis: immediately, Helen herself crosses the stage, to the delight of the scholars. He is awed by her beauty and graces and loses sense of time. He wants to attain immortality by kissing her. He praises her lips as filled with sweetness that they have drawn his soul out of his body. Then he asks her to give back his soul which the first kiss had sucked forth. He believes that heaven is in her lips.

# Passage V

Now hast thou but one bare hour to live, this And then thou must be damned perpetually. Ugly hell gape not! Come not, Lucifer! I'll burn my books-ah, Mephastophilis!

Reference: These lines occur in Scene III of Act V of the play.

**Context :** The final night of Faustus's life has come, and he tells the scholarsof the deal he has made with Lucifer. They are horrified and ask what theycan do to save him, but he tells them that there is nothing to be done. Reluctantly, they leave to pray for Faustus. A vision of hell opens before

Faustus's horrified eyes as the clock strikes eleven. The last hour passes by quickly, and Faustus exhorts the clocks to slow and time to stop, so that he might live a little longer and have a chance to repent. He then begs God to reduce his time in hell to a thousand years or a hundred thousand years, so long as he is eventually saved. He wishes that he were a beast and would simply cease to exist when he dies instead of face damnation. He curses his parents and himself, and the clock strikes midnight. Devils enter and carry Faustus away as he screams, "Ugly hell gape not! Come not, Lucifer! I'll burnmy books-ah, Mephastophilis!"

# Passage VI

O, I'll leap up to my God! Who pulls me down?.... One drop of blood would save my soul, half a drop: ah my Christ (13.69-71)

Reference : These lines are spoken by Dr. Faustus in the Epilogue.

**Context :** Faustus appears to be calling on Christ, seeking the precious drop of blood that will save his soul. Yet some unseen force-whether inside or outside him-prevents him from giving himself to God.

#### Passage VII

Faustus is gone! Regard his hellish fall, Whose fiendful fortune may exhort the wise Only to wonder unlawful things: Whose deepness doth entice such forward wits To practice more than heavenly power permits.

Reference: These lines occur in the Epilogue of the play in lines 4-8.

**Context :** In the duel between Christendom and the rising modern spirit, Marlowe's play seems to come down squarely on the side of Christianity. Yet Marlowe,

himself notoriously accused of atheism and various other sins, may have nad other ideas, and he made his Faustus sympathetic, if not necessarily admirable. While his play shows how the untrammeled pursuit of knowledge and power can be corrupting, it also shows the grandeur of such a quest. Faustus is damned,but the gates that he opens remain standing wide, waiting for others to follow.

# **10.9 RECAPITULATION OF THE LESSON**

In this lesson, the important passages from the play have been given and explained with reference to context.

# **10.10 CHECK YOUR PROGRESS**

- 1. Explain with reference to context.
  - a) Think'st though that I, who saw the face of God,And tasted the eternal joys of heaven,Am not tormented with ten thousand hellsIn being deprived of everlasting bliss?
  - b) Now hast thou but one bare hour to live,And then thou must be damned perpetually.Ugly hell gape not! Come not, Lucifer!I'll burn my books-ah, Mephistophilis!
  - c) Sweet Helen, make me immortal with a kiss: Her lips sucks forth my soul, see where it flies! Come Helen, come, give me my soul again. Here will I dwell, for heaven be in these lips, And all is dross that is not Helena!

Answer the following questions :

- 1.. Discuss Doctor Faustus as a Morality play.
- 2. Discuss the structure or construction of the play Doctor Faustus.
- 3. Comment on the appropriateness or otherwise of the comic and farcical scenes in Doctor Faustus.

4. Write a note on the Renaissance character of the play Doctor Faustus.

# 10.11 SELF ASSESSMENT QUESTIONS

## **10.11.1** Short answer type questions

Q1. Discuss Faustus as a man of Renaissance.

**Possible answer :** Faustus appears as a man of the Renaissance in the very opening scene when, rejecting the traditional subjects of study, he turns to magic and considers the varied uses to which he can put his magic skill after he has acquired it. He contemplated the "world of profit and delight, of power, of honour, of omnipotence" which he hopes to enjoy as a magician. In dwelling upon the advantages which will accrue to him by the exercise of his magic power, he shows his ardent curiosity, his desire for wealth and luxury, his nationalism, and his longing for power. These were precisely the qualities of the Renaissance, which was the age of discovery.

# Q2. How makes Doctor Faustus a tragedy?

**Possible answer:** The first thing that strikes us about Faustus is his extra- ordinary learning and scholarship. The Chorus, in his very first speech, tell usof this aspect of Faustus's character,. According to the Chorus, Faustus made a rapid progress in the study of divinity. From Faustus' first soliloquy we learn that, before he decided to practice magic, he had already attained mastery over various branches of study. He had acquired great proficiency in logic; his medical skill had won him great renown; he had made a name as a theologian; and so on. Intellectually equipped as Faustus is, he soon becomes a great magician whose wonderful performances astonish all Germany. Speaking to the Scholars towards the end of the play, Faustus recalls the wonders that he has done and that the whole world has witnessed.

Q3. What is the cause of tragedy in Doctor Faustus?

Q4. Trace the various stages of Faustus's damnation.

Q5. How does Marlowe portray the character of Faustus?

#### **10.12 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS**

# Q1. Discuss Marlowe's contribution to English Drama?

**Ans.** The chief works of Marlowe are as follows; Dido, Queen of Carthage is believed to have been his first. It was performed by the Children of the Chapel, a company of boy actors, between 1587 and 1593. The play was first publishedin 1594; the title page attributes the play to Marlowe and Thomas Nashe. Marlowe's first play performed on the regular stage in London, in 1587, was Tamburlaine the Great, about the conqueror Tamburlaine, who rises from shepherdto war-lord. It is among the first English plays in blank verse, and, with Thomas Kyd's The Spanish Tragedy, generally is considered the beginning of the mature phase of the Elizabethan theatre. Tamburlaine was a success, and was followed with Tamburlaine the Great, Part II. The two parts of Tamburlaine were published in 1590. The Jew of Malta, about a Maltese Jew's barbarous revenge against the city authorities, has a prologue delivered by a character representing Machiavelli. It was probably written in 1589 or 1590, and was first performed in 1592. It was a success, and remained popular for the next fifty years.

Edward the Second is an English history play about the deposition of King Edward II by his barons and the Queen, who resent the undue influencethe king's favourites have in court and state affairs. The play was entered into the Stationers' Register on 6 July 1593, five weeks after Marlowe's death. The Massacre at Paris is a short and luridly written work, the only surviving text of which was probably a reconstruction from memory of the original performance text, portraying the events of the Saint Bartholomew's Day Massacre in 1572, which English Protestants invoked as the blackest example of Catholic treachery. It features the silent "English. Agent", whom subsequent tradition has identified with Marlowe himself and his connections to the secret service. The Massacre at Paris is considered his most dangerous play, as agitators in London seized on its theme to advocate the murders of refugees from the low countries and, indeed, it warns Elizabeth I of this possibility in its last scene. Its full title was The Massacre at Paris: With the Death of the Duke of Guise. Marlowe also wrote the poem Hero and Leander (published in 1598), the popular lyric "The Passionate Shepherd to His Love", and translations of Ovid's Amores and the first book of Lucan's Pharsalia. In 1599, his translation of Ovid was banned and copies publicly burned as part of Archbishop Whitgift's crackdown on offensive material.

**Q2.** What is the dramatic significance of the opening scene of the play Doctor Faustus?

**Possible Answer:** The play begins with a long soliloquy where Faustus reflects on the most rewarding type of scholarship. He first considers logic, quoting the Greek philosopher Aristotle, but notes that disputing well seems to be the only goal of logic, and, since Faustus' debating skills are already good, logic is not scholarly enough for him. He considers medicine, quoting the Greek physician Galen, and decides that medicine, with its possibility of achieving miraculous cures, is the most fruitful pursuit-yet he notes that he has achieved great renownas a doctor already and that this fame has not brought him satisfaction. He considers law, quoting the Byzantine emperor Justinian, but dismisses law as too petty, dealing with trivial matters rather than larger ones. Divinity, the study of religion and theology, seems to offer wider vistas, but he quotes from St. Jerome's Bible that all men sin and finds the Bible's assertion that "the reward of sin is death" an unacceptable doctrine. He then dismisses religion and fixes his mind onmagic, which, when properly pursued, he believes will make him "a mighty god".

Wagner, Faustus's servant, enters as his master finishes speaking. Faustus asks Wagner to bring Valdes and Cornelius, Faustus's friends, to help him learn the art of magic. While they are on their way, a good angel and an evil angel visit Faustus. The good angel urges him to set aside his book of magic and read the Scriptures instead; the evil angel encourages him to go forward in his pursuit of the black arts. After they vanish, it is clear that Faustus is going to heed the evil spirit, since he exults at the great powers that the magical arts will bring him. Faustus imagines sending spirits to the end of the world to fetch him jewels and delicacies, having them teach him secret knowledge, and using magic to make himself king of all Germany.

Q3. Discuss Doctor Faustus as a man of Renaissance.

**Possible Answer :** Faustus appears as a man of the Renaissance in the very opening scene when, rejecting the traditional subjects of study, he turns to magic and considers the varied uses to which he can put his magic skill after he has acquired it. He contemplated the "world of profit and delight, of power, of honour, of omnipotence" which he hopes to enjoy as a magician. In dwelling upon the advantages which will accrue to him by the exercise of his magic power, he shows his ardent curiosity, his desire for wealth and luxury, his nationalism, and his longing for power. These were precisely the qualities of the Renaissance, which was the age of discovery. A number of allusions in the first scene of Act I maintain our sense of the enlarged outlook and extended horizons of that great period of English history. Faustus desires gold from the East Indies, pearls from the depths of the sea, pleasant fruits and princely delicacies from America. His friend Valdes refers to the Indians in the Spanish colonies, to Lapland giants, and to the annual plate- fleet which supplied gold and silver to the Spanish treasury from the New World. There was much in this scene to inflame the hearts of English audiences who must have heartily approved of Faustus' intention to chase away the Prince of Parma from the Netherlands. Thus

Faustus' dream of power included much that had a strong appeal for the English people including Marlowe himself.

# V, Scene II (91-92)

Faustus certainly embodies the new enquiring and aspiring spirit of the age of the Renaissance. Marlowe expressed in this play both his fervent sympathy with that new spirit and, ultimately, his awed and pitiful recognition of the danger into which it could lead those who were dominated by it. The danger is clearly seen in Faustus' last soliloquy in which Faustus offers to burn his books. No doubt these books are chiefly the books of magic. Faustus attributed his downfall, partly at least, to his learning.

Doctor Faustus as not only the first major Elizabethan tragedy, but the first to explore the tragic possibilities of the direct clash between the Renaissance compulsions and the Hebraic-Christian tradition. Faustus put into an old legend a new meaning. He inserted into the old medieval or Christian moral equation the new and ambiguous dynamic of the Renaissance. He treated the legend of Faustus in such a manner as to give it a fascination and a dignity never realized in previous treatments of the story. The story of this 24 year action, compressed by Marlowe in a few vivid scenes, represents a soul torn between the desire to stretch to its utmost limit its new mastery and freedom on the one hand, and, on the other hand, the claims of the old teachings a defiance of which meant guilt and a growing sense of alienation from society.

The legend of Faustus was believed to be a terrible and ennobling example, and a warning to all Christians to avoid the pitfalls of science, pleasure, and ambition which had led to Faustus' damnation. But it has to be noted that all that the Renaissance valued is represented in what the devil has to offer, and one is left wondering whether it is the religious life of the worldly life that is more attractive. All that the Good Angel in this play has to offer is "warnings". For instance, the Good Angel warns Faustus against reading the book of magic becauseit will invite God's "heavy wrath" upon his head, and asks him to think of heaven. To this the Evil Angel replies: "No Faustus, think of honour and wealth". At another point in the play the Evil Angel urges Faustus to go forward in the famous art of magic and to become a lord and commander of the earth. There can be no doubt that the devil here represents the natural ideal of the Renaissance byappealing to the vague but healthy ambitions of a young soul which wishes to launch itself upon the wide world. No wonder that Faustus, a child of the Renaissance, cannot resist the devil's suggestion. We like him for his love of life, for his trust in Nature, for his enthusiasm for beauty. He speaks for all when, looking at Helenhe cries: "Was this the face that launched a thousand ships/And burnt the topless towers of Ilium?" (Act To sum up, Marlowe's Faustus is a martyr to everything that the Renaissance valued-power, curious knowledge, enterprise, wealth, and beauty. The play shows Marlowe's own passion for these Renaissance values.

Q4. Trace the various stages of Faustus's damnation.

**Possible Answer :** The first thing that strikes us about Faustus is his extra- ordinary learning and scholarship. The Chorus, in his very first speech, tell usof this aspect of Faustus's character, According to the Chorus, Faustus made a rapid progress in the study of divinity. From Faustus' first soliloquy we learn that, before he decided to practice magic, he had already attained mastery over various branches of study. He had acquired great proficiency in logic; his medical skill had won him great renown; he had made a name as a theologian; and so on. Intellectually equipped as Faustus is, he soon becomes a great magician whose wonderful performances astonish all Germany. Speaking to the Scholars towards the end of the play, Faustus recalls the wonders that he has done and that the whole world has witnessed.

Faustus is endowed with a rare imaginative faculty. Having rejected the various branches of study, Faustus visualizes the wonders that he will be able to work with the power of magic. He goes on to speak of the unlimited authority he will wield. He will be greater than emperors and kings, and his dominion will "stretch as far as doth the mind of man". He will become a "mighty god". He sees bright visions of spirits bringing his gold from India, pearls from the ocean, pleasant fruits from distant corners of the world. He hopes to wall all Germany with brass, make the Rhine circle the city of Wittenberg, chase the Prince of Parmafrom his land, and so on. He thinks that he will be able to make a bridge through

the moving air in order to cross the ocean with an army of soldier; he thinks of joining the hills that bind the African shore; he imagines that no ruler will be able to rule except by his permission. Not only does Faustus possess an exceptional imaginative power which enables him to see bright dreams of his future as a magician, but he is a born poet. His poetic faculty is, indeed, remarkable. Almost every major speech of Faustus is instinct with the poetry that is an innate gift with him. Even the manner in which he gives expression to his conflicts and despairs is worthy of a poet.

Faustus' sin is pride, presumption, and self-conceit. The Chorus refers to his as becoming "swollen with cunning, of a self-conceit," and compares his to Icarus who flew into the sky with wings made of wax which melted with the heat of the sun and led to Icarus' dropping to the earththe study of necromancy and to practice magic, he has no peace one he has taken that decision. Throughout the twenty-four years during which he practices magic, he experiences a mental conflict between his godly and ungodly impulses. A feeling of regret at having alienated God keeps haunting him during this period. The Good and the Evil Angels, who appear to him on several occasions, are merely that personifications of his own good and evil impulses. The words they speak to him are symbolic of the mental debate that goes on his own mind between his desire to enjoy the fruits of the power he has gained through magic and an urge not to renounce his trust in God. Several times he gives an outward expression to the tussle that goes on in his mind. At the beginning of Act II, Scene I, we find him speaking of the tug-of-war that is going on in his mind. Faustus, while continuing to practice magic, yet experiences the pangs and pricks of his conscience. The manner in which Faustus harasses the Pope by snatching away eatables and drinks from the Pope's hands and the manner in which he befools and cheats the Horse-courses, far from doing him any credit, lower and degrade him in our eyes. This great scholar, who had planned to wall all Germany with brass and to drive away the Prince of Parma from his land, is now frittering away his energies by playing crude tricks and by giving magic performances to entertain the Emperor and the Duke and Duchess of Vanholt. Perhaps the scene of the Pope's harassment and the Horse-courser scene were not written by Marlowe himself. Perhaps it was Marlowe's intention to show, with the help of such scenes, the rapid deterioration

that takes place in the character of Faustus after his becoming a disciple of the devil. The Faustus who plays tricks on the Pope, the Knight, and the Horse-courser is different from the Faustus of the opening scenes.

The final monologue of Faustus not only emphasizes Faustus imaginative and poetic faculties, but is unsurpassed as an expression of spiritual horror.Faustus here realizes that time will not stop and that the devil will come at the fixed hour:

The starts move still, time runs, the clock willstrike, The devil wiill come and Fastus must be damned.

(Act V, Scene III, Lines 76-77)

Faustus wishes that he did not have a soul or that his soul were not immortal. He would like his body to turn into air and his soul to little water- drops which may mingle with the ocean and never be found again. The climax of horror is reached in the last four lines of his monologue.

Q5. What do you think is the cause of the tragedy in Doctor Faustus?

**Possible Answer :** We first see Faustus at the peak of his worldly career. He is already master of all the existing knowledge and skills. He is a famous physician, honoured by whole cities and held in reverence by his students. Why, then did he becomes restless? Why was he unwilling to remain "but Faustus, anda man"? (Act I, Scene I, Line 23). Why did he feel an urge to comman "all things that move between the quiet poles"? (Act I, Scene I, Line 54). It is because a tragic hero feels the compulsion to realize himself fully in the face of all the odds, and that the test of his heroism is the degree of the risk he is willing to take. In his sense, the tragedy of Faustus is the tragedy of Adam. To Adam, paradise was not enough. He sought knowledge, and this was a forward step in the direction of self-realization. To the orthodox people, Adam's action is surely sinful, just as Faustus' action is wholly devilish in the eyes of the Chorus who

opens and closes of the play. Faustus' opening soliloquy also represents his action as sinful because, after he has dismissed all studies but necromancy (which he thinks to be the key to his self- realization), the Good Angel tells him to put aside the damned book of magic, while the Evil Angel urges him to go forward in that famous art.

Marlowe sees the whole case not only as Good or Evil would see it but as it would be seen by a man of flesh and blood, the man who takes the .isk is prepared to face the consequences. The meaning is the total yield of the situation into which Faustus walks of his own free will, in accordance with the mysterious, tragic urge of his times. Faustus' first move after deciding upon necromancy as the field of his research is one of arrogant and impatient lust for power. His absurd egotism is mixed with intellectual and humanitarian impulses. He would resolve all ambiguities, read strange philosophy, rid his country of the foreign domination and fortify it with a wall of brass, clothe the schoolboys in silk. He is prepared, at the end of Act I, Scene I, to take the ultimate risk: "This night I'll conjure, though I die therefore". Kater, in Act I, Scene III, he rebukes the Devil's own messenger, Mephistophilis, whose heart faints as he anticipates Faustus' awful fate. Faustus here speaks of his own "manly fortitude", he scorns Mephistophilis' warning; he rejects all hope of heavenly joys; and e offers hissoul to Lucifer for twenty-four years of his heart's desires. With this decision come new energy, new power, new command.

By the time of his second conjuring (Act II, Scene I), even before the signing of the bond, he confesses doubts, "Something sounds in mine ears: Abjure this magic, turn to God again!", he says. And he asks himself why he is wavering. He feels like turning to God again, but thinks that God does not love him. The doubts will not vanish, and Faustus lives out his twenty-four years asthe first modern tragic man, part believer, part unbeliever, wavering between independence, and dependence upon God, now arrogant and confident, now anxious and worried, justified yet horribly unjustified.

Faustus is forced constantly to renew his choice between two alternatives. In contrast moods, he sees greater heights, and he experiences greatest terror. Soon the

gentle voice that sounded in his ears, urging him to give up his magic and return to God, takes the shape of "fearful echoes" thundering in his ears: "Faustus, thou art damned" (Act II, Scene II, Lines 20-21). What he is learning is the truth of his own nature, that he is a creature, as well as a creator, a man and not a god, a dependent and a responsible part of a greater whole. He learns that his soul is not a mere trifle which he can use as a commodity, and that contrition, prayer, repentance, hell, and damnation are not just "illusions" (as the Evil Angel told him).

Between the high-soaring scholar of the first scene and the agonised figure of the final scene, there is a notable difference. In the final scene, Faustus enters with the Scholars, and for the first time in the play he has normal, compassionate discourse with his fellows. His role of demi-god is over; he is human once more, a friend and befriended. "Ah, gentlemen, learn me with patience", says he who had been only recently acting as if he were the lord of all creation. His friends now seem more "sweet" (he uses this word thrice for them) than any "princely delicate", or the "Signiory of Emden". Although the thrill of his exploitsstill lingers (in his recollection of "the wonders he has done"), he is humble and repentant. He longs to weep and pray but finds himself prevented by the devils from doing so. He confesses to the Scholars the cause of all his misery. Knowing his doom is near, he refuses their help and asks them not to talk to him but save themselves and depart. They retire, leaving him to meet his fate alone.

Faustus reaches levels of perception never gained by less venturesome individuals. He must see things with his own eyes. He does not want so much what power can bring: he never takes the Signiory of Emden, never builds abrass wall around Germany, never clothes the school-boys in silk. He wants what all men, good and bad, have wanted. He wants to conquer time, space, and ignorance. Above all, he wants knowledge: What is hell? Where is it? Who made the world? He wants to know everything about "the plants, the herbs, the trees that grow upon the earth". "He explores this world and also the regions above this world; he tries to understand the secrets of the heavens. He digs into the past, making blind Homer sing to him, and Amphion play the harp for him. What Marlowe dramatizes is not only the terror of the black art as the old legend told about it, but the wonder of it, the wonder of the man who dared to use the

black art and the wonder of the mysteries it reveals. But the play also points to the peculiar dilemma of modern times. On the one hand is human limitation: on the other is the compulsion of the modern man to deny his limitations, and to press even further into the mysteries of a universe which appears steadily to yield more and more of its secrets to his enquiring mind. To rest content withhis limitations would mean that he refuses to make the fullest use of his own Godgiven powers; yet to explore the mysteries of the universe is somehow evil and may bring not only the present suffering but the horrors of eternity".

In his last despairing moments, Faustus asks why he was not born a creature lacking in a soul, or why his soul had to be immortal. Medieval theology held that man is because he believes. To this the answer of the Renaissance was that man is because he thinks and acts and discovers. Neither view, as Marlowe presents Faustus' dilemma, is wholly right or wholly wrong. In the world of tragedy, the hero can only take the road of experiment. He must follow his bent, take action, and live it through.

# **10.13 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS**

Q1. The Trojan War lasted for how many years?

a	) 12	b)	11
	, 12	σ,	

c)	10	C	1)	8
-,		-	~/	~

Q2. Whom did Faustus free from the clutches of the Pope?

a) Bruno b)	)	Old	Man
-------------	---	-----	-----

c) Helen d) Wagner

Q3. Which famous conqueror does Faustus conjure up in the court of the emperior?

- a) William the Great b) Alexander the Great
- c) Napoleon the Great d) Charles the Great

Q4. Which war was fought for Helen?

a) War of Mesopotamia b) War of Macedonia

c) Punic War	d) Trojan War
--------------	---------------

Q5. Doctor Faustus represents a clash between Renaissance and

- a) Medievalism b) Modernism
- c) Utilitarianism d) Humanitarianism

# **10.14 ANSWER KEY**

1) c

- 2) a
- 3) b
- 4) d
- 5) a

# 10.15 LET US SUM UP

This lesson deals with the important themes of the play. The important aspects to be kept in mind is how Doctor Faustus represents the Renaissancespirit, how his morality disintegrated, how there was fall in his character, and how he met a tragic end.

# **10.16 SUGGESTED READINGS**

- 1. Doctor Faustus- Edited by Suroopa Mukherjee
- 2. The Cambridge Companion to Medieval English Literature R. Beadle
- 3. Christopher Marlowe: a Biographical and Critical study F. S. Boas
- 4. Renaissance Self- fashioning from More to Shakespeare Stephen Greenblatt
- 5. English drama before Shakespeare P. Happe

-----

COURSE No. 121	DRAMA-I	LESSON No. 11
M. A. ENGLISH	WILLIAM	UNIT-III
	SHAKESPEARE	

# SHAKEASPEARE AND HIS TIMES

# STRUCTURE

11.1	Introduction

- 11.2 Objectives
- 11.3 Shakespeare's Life
- 11.4 Shakespeare's England
- 11.5 The Elizabethan World View
- 11.6 The Theatres and Playwrights
- 11.7 The Writer and His Work
- 11.8 The Early Plays
- 11.9 The Comedies
- 11.10 The Histories
- 11.11 The Tragedies
- 11.12 The Problem Plays
- 11.13 The Romances
- 11.14 Multiple Choice Questions
- 11.15 Suggested Readings

# **11.1 INTRODUCTION**

The lesson provides a brief introduction on Shakespeare's life and times.

#### **11.2 OBJECTIVES**

After studying this lesson you will be able to:

- (a) know about biographic sketch of Shakespeare and the historical back ground of that period.
- (b) to learn in brief the main works of Shakespeare.

#### **11.3 SHAKESPEARE'S LIFE**

William Shakespeare was born in 1564 at Stratford-on-Avon. He died in 1616. He studied only upto school, and did not have the benefit of university education. The "University Wits" like Marlowe, Peele, Greene, Nashe, on the other hand, were learned scholars. After his schooling, Shakespeare moved to London in search of occupation. He started as a curtain-puller at the first theatre on the outskirts of London. Its name was "The Theatre". Later, he became an actor, then a writer, and rose finally to become a manager of "The Globe" theatre in London. His only son, named Hamnet, died young. His father died about the same time. These two deaths in the last years of the sixteenth century shook Shakespeare. This personal loss gets reflected in his plays like Hamlet and King Lear.

During his career as a dramatist, which was spread over the two decades of 1590's and 1610's, Shakespeare wrote thirty-seven plays, all extant, available in print today. He also wrote 154 sonnets and at least three long poems. He has remained for about four centuries now the acknowledged greatest dramatist of the world. His poems and plays are taught all over the world in original English as well as in translations. Millions of books and articles have been written interpreting his writings.

His unparalleled success as a dramatist has led readers and critics to know about his life. Although not much known yet, that has not deterred the readers from making search into his life. Some details have come to be confirmed by common consensus among scholars.

One of the facts of Shakespeare's life that has been established is his baptism on 26 April, 1564. This is a matter of record evidenced by the parish register in which the births used to be recorded. Another fact that has got wide recognition is that he acted relatively minor parts in his own plays. For instance, he played the role of Adam in As You Like It, the Ghost in Hamlet, and Time in The Winter's Tale. He also acted in the first play of Ben Jonson named Every Man in His Humour. Shakespeare had not only acted in Jonson's pioneering classical comedy he had also helped the younger dramatist in facilitating the acting of that play. All these little facts only show how humble and genial our great dramatist was as a professional in the theatre business. Unlike most university wits, who have record of involvement in unseemly incidents, Shakespeare maintained a life of grace and honour.

Shakespeare's father, John Shakespeare, after selling his lands in the village near Stratford, had settled there as a successful wool merchant. His mother, Mary Arden, was the daughter of a rich farmer. While both the elder sisters of Shakespeare died in infancy, his four younger brothers survived. His father, besides having roaring business, rose to become in 1568 bailiff or mayor of Stratford. But something went wrong in the 70's leading to financial trouble, so much so that a warrant of his arrest was issued in 1573 for a debt of 30 pounds. He died in 1601, and his wife, Shakespeare's mother, in 1608. Shakespeare himself was born and died on St. George's day, which is April 23rd. Shakespeare married Anne Hathaway, his senior by 8 years, in 1582. They had a daughter, Susanna, five months after their marriage. Then later in 1582 were born the twins, Hamnet and Judith while the son died at the age of 11, the daughter lived until the age of 77. About Shakespeare's education at school not much is known. It is only presumed that since there was a school at Stratford and his father's position entitled him to admission, he must have studied at the school. The greatest evidence is, of course, the great work he produced later

in London. A definite evidence is available to the effect that in 1592, Shakespeare was in London, acting and writing plays. We do not know what he was doing between 1582 and 1592, but one thing is certain that he left Stratford because he did not want to remain in his father's business. His success thereafter is well known. He became at a later stage a member of a theatre company known as 'The Lord Chamberlain's Men'. This company became highly successful, so much so that it was allowed to call itself the King's Men. He moved back to Stratford in 1610, and stopped writing altogether in 1613. He died in 1616. His will still exists. One of the stories about his death is that he died of a fever contracted while drinking at a reunion with Ben Jonson.

# 11.4 SHAKESPEARE'S ENGLAND

England of Shakespeare's time did not have much population. But London, even over four hundred years ago, had a population of 150000 people. The death rate was rather high in those days, medical science not being there as we know it today. Plagues ravaged London quite regularly, forcing the authorities to close the theatres for fear of spreading infection. Open gutters used to run in the middle of the streets. Drinking water was drawn from wells which were often polluted. So people thought it safe to drink beer or ale, purified by the brewing process. As a result of all this, the average life span was just a little over thirty years. The largest mortality rate was among children a large percentage of whom would not last a period of eighteen months. Over eighty percent population of England lived in the rural areas, majority of whom were concerned with the production of food. Even Queen Elizabeth, although considered a much-traveled monarch, never went further than the Midlands, there being no transport except a horse coach. Starvation during the severe winter months remained a possibility for many poor people.

The Elizabethan society was highly hierarchical, divided into high, middle, and low classes. At the top sat the king or queen and the nobility at the court, who lived in vast luxury. At the bottom of the social ladder lived the farm workers who lived in severe primitive conditions. In effect, the monarch was not less than a dictator. The key to power in the Kingdom lay in the acquisition of land. No wonder that Shakespeare himself brought to finance his retirement lands and houses. Eligibility for election to Parliament was also based on the possession of land and property. Also, no worthwhile office could be available to people without land or property. The Elizabethans lived closer to nature, there being no other option available to them. They rose with the sun and went to bed at nightfall, because candles and oil lights were both expensive and inefficient. The court of England, like the other courts in Europe, was a heaven for the very best in art, music, and literature. The survival of many fine works of those days has been due to the patronage and support provided by royalty and the noble families. All noblemen and gentlemen were expected to know the court etiquette. They were also expected to be well-versed in classical and other literature, and have knowledge of the science and medicine of the day. They were also expected to be able to fight, to lead their forces in battle for their monarch, to sing, to write poetry, to dance, besides having a knowledge of the law, history, farming, accountancy, and languages. Sir Philip Sidney was considered an ideal "gentleman" of the Elizabethan age.

In historical and political conditions, the reign of Queen Elizabeth was considered a "golden period" in the history of England. Shakespeare lived during the reign of Elizabeth (1558 1603) and then the reign of James-I (1603-1625). The reign of James-I is called the Jacobean period. Hence Shakespeare was as much a Jacobean as he was an Elizabethan. The two decades of his writing career are evenly spread over the two reigns. Queen Elizabeth came to throne after a period of great upheaval, caused largely by her own father who ruled England from 1509 to 1547. At the time of King Henry VIII's accession to throne in 1509, England was a member of the Roman Catholic Church. Henry himself was married to a staunch Catholic, Katherine of Aragon. But since she failed to produce a son, needed to be the heir after Henry VIII, she had to be divorced. Another reason for Henry's eagerness to divorce her was his having fallen in love with Lady Anne Boyle. He hoped that the Church of Rome would annul his marriage to Katherine. However, facing stiff hostility on the issue from the Royal families of Europe, the Pope declined to do so. Consequently, a furious and impassioned Henry declared himself the Supreme Head of the English church. Thus, he replaced the Pope as the supreme religious authority of England. In his new capacity, Henry declared his marriage invalid and married Anne Boyle. As irony would have it, Anne bore him, not a

male, but a female child. This child was Elizabeth, who became the Queen of England.

Perhaps the breach between England and the Roman Church could still have been bridged if the guardians of the new King, the nine-year-old Edward VI (1538 1553) had not sought and succeeded in changing the actual form of church service used in England. Henry VIII had only changed the ownership of the church. Edward's reign changed its nature, into that of a genuinely Protestant as distinct from Catholic religion. Confusion became worse confounded when Edward died in 1553. The next five years saw the reign of the new Queen, Mary I (1516 1558), who was the daughter of Katherine of Aragon, the first wife of Henry VIII. Mary was married to the Catholic King of Spain. She tried to put the clock back and return the authority of the English church to the Pope of Rome. Heretics, so called for their refusal to accept Roman Catholicism, were burnt at the stake. The fierce fight continued between the Catholics and Protestants resulting into the deaths of several thousand and an exodus of even more protestants from England to the newly discovered land of America. It was for this bloodshed that the Queen came to be called "Bloody Mary." So when Mary died in 1558, the only descendent of Henry VIII was Elizabeth. Hence, she took over the reigns of power.

Under the shrewd leadership of Elizabeth, England prospered in all areas of life. In the absence of a Civil War or a major European war the country began to grow in confidence. The middle classes of England, the traders in particular, shared this prosperity more than the others. Although the early spirit of enthusiasm for adventure did not last long, the symbols of her reign in our memory are still the great Elizabethan sailors like Francis Drake (1545- 1596) and Walter Raleigh (1552 - 1618). They sailed to the corners of the earth and brought from abroad both fame and wealth back to their nation. They also helped discover colonies for England including India. Elizabeth herself sponsored their voyages. The rise of the middle class, consisting of merchants, clerks, lawyers, civil servants, changed the social structure of society. The queen had to use both carrot and stick to keep the majority in the Parliament on her side. It was not long after the end of Elizabeth's reign in 1603 that the same Parliament rose in rebellion against the King in 1642, and, after beheading Charles I, took over the reigns of power in 1649.

### 11.5 THE ELIZABETHAN WORLD VIEW

Each age in history is marked by a peculiar culture, a world view, and a literary style of its own. The age of Shakespeare, or the Elizabethan age, drew its inspiration from a mixture of ancient and medieval sources. While Plato and Aristotle constituted the main ancient source of inspiration for the Elizabethan reading public, the medieval writers, in particular, Dante, Petrarch, and Boccaccio, provided the Elizabethans with the medieval source of literary material. They found exciting ideas and tales to work upon in these ancient and medieval sources. Their existence, however, remained precarious, at least in physical terms. The Elizabethans felt more at the mercy of their natural as well as political environment than we do today. Threatened by the uncertainties of both nature and politics, they remained in anxiety and strived for a certain stability in personal as well as public life. They believed that everything in the world had its natural mode of functioning, and its natural place in the scheme of things. In the Elizabethan view, before the creation of mankind and the world there was chaos, by which they meant an actual physical state in which no single particle of matter was able to bond to another. Consequently, all things were in a permanent state of anarchic conflict and hostility. God then imposed his own law of matter and formed the world out of chaos.

As everything was created by God, the whole cosmos was a grand order in which different parts of Earth and Heaven, Nature and Humanity, were linked with each other. Disorder in one of these affected the working of others. The most commonly noted expression of this belief in Shakespeare's work occurs in Troilus and Cressida. The speech begins with a prime image of disorder. The planets are kept in their respective orbits by God's will. Then follows the breaking loose and random careering of the planets, causing death and destruction. Since the Elizabethans believed in the influence of the planets on the events on Earth, so Earth is shown suffering, too :

But when the planets In evil mixture to disorder wander, What plagues and what portents, what mutiny, What raging of the sea, shaking of earth, Commotion in the winds! Frights, changes, horrors, Divert and crack, rend and deracinate, The unity of married calm of states Quite from their fixture !

After drawing this horrific picture of destruction and disorder, Shakespeare then talks about order in which all have their positions in society. Here, the equivalent of social order is degree. Without it society will disintegrate, civilization would become impossible. Schools will vanish, and so will all learning. All will go topsy turvy, making governance impossible;

> Oh, when degree is shak'd Which is the ladder of all high designs, The enterprise is sick! How could communities, Degrees in schools, and brotherhoods in cities, Peaceful commerce from dividable shores, Prerogative of age, crowns, scepters, laurels, The primogenity and due of birth, But by degree, stand in authentic place?

Thereafter follows the climax of the dramatic speech. An appalling vision of Chaos is presented, where Nature, unrestrained by order, rises up against itself and destroys the world, where madmen and lunatics come to capture power and rule the sane, where sons kill their fathers :

> Take but degree away, untune that string, And hark, what disorder follows! Each thing melts In mere oppugnancy: the bounded waters Should lift their bosoms higher than the shores,

And make a sop of all this solid globe; Strength should be lord of imbecility, And the rude son should strike his father dead.

Thus, order is seen here as the frame upon which the fabric of civilized life is woven. The Elizabethans were strongly committed to the idea of order, which they saw as the informing spirit of the universe. Whoever made attempt to disturb that order by committing unnatural deeds, by violating this order, invited the wrath of nature. Macbeth does it, and so does Claudius, and so do the daughters of King Lear, all inviting death and destruction.

# **11.6 THEATRES AND PLAYWRIGHTS**

Perhaps there were no theatres in England before 1576. But acting was certainly there. Wandering groups of actors would visit towns and villages. They would fix up temporary stages with poles and planks, and perform crude comedies. It were these groups who left some legacies to the Elizabethans. It seems true that Shakespeare was recruited from Stratford by one such group. The design of the regular theatre that came up later was, in a way, a copy of what these wandering troupes would create as a temporary structure. They used to often set up their stage in the yard of an inn or a pub. Most inns were used to be built on three sides of a square, with a large entrance for horses, carts, and wagons forming the fourth side of the square. Thus, a large floor space was available for the audience directly in front of the back wall. The more elegant people of the town could see the play in the inn yard from the rooms that would ring the stage area on three sides. The inn itself would have its people who would be the basic audience, with others added to them.

When asked to build a theatre in London, the designer turned, quite reasonably, to this model in vogue. So, the first theatres were practically no more than recreations of such yards. The earliest theatres were "The Theatre' and "The Fortune'. In 1598, "The Theatre' was dismantled and reconstructed on the south bank of the river Thames in London, where it came to be known as "The Globe'. It was here that many of Shakespeare's plays were first performed. By 1608, however,

Shakespeare's company had moved to Blackfriar's Theatre. This one had greater indoor space with more sophisticated stage than was there at The Globe. Shakespeare's later plays King Lear, Macbeth, The Tempest, Cymbeiline, etc., did require more elaborate stage effects than did his earlier plays. Perhaps this explains the shift from one to the other theatre. The Elizabethan society that saw the first performance of Shakespeare's plays and the theatre where they were staged were both very different from our own. The capacity of 'The Globe' was as high as two thousand, although the actual space inside was rather small. Actors used to be in close proximity to the audience. Plays used to be performed in the afternoons, generally starting at about 2 p.m. The early theatres had to rely on natural light. The opening was announced by three trumpet blasts, with a flag hoisted earlier to show that play was to be staged that day. The audience ranged from the upper class, the high-ups, sitting in their private boxes, to the poor rabble standing in the pit, the open area before the stage. The standing crowd was called the "groundlings." These latter would crack nuts and drink ale during the performance. They even frequently rioted or engaged in drunken brawls. They clapped whenever they liked a scene, and hissed or mewed whenever they did not. In extreme cases, they would even hurl rotten fruit and abuse the actors.

A black hanging at the back of the stage was meant to announce that a tragedy will be played. A coloured or embroidered hanging would indicate a comedy. Once started, the play would be over in about two hours. There were no acts or scenes as divisions of the play. These are later innovations or impositions. The next scene was to begin as soon as the actors for the previous one left the stage. The plays were acted on the bare stage. Where a scene was located would be informed by the actors by working the information into the dialogue itself. The acting at the time was less natural and more stylized. Costumes were rich, extravagant, and expensive. The entry of any royal character would be accompanied by the sound of trumpets. Also there will be a whole procession following the royal personage. Wind, thunder, and cannon firing were simulated on the stage. All in all, it was an interesting place for entertainment.

We find this Elizabethan society, its world view, its way of living, all reflected in the plays of Shakespeare, which are comic, tragic, romantic, and historical. No other writer can claim as comprehensive representation of his age as is available in the plays of Shakespeare.

#### **11.7 THE WRITER AND HIS WORK**

Shakespeare, without any disagreement, is universally acclaimed as the greatest dramatist of the world. While Marlowe is known for tragedies, Ben Jonson for comedies, Shakespeare is known for tragedies as well as comic, histories as well as romances, tragi-comedies as well as comi-tragedies. Besides, the superiority of his tragedies, comedies, and romances has not been challenged by any of his contemporaries or any of those in subsequent ages. He remains an undisputed crowned king of dramatists all the world over in all the many languages. It is a misnomer to think that Shakespeare first wrote comedies, then histories, then tragedies, and last of all the romances. In point of fact, he wrote all of these forms of drama simultaneously without any such clear-cut phases. The only division that can be usefully made among his thirty seven plays is that of early, middle, and later. And on that basis stylistic and thematic peculiarities of the three phases can be traced, that too broadly and not in any water-tight compartments. One can surely trace the development of the dramatist in a chronological study in terms of his growing maturity of thought, increasing sophistication of dramaturgy, and growing complexity of style. Never do the authors develop, of course, so logically as critics tend to demand. However, some traces of growth will always be there in the works of great artists as they go along in their writing careers.

Edward Dowden, a late Victorian Shakespeare critic, invited scorn from subsequent modern critics for his doctrine of four periods in the dramatic career of Shakespeare. Dowden made these periods as (i) the "workshop" period, or the experimental period; (ii) the "in-the-world" period, when he was reveling in human life and writing the histories and happy comedies; (iii) the "out of the depths" period, when he wrote his great tragedies and the problem plays; (iv) the "on-the-heights" period, when he wrote the mature comedies, tragedies, histories, topped with romances. Dowden is accused of sentimentalism in his approach to Shakespeare. What need to be careful about, however, is not to trace any link between the happenings in Shakespeare's life and the subject-matter and atmosphere of his plays. We conveniently do that in the case of the Romantics and certain other writers, but it is just not possible in the case of a writer like Shakespeare.

#### **11.8 THE EARLY PLAYS**

Shakespeare's early period, as dramatist can be said to begin in 1591 and end in 1596. His earliest plays are the three parts of Henry VI. Both in terms of poetry as well as plot, these plays do not measure up to the standard of even The Comedy of Errors or Love's Labour's Lost, not to speak of Romeo and Juliet and A Midsummer Night's Dream, although all of these plays belong to the same period of his early phase. These plays display many features which typify Shakespeare's mature work. For instance, his insight into human nature, his powerful verse, a vast sense of comic enjoyment. But these features appear in flashes in these plays, and do not consistently and continuously present themselves. The over-all impact is not as strong and deep as that of the later work. At times, we come across clumsy lines of verse, or the verse just going on for too long. Similarly, plots quite often become too intricate or go out of control. When all is said and done, these plays suffer only because we tend to compare them with the plays of Shakespeare's mature phase. Otherwise, they are as good, even better, as their counterparts by any other Elizabethan or Jacobean dramatist.

The three Henry VI plays are historically inaccurate. But we do not read them to know the history of England. We read them because they dramatize or represent men, women and their affairs with an insight of a writer as great as Shakespeare. The Comedy of Errors has an intricate plot. It presents two identical twins, creating confusion of identity, made worse by disguises. The Two Gentlemen of Verona, is a relatively straightforward as well as superficial comedy. Richard III is part history, part tragedy, and part comedy. Very inaccurate in history, it presents a villain hero of tragedy who overshadows even regular villains like lago and Edmund. Although Brutus, Macbeth and Othello are criminal tragic heroes, they cannot be called villains in the sense in which we use the term. It is only Richard III who more than qualify the test of villainy. Titus Andronicus, is a tragedy that ends in blood bath. The Taming of The Shrew, is a comedy. It is the story of Kate, a loud-mouth, vicious tongue, and spiteful girl. She is finally tamed, she loses her wild character of a shrew, and is made into a good wife by Petruchio. It is more in the vein of classical comedy than in the typical Shakespeare mould. Love's Labour's Lost, is a more sophisticated and gentle comedy of love. It may have been written for performance at court. What is decidedly clear about the early plays of Shakespeare is (i) that his comedies are festive rather than satirical; (ii) and that his histories offer human interest more than the historical.

### **11.9 THE COMEDIES**

As Aristotle defines it, comedy is a play that has a happy ending, as tragedy has an unhappy ending. While a comedy culminates in marriage or meeting or reconciliation, a tragedy climaxes in death or destruction. Shakespeare's comedies are festive. They make us laugh. But they also go beyond laughter. They deal with themes of serious social bearing or political repercussions. In any case, they touch upon deeper human chords. Certain humourous situations are created only to cause laughter; such as someone's trousers falling down in public, or someone slipping on a banana skin. But there are also other situations which cause laughter only to raise serious questions of life; for instance, someone's mimicking the role of a King to bring out the hollowness of pomp and pride, or someone's falling into love with a father-like or mother-like spouse underlining the folly of mismatched marriage. Such comic situations point to serious social ills or vices, which finally disturb the social order.

Just as Shakespeare's four tragedies are considered his greatest, so are his four comedies which are considered his best. These comedies are As You Like It, Twelfth Night, A Midsummer Night's Dream, and Much Ado About Nothing. His female characters dominate his comedies, just as his male characters dominate his tragedies. They show greater intelligence and wit, greater warmth and humanity. These women, the leading lights, show the power of love, which transforms even villainous characters into good or noble ones. The case of Oliver in As You Like It, is an outstanding example of this type. Although villainous in the beginning, who grows jealous of his younger brother just because he is good and is liked by people. He intrigues against this younger brother of his, usurps his share of property, and pushes him into exile. But once in the forest of Arden, his transformation starts. He comes into contact with Celia, falls in love with her, and becomes a better person, purged of all evil tendencies. In Shakespeare's comedies, such escapades into Nature are meant to wean away men from the corrupt world of the court, bring them into contact with the mother earth, and thus rejuvenate or regenerate them into improved human beings.

While the lovers do find fulfilment in their union or marriage, there is always someone in Shakespeare's comedies who does not get assimilated into this romantic world of wish-fulfilment. There is, for instance, Jacques in As You Like It, and Osino in Twelfth Night. These characters are unable to change, or get redeemed, and therefore cannot achieve the lover's happiness in life. The happiness of the lovers at the end of these comedies is given flavour and sharpness by the realization, brought on by these "outsiders" (like Malvolio in Twelfth Night) that things do not always end happily. We are made to see the value of happiness by being shown a case of unhappiness. Of course, this is done not to spoil the dish, but only to make its flavour welcome. At the same time, cases like that of Jacques balance our perspective on life by providing us with a view of real life as against that of romance.

The central thing in the thematic scheme of Shakespeare's comedies is selfknowledge. Beginning with false image of themselves, the leading characters reach the end of their dramatic journey discovering truth about themselves, gaining in the process a better view of themselves as well as of others. The humour in these comedies assumes different guises. Even the Clown or the Fool falls into two distinct types. There is the low comedy of characters such as Touchstone in As You Like It and Bottom in A Midsummer Night's Dream. These characters belong to lower class of society; quite often they are even coarse and crude. But quite as often they are also very skilled with words. At the other extreme of the scale, stand characters such as Jacques in As You Like It and Feste in Twelfth Night, whose humour is tinged with melancholy and even cynicism. Such characters are intellectual and refined. With them, word-play and punning are essential to their style of humour. Another element of humour in these comedies is disguise, which, in fact, is both theme as well as a source of comedy. We have the female Rosalind in As You Like It posing as a boy. On the Elizabethan stage, where there were no female actors and the boys played the roles of girls, the device of disguise acquired a greater potential for mirth. Viola in Twelfth Night also disguises as a boy, which leads to various situations of comedy. These comedies are, therefore, festive as well as searching. They deal with surfaces as well as they look behind disguises. What the disguises conceal comes out as quickly as the surfaces show through mere encounters. The Merchant of Venice, is a supreme example of how Shakespeare successfully combines comic and serious intents in the contours of a coherent plot Shylock and Antonio, in their social and commercial rivalry, Portia standing in the middle, looking taller than both, constitute the frame, holding the fabric of Shakespeare's most mature comedy.

# **11.10 THE HISTORIES**

Since Kings and Princes, Dukes and Duchesses, throng the pages of Shakespeare's comedies, the condition of the royal courts is decidedly one of the themes of these plays. But the political plot gets overshadowed in the comedies by the love plot. Love gets the better of envy or jealousy. These royal personages show more of their private lives in these plays than they do their public or political. The plots of the plays are so designed that while love theme comes to occupy the centre stage, the political theme gets pushed into the background. It is in the history plays that the situation gets reversed. While politics in the histories holds the center stage, love and other private concerns are pushed into subsidiary plots. Among the histories, four can easily be singled out as the best of Shakespeare. Richard II, Henry IV- Part I,

Henry IV-Part II, and Henry V. In the Elizabethan society, the role of the monarch was of the greatest consequence. It was his role that defined the order to prevail in society both real and ideal. Shakespeare's history plays examine some crucial questions involved in the growth of monarchy in England as well as its relevance to other societies at the time. The sequence opens with Richard II. Although a rightful heir to the throne, Richard is unpredictable and is not a good human. He rules through favourites, ill-treats people, and fails to become an effective ruler. He alienates his cousin Bolingbroke, whom he banishes. The latter returns to England to claim back his position and his lands. In the process, he succeeds in deposing the

King and becoming himself the King of England. He is crowned as Henry IV. He proves a more successful king than Richard. However, his crown is procured by force, not by legitimate rights. The play dwells upon the paradox of a rightful bad king and the unlawful good kingomb The two parts of Henry IV examine the other aspect of the problem. Henry has some personal qualities to make a good King. But he as King lacks legitimacy. He has, in the Elizabethan world view, committed a sin against God by taking in his own hands the power of electing a King. In the public eye, he remains a usurper. He has to face rebellion after rebellion, which finally drives him to death. The clear message of the play is that no individual or personal quality can give you lasting peace and success unless you get your authority by rightful means. It is no surprise that it is in this play Shakespeare makes the King utter the home truth, "Uneasy lies the head that wears the crown."

The comic plot in Henry IV Part - I and Part II, in which the main actors are Prince Hal, who later becomes Henry V, and Sir Falstaff, outgrows its original role and overtakes the main plot. Although the main political plot takes its course on its meditated lines, the life of the play largely flows from the comic sub-plot. Sir Falstaff is considered the greatest comic character ever created in the English drama. The sub-plot carries the greater weight of the play's meaning also, for it is through this plot that Shakespeare shapes in the character of Prince Hal, a model king. Prince Hal gets the benefit of living among people on the ground. He becomes conversant with the problems of the populace, which normally the Princes remain ignorant about because of the lone eminence in which they are allowed to live by the royal dicta. Whereas marriage is the final symbol of harmony and reconciliation in a comedy, rebellion is the symbol of diseased kingdom in a history play. Military success in Shakespeare symbolizes successful reign in Henry V. The climax of the play reaches in Henry's victory over the French at Agincourt. Thus, in his histories Shakespeare combines opposing interest, to the advantage of both comedy and history, making available to the reader a more mature and complex vision of politics in particular and of life in general, showing how politics, too, is to be viewed in the larger context of human life.

# **11.11 THE TRAGEDIES**

The tragedies of Shakespeare are perhaps the best known of his plays, and are

also considered his greatest achievement both as a poet and dramatist. Although he wrote a number of tragedies, the four universally acclaimed as his greatest are: Hamlet, King Lear, Othello and Macbeth: It was A. C. Bradley, who in 1904, made this claim for these tragedies. Thereafter, the judgement has only been reiterated by subsequent critics; it has never been disputed by any great critic. In three out of these four tragedies, the theme of usurpation of kingship is the central concern. These plays do not, however, confine their horizons to the political theme of succession alone. The real issues raised by all the four are those in human and moral terms rather than political. In fact, the horizons reach even beyond the human and moral circles to touch the cosmic and spiritual. The influence of cosmic forces is strongly felt in all of these plays, except perhaps in Othello, where the tragedy comes down to the domestic level of a jealous husband and beautiful wife. It becomes more a study in villainy, its origin and its operation, best illustrated by the case of lago, than a larger tragedy of man's destiny in an indifferent or hostile universe. As Bradley so beautifully illustrates, in these four great tragedies, there is a fierce struggle between good and evil, between the hero and the villain. No doubt, the evil succeeds in destroying good. The villain becomes an agent or instrument for the death of the hero. But even though the hero dies, in his capacity to fight the evil, to stoically take suffering, and to gain wisdom through experience, leaving us sadder but wiser, he rises in our esteem, gains a status out of the reach of common humanity.

Although not directly about religious themes as were some of the Greek tragedies, Shakespeare's great tragedies deal with the basic moral issues of significance to all societies. They look into the nature and destiny of man in the larger context of the cosmos. The final effect of these plays is ennobling, lifting the audience above the mundane level of everyday living, making them see the spectacle of life in relation to forces larger than both individual and society. Faced with the grand struggle of man against the forces stronger than him, we come to see the triviality of our pursuits which keep us engrossed in the world of contingency and ignorant about the stark reality of human existence. It is only a great work of art like a Shakespearean tragedy which raises us above the contingent and brings us face to face with the ultimate questions of life and death.

# **11.12 THE PROBLEM PLAYS**

The term "problem play" is used for some of the plays of Shakespeare,

such as Measure for Measure, Troilus and Cressida, All's Well That Ends Well. These plays are neither tragedies nor comedies in terms of the commonly accepted aspects of the two genres. They seem to fall, rather awkwardly, between the two. Considering, for illustration, the first of these, one finds that the play provides excitement, also tension, and makes a searching exploration of moral issues, out of which no one comes out unsoiled. The problem is that he play is too serious to be a comedy, and too comic to be a tragedy. It remainson many counts rather confusing. Similarly, Troilus and Cressida is quite darkin tone. No character attains the tragic stature, nor anything great or noble appears un-spared. While the action remains rather slow, the philosophic debate overwhelms whatever action there proceeds. Not in any way is different All's Well That Ends Well from the other two just discussed. Here again, there is utter confusion about the moral status of certain characters. If we are asked or supposed to admire them in onescene, we are asked or supposed to condemn them in another. The only significance of the problem plays is perhaps their signals about the progress of Shakespeare's thought and art. Maybe Shakespeare, ignoring the Aristotelian or classical prescriptions for different forms of drama, was trying to experiment with a new form not constrained by these rigid forms. Considered as experiments, one may see the fruits of this experiment in the subsequent romances where Shakespeare successfully blends tragedy and comedy in an integrated form.

# **11.13 THE ROMANCES**

Shakespeare's last plays, namely Winter's Tale, Cymbeline, and The Tempest, are called romances. Here, more than elsewhere, Shakespeare uses the elements of romance, such as supernatural agency, improbable events, even magic, and uncommon characters. Half the action in these plays is almost tragic, where even deaths occur, tragic events take place, but the later half of the action ends on a happy note, where reunion of brothers takes place, lovers are united and married, reconciliation takes place between rivals, even enemies. In the case of Cymbeline, even gods descend from the ceiling to sort out the mess created by human beings. The element of fancy is equally prominent in The Tempest, which is considered the culmination of

Shakespeare's art. These plays successfully combine in one unified whole the disparate elements of tragedy, comedy, history, and romance. They are decidedly superior in every respect to the problem plays, where similar attempt was made to mix different genres in a single plot.

# 11.14 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS

- 1. In which century did William Shakespeare live and write his plays?
  - a) 15th century
  - b) 16th century
  - c) 17th century
  - d) 18th century
- 2. What is the approximate birth year of William Shakespeare?
  - a) 1540
  - b) 1564
  - c) 1601
  - d) 1623
- 3. In which English town or city was Shakespeare born?
  - a) Stratford-upon-Avon
  - b) London
  - c) Cambridge
  - d) Oxford
- 4. What theater company did Shakespeare become a shareholder in and write many of his plays for?
  - a) The King's Men
  - b) The Lord Chamberlain's Men

- c) The Globe Players
- d) The Royal Troupe
- 5. Which monarch was ruling England during most of Shakespeare's career?
  - a) King Henry VIII
  - b) Queen Elizabeth I
  - c) King James I
  - d) Queen Victoria
- 6. Which of Shakespeare's plays features the character Hamlet?
  - a) "Othello"
  - b) "Macbeth"
  - c) "Romeo and Juliet"
  - d) "Hamlet"
- 7. What is the name of the character known for the famous line "To be or not to be, that is the question"?
  - a) Macbeth
  - b) Othello
  - c) Hamlet
  - d) Romeo
- 8. In which play do the characters Rosalind and Orlando fall in love in the Forest of Arden?
  - a) "Twelfth Night"
  - b) "As You Like It"
  - c) "A Midsummer Night's Dream"
  - d) "The Taming of the Shrew"

- 9. Who is the main antagonist in "Othello" who manipulates Othello into believing falsehoods about his wife, Desdemona?
  - a) Iago
  - b) Cassio
  - c) Tybalt
  - d) Mercutio
- 10. Which Shakespearean comedy centers around a shipwrecked set of twins, Viola and Sebastian, who are mistaken for each other?
  - a) "The Tempest"
  - b) "Twelfth Night"
  - c) "Much Ado About Nothing"
  - d) "The Comedy of Errors"

# Answers:

- 1. b) 16th century
- 2. b) 1564
- 3. a) Stratford-upon-Avon
- 4. b) The Lord Chamberlain's Men
- 5. c) King James I
- 6. d) "Hamlet"
- 7. c) Hamlet
- 8. b) "As You Like It"
- 9. a) Iago
- 10. b) "Twelfth Night"

# 11.15 SUGGESTED READINGS

King Lear.cliffnotes.com

"Complete scene by Scene Outline." kinglear.org/scene-outlines.

Shakespeare, William. King Lear. Harvard Colleges. 1608 Library

Edwards, P. (1986) *Shakespeare: A Writer's Progress*. Oxford University Press, Oxford

Hope, J. (1994) *The Authorship of Shakespeare's Plays: A Socio-Linguistic Study*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

-----

COURSE No.	ENG-121 DRAMA-I	LESSON No. 12		
M.A. ENGLIS	H WILLIAM SHAKESPEAR	UNIT - III		
(KING LEAR)				

# KING LEAR

# **IMPORTANT SCENES IN KING LEAR**

## STRUCTURE

- 12.1 Introduction
- 12.2 Objectives
- 12.3 The Beginning in a Drama
- 12.4 Opening Scene of King Lear
- 12.5 A Critic's View
- 12.6 Opinion of Critics
- 12.7 Disarmament: A Criticism
- 12.8 Storm Scene
- 12.9 Stage Presentation of King Lear.
- 12.10 The rise of storm to its greatest pitch
- 12.11 The Reconciliation scene
- 12.12 Rebirth of Lear
- 12.13 Cordelia's Selfless love for Lear
- 12.14 Let Us Sum Up
- 12.15 Examination Oriented Questions
- 12.16 Suggested Reading

## **12.1 INTRODUCTION**

Shakespeare was seriously concerned with a few important scenes **and their dramatic effects.** The first scene, which is considered dramatically significant is the **opening scene**. It is sometimes considered as the basis of the main plot, but you should remember that this is not something unique to *King Lear* only. In almost all the plays of Shakespeare the opening scene sets the story in motion.

## **12.2 OBJECTIVES**

The lesson is objective is to acquaint you the famous scenes and their importance in the drama.

## 12.3 THE BEGINNING IN A DRAMA

The beginning of a play is more important than the beginning of a novel. With only about two hours at his disposal the dramatist has not a moment to lose. He must at the outset explain the existing situation with whatever antecedent action is necessary to our understanding of the plot, introduce his characters, get his story under way, and arrest the immediate attention of the audience. In times gone by, a dramatist could drag into his play subordinate characters, the servants or guests of the family, who would discuss the principal characters and explain the situation to the audience.

## 12.4 OPENING SCENE OF KING LEAR

*Hamlet* has a masterly opening scene with the bitter cold night, the shivering sentries ready to start at the slightest sound, the dark shadow of the castle, and the sense of impending, supernatural, disaster. The first scene of *Macbeth*, if well acted, holds the imagination of the audience spellbound and at the same time strikes the key note of the play. *Othello* opens on a dark night in a deserted street with two men plotting in the shadows, thus givingat once an atmosphere of treachery. *Romeo and Juliet* opens with the sudden violence of a street brawl between the Capulets and the Montagues a significant suggestion of a drama of quick passions.

The opening scene of *King Lear* is simply the court ceremony in which the formal transfer of the kingdom is to be made. Lear is already handing over to his daughters, the carefully drawn maps which mark the boundaries of the provinces, when he suddenly pauses, and with the yearning age and authority for testimonies of devotion, calls upon his daughters for declaration of affection, the easiest of returns for the substantial gifts, he is giving them. Goneril and Regan pour forth in glib eloquence. Then, Lear turns to Cordelia thinking delightedly of the special prize he has marked out for the pet of his old age. He asks her :

"What can you say to draw a third more opulent than your sisters?"

But Cordelia has been revolted by the fulsome flattery of the sisters whose hypocrisy she knows so well. She, therefore, bluntly refuses to be drawn into any declaration of affection at all. Cordelia might well have found some other method of separating herself from her false sisters, without thus flouting her father, before his whole court in a moment of tenderness of herself or if carried away by the indignation of the moment, a sign of submission would have won her a pardon. But Cordelia, sweet and strong, as her character is in great things, has inherited a touch of her father's temper, and the moment's sullenness is protracted into obstinacy. Cordelia thus, commits an offence of manner, but Lear's passion vents itself in a sentence proper only to a moral crime.

### 12.5 A CRITIC'S VIEW

Nicoll says something radically different about the opening scene. Whatever eulogies have been cast upon the exposition of Lear the fact is that this first scene is a failure. It is easily the most uninteresting long scene of drama and must strike any actor as an almost impossible scene to play satisfactorily. In endeavouring to secure his effect, Shakespeare, for once, seems to have overreached himself. (Shakespeare might not have been able to weave a tragedy out of the material presented to him there, but he at least provided his main characters with normal, appreciable motives.) To findan explanation for Lear's decision and demeanour in this first scene we need to know the subsequent development of the plot.

The king's doting old age is evident from the fact that he must have public protestations of filial love from his daughters before he passes on his power and authority to them. His hasty impatience about Cordelia's in sincerity, which makes her reticent, first finds expression in a simple "nothing" and then bursts forth unexpectedly in a language which is as vehement as it is unnatural. We are very naturally led to suspect that something terrible is sureto follow and are kept in suspense till the effects gradually unfold themselves in the subsequent scenes and acts beginning with the third scene of the first act. The dramatic element of the first scene of the first act becomes all the more forceful when we bear in mind that the king had actually already divided the kingdom into three equal parts for his three daughters ("Give themap there. Know that we have divided in three our Kingdom") and anticipates that each of them will be equally profused in her profession of love. But Cordelia's simplicity and truthfulness wound the vanity of the fond father and, as a result, the audience is treated to a masterly dramatic-cum-psychological stroke.

## 12.6 OPINIONS OF CRITICS IN FAVOUR OF FIRST SCENE

The following points will reflect that the scene is not strange :---

The situation is strange, like so many of the stories on which romantic dramas are based, Shakespeare has done much to soften the improbability of the original legend. "The oft repeated judgement that the first scene of *King Lear* is absurdly improbable, and that no sane man would think of dividing his kingdom among his daughters in proportion to the strength of their verbal protestations, of love, is much too harsh and is based upon a strange misunderstanding." This scene acts effectively, and to imagination the story is not at all incredible. "It is merely strange, like so many of the stories on which our romantic dramas are based. Shakespeare, besides, has done a good deal to soften the improbability of the legend ; and he has done much more than the casual reader perceives. The very first words of the drama, as Coleridge pointed out, tell us that the division of the kingdom is already

settled in all its details, so that only the public announcement of it remains" : says A.C. Bradley.

Improbable as the conduct of Lear is in the first scene, it was an old story rooted in popular faith a thing taken for granted already, and consequently without any of the effects of the improbability. Even in the first scene we get an idea of the era or historic time to which the play refers. *King Lear* represents as an era of uncultivated simplicity and the primitive nature of early society. In *Macbeth*, we have the mystic and imaginative side of the Celtic temperament described. But in *King Lear*, theother aspect of Celtic nature namely, wild and wayward passion is reflected. In the atmosphere of primitive society, it is easily conceivable that such apparently improbable incidents as the partition of the kingdom might well take place. The opening scene strikes the keynote of Lear's character, namely, fickleness and fundamental error it leads to, out of which the tragedy of the drama arises. It also reveals Gloucester's utter disregard ofall moral obligations, and want of steadiness and principles as the two attributes of life in court and at home.

According to Dr. Johnson, there is something of obscurity or inaccuracy in this 'preparatory scene.' The dialogue between Kent and Gloucester shows that the King has already divided his kingdom. "And yet when he enters he examines his daughters, to discover in what proportion he should divide it. Perhaps Kent, and Gloucester only were privy to his design, which he still kept in his own hands, to be changed or performed as subsequent reasons should determine him".

## 12.7 DISARMAMENT : A CRITICISM

Marvellous indeed is the skill with which Shakespeare turns an old nursery tale with all its improbability to a fine dramatic purpose. He is an old hand at this sort of thing. Recall the cunning with which he transforms in The *Merchant of Venice*, the story of the pound of flesh, and makes the Trial Scene look like a real trial, though no court known to history can show

the like of such a trial and such an award as Portia's. But Shakespeare's artcan disarm criticism and does so here. The division of the kingdom is the artist's make-believe; and bids us forget the accidental elements and fix our attention on what is universal.

Shakespeare's opening scene are often a kind of postulate, which the spectator or the reader is asked to grant. At this juncture, improbability is of no account; the intelligent reader will accept the situation as a gift and will become alert and critical only when the next step is taken, andhe is asked to concede the truth of the argument that has given these persons in these situations such and such events will follow. It must be granted that an old King divides his realm among his three daughters, exacting from each of them a profession of ardent affection. The play opens with this postulate. Before appealing to the sympathies and judgementof his audience, Shakespeare in the opening scene acquaints them with the situation.

If this be so, it makes some kinds of criticism idle. Why, it is often asked, did not Cordelia humour her father a little? She was so stubborn and rude, where tact and sympathetic understanding might, without any violation of truth, have saved the situation. It is easy to answer this question by enlarging on the character of Cordelia and on that touch of obstinacy which is often found in very pure and unselfish natures. But this is really beside the mark, and those, who spend so much thought on Cordelia, are apt to forget Shakespeare. If Cordelia had been perfectly tender and tactful, there would have been no play. The situation would have been saved, and the dramatist, who was in attendance to celebrate the sequel of the situation, might have packed up his pipes and gone home. This is not to say that the character of Cordelia is drawn carelessly or inconsistently. But it is a character invented for the situation, so that argument from the character to the plot is to invert the true order of things in the artist's mind. To go furtherand discuss Cordelia's childhood, as a serious question of criticism, is to lose all hold on the real dramatic problem, and to fall back among the idle people, who ask to be deceived and are deceived.

## 12.8 STORM SCENE :

Another important scene in the play is the storm scene. S.T. Coleridge once explained in awe at the imaginative power of Shakespeare which could fuse such diversity into the unity of the heath storm, "Where the deep anguish of a father spreads the feeling of ingratitude and cruelty over the very elements of heaven." Granville Barker's magnificent *Preface to King Lear* observes the fusion of the man and the storm, a process by which Lear transcends the weakness of a wretched old man to become a titanic, apocalyptic figure endowed with all the fury, power, and awesome grandeur of the storm. Edith Sitwell has added another illumination to the scene by designating Lear as Time who is more than old age and who becomes a fifth element like theother four, viz. earth, air, fire and water.

The metaphor of cosmic chaos seems a particularly fitting way to represent imaginatively the unnatural character of ingratitude. Renaissance moral philosophy and courtesy literature contain many references to the enormous evil of this vice; the dominant note, however, seems to be that of un-natural, monstrous villainy which severs the bonds among kinsfolk, friends and members of civil society. Most of these ideas made their appearance in Renaissance ethical literature as restatements, translations, or comments upon classical ideas from Greek and Latin authors. Ingratitude was an enemy of human concord and deserving therefore, of the strongest reapproach. We consider that the Renaissance horror of the vice contains also overtones from the traditional concept of feudal loyalty, in which gratitude had originally been the quality sealing the relationship of lord and vassal and hence the fundamental bond of feudal society.

The aptness of cosmic chaos in the Empedoclean sense to symbolize the effect of ingratitude can perhaps be seen again as the play draws to a close. In the cosmology of Empedocles, the universe passes through a series of cycles in which first Love and then, Strife predominates. Although strife destroys the world, a new cosmos is born as love returns. The reappearance of Cordelia, even for a short time, the victory of Albany and the reinstatement

of Edgar perhaps announce the return of love, and create the "restoration of tranquillity" which is integral to the tragic effect. Lear and Gloucester have perished in the upheaval, but also has the evil which destroyed them.

## 12.9 STAGE PRESENTATION OF KING LEAR

One of the reasons that led Charles Lamb to say that *King Lear* cannot be acted was that no stage would be capable, no stage machinery adequate to bring out the full effect of the storm scene. When Bradley remarked that *King Lear* was too huge for the stage, he had this storm scene, among others, in view. According to Bradley, "the dramatic centre of the whole tragedy" is the storm scene. The play, presented on the stage, does poor justice to the play as it is visualized in our imagination. As Bradley remarks, the temptation of Othello and the scene of Duncan's murder in *Macbeth* may lose upon the stage, but they do not lose their essence and gainas well as lose. But the storm Scenes in *King Lear* gain nothing and their very essence is destroyed. The theatrical storm, not to drown the dialogue, must be silent whenever a human being wishes to speak-thus defeating the very purpose of the storm, which seeks to produce effect through sound.

As Lamb puts it, to see *King Lear* acted, is to see an old man tottering about the stage with a walking stick, turned out of doors by his daughters in a rainy stormy night. The contemptible machinery by which the storm is mimicked is absolutely inadequate to bring out the horrors of the real elements. The explosions of Lear's passion are terrible as volcano, they are storms turning up and disclosing to the bottom that sea-which is the mindof Lear. The storm is symbolic of the storm that rages within the mind of Lear. The burst of rain and thunder, and the storm within Lear's breast are not two things, but manifestations of one thing. The storm outside is a projection of the storm within Lear's mind. In the groans of roaring wind and rain, we see and hear the groans of a tormented soul. The storm scenes further show that nature herself is convulsed by the same horrible passions, and that Nature, the common mother of all created beings, turns savagely onher own children to complete the ruin they have wrought on themselves.

## 12.10 THE RISE OF STORM TO ITS GREATEST PITCH

The first speech in the second scene is Lear's (III, ii, 1-9). It is crowning speech of the first part of the play, in a sense the Keynote. Onlya few lines later, Lear says, "My wits begin to turn." His speeches in scene ii show the last traces of his already vanishing sanity, and in scene iv heis "far gone." His prayer in scene iv :

Take physic, pomp; Expose thyself to feel what wretches feel, That thou mayst shake the superflux to them, And show the heavens more just.

This is the first step in the regenerative process, showing as it does sympathy towards man and an incipient willingness to admit an error. But it is also the last sane utterance, if not indeed an expression of a mind already deranged.

In the first nine lines of the scene, the storm and the style rise to their greatest pitch. It is in fact only through the rise in the style that the audience comes to feel the full extent of the storm. In these lines, Shakespeare reaches the point for which he has been preparing in the preceding two scenes. The report which the gentleman makes in scene i first announces the condition of the King, as he was with himself and the elements. This followed by a digression of thirty five lines during which the conversationshifts to the fortunes of Cordelia and the activites of the British Dukes, Kent recalls the storm hastily before his exit and immediately in the person of the King it breaks in full fury :

Blow, winds, and crack your cheeks! rage! Blow! You cataracts and hurricanes, spout Till you have drenched our steeples, drown'd the cocks! Singe my white head! and thou all shaking thunder Smite flat the thick rotundity o'th'world, Crack nature's moulds, all germens spill at once, That make ingrateful man!

## Inner crisis of Lear's mind

This scene may be regarded as the crisis of the play. The meeting with Edgar brings on in Lear, the first positive symptoms of insanity: and through the incoherence of Lear's thoughts we see the profound change that has come over his character. His sorrows rouse in him a great compassion for the sufferings of the poor. He can conceive of only one cause for any man's affliction-namely, the unkindness of his daughter "What have his daughtersbrought him to this pass ?" Which makes Hazlitt exclaim "What a bewildered amazement, what a wrench of the imagination, that cannot be brought to conceive of any other cause of misery than that which has bowed it down, and absorb all other sorrows in its own."

The scene illuminates another aspect too, of Lear's mind. It has come to puzzle itself with the essential and fundamental nature of man 'Unaccommodated man is no more but such a poor, bare, forked animal as thou art.'' And Lear thinks he has found the philosopher who could enlighten him about ultimate causes of thunder, about the composition of Regan's heart, and the cause in nature that makes such hard hearts. The madness of Lear serves the same purpose to Shakespeare that dreams do to psycho-analyst-the purpose of interpreting a man's deepest instincts, impulses and obsession. But Shakespeare uses it also for a higher purpose to show character development.

## Connection between Storm and Lear's madness

physical shock is incurred, bodily illness, or accident or exposure to physical suffering, and then the imperfect mental disease is converted into perfect lunacy. We cannot doubt that Shakespeare contemplated this exposure and physical suffering as the cause of the first crisis in Lear's malady.

The storm in *King Lear*, as in *Julius Casear*, is recognised as the dramatic background to the tempest of human emotions. It is the signal that we have entered upon the mysterious centrepiece of the play in which the gathering passions of the whole drama are to be allowed to vent themselves without check or bound. It is no ordinary storm. It is a night of bleak windssorely ruffling of cataracts and hurricanes. No words can tell the imaginative greatness of the scene on the bleak and lonely moor and in Lear's bleak and lonely heart. To conceive it as it is conceived was a splendid imagination.

## 12.11 THE RECONCILIATION SCENE :

This is one of the greatest scenes not only of the play but of all the plays of Shakespeare. So far as its relations to the action of the drama is concerned, it is less important than the fourth scene of the First Act where,Lear meets a changed Goneril or the fourth scene of the second act where,he meets a changed Regan. But it ranks with these scenes in dramatic power. *King Lear* does not contain any other scene as affecting and pathetic as this one in which Cordelia's care for her old father is revealed and which gradually passing through Lear's restoration to reason, brings us to the climax where he recognises her, kneeling in front of her and admits his foolish fondness.

The scene opens in the French camp. Lear is sleeping in bed with music playing to help him sleep soundly. Cordelia, Kent and the Doctor are standing round his bed. Cordelia thanks Kent for his goodness and asks himto give up his shabby disguise; but Kent refuses on the ground that the propertime for it has not yet come. Cordelia laments piteously and lovingly becauseher old father has been so ungenerously treated by her sisters. Lear gradually wakes up and at first cannot recognise Cordelia. But when he does, he kneels and is almost about to ask her forgiveness. Though stopped by Cordelia at that time, he does ask her to forgive him when he is led away by her. Towards the end of the scene we learn from a conversation between Kent and the Gentleman that Edmund is leading the British army and that a decisive battle is going to be fought on that very day.

## 12.12 REBIRTH OF LEAR

The old Lear died in the storm. The new Lear is born in the scene, in which he is reunited with Cordelia. His madness sparked the end of the wilful, egotistical monarch. He is fully resurrected as a human being. We can tell fromhis protest that the awakening into life is a painful happening. After the reconciliation, Lear makes only two more appearances. In the scene, in which he is being led off to prison he has apparently overcome the desire for vengeance:he has left behind him all those attributes of kingship which had prevented him from attaining his full stature as a man. He has even passed beyond his own pride. At the beginning of the play, he is incapable of disinterested love, for he uses the love of others to minister to his own egotism. His prolonged agony and his utter loss of everything frees his heart from the bondage of the selfhood. He unlearns hatred and learns love and humility. He loses the world and gains his soul.

In this state, when his eyes light on Cordelia, she seems to him a blessed spirit of Heaven : "Thou art a soul in bliss." He is still in a statebetween dream and wakefulness; but it tells us much about his thoughts for months. How must they have hovered round Cordelia, as round an angelic spiritof love ? But to Cordelia, to be spoken of as 'a spirit' or 'a soul in bliss' is a sign of lingering delirium. How can it be otherwise ? In the following lines, Shakespeare lights up the hidden recesses of Lear's mind as well as Cordelia's character.

You do me wrong to take me out o' the grave Thou art a soul in bliss; but I am bound Upon a wheel of fire, that mine own tears Do scald like molten lead.

## 12.13 CORDELIA'S SELFLESS LOVE FOR LEAR

While Lear doubts the sanity of his vision, Cordelia who has been anxiously waiting for the recognition, cries in joy and love and thankfulness : "So I am," Space forbids us to dwell on the full psychological content of these words. Hazlitt, however, comes near the mark when he says : "The words 'so I am' of Cordelia gush from her heart like a torrent of tears; relieving it of a weight of love and of supposed ingratitude which had pressed upon it for years." When we think we have reached the climax of Shakespeare's power in the scene, the great wizard has another master strokeup his sleeve in Cordelia's "No cause, no cause". It is negatively stated, yet expresses more than any eloquent protestation could, Cordelia's grief for what Lear has suffered from her sister.

As an exercise of creative imagination this scene bears, in every line and phrase, the stamp of genius that plunges the depths of man's nobler nature. Spontaneity, simplicity and profundity mark its great moments. No mood befits our deepest yearning for another's recovery from illness or for his happiness as the mood of prayer. Hence, Shakespeare could not have begun better than with Cordelia's 'O kind god'. There is the same surenessof touch, selective power and naturalness in the way in which Cordelia expresses her sense of shock at children who could be brazenly cruel to an old, revered father.

#### 12.14 LET US SUM UP

Each Act and each Scene in King Lear is important as each scene gives space and time to reveal the inner thoughts and feelings of characters. Spontaneity, simplicity and profundity mark great moments.

#### **12.15 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS**

- 1. Who is the author of the play "King Lear"?
  - a) William Shakespeare
  - b) Christopher Marlowe

- c) Ben Jonson
- d) Thomas Kyd
- 2. Which historical figure is believed to have inspired Shakespeare's character of King Lear?
  - a) King Arthur
  - b) King Henry VIII
  - c) King Lear of Britain
  - d) King Richard III
- 3. "King Lear" is widely believed to be based on which earlier work?
  - a) "The Canterbury Tales" by Geoffrey Chaucer
  - b) "Leir of Britain" by Raphael Holinshed
  - c) "The Faerie Queene" by Edmund Spenser
  - d) "The Divine Comedy" by Dante Alighieri
- 4. In the play, which of King Lear's daughters is the most loyal and loving?
  - a) Goneril
  - b) Regan
  - c) Cordelia
  - d) None of the above
- 5. Which famous Akira Kurosawa film is a loose adaptation of "King Lear" set in feudal Japan?
  - a) "Seven Samurai"
  - b) "Rashomon"
  - c) "Throne of Blood" (Kumonosu-jô)
  - d) "Yojimbo"

- 6. The modern-day film adaptation of "King Lear" starring Al Pacino is titled:
  - a) "Lear's Legacy"
  - b) "Looking for Lear"
  - c) "The Merchant of Venice"
  - d) "The Humbling"
- 7. Which animated television series features an episode that adapts the story of "King Lear" with a sci-fi twist?
  - a) "The Simpsons"
  - b) "South Park"
  - c) "Futurama"
  - d) "Rick and Morty"
- 8. Which famous playwright wrote a sequel to "King Lear" titled "Cordelia"?
  - a) Tom Stoppard
  - b) Tennessee Williams
  - c) August Wilson
  - d) Edward Albee
- 9. In "King Lear," what powerful natural phenomenon symbolizes the chaos and turmoil in the kingdom?
  - a) Thunderstorm
  - b) Earthquake
  - c) Tornado
  - d) Eclipse

- 10. The motif of blindness and vision is prevalent in "King Lear." Who becomes physically blind in the play?
  - a) King Lear
  - b) Gloucester
  - c) Cordelia
  - d) Kent
- 11. What is the significance of the recurring image of the "storm" in "King Lear"?
  - a) It represents the madness of King Lear.
  - b) It symbolizes the chaos in the kingdom.
  - c) It foreshadows Cordelia's death.
  - d) All of the above.
- 12. The play frequently refers to the concept of "filial ingratitude." Which character is accused of this by King Lear?
  - a) Goneril
  - b) Regan
  - c) Cordelia
  - d) Gloucester
- 13. The motif of the "fool" or "jester" in "King Lear" serves as both a comic relief and a symbol of:
  - a) Wisdom
  - b) Madness
  - c) Deception
  - d) Loyalty

- 14. Which character in "King Lear" utters the famous line, "How sharper than a serpent's tooth it is to have a thankless child"?
  - a) King Lear
  - b) Gloucester
  - c) Goneril
  - d) Kent
- 15. The play's imagery often draws parallels between the state of the kingdom and the state of nature. Which character speaks extensively about the natural world?
  - a) Edgar
  - b) Kent
  - c) Cordelia
  - d) Oswald
- 16. What animal is frequently used as a symbol to describe King Lear's vulnerability and suffering?
  - a) Lion
  - b) Wolf
  - c) Serpent
  - d) Bear
- 17. In "King Lear," what item does Gloucester use to disguise himself?
  - a) A mask
  - b) A cloak
  - c) A crown
  - d) A sword

- 18. Which character's death is foreshadowed by the imagery of birds of prey, such as vultures?
  - a) Cordelia
  - b) King Lear
  - c) Regan
  - d) Goneril
- 19. What event in "King Lear" is compared to "judgment day" in terms of its significance?
  - a) The storm on the heath
  - b) The blinding of Gloucester
  - c) The division of the kingdom
  - d) The death of Cordelia
- 20. The play's final scene contains an eerie image involving Cordelia. What happens to her at the end of the play?
  - a) She is crowned queen.
  - b) She is reunited with King Lear.
  - c) She is hanged.
  - d) She marries Edmund.

## **Answers:**

- 1. a) William Shakespeare
- 2. c) King Lear of Britain
- 3. b) "Leir of Britain" by Raphael Holinshed
- 4. c) Cordelia
- 5. c) "Throne of Blood" (Kumonosu-jô)

- 6. b) "Looking for Lear"
- 7. c) "Futurama"
- 8. a) Tom Stoppard
- 9. a) Thunderstorm
- 10.b) Gloucester
- 11.d) All of the above.
- 12. a) Goneril
- 13. b) Madness
- 14. a) King Lear
- 15. b) Kent
- 16. c) Serpent
- 17. b) A cloak
- 18. b) King Lear
- 19. b) The blinding of Gloucester
- 20. c) She is hanged.

## 12.16 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS

- 1. What are the important scenes in *King Lear*?
- 2. What is the importance of Storm Scene?
- 3. Discuss the regeneration of Lear.

## 12.17 SUGGESTED READINGS

King Lear.cliffnotes.com

"Complete scene by Scene Outline." kinglear.org/scene-outlines.

Shakespeare, William. King Lear. Harvard Colleges. 1608 Library

Edwards, P. (1986) *Shakespeare: A Writer's Progress*. Oxford University Press, Oxford

Hope, J. (1994) *The Authorship of Shakespeare's Plays: A Socio-Linguistic Study*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

-----

COURSE No.121	DRAMA-I	LESSON No. 13
M.A. ENGLISH	WILLIAM SHAKESPEARE	UNIT - III
	(KING LEAR)	

## **AN ANALYSIS**

## STRUCTURE

- 13.1 Introduction to Lear as a Protagonist
- 13.2 Objectives
- 13.3 Language of Passion
- 13.4 Lear as Noble King
- 13.5 Tragic Flaw in Lear
- 13.6 Negative Traits in Lear's Character
- 13.7 Role of Circumstance
- 13.8 Theme of Madness in Shakespeare
- 13.9 King Lear as Scientific study of Mental Disease
- 13.10 Lear : "More Sinned Against than Sinning"
- 13.11 The Redemption of Lear
- 13.12 The Significance of Cordelia in the Play
- 13.13 Let Us Sum Up
- 13.14 Examination Oriented Questions
- 13.15 Multiple Choice Questions
- 13.15 Suggested Readings

## **13.1 INTRODUCTION TO LEAR AS A PROTAGONIST**

It is high time now for me to give you a critical insight into the character of the protagonist or the central figure in *King Lear*. Here, you will find answers to such questions as character is destiny in Shakespeare's plays and Lear is more sinned against than sinning.

In all his tragedies, Shakespeare has created grand memorable figures. King Lear is an impressive and dominating figure. He is aged, and he speaks of himself 'as about to crawl toward death' (Act. I., Sc I.). Yet, in Act I Scene iv, we find him coming back from hunting, a strenous pursuit, and calling with hearty appetite for dinner. There is no fatigue here. In the centre of the play he is grievously afflicted by exposure to the fury of the tempest: yet he survives it and after his ordeal, he has the strength, near the very end, to kill Cordelia's hangman. His physical stamina is indeed extraordinary and any producer who thought of presenting him as (in Lamb's phrase) 'an old man tottering about the stage with a walking-stick', would be inappropriate. And Lear's aspect is indeed royal. The disguised Kent is assuredly using no flattery when he speaks of Lear as having 'authority' in his countenancethat is, in his bearing. Lear, we see in Act I Sc. i, is a monarch of great age, of powerful physique, of compelling personality. But at the same time he is a foolish man.

With all his outbursts of passion, and even in his savage invective against his daughters-an invective surely unequalled in all literature for its terrible violence, Lear is never undignified. Note, for instance, his kingly astonishment, when Goneril first dares to criticise his followers :

```
"Are you our daughter"?
```

and again :

"Doth any here know me? This is not Lear".

or again his almost inarticulate rage, when Gloucester makes excuses for the fiery quality of the Duke.

Vengeance, plague, death, confusion, Fiery What quality ? Why, Gloucester, Gloucester I'd speak with the Duke of Cornwall and his wife.

The tone being always to the last, that of a man used to command, and to be obeyed. Even in his madness we catch glimpses of this heroic spirit shining through his incoherence, notably in the final passage, when he is recognised by Gloucester.

#### **13.2 OBJECTIVES**

The lesson introduces the learners to *King Lear* as protagonist, and as a king. The tragic flaw in him is enumerated on. Role of circumstance in the madness of lear is included. Lear's suffering, redemption have been included for the proper appreciation of the character in drama.

## 13.3 LANGUAGE OF PASSION

In a Shakespearean tragedy, the lot of the tragic hero seems to be that he discovers through suffering, the secret wealth of his imagination. The greater the man and the greater his suffering, the greater is the secret wealth of his imagination. The note of grandeur begins to be heard in Lear's speech to Goneril. 'I am ashamed that thou hast power to shake my manhood thus; and it is heightened, as by degrees, his wits unsettle. His intelligence, which in the opening scenes has been blinded, now sees all the 'injustice of the world" and the indecency of man and womankind, now awakens, and is actually at its strongest however jaundiced when he is on the verge of madness and beyond. His passion, and personally his hallucination break into apostrophe. He conjures the elements, the gods, the poor naked wretches, the 'rascal beadle,' and the joint stool that he mistakes for Goneril. Language, in this brainstorm, is churned up from the depths; the rhythm follows every change of mood, marking the greater or less coherence of Lear's musings, as it slips from wonderfully modulated, but normal verse into broken lines and hence

downward into prose. When he recovers calm, and awakens a different kind of pity, no longer mixed with terror, his speech is simple and the verse runs evenly.

## 13.4 LEAR AS A NOBLE KING

All Shakespeare's kings are surrounded by a certain halo of prestige. Shakespeare's fervent royalism is seen in his preference for reverence for superiors. His Lear has a greater endowment of this kind of majesty than any other figure in his plays. For this reason, the blows of Fate that inflict such cruel wounds on his pride are infinitely more painful to him than actsof ingratitude and baseness would be to an ordinary mind. But the more his pride is wounded, the more clearly does it show its unconquerable nature; it will perish only with the life of the king himself. Even in his madness this pride remains unshaken. He arises more majestic where others would be in danger of lapsing into ridicule. Thus, we may indeed, say of Lear, keeping the Shakespearean conception of highness in view, that he is "every inch a king." This characteristic phrase, again, is uttered by the king with reference to himself. "Ay, every inch a king'' (iv, vi, 110). The significance of the words is not greatly affected by the fact that they are spoken in a state of madness. His exalted attitude is very strongly emphasized throughout the play. The man who says of himself that he is 'every inch a king' radiates 'greatness'.

As was inevitable for such a man as Lear, who is not accustomed to look before he leaped, is only gradually brought, by his own sufferings and his ill-treatment at the hands of Goneril and Regan, whom he had not wronged, to feel some remorse for the wrong he did to Cordelia. Perhaps, we see the first symptoms of his altered attitude of mind in Act I, Scene II, where he cries out to the elements. "Here I stand your slave, a poor, infirm, weak, and despised old man." This is the note he strikes when Cordelia's forgiving tenderness brings his short comings home to him, towards the end of the play he implores : Pray do not mock me,

I am a very foolish fond old man,

Fourscore and upwards; not an hour more or less And to deal plainly,

I fear I am not in my perfect mind.

## 13.5 HAMARTIA OR TRAGIC FLAW IN LEAR

In Shakespeare's plays, a tragic hero has some fatal weakness (Hamartia) in him. He makes some fatal mistake, which brings about his tragic fall. It is true with Hamlet, Othello and Macbeth. But Lear differs from the other tragic heroes of Shakespeare, in that he seems to be a sufferer, hardly at all an agent. Whereas, the other tragic heroes of Shakespeare are active agents of their own doom, Lear is mostly a passive sufferer. As Bradley puts it, Lear's sufferings are so cruel and our indignation against those, who inflicted, those sufferings on him is so intense that we are inclined to forget and even forgive his act of folly and the wrong he didto Cordelia and Kent. Lear inspires in us not only pity, but also much admiration and affection. His frankness and generosity, his heroic efforts to be patient, his shame and repentance, the ecstasy of his re-union with Cordelia, melt our hearts. The following are the main traits of his character which led to the fall of Lear.

## Hypersensitive

Uncontrollable excitability is a negative trait in Lear's personality. This is evident throughout the play. Words fail to express the full force of the volcanic outbursts of love and hatred that take sovereign possession of the proud, sensitive, passion ridden mind.

## Lack of Judgement

Lack of judgement is another great limitation of this great king. This is a natural consequence of unbridled passion and is exemplified in Lear's blindness towards the true worth of Cordelia and Kent as well as in his failure to detect the crisis in the Kingdom was a single act of poor judgement, since internal strife would certainly result from the setting up of three rival kings in one kingdom.

## His love for dignity

Lear has great love of retinue and homage. He bitterly resents the falling off in deference in the two sisters and in Oswald, especially in the diminution and final cutting of his retinue. Thus, his first complaint to Regan against Goneril is, "She hath abated me of half my train" and Reganis commended as not likely to expose him to such bitter indignity. When Regan further reduces his train, he detests her. Goneril seems to offer better conditions with respect to his train, he quickly has a reversal in her favour, unmindful of his previous protestation to "abjure all roofs."

Cordelia shows how much alive she is to this conspicuous weaknesswhen she carefully addresses him in almost fulsome language. Kent, when disguised, by the same device secures his desired position as servant, by remarking, certainly not by accident, that the king had that in countenance, viz. authority, which he (Kent) would fain call master. And lastly, the king does not forget even in his moments of complete delusion, that he is "everyinch a king."

Lear's imperviousness is very obvious. At the very commencement of the play, one sees what manner of man Lear is. The bare idea of a publicabdication and a public assessment of his daughter's affection for him, sounds like the silly whim of a man accustomed to bend others to his rule. His words to Cordelia on her plain avowal of her feelings fully reveal it.

# *''How, how, Cordelia ? mend your speech a little. Lest it may mar your fortunes''*

Lear is a man of towering passions. His passionate hatred is well displayed in his speech to his daughter Cordelia, when in an outburst of furyhe declares that she shall have nothing from him. The same trait is characteristicof him throughout the play. The barbarous Scythian,

Or he that makes his generation messes To gorge his appetite, shall to my bosom Be as well neighbour'd, pitied and relieved, As thou my sometime daughter.

When the Earl of Kent, his faithful counsellor, attempts to defend Cordelia, Lear in anger, banishes him from his Kingdom :

> If on the tenth day following Thy banish'd trunk be found in our dominions, The moment is thy death, Away! By Jupiter, This shall not be revok'd.

and when angry at his daughter Goneril, the same wrath is vented forth upon her :

Blasts and forge upon thee!

The untented woundings of a father's curse pierce every sense about thee;

Lear's division of the kingdom among his daughters, and the manner of the division, the mock trial of the affections of his daughters, are the first acts of his approaching madness, and he is unable to distinguish between the flattery of his elder daughters, Goneril and Regan, and the genuine expressions of his younger daughter Cordelia.

At times, his affection towards his three daughters is most marked. When the King of France learns of the banishment of Cordelia, he presses his suit more eagerly, although urged by her father :

> To avert your liking a more worthier way Than on a wretch whom nature is ashamed

#### Almost to acknowledge hers.

but King of France in reply reminds him of his former affection for his daughter :

This is most strange, That she, that even but now as your best object, The argument of your praise, balm of your age, Most best, most dearest. Should in this trice of time Commit a thing so monstrous, to dismantle So many folds of favour.

When Cordelia is taken captive with her father he again shows his passionate affection for her :

Come, let's away to prison :

We two alone will sing like birds in the cage : When thou dost askme blessing, I'll kneel down,

And ask of thee forgiveness : so we'll live, as gilded butterflies,

## Craving for flattery

Lear's inordinate craving for flattery is responsible for all his suffering and misery. He is fond of pomp, homage and outward attention from his servants, and shows great respect to his daughters Goneril and Regan on account of allowing him to live alternately with them, attended by a few hundred knights. For their supposed love, he divides between them the share of kingdom previously intended for his daughter Cordelia. For himself he decides;

> By monthly course, With reservation of a hundred knights By you to be sustain'd shall our abode

#### Make with you by due turn.

When Goneril tired of her father's company, deprives him of his attendants, he bitterly resents the falling off in her regard for him. He first complains to Regan of the conduct of Goneril :

#### This not in thee

To grudge my pleasures, to cut off my train.

but later, when Regan makes a further reduction of his attendants than Goneril did, he says :

What ! must I come to you

With five and twenty? Regan, said you so?

His youngest daughter Cordelia sees plainly her father's weakness for pomp, as is shown in the careful manner in which she addresses him, "How does my royal lord ? How fares your majesty ?"

## 13.6 NEGATIVE TRAITS IN THE CHARACTER OF LEAR

Lear's speech to his daughter Goneril brings out four distinct traits in his character; irritation and sadness, which are followed by an outburst of rage and hate, and finally an effort to be patient with her. Determined to retain the title status and prerogatives of a king, he nevertheless, wishes to relinquish the actual task of ruling. He decided to divide his kingdom amongst his three daughters, who with their husbands will govern their respective regions under his titular authority. In the first scene of the play, he ostensibly holds an auction : the best portion of the kingdom will go to that daughter who by her words indicates that she loves her father best. But he has already made his division. Before the play has begun he has decided to give Goneril and Regan exactly equal portion of the realm, and to give Cordelia a portion richer than these.

If Lear has made his decision already, why should he ask his daughters to speak of their love for him before he formally presents them with their portions ? It might be suggested that he wants to corroborate in his own mind, or publicly to display as sound, his previous judgement as to their degrees of affection for him. But this will hardly do. For when the first daughter has

spoken, Lear gives her portion before hearing the second; and when the second has spoken, he gives her portion before hearing the third. His real reason for making his daughters speak of their love is just that he likes to hear himself praised on a ceremonial occasion. He knew that Goneril would flatter him, that Regan would flatter him, and he enjoys their flattery. He was confident that Cordelia-his particular favourite would excel them both. His own words give him away.

'Now, our joy', he says. What can you say to draw A third more opulent than your sisters ? Speak.

The matter is already decided. Lear leans back to enjoy the culmination of the performance he has staged. But the words do not come and he immediately castes her off. And to his fault of vanity is added the fault of rashness. When his pride receives an affront, he reacts intemperately. He lacks self control. Passion usurps the place of reason. And this is not merelythe result of old age but of vanity also.

It may be wondered why, since Lear is of such an autocratic disposition, he should have taken it into his head to abdicate at all in favour of his daughters. Possibly we may see in this act of his-and, it must be rememberedhe had always been eccentric, -a sudden fancy that a resolution must be acted upon. And what is more, his daughters must repay him by their public protestations of affection. Goneril, and Regan, with their insincere and extravagant expressions of love are well enough, but the king waits for something more from his darling daughter Cordelia. One can picture his annoyance when heis met by her somewhat cool answer.

I love your majesty, According to my bond, nor more nor less. It is then that Lear's uncontrollable wrath bursts out, the wrath that is to be followed by such disastrous consequences, both to himself and to others. Just as Lear's abdication is the basis of all the actual incidents of the play, this outburst of ungovernable rage is the beginning of his moral downfall, culminating in his madness and all the miseries it entails.

## **13.7 ROLE OF CIRCUMSTANCE**

Circumstances also contribute to the tragedy in many significant ways. There are various examples which illustrate this point. (i) When Lear is driven out to the heath by the cruelty of his daughters, a violent storm breaks out. But for this storm at this juncture, the king would not have suffered so' much physically or lost his wits. (ii) The presence of Edgar disguised as Tom bedlam in the cottage is a significant coincidence that stimulates and hastens the madness of Lear. (iii) Just when the King goes to sleep and there is a good chance of the king recovering his wits as the result of refreshing sleep, Gloucester comes with the news of a plotagainst Lear's life and the need to take Lear to Dover. So the King's sleepis broken and his disorder has no opportunity to recover balance. This is an unfortunate coincidence in the play that aggravates Lear's tragedy. (iv) Cordelia's army is defeated and Cordelia and Lear are taken prisoner : otherwise the play would have ended happily for Lear. Defeat in battle may be ascribed to the accidents of war but why is it that the reprieve of Cordelia comes too late to prevent her hanging? Albany wants to set Cordelia free and restore Lear to his throne. Edmund also repents and wants to save Cordelia; but his confession comes too late to save Cordelia. The hanging of Cordelia is a tragic incident which gives us the impression that the mysterious forces of fate, are working against Lear and Cordelia. When Cordelia is hanged, Lear naturally dies broken-hearted. So, we find that circumstances also contribute largely to the tragedy of Lear.

It is, therefore, wrong to say that character is destiny as far as Lear's fortunes are considered; both character and circumstances or fate are jointly responsible for his tragedy. But the primary responsibility rests with his own

character.

## 13.8 THEME OF MADNESS IN SHAKESPEARE

Shakespeare has many portraits of madness, real, and assumed. Titus Andronicus is driven mad by his sufferings; Hamlet is unbalanced as he feigns madness, and Ophelia is driven mad by grief; Constance is driven distracted by her loss of Arthur; Lady Macbeth commits suicide because of an unsound mind; and in *The Tempest*, the three men of sin are maddenedby the workings of conscience, so that their brains are as useless as a tumour. It says much for Shakespeare's powers of observation, or for his intuitive understanding of the human mind that his depiction of madness, though based on sixteenth-century theory, has satisfied medical opinion of later ages, J.C. Bucknill in his remarks on the medical knowledge ofShakespeare and H. Somerille in *Madness in Shakespearean Tragedy* illustratethe fact that our increasing knowledge of madness during the past century has served only to justify Shakespeare's intuitions.

The groundlings of the Elizabethan theatre loved to see mad characters on the stage. It was part of the mob's love of sensationalism. Shakespeare never, disdained to exploit the tastes of the audience if he could raise it to a high dramatic purpose. He had always, watched the phenomena of insanity in some of its forms, and possessed a deeper understanding of them than any of his contemporaries. He loved to take some of his characters, for instance Hamlet, Othello and Lady Macbeth to the border of insanity, and it is the borderland that fascinates the student of psychology even more than the land itself. In King Lear, Shakespeare shows both the outer shadowand the inner darkness dotted with spots, and sometimes with pools of light. As a study in insanity Lear is his prize specimen. But as a dramatic method, it can become a medium for revealing the abysses and recesses of the human mind. When the controls of reason are absent, things lonely and things dark in the underworld of personality become, significant. The insanity of Lear makes the workings of his unconscious and subconscious self luminous in diverse ways. It is a radiograph of his mind, enabling us to see firstly,

the thoughts and impulses of Lear in years gone by; secondly, his obsessions during the days of mental strain that brought on the collapse; thirdly, the expansion of his human sympathies and the awakening of the moral greatness that had lain dormant within him; fourthly, his remorse; and lastly, the growth and change of the inner man, Lear. Insanity itself is shown as changing and as a process with phases.

#### 13.9 'KING LEAR' AS A SCIENTIFIC STUDY OF MENTAL DISEASE

It is significant that experts in mental diseases consult and quote *King Lear* as though it were the history of an actual case of insanity. Essays and treatises on the subject are numerous. That Shakespeare should have entered so perfectly into the consciousness of insanity as thus to project, not a mere likeness of the thing, but the very thing itself, is one of the mysteries of his genius. The methods used for the recovery of the old king anticipate those employed as the result of modern scientific study and experience. Dr Brigham remarks, "Although nearly two centuries and half have passed since, Shakespeare wrote this, we have very little toadd to his method of treating the insane as thus, pointed out to produce sleep, to quiet the mind by medical and moral treatment, to avoid all unkindness, and when the patients begin to convalesce, to guard, as he directs, against everything likely to disturb their minds and cause a relapse. It is now considered the best and nearly the only essential treatment."

#### 13.10 LEAR: "MORE SINNED AGAINST THAN SINNING"

Coleridge states : "All his faults increase our pity for him. We refuse to know them otherwise than as means of his sufferings and aggravations of his daughters' ingratitude." Lamb also endorses Coleridge's view. In fact, Lear is a tragic character whose sufferings emanate from the flaws which are inherent in his character. We see that these serious defects of Lear's character are, revealed in the opening scene of the drama. His want of understanding of his daughter's nature; his absurd desire to hear their declarations of love for him, his unnatural outburst of rage against his dear daughter Cordelia and loyal Kent reveal his basic mental and moral weakness. His reckless and cruel discarding of Cordelia and banishing of Kent supplement his rash folly in giving away his kingdom to his daughters. His tragic sufferings follow, inevitably, from his rash actions. Lear has "sinned", and has to reap "superflux" of sufferings and the intensity of his sufferings is more than his faults or "sins". Sympathy is certainly evoked by Shakespeare's representation of his character and his suffering.

Granted that he has done injustice to Cordelia, why should Goneril and Regan ill-treat him ? He has given them the whole kingdom reserving to himself the symbols of sovereignty and the attendance of a hundred followers. Even if, he has some defects of temper, he deserves gratitude and affection on their part in his triple capacity of king, father and old man. It is to be noted that Goneril instructs her servants to practise a deliberate neglect towards Lear and his followers. If Lear strikes Oswald and Kent trips him, it is because the fellow is rude in behaviour. Consider how rudeOswald's answer that Lear is his lady's father. Nor should we forget that Goneril and Regan are wicked hypocrites who only want excuses to reduce the king to lonely and helpless existence. They play with him as a cat does with a mouse, progressively reduce his brain, and with triumphant malice ask why he needs any servant at all. The aged king kneels before Regan, begging for raiment, food and shelter, but she brushes this aside as the unsightly trick of his dotage, and asks him to go back to Goneril. Whateverbe his faults, Lear does not deserve to be humiliated like this. Ultimately the king rushes out into the wild stormy heath, and Goneril and Regan close the doors of the castle, to protect themselves from the raging storm. What monstrous ingratitude and pitiless cruelty! Surely Lear does not deserve this from his own daughters.

But though Lear suffers grievously and unjustly, his sufferings have one compensatory relieving feature. Sufferings purge his soul, expand and elevate his mind and heart, and give him lofty spiritual vision. The Learat the end of the play is a redeemed Lear. And though his sufferings are monstrously out of proportion to his offences, we do not feel crushed at the spectacle of his tragedy.

## 13.11 THE REDEMPTION OF LEAR

It is because of the purgatorial effect of suffering on Lear's mind that the play is called the Redemption of King Lear. Suffering forces him to realize his own humanity and awakens the philanthropic disposition which was the attitude the stoics cultivated towards their fellow men. Lear expresses his conversion to this ethical position in the following famous lines :

> Poor naked wretches, whereso'er you are, That bide the pelting of this pitiless storm How shall your houseless heads and unfed sides, Your loop's and window'd raggedness, defend you From seasons such as these ? O, I have taken Too little care of this! Take physic pomp; Expose thyself to feel what wretches feel, That thou mayst shake the superflux to them And show the heavens more just.

A.C. Bradley says that these lines mark the redemption of Lear. But they report only his first hesitant step in that direction, for the old man's moment of humility is fleeting; it has no immediate effect upon his conduct or upon his madness.

The real redemption of Lear comes when he awakens from the delusions of his frenzied mind to discover Cordelia and her unselfish enduring love. The mere sight of her kills "the great rage' in him the unsocial emotional turmoil from which all his sins and sufferings have sprung. Now he is calmly receptive to the healing power of Christian love. For he has now arrived to utter indifference to external events, that complete freedom from emotion, the disease of the intellect, which produces true stoic content. On the contrary, Lear finds his peace in an active emotion, in all absorbing love which at last renders him independent of circumstances. Even shut within the narrow walls of a prison, he can now find utter peace and happiness if only Cordelia and her love be with him there :

Come, let's away to prison; We two alone will sing like birds i' the cage; ..... And pray, and sing, and tell old tales, and laugh At gilded butterflies ..... And take upon's the mystery of things,

As if we were God's spies; and we'll wear out, In a wall'll prison, packs and scets of great ones That ebb and flow by the moon

Upon such sacrifices, my Cordelia, The gods themselves throw incense,

## 13.12 SIGNIFICANCE OF CORDELIA IN PLAY

This speech shows that Lear's ideals have come full circle. In the first scene of the play, he showed himself so exclusively devoted to the external shows of his position that he has come to value even love only inso far as it augmented his earthly glory. But his passage through purgatory has made him realize that beside love all the baser uses of this world seem utterly unprofitable. Even the packs and sects (conspiracies and factions) ofgreat ones, to which he used to pay all his allegiance, seem wholly insignificant. If Lear's reunion with Cordelia brings about his salvation, one may well ask why Shakespeare snatches her so suddenly from him. And why does he put Lear to death so soon ? The answers to the two questions are closely related. It is not what the earthly creature Cordelia is, but what she represents that is important for the meaning of the play. It is her spirit, not her bodily presence, that redeems her father. And like the third friend in the sermons, she is hanged, as Christ was crucified, so that mankind might be saved.

Since this is a sublime morality play, its action prepares Lear not for a life of stoic tranquility on this earth, but for the heavenly joy of a redeemed soul. The meaning of Cordelia's execution comes to Lear slowly and painfully. At first he is filled with despair at losing her.

Thou' is come no more,

Never, never, never, never, never!

But, suddenly he makes the blessed discovery that Cordelia is not dead after all, that the breath of life still trembles on her lips :

Do you see this ? Look on her, look her lips, Look

there; look there!

In the joy of this discovery, the old man's heart breaks in a spasm of ecstasy. For only to earthbound intelligence is Lear pathetically deceived in thinkingpoor Cordelia alive. Those familiar with the pattern of the morality play realized that Lear has discovered in her unselfish God-like love and the one companion whois willing to go with him through Death up to the throne of the Everlasting Judge. This knowledge enables Lear to meet Death in a state of rapture.

It was Bradley who suggested that the play might be called "The Redemption of King Lear", Schucking, however, argues that it is not "really consistent with Shakespeare's philosophy to see in this sequence of events and ascent of the character to a higher plane; a process of purification and perfection." Lear in his madness "does little more than follow the beaten track of the melancholy type." His attacks on society, however profound they may seem are the result of his mental derangement; and at the end of the play he is not purified by suffering, but rather "a nature completely transformed, whose extraordinary vital forces are extinguished or about to be extinguished." Schucking concludes, therefore that it shows a complete misunderstanding of the play "to regard Lear as greater at the close than at the beginning." It is true, of course, that some of Lear's most impressive critical views of society are expressed in his madness; that he becomes progressively more feeble; and that in the last scene there are signs of his approaching dissolution; yet the three moments in the play crucial to Bradley's theory of Lear's development-his recognition of error, his compassion for the poor, and his kneeling to Cordelia occur either before or after his madness. His resemblance to the melancholic type is superficial, though other dramatists had criticized society through the mouth of a malcontent as Shakespeare did through the mouth of a madman. Schucking seems to be, only partially, aware of the paradox that Lear when ostensibly sane cannot distinguish between Cordelia and her wicked sisters: he acquires wisdom by going mad, and his wildest speeches are a mixture of matter and impertinency "reason in madness".

For these reasons, it is impossible to accept the view that Lear at the end of the play is only an enfeebled Lear. Actually his character undergoes a process of regeneration and ennoblement. Like gold in fire, his character becomes purified through suffering.

### 13.13 LET US SUM UP

The story of King Lear revolves around the character King Lear, his mistakes, weaknessess and the process of his regeneration and ennoblement. Cordelia, however, innocent has to pay for others' sins by giving up her life. The storm in the play is metaphor of Lear's madness.

### **13.14 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS**

- 1. Discuss Lear as protagonist of the play.
- 2. Highlight the tragic flaw in Lear.
- 3. Write a note on the role of Cordelia.

#### **13.15 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS**

1. Which of the following best describes the tragic flaw that leads to King Lear's downfall in the play?

- A) Greed
- B) Vanity
- C) Gullibility
- D) Ambition
- 2. Who is the main antagonist and source of conflict in "King Lear"?
  - A) Cordelia
  - B) Gloucester
  - C) Albany
  - D) Edmund
- 3. What is the relationship between Regan and Goneril in "King Lear"?
  - A) Sisters
  - B) Mother and daughter
  - C) Cousins
  - D) Step-sisters
- 4. How does Gloucester's tragic flaw contribute to the overall tragedy in "King Lear"?
  - A) His ambition leads to betrayal and conflict.
  - B) His loyalty to Lear causes his blindness and suffering.
  - C) His greed for power drives the plot's tragic events.
  - D) His lack of empathy results in Cordelia's death.
- 5. Who becomes the voice of reason and wisdom in the latter part of the play, advising Lear on his actions?
  - A) The Fool
  - B) Cordelia

- C) Edgar
- D) Goneril
- 6. Which character serves as the primary comic relief in "King Lear"?
  - A) Kent
  - B) Cordelia
  - C) Edgar
  - D) The Fool
- 7. What is the significance of the storm scenes in "King Lear"?
  - A) They symbolize Lear's internal turmoil and madness.
  - B) They represent the forces of nature against Lear's kingdom.
  - C) They mark the changing of the seasons in the play.
  - D) They serve as a backdrop for Cordelia's wedding.
- 8. Which character seeks to destroy his legitimate half-brother and illegitimately gain his father's favor?
  - A) Kent
  - B) Gloucester
  - C) Edgar
  - D) Edmund
- 9. How does Cordelia die in the tragedy of "King Lear"?
  - A) She dies in battle.
  - B) She is poisoned.
  - C) She is hanged.
  - D) She dies of natural causes.

- 10. In the final scene of "King Lear," what is the outcome for King Lear and his loyal servant Kent?
  - A) They both die in battle.
  - B) They reconcile and live in exile.
  - C) They are executed by Regan and Goneril.
  - D) They return to the throne together.

Answers: 1C, 2D, 3A, 4B, 5C, 6D, 7A, 8D, 9A, 10B.

### **13.16 SUGGESTED READINGS**

Sher, Antony, year of the Mad King : The Lear Diaries. Nick Hern Books, Limited, 2018.

McDonald, Mark A. Shakespeare's King Lear with The Tempest. University Press of America, 2004

Taylor, G. "The Canon and Chronology of Shakespeare's Plays." In S. Wells and G. Taylor.

Wells, S., Taylor, G., Jowett, J., Montgomery, W. eds. (1987) William *Shakespeare: A Textual Companion*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

-----

COURSE No.121	DRAMA-I	LESSON No. 14
M.A. ENGLISH	WILLIAM SHAKESPEARE	UNIT - III
	(KING LEAR)	

### AN ANALYSIS OF MAIN CHARACTERS IN LEAR

### STRUCTURE

- 14.1 Introduction
- 14.2 Objectives
- 14.3 Cordelia

14.3.1 A dutiful and truthful daughter

14.3.2 Her honest nature

- 14.4 Critics
- 14.5 Categories of Fool
- 14.6 Role of Fool in Elizabethan Drama

14.6.1 Fool in King Lear

14.6.2 Role of Fool in the Drama

14.7 Edgar

14.7.1 Edgar's assumed madness

- 14.8 Kent
- 14.9 Let Us Sum Up
- 14.10 Examination Oriented Questions
- 14.11 Multiple Choice Questions

### 14.12 Suggested Readings

### **14.1 INTRODUCTION**

Cordelia, Kent, Edgar and the Fool form a remarkable group of characters in *King Lear*. They represent abundance of extreme good, selfless devotion and unconquerable love. We approve these characters, admire them, love them; but we feel no mystery. We do not ask in bewilderment, "Is there any cause in nature that makes these kind of hearts." First of all, I would like to discuss the character of Cordelia. According to A.C. Bradley, "the characterof Cordelia is not a masterpiece of invention or subtlety like that of Cleopatra; yet in its own way it is a wonderful creation. Cordelia appears in only fourof the twenty-six scenes of *King Lear*, she speaks-it is hard to believe it- scarcely more than a hundred lines; and yet no character in Shakespeare is more absolutely individual or more ineffaceably stamped on the memory of his readers."

### **14.2 OBJECTIVES**

The lesson gives a detailed interpretation of the main characters of the drama, *King Lear*. The role of the characters and their importance acquaints the learner with the writer as the creater of characters.

### 14.3 CORDELIA

All students of Shakespeare have agreed in enthroning Cordelia high, if not, highest amongst lovable and loving ladies. She is, by no means, expressive in her affection, indeed she is rather lacking in demonstration, but not unpardonably so, when, as in the first act, a public display of her affection is demanded, and that for a mercenary reason. When, however, her father is restored to her after his barbarous experiences at the hands of her sisters, we find a lovely touching demonstration of love without alloy.

Although the character of Cordelia is painted with but few touches, it is none-the-less distinct in its characteristics of perfect womanhood. All the critics have expressed their hesitation in even speaking of "the heavenly beauty", (as Schlegel puts it) of Cordelia's character. She presents a strong contrast to the general 'savagery of the age' as evidenced in all the other characters, with the single exception of Edgar.

This exceptional trait of Cordelia's character comes out most strongly, as is suitable and fitting, in her dealings with her stricken father, whose restoration she strives hard to accomplish. She will not allow that she has any cause whatever by reason of the hard fate meted out to her, for abatingher affection towards him one jot. When Lear says :

"I know you do not love me; for your sisters Have, as I do remember, done me wrong, You have some cause, they have not." Cordelia's reply is brief as it is emphatic, "No cause, no cause," She prays : Restoration, hang Thy medicine on my lips, and let his kiss Repair those violent harms that my two sisters Have in thy reverence made !

### 14.3.1 A dutiful and truthful daughter

Lear is "Your Highness," "My Royal Lord." "Your Majesty" to his daughter, who knows that this accustomed homage, will solace the battered old heart. Even towards her sisters she bears no malice, although her quiet condemnation of them is even more effective than all the passionate denunciation of Lear. In Cordelia, we have the personification of duty and truth.

Since what I well intend I'll

do it before I speak,

Cordelia is her own simple description of her cardinal rule of conduct. There is to be no excess of promise over performance, but rather the reverse,

hence, another reason why she could not make loud protestations of what her filial affection would prompt her to do. She recognises that wifely affection would have to be allowed for as well as love for her father. She neither hasnot desires "that glib and oily art" to which her sisters owed their advancement.

She sees through the hollow affection of her sisters as through the mercenary love of Burgundy. She recognises the hollowness of the prosperity that comes from hypocrisy and is glad not to have "such a tongue."

Though not to have it Hath lost me in your liking.

A boisterous woman is not pleasant to contemplate, and when Lear tells us that

Her voice was ever soft,

Gentle and low, an excellent thing in woman,

One feels that nothing could have been better in keeping with her quiet, earnest and undemonstrative nature. Again, what could be more truly scathing than her condemnation of Burgundy :

Peace be with Burgundy : Since that respects of fortune are his love, I shall not be his wife

In conclusion, the self-control and decision of Cordelia's character stands out in sharp contrast with that of the uncontrollable and vacillating king.

If one tries to sum up her character in one word, the word "restraint seems most appropriate. This note is evident at the very beginning of the play, such an ordeal as the public declaration of her love to her father was most offensive to one of Cordelia's temperament. She seems to have been one of those to whom any violent expression of feeling is repellent; this trait is shown not merely by her disappointing answer (Act. I, Sc, i), "Nothing my Lord," to her father's eager question; but towards the close of the play (Act. IV, Sc, iii), when the messenger is recounting her reception of Kent's news about her father's plight. It is the reserve and reticence of all true deeper natures that we see typified in Cordelia.

### 14.3.2 Her honest nature :

Cordelia's honesty is remarkable. She is truth-loving even to a fault. Many commentators have gone so far as to remark that her cool and the matter of fact attitude in meeting her father's demand for a protestation of love with blunt truth was merely obstinacy; and it is possible she may have inherited, or imbibed from her surroundings, some of the obstinacy of her father. Yet it is more to the point to regard her attitude as, the natural revulsion from the hypocrisy of her sisters. Notice the following examples of this trait.

(i) Her satirical comments spoken aside (Act. I. Sc. i), while her sisters are making their hollow protestations :

What shall Cordelia do ? Love and be silent' and And yet not so; since I am sure my-love's More richer than my tongue.

These remarks are a running commentary on her sisters' extravaganceand show her own appreciation of it all at its true worth.

Also (II) her clear reading of a half hearted suitor, Burgundy (Act I.Sc. I) :

"Peace be with Burgundy : Since that respects of fortune are his love I shall not be his wife".

Again, notice the gentle humour of her farewell to her sisters in Act, I.

Sc. I. Or again her quiet

Ye jewels of our father, with wash'd eyes Cordelia leaves you.... ...... Use well our father;

To your professed bosoms I commit him;

and again her quiet expression of bitterness in Act V. Sc. iii

We are not the first Who with best meaning have incure'd the worst, For thee, oppressed king, am I cast down; Myself could else out frown false fortune's frown, Shall we not see these daughters and these sisters.

### 14.4 CRITICS

Mrs. Jameson in *Shakespeare's Heroines* particularly notes the beautiful simplicity and tenderness of Cordelia in Act IV Sc. vii, where she meets the father who had disinherited her. We feel that her tender humouring of him might have restored his mind, especially as the doctor tell us "the great rage" has passed, had she not met before his very eyes the violent death, which shatters his reason again and strikes him down also.

Cordelia can be most fittingly compared with the Antigone of Sophocles. In both, we see the same devotion to a blind and aged father and with these are unmerited sufferings which provoke our pity; but whereas in Antigone we see a masculine energy, proud to fight her own battles and fully able to withstand Greon; Cordelia suggests rather to us the gentle feminine typeof quiet suffering. About Cordelia's behaviour, when receiving from Kent an account of her sister's conduct towards their father, Mrs. Jameson remarks : The, subdued pathos and simplicity of Cordelia's character, her quiet but intense feeling, the misery and humiliation at the same time sustained with such a deep intuitive knowledge of the innermost working of the human heart, that there is nothing that can be compared to it in any other writer." Finally, Mrs. Jameson compares Cordelia, with *Antigone*, daughter of Oedipus. "As poetical conceptions, the two characters rest on the same basis : they are both pure abstractions of truth, piety and natural affection; and in both love, as a passion, is kept entirely out of sight. The filial piety of Antigoneis the most affecting part of the tragedy of *Oedipus Coloneus*. Her sisterly affection and her heroic self-devotion to a religious duty, form the plot of the tragedy called by her name."

### 14.5 CATEGORIES OF FOOLS

Of the various types of Fools, the domestic fool, often called a clown, is generally a born idiot, silly by nature but still cunning. The clown is generally a country booby or a witty rustic. He is generally a servant who takes liberties with his master. Then we have the female Fools. The City and Corporation Fools are another variety of the tavern Fool who amuses customers. Ben Jonson introduces such Fools in his plays. Then we have the ancient Fool of Mysteries or Moralities, technically called The Vice. He generally takes delight in having a dig at the Devil on the stage and his delight is to tease and torment the fiend to his heart's content. The Vice disappears from the drama towards the end of the sixteenth century. Other varieties are the Dumb show Fool at Fairs and Inns, the Dancing Fools, the Merry Andrews and others.

The theatrical fool or clown came down from the morality plays andwas beloved of the groundlings. His antics, his songs, his dances, his jests delighted them and did something to make the drama, what the vulgar, poor or rich, like it to be, a variety entertainment. Even if he confined himself to what was set down for him, he often disturbed the dramatic unity of the peace. Shakespeare makes *Hamlet* object to it in emphatic terms. The more learned critics and poets went further and would have abolished the fool altogether. His part declines as the drama advances, diminishing markedly atthe end of the sixteenth century. Johnson and Massinger exclude him. Shakespeareused him-as he used all other popular elements of the drama; but he abstained from introducing him into the Roman plays and there is no fool in the last of the pure tragedies *Macbeth*.

### 14.6 FOOL IN ELIZABETHAN DRAMA

Before one attempts to make up his mind as to the character of thefool one should consider just what an Elizabethan fool was and what privilegeshis position implied. The fools were household servants whose purpose wasto create fun for their masters. In order to give them free rein, they were considered immune from punishment under ordinary circumstances, and at liberty to speak their minds freely without fear of consequences. Hence, Lear's threat to have the fool whipped is equivalent to saying that the king has almost forgotten what is due to a Fool. And it will be noted throughoutthat the Fool makes remarks, without the least hesitation, that no one else would have dared to make in the presence of the tempestuous king. Enid Welsford rightly argues that like "others of his profession, he is very ready to profeer his cox comb to his betters but in doing so he does not merely raise a laugh or score a point, he sets a proble, WHAT AM I? What is madness? he seems to ask. "The world being what it is, do I necessarily insult a man investing him with motely."

According to A.C. Bradley, "Fool is one of Shakespeare's triumph in King Lear. It has been ingeniously suggested that the fool represents, in embodied form, the conscience of Lear. If this be so, one wonders at the factthat Lear's conscience has no effect upon him. Perhaps what is really meantis that the fool represents what would have been Lear's conscience, if he had one. This would, perhaps, explain the fact that he speaks only to Lear and that there is no more of him when Lear has lost his mind.

There may be another explanation of the function of the fool. Recall the tempestuous character of the king. We are always looking for an explosion greater than the one before. Now the fool throughout is saying things that would cause such an outbreak of temper had they been said by anyone else in the play. It is only because they originate from the fool that Lear is ableto control himself. Yet we are constantly wondering how long the king will

be able to exercise a slender self-control which hangs by such a trifling thread. The great moment comes on the heath. And after this, there being no further need of the fool, he does not appear again.

### 14.6.1 Fool in 'King Lear'

It takes a wise man to make a Fool. Paradoxical as it may seem, it is nevertheless, true. At first, the Fool was attached to the court witha licence to indulge in gibes at his own master. A Fool is safe because nobody would think of retaliating, for if you give it to the Fool he gives back to you very badly and rudely. To make a Fool, one should have more than ordinary observation, judgement and wisdom. Viola in The *Twelfth Night*, fulfils all the conditions of the Court Fool, while Jacques in *As You Like It*, glorifies the office of a Fool. Rough jesters usually are not gentlemen, but we have several instances of gentlemen-jesters also. Some times he is a 'simpleton' that lends point to his jests. Each Court has its Fool who is preacher and admonisher of kings.

The Fool is one of the most important characters in *King Lear*. It has been often said, upon our estimate of the part he plays, depends to a largeextent, on our estimate of the, play as a whole. As Kent very truly says of him (Act I Sc. iv) "This is not altogether fool my Lord." Regan expresses something like this towards the end of the play when she remarks, "Jestors do oft prove prophets." There is great sage counsel running through all his fooling; and he exhibits the most touching loyalty and affection for his master. Notice his buoyant chatter-an endeavour to cheer the King in his misery throughout the terrible storm scene. Doubtless, in the introduction of the Fool, one must recognize a good deal more comic relief or contrast to the tragedy of Lear's position.

On the heath, there is a strange assemblage of the Fool and the king and a strange effect arising from their union and position. It seems hardly possible that Lear's character around be properly developed without him. Indeed, he serves as a common gauge and exponent of all the characters about him, the mirror in which their finest and deepest lineaments are reflected. Though a privileged person, with the largest opportunity of seeing and the largest liberty of speaking, he everywhere turns his privileges into charities, making the immunities of the clown subservient to the noblest sympathies of the man. He moves in vital intercourse with the character and passion of the drama. He makes his folly the vehicle of truths which Lear will bear in no other shape, while his affectionate tenderness sanctifies all his nonsense.

How better can the Fool be described than as the soul of pathos in a sort of comic masquerade ? One in whom fun and frolic are sublimed and idealized into tragic beauty.

### 14.6.2 Role of Fool in the Drama

Undoubtedly, our estimate of this drama as a whole depends very much on the view we take of the Fool; that is, on how we interpret his part or in what sense we understand it. Superficially considered, his presence and action can hardly seem other than a blemish in the work, and a hindrance to its proper interest. Accordingly, he has been greatly misunderstood, indeed totally misconstrued, by many of Shakespeare's critics. And it must be confessed that the true meaning of his part is somewhat difficult to seize; in fact is not to be seized at all, unless one gets just the right point of view. He has no suffering of his own to move us, yet, rightly seen, he does move us, and deeply too. But the processof his interest is very peculiar and recondite. The real key to his character lies in that while his heart is slowly breaking, he never speaks, nor even appears so much as to think, of his own suffering. He seems indeed quite unconscious of it. His anguish is purely the anguish of sympathy, sympathyas deep and intense as to induce absolute forgetfulness with the words. "And I'll go to bed at noon" which means simply that the poor fellowis dying, and this, too, purely of other's sorrows, which he feels more keenly than they do themselves. She, who was the light of his eyes is gone, dowered with her father's curse and stranger with his oath. Kentand Edgar have vanished from his recognition, he knows not the victims of that wrong and crime; the wicked seem to be having all things their

own way, the elements have joined their persecution to the cruelties of men; there is not pity in the heavens, no help from the earth : he sees nothing but a "world's convention of agonies" before him, and his strainingof mind 'to play assuagement upon other's woes' has fairly breached the citadel of his life. But the deepest grief of all has now overtaken him:his old master's wits are shattered. To prevent this he has been toilinghis forces to the utmost, and now that it has come in spite of him, he no longer has anything to live for. To the last, he masks his passion in a characteristic disguise, and he breathes out of his life in a play of thought.

As the Fool represents truth in the guise of humour, he cannot be brought forward until the rupture with the moral law has taken place; the disguised truth waits; the king has not for two days seen the Fool. In his grief for Cordelia's banishment, the Fool has almost forgotten his part, and this affords us a pledge that, under the guise of humour, the deepest earnestness is concealed. Only in slight allusions does he touch the fault of the king, for roughly to waken up the injury done were the office not of love but of scorn. Hence, the Fool makes the folly of the king the target of his humour; the harmless words he throws out conceal a deep and penetrating significance. For example, immediately after Goneril's first rude speech to her father, the Fool breaks out with the apparently random words, 'Out went the candle and we were left darking' highlighting the folly Lear committed in banishing Cordelia. Gradually suchwords grow fewer. From now onwards, he indulges in some harmless, jesting remark to cheer the suffering of his master and to lighten the burden of his own grief. The whole depth and power of his sorrow he crowds into a little song, for he has become thus rich in songs since the king, as he says, has made his daughters his mothers. In a similar way, he expresses his impregnable devotion to the king in those deeply significant verses in which he promises not to desert the king in the storm, and the particular theme of which is that the wise arefools before God, but the fools in the eye of the world are justified by a higher power.

The Fool has his place in the tragedy only so long as the king is able

to perceive the truth veiled by the Fool's humour. There is no longer room or need for him after the king becomes crazed. This crisis is the end of the fool. He vanishes, goes to bed at mid-day, when his beloved master is hopelessly lost.

The Fool in Lear fulfills two functions corresponding with his two fold character : he emphasises the tragedy of the events and relieves it. He emphasises the tragedy because in his character as Jester (and it is his main character) he exposes, with something more than the freedom of speech usually accorded to his class, the folly of his master's action andits consequences. His aim seems to be to induce Lear to "resume" his power; hence, he harps continually on the folly of what Lear has done and expresses the regret to what his master is ashamed to give vent. For at first, Lear tries to hide the truth from himself; but the fool, acting as the King's "Conscience," forces the truth on his notice. All through the earlier part of the piece, pursuing this futile aim of urging the king to attempt to undo his work, the Fool puts into words what Lear himself is thinking, and those about him are thinking though afraid to say. Thus, he keeps the tragedy of the King's position vividly present and does this under the guise of a fantastic levity-which relieves the tension. From the close of the Second Act, the note of his sallies changes. Lear's cause is irredeemably lost, his mind is tottering; and now the Fool seeks to divert his master, "to out-just his heart struck injuries." And in his jesting there is less of bitterness and cleverness, less of pungent allusion to the king's mistake and of satirical worldly wisdom masquerading as "folly." But Lear's "injuries" are beyond the Fool's power to alleviate, and he ceases to be necessary to the scheme of the play. No words of his are wanted to emphasise its self-evident tragedy. The King's madness is emphatic enough; nothing can relieve its sheer horror. So, the Fool drops out of the action.

One can sum up the discussion by quoting A.C. Bradley's words when he writes, *'But the fool is one of Shakespeare's triumphs in King Lear. Imagine the tragedy without him and you hardly know it. To remove*  him would spoil its harmony, as the harmony of a picture would bespoiled if one of the colours was extracted. One can almost imagine that Shakespeare, going home from an evening at the Mermad where he had listened to Jonson fulminating against fools in general and perhaps criticising the clown in Twelfth Night in particular, had said to himself; "Come my friends, I will show you once for all that the mischief is inyou, and not in the fool or the audience. I will have a fool in the most tragic of my tragedies. He shall not play a little part. He shall keep from first to last the company in which you most object to see him, the company of a king instead of amusing the king's idle hours, he shall stand by him in the very whirlwind of passion. Before I have done you shall confess, between laughters and tears that he is of the very essenceof life, that you have known him all your days though you never recognisedhim till now, and that you would as soon go without Hamlet as miss him."

### 14.7 EDGAR

Edgar is another important character who is important in the dramatic construction of King Lear. The scheme of the play makes Edgar the counterpart of Cordelia. He is the son of Earl of Gloucester. Being an upright and honourable man he fails to see evil in others, and readily falls into the trap laid by Edmund who seeks to deprive him of his rights, and so succeedhis father. He is described by Edmund as

> a brother noble, Whose nature is so far from doing harms That he suspects none;

He seems to accept readily Edmund's feigned story of his father's illwill towards him, and is even persuaded by Edmund to flee from home, thus, giving ground for suspicion, instead of first ascertaining from his father the cause of any ill-will. In this condition, he first meets the mad King Lear, and afterwards his father, Gloucester. Like Cordelia, he does not allow any feeling of hatred to predominate against those who have wronged him, but tenderly cares ones for his father. When after the blinding of Gloucester they meet on the heath, he endeavours to give comfort to him in his physical and mental anguish. When Gloucester contemplates suicide, it is Edgar who saves him from it. Even after all his troubles which he briefly, though eloquently, relates he behaves charitably towards Edmund. Even when his business is exposed following the well- known words, he half apologies for him.

# The gods are just and of our pleasant vices Make instruments to plague us.

Edgar's much-to-be admired conduct stands out in bold relief to the treachery of Edmund, his half-brother. If the best grace and happiness of life consist, as this play makes us feel that they do, in forgetting of self and a living for others, Kent and Edgar are those of Shakespeare's men whom one should most wish to resemble. Strikingly similar in virtues and situation, these two persons are, notwithstanding, widely different in character. Brothers in magnanimity and in misfortune; equally invincible in fidelity, the one to his king and other to his father, both are driven to disguise themselves, and in their disguise both serve where they stand condemned. Kent, despite his generosity to control himself is always quick, fiery and impetuous. Edgar, controlling himself even because of his generosity, is always calm, collected, and deliberate. For, if Edgar be the more judicious and prudent, Kent is the more unselfish of the two; the former disguises himself for his own safety, and then turning his disguise into an opportunity of service; the latter disguising himself merely in order to serve, and than perilling his life in the same cause whereby the other seeks to preserve it.

According to A.C. Bradley, "There is in Edgar, with much else that is fine, something of buoyancy of spirit which charms us in Imogene. Nothing can subdue in him the feeling that life is sweet and must be cherished. At his worst, misconstrued, condemned, exiled, under sentence of death, the lowest and most dejected thing of fortune, he keeps his head erect. The inextinguishable spirit of youth and delight is in him ; he embraces the unsubstantial air which has blown him to the worst for him 'the worst returnsto laughter. 'Bear free and patient thoughts,' he says to his father. His own thoughts are more than patient, they are free, even joyous, in spite of the tender sympathies which strive in vain to overwhelm him.'' This ability to feel sympathy with those in distress is a noble quality, sometimes found in souls like Edgar's–naturally buoyant and also religious. It may even be characteristic of him that, when Lear is sinking down in death, he tries to rouse him and bring back to life 'Look up, my lord' he cries.

As stated earlier, the scheme of the play makes Edgar the counterpart of Cordelia, and he is worthy of this position; what higher praise could begiven to him? There is something very touching in the tenderness with whichhis strong nature deals with his father's weakness. He is generous in his peculiar relation to Edmund and the latter's taunt (II.I.67) is the very last that Edgar would use. His only practical mistakes are that, like Gloucester, he accepts too implicitly Edmund's story and afterwards takes to flight instead of seeking some explanation from his father. But he has no special reason to distrust Edmund, of whom he can know but little, and his own nature "isso far from doing harms." that he instinctively trusts others, unsuspecting, underserved confidence in others is the natural error of such men. After his flight, Edgar manifests a nerve and versatility which carry him through many great difficulties and enable him as Gervinus says, to play many parts successfully. There is about him a "royal nobleness" of bearing and character of which, none can be insensible. Had he too fallen a victim at the last it would havebeen exceedingly hard to dispute the alleged pessimism of *King Lear*.

Just as Cordelia is the beautiful ideal of the heroine, so is Edgar thevery type of the hero. The modern fictionist would have expressed this by marrying these two kindred spirits by way of a happy denoucement. Himself upright, honourable, and affectionate, he thinks evil of no man. Like Cordelia, he does not permit the smart of underserved hatred to poison his mind against his father, whom he tenderly cares for when after the blinding of Gloucester, they meet on the heath. Even after all his woes, which are related so eloquently, and yet so tersely, he is ready to exchange charity and Edmund, for whom indeed he half apologies in the oft-quoted words.

The gods are just, and of our pleasant vices Make instruments to plague us: The dark and vicious place where thee he got Cost him his eyes.

Edger's admirable conduct throughout the play shows, only the villainy of Edmund in a more heinous light.

In Edgar, we have a character in, some respects, as grand as that of Kent, though perhaps hardly as unselfish and lovable. At the outset, indeed, we are scarcely prepared for the nobility of character which event after event bring out. It strikes us as strange that he should be so ready to accept Edmund's story of his father's ill-will towards him and should not at once have soughtthat father's presence to ascertain the possible grounds of his distrust. Described by Edmund as one :

"Whose nature is so-far from doing harm That

he suspects none."

He not only by his advice avoids a father by whom he must know himself to be loved and to have deserved to be loved, but is shortly afterwards persuaded to take a step, in itself as suspicious as that of fleeing from home instead of facing inquiry. Possibly, aware of his father's credulity, he believesit to be impossible to establish his innocence in the teeth of such a plot against him as that at which Edmund has hinted.

### 14.7.1 Edgar's assumed madness :

Edgar pretends to be a mad man, a poor Tom O'Bedlam, hiding himself in a hovel on the heath. He pretends to be followed by a foul friend with jaw-breaking names. Lear is persecuted by his two daughters and rightly he suffers from a persecution mania. But Edgar's persecution mania is pretended.

Edgar plays a role of a mad man rather badly and none but the wilfully blind could be deceived by it. As a mad man, he should talk incoherently without any sense. But Edgar's talk is coherent and logical and only sometimes when he goes far, he breaks the coherence mechanically, and utters some deliberate nonsense like : Tom's cold 0' do de, do de, do de, etc.

Lear projects his own feelings into Edgar's case and remarks that he,too, must have been betrayed by his ungrateful daughters to whom he must have given away his all. Lear looks upon Edgar as a philosopher, who teaches him what a poor, bare, forked animal is unaccommodated man. Edgar continues his assumed madness even when he meets his blinded father and saves from intended suicide. It is, however, curious that he talks in variousdialects and tones without exciting anybody's suspicion. Edgar casts off his madness to meet his bastard brother in a duel to settle his account with himat last and Edmund dies at the hands of Edgar.

The delineation or Edgar's assumed madness is not as well done by Shakespeare as Lear's is. But besides serving a dramatic purpose in the under-plot, Edgar's assumed madness served as a contrast to Lear's real madness even as Hamlet's feigned madness served as a contrast to the realmadness of Ophelia.

Edgar's philosophy co-exists with his faith in the gods of his race. His philosophy has taught him to endure and to endure in a manly way, the 'Strange mutations' of the world (''To be weak is miserable, doing or suffering, )". He therefore, in his deep sympathy and affection, saves his wretched father from killing himself in despair and by a show-as it were as supernatural intervention and grace-converts him to his own manly creed which calls uponto "Bear free and patient thoughts." He blames Gloucester for getting into "ill thoughts," and tells him :

"Men must endure Their going hence, even as their coming hither Ripeness is all"

These words of Edgar recall Hamlet's own philosophy "readiness is all." Besides this philosophy, Edgar has his faith also in the gods of his society. He thus, tells Gloucester about the divine grace that has saved him from death :

> "therefore, thou happy father, Think that the dearest gods, who make them honours Of men's impossibilities, have preserved thee".

Edgar's clear faith converts his old father to a belief in the "ever gentle gods". We see Edgar's deep faith in his accusation of Edmund, just before their combat.

... Thou art a traitor False to thy gods, thy brother, and thy father..... And in the fall and death of Edmund, Edgar sees the justice of the gods.

K. Deighton rightly says that Lear's suffering calls out the most adorable and lovable aspect of Edgar's personality and his deepest sympathies, though it is beyond his power in any way to remedy them. With his father it is different. Roused out of himself and the sorrows which had seemed almost too great to be borne, he sees before him a task prescribed by love, and calling for the exercise of all the patience, tenderness, and tact that he can command. As we watch him in his endeavour to solace the mental no less than the physical anguish of the father whose distrust of himself has been so grievous, as we have proof of the courage with which he defends him and the skill whereby he wins him from the determination of suicide to a calm acceptance of the will of the gods, as we listen to his relation of the peaceful close of life which his ministrations have made possible, witness his noble distance of his treacherous brother and the still more noble forgiveness which he grants to his fallen foe, we feel that Edgar is no unworthy 'yoke-fellow in arms' with Kent in the fierce struggle against evil wherein their fate has involved them.'

To conclude the discussion about Edgar, I quote from A.C. Bradley. He writes, "of these four characters (Cordelia, Kent, Fool, and Edgar), Edgar excites the least enthusiasm, but he is the one whose development is the most marked. His behaviour in early part of the play, granted that it is not too improbable, is so foolish as to provoke one. But he learns by experience, and becomes the capable person in the story, without losing any of his purity and nobility of mind. With his religiousness, on the other side, is connected his cheerful and confident endurance, and his practical helpfulness and resource. He never thinks of despairing; in the worst circumstances he is sure there is something to be done, to make things better. And he is sure of this, not only from temperament, but from faithin 'the clearest gods.' He is the man on whom we are to rely at the end for the recovery and welfare of the state : "We do rely on him."

### 14.8 KENT

Kent is the most striking and most noble character in *King Lear*. His devotion to his master, from whom he has received such harsh treatment, is unwavering, untiring, and utterly regardless of the dangers he may bring upon himself. For such devotion, we are prepared from almost the first words he speaks. None but a brave man would have ventured as he did tocome "between the dragon and his wrath," none but a resolute one to persist in opposition to despotic will and power. His championship ofCordelia makes it manifest to us that when determining to follow the fortunes of the unhappy king he will do so with no halting step, that whatever sacrifices may be demanded of him, he will gladly pay. As the troubles around him increase, his great qualities stand out all the more strongly. Hedisplays not only a rare fidelity, but large resource, wisdom, and foresight. His equanimity under the insults put upon him by Regan is unruffled; amid the sufferings which he shares with his master his cheerfulness abates no with while the tender care with which he watches over Lear is what we

perhaps might not have expected from one so blunt of speech and impetuous of manner.

O thou good Kent, how shall I live and work To match thy goodness? My life will be too short And every measure fail me,

are Cordelia's words of no exaggerated acknowledgement and are uttered by one who justly says of herself.

> what I will intend "I'll do it before I speak."

And when all his sacrifice of love is fruitless, when he for whom it has so cheerfully been made is unable to profit by it, or even to recognize to whomhe owes such loyal tendance, but passes away, his mind still clouded with its sad disease and his heart broken by the last awful blow of Cordelia's death, for Kent there is no further tie to earth, no other hope but that of following his master elsewhere as here. To Albany's entreaty that he will share with Edgar the government of the realm his answer is,

"I have a journey, sir, shortly to go; My master calls me, I must not say no.

### 14.9 LET US SUM UP

It is generally acknowledged that the role played by Cordelia in *King Lear* is a symbolic one. She is a symbol of good amidst the evil characterswithin the play. Cordelia reply does not intiate the tragedy, Lear's misguided question does that. When Cordelia, Lear's only well-intentioned daughter, is banished from the kingdom, Fool immediately assumes her role on Lear's protector. The fool is the king's advocate, honest and loyal and through his use of irony sarcasm and humour he is able to point out Lear's faults. Edgaris the chorus of the play and can also be seen as a positive commentator and a philosopher. He has faith in the triumph of goodness over evil and he is able to see beyond mere luck to some higher grand design.

### 14.10 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS

- Q1 Draw a character sketch of Cordelia.
- Q2 What is the importance of assumed madness of Edgar.
- Q3 Discuss the character sketch of Kent.

### 14.11 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS

- 1. Who is King Lear's youngest and most beloved daughter?
  - A) Goneril
  - B) Regan
  - C) Cordelia
  - D) The Fool
- 2. Which character remains loyal to King Lear throughout the play and disguises himself as "Caius" to serve Lear in secret?
  - A) Cordelia
  - B) Goneril
  - C) Kent
  - D) The Fool
- 3. What is the role of the Fool in "King Lear"?
  - A) A loyal servant and advisor to King Lear
  - B) A jester who provides comic relief
  - C) A treacherous character who betrays Lear
  - D) A nobleman who vies for Lear's throne
- 4. Which character in "King Lear" disguises himself as "Poor Tom" and feigns madness to escape danger?

- A) Kent
- B) Edgar
- C) Cordelia
- D) Goneril
- 5. Who is the character who initially refuses to flatter King Lear to gain a share of the kingdom and is subsequently banished?
  - A) Kent
  - B) Goneril
  - C) Cordelia
  - D) Edmund
- 6. What is Lear's relationship with his other two daughters, Goneril and Regan, at the beginning of the play?
  - A) He deeply loves and trusts them.
  - B) He is indifferent to them.
  - C) He is suspicious of their intentions.
  - D) He has disowned them.
- 7. What punishment does King Lear impose on Kent after Kent speaks out in Cordelia's defense?
  - A) Banishment from the kingdom
  - B) Execution
  - C) Stripping him of his noble titles
  - D) A public flogging
- 8. Who delivers the prophecy about the "Wheel of Fortune" in "King Lear"?
  - A) Goneril

- B) Regan
- C) Cordelia
- D) The Fool
- 9. In "King Lear," which character experiences a tragic transformation from a nobleman into a homeless and mad beggar?
  - A) Kent
  - B) Cordelia
  - C) Edgar
  - D) Goneril
- 10. What advice does Cordelia give to King Lear in Act I of the play, leading to her banishment?
  - A) To divide the kingdom among her and her sisters equally
  - B) To retire and live a life of luxury
  - C) To trust Goneril and Regan without question
  - D) To seek forgiveness for his past mistakes

Answers : 1C, 2C, 3B, 4B, 5C, 6A, 7A, 8D, 9C, 10A.

### 14.12 SUGGESTED READINGS

Muir, Kenneth, and Stanley Wells. *Aspects of King Lear*. Cambridge UP, 1982

Ram Bilas Sharma. *Essays on Shakespearean Tragedy*. Anamika Publishers, New Delhi, 1998.

Wolfgang Clemen. *The Development of Shakespeare's Imagery*, Mathew and Co. Ltd. London 1977.

Wilson Knight, The Wheel of Fire.

-----

COURSE No. 121	DRAMA-I	LESSON No. 15
M.A. ENGLISH	WILLIAM SHAKESPEARE	UNIT - III
	(KING LEAR)	

### AN ANALYSIS 'KING LEAR' AS A TRAGEDY

### **STRUCTURE**

- 15.1 Introduction
- 15.2. Objectives
- 15.3 King Lear is more tragic than other tragedies
- 15.4 Universal appeal of the Play
- 15.5 Effect of Suffering on Lear
- 15.6 Lear & Othello as Tragedies
- 15.7 Comic Elements in the Play
- 15.8 Role of Cordelia
- 15.9 Popular Superstition in the Play
- 15.10 Christian World View
- 15.11 Let Us Sum Up
- 15.12 Examination Oriented Questions
- 15.13 Multiple Choice Questions
- 15.14 Suggested Readings

### **15.1 INTRODUCTION**

*King Lear* is sometimes referred to as the most pessimistic of the four tragedies written by Shakespeare. Commenting upon the pessimistic atmosphere of the play Caroline Spurgeon comments. "We are conscious all through of the atmosphere of buffeting strife, and moments of bodily tension to the point of agony". Granville Barker says, "the main truth about life, to Shakespearethat wrote *King Lear*, is its capricious cruelty."

*King Lear* is, by common consent, our greatest poet's greatest creation. It follows, therefore that the world of which Shakespeare delivered himself in this stupendous drama, though admittedly the most painful of all his tragedies, should have a better right to be called in Sidney's sense, 'golden' than any other we can name, whether among his own works or in the whole galaxy of poems that glorify English literature. It is only another way of putting what Hazlitt said when he observed of *King Lear* that "it is the bestof Shakespeare's plays, since it is the one in which he is most in earnest," and is "fairly caught in the web of his own imagination."

Caroline Spurgeon gives a vivid description of the prevailing atmosphere of "very Night heresays" in King Lear. The picture that is constantly kept before us is that of "a human body in anguished movement, tugged, wretchd, beaten, pierced, stung, scourged, dislocated, flayed, gashed, scalded, tortured, and finally broken on the rack. Lear, in his agonized remorse, pictures himself as a man wrenched and tortured by an 'engine,' beating "at the gate (his head) that let his folly in", Goneril has power to shake his manhood; he complains that she has struck him with her tongue, his heart will break into a hundred thousand flaws. Albany wonders how far Goneril's eyes may pierce, Gloucester's flawed heart is cracked and finally it bursts."

### Pagan and barbaric atmosphere in the play :

It indicates that the play is concerned with a primitive age. In fact, it is saturated with the barbaric and pagan atmosphere. The incidents that form the framework of the play can happen in royal families only in barbarian times. To quote Gervinus : "We know from the authenticated history of the Burgundian and Merovingian houses, that such times and such men did exist, that family horrors, as we read them in Lear, have abounded for centuries, even among Christian races. The poet places us in the very centre of such an age and brings actively before us a whole race endowed with that barbaric strength of passion, in which almost without exception, the resistance of reason and conscience to the emotions of passion is powerless or dead."

The pervading pagan atmosphere; the radiant goodness of the few, the volcanic passions of others, the teeming references of nature and animal life, the frequent references to physical torture and mental agony, the terrific storm on the heath, and brutal monstrosities of character that render the human landscape of King Lear, as dark and gloomy as the convulsions in nature, make it one of the most elemental and primeval of Shakespeare's tragedies. John Holloway categorically states that King Lear, a play set (unlike Macbeth) in the legendry prehistory of Britain, depicts a world which is what follows from having the quality of legend, and the primeval as subject. In conformity with the 'splendidly barbaric and temper of the play King Lear's is a church-less and a priest-less world. Its political organisation is a simple scheme of monarchy wherein the King's authority is uncontrolled and unlimited in its absoluteness. A robed man of justice may appear sporadically, but he is a mere appendage of the regal household and an interpreter of the royal will. The impression that the atmosphere here is in a pronounced manner, pagan, is substantiated when Lear swears by Apollo, by Jupiter, by the sacred radiance of the sun, by

> The mysteries of hecate and the night. By all the operation of the orbs From whom we exist and cease to be

He prays to his 'dear goddess' Nature to strike Goneril's womb with sterility. So too, does Edmund, ironically enough he dedicates himself to her services, expecting to draw from her the evil energies he needs for the furtherance of his career. In her most prayerful mood, Cordelia invokes the "Kind gods" to cure the great breach in her father's 'abused nature'. Edgar, reflecting upon the woes brought on his father by Edmund, attributes the working of nemesis to the gods;

# The gods are just, and of our pleasant vices Make instruments to plague us.

To Lear as well as the Duke of Albany, they are the fountains of justice: while to Gloucester, in his agony, they are the wanton and reckless inflictors of cruelty on helpless human beings. He says,

# As flies to wanton boys are we to the gods: They kill us for their sport.

These stars, gods, nature, the sun and the sacred orbs, Hecate and her mysteries govern the lives and fortunes of the characters in *King Lear*.

With the help of a large number of hints in the play, Shakespeare creates the impression that mankind has reeled back to the beast. The air reeks with savage animal nature. When Edgar describes himself as "false of heart, light of ear, bloody of hand; hog in sloth fox in stealth, wolf in greediness, dog in madness, lion in prey," it is man himself that Shakespeare is thinking of. "The fitchew nor the soiled horse goes to 't with a more riotous appetite", is Lear's view of man's sensuality. "Goneril is a kite; her ingratitude has a serpent'stooth : she has struck her father most serpent-like upon the very heart, her visage is wolfish. She has tied sharp toothed unkindness like a vulture on her father's breast, for her husband she is a gilded serpent : to Gloucester her cruelty seems to have the fangs of a bear. She and Regan are dog-hearted : They are tigers, not daughters : each is an adder to the other : the flesh of eachis covered with the fell of a beast". Bradley has summed up the cruelty of the times very lucidly. To quote him, "As we read, the souls-of all the beast in turn seem to us to have entered the bodies of these mortals; horrible in their venom savagery, lust, deceitfulness, sloth, cruelty, filthiness; blindness; and man, 'consider him well', is even what they are.''

So far, Shakespeare had spared us crude physical horrors, Lear has suffered beyond endurance for the wrong done to Cordelia, but Nature has mercifully taken away his sanity. To Gloucester, no such mercy is shown. Cornwall and Regan have sent Edmund away with Goneril, and they wait impatiently for their victim. When he comes they turn on him and abuse him. They bind him to a chair and then put out his eyes. On the stage, the physical horror of this scene is and should be unendurable, for if play is to be actedit must neither be mitigated nor softened or the stark pity and terror of the tragedy, is lessened. Nevertheless, some immediate vengeance is at hand when one of the servants unable to endure the cruelty of his master tries to save Gloucester, and in the fight Cornwall is fatally wounded. So Gloucester,like his master, is turned out of doors to smell his way to Dover. He, too has been justly but brutally punished, first for the original sin which began with Edmund and secondly for the nasty injustice of his treatment of Edgar.

### **15.2 OBJECTIVES**

The lesson acquaints the learner with the important traits of *King Lear* as tragedy. The characters of Lear and Cordelia are discussed in this context. The social background is also included so as to ensure that the popular superstition of the play becomes intelligible.

### **15.3 LEAR MORE TRAGIC THAN OTHER TRAGEDIES**

*King Lear*, is more terrible than *Macbeth*, more piteous than *Othello*, more profound than *Hamlet*, but less human than any of them. And it is too sublime for terror; too profound for pity, and almost too vast for thought. It is bewildering in its intensity and it's breath: the mind refuses to grasp it as a whole. It can never be as popular on the stage as the other great tragedies are. *Hamlet* is a part in which no great actor has failed; but no one can act Lear, for Lear is more than a man. Everything is fused in this drama: folly and wisdom, madness and sanity, pity and rage, are one.

A few small points may also be noted. Regan and Goneril with their barbaric energy and ruthless passions are the vivid pictures before our eyes. Is the scene, in which Gloucester's eyes are torn out, good art? Would the hanging of Cordelia be less intelligible without it? Some shrink from the blinding and some from the wholesale slaughter at the end. It mayat least be pointed out that it would have been utterly unlike Shakespeare to leave Regan and Goneril triumphant. It is significant that, unlike Hamletand Macbeth, the play does not end with any note of triumph or of hope. On the horizon of Shakespeare's tragic fatalism we see no such twilight of atonement, such pledge of reconciliation as this. Requital, redemption, amends, equity, explanation, pity and mercy, are words without a meaning here.

### Key note of the Play :

As flies to wanton boys are we to the gods; They

kill us for their sport.

The words just cited are not casual or episodical; they strike the keynote of the whole play; the keystone of the whole arch of thought.

The playwright of *King Lear*, adhering to the letter of his text, left Cordelia happy with her father at the end of the drama. We shall never knowwho moved Shakespeare to drop that pall of darkness upon the mystery of inscrutable woe at the very moment when there dawned a brighter day for Lear on being united to his blameless daughter. For once, it would appear, he chose to sound the deepest depths of the world's suffering, a depth deeper than of Aeschylean or Sophoclean tragedy, deeper than the tragedy of *Othello*, a story of black despairing depth of voiceless and inexplicable agony.

There is no drama in the whole range of modern literature, perhaps of ancient as well, which can equal *King Lear*, in the tragic imagination which has clothed with chaotic darkness and godless sorrow, not only Lear and the characters that make its mighty train, but also the whole of humanity, even the gods themselves. The eternal justice whom we trust lives beyond and above our sorrow and our crime; which the Greek drama permits us tofeel as holding in its hands of far off pope-is-not to be *King Lear*. The gods have not only forgotten man; the gods seem dead. The stars alone – the destroying planets-rule supreme.

The principal characters here are not those who act, but those who suffer. We have a fall from the highest elevation into the deepest abyss of misery, where humanity is stripped of all external and internal advantages and given up a prey to naked helplessness. Threefold dignity of a king, an old man and a father is dishonoured by the cruel ingratitude of his unnatural daughters. The old Lear, who, out of a foolish tenderness, has given away everything, is driven out to the world as a wandering beggar; the childish imbecility to whichhe was fast advancing changes into the wildest insanity; and when he is saved, from the disgraceful destitution to which he was abandoned, it is too late. The kind consolations of filial care and attention and true friendship are now loston him; his bodily and mental powers are destroyed beyond all hope of recovery; and all that now remains to him of life is the capability of lovingand suffering, beyond measure. What a picture we have in the meeting of Edgar and Lear in a wretched hovel. The meeting of the exiled and disguised Edgar with the blind Gloucester is equally heartrending; nothing can be more moving than to see the rejected son become the father's guide, and the good angel, who under the disguise of insanity, by an ingenious and pious fraud saves him from the horror and despair of suicide.

Everything is woeful in this woeful world. The whole scene is like the remembrance of some wild prescribing scene from real life. The chargeof pessimism in *King Lear*, is substantiated by the way in which Shakespeare might have saved both Cordelia and the aged King, her father, if he had so chosen. In support of this contention, they point out the sudden and unprepared way in which the catastrophe is made to take place. Some go even to the extent of imagining that if Shakespeare had written this play later on, in what Professor Dowden would call, the fourth and the final period of dramatic

composition, he would have ended the play happily. Though this is not the place to discuss elaborately whether Shakespeare could not have ended this play happily, it can be stated unhesitatingly, that the death of Cordelia and Lear do not leave us crushed, rebellious or hopeless.

#### **15.4 UNIVERSAL APPEAL OF THE PLAY**

King Lear may be regarded as more universal in its scope than either Othello or Macbeth. For the king, there is no murderer, nor is he the victim of an almost incredible malice and extreme credulity, but rather a hero of inherent largeness of soul, who partly by his own errors of judgement, suffers exceptional calamity. Because the suffering of Lear is not unconnected with his character, it is tragic and not melodramatic, but because it is out of proportion to his fault. It raises the whole problem of evil. The perennial appeal of tragedy, to the human mind, lies in its presentation in the artistic form of the eternal question of evil and suffering. Is there justice in the world, or in the heavens ? It is mainly because questions like this crop up persistently before us in Lear than the other tragedies that the drama may be spoken of as more universal. Lear goes further than in the other tragedies of Shakespeare in answering the problem by insisting on the value of endurance, and by showing us the hero purged through suffering. If *King Lear*, is a tragic reading of life, it is not a cynical one for it goes as far as tragedy can go, without ceasing to be tragedy. But apart from the hint which some may find (with Bradley) of something beyond death, all sensitive readers are left with the feeling that it is quality and not quantity of life that matters.

#### **Religious appeal of the Play :**

Thus, *King Lear*, though not a religious work is compatible with religion. 'For all those who cannot go beyond the moral interpretation of lifeto the religious interpretation, it might easily be held that Shakespeare is the most precious of thinkers'. And nowhere in Shakespeare are 'integrity, loyalty, patience, love, forgiveness humility' more powerfully presented than in *King Lear. King Lear* bears it out even to the edge of doom' is true of Cordelia, Kent, and Edgar. But a dramatist is neither a preacher nor a moralist; and what we can draw from the play of Shakespeare's judgement of value are important to us just because he is a supreme poet. He is a supreme dramatist because he surpasses his fellows in the insight and sympathy with which he can present a vast variety of characters of every age and condition of life, a range which makes the work of Elizabethans like Marlowe, Johnson, Webster, or Ford look narrow and stilted.

Lear's journey towards enlightenment begins before the storm. But it is not until his sufferings have reached a climax in the storm, when he is driven insane, that we feel really confident that he is ultimately going to reach the spiritual goal. At the start, Lear was literally speaking, sane; but his folly was great enough to be spoken by Kent as 'madness'. But when hegoes completely mad in the storm he is certainly on the way to true wisdom; he can speak 'reason in madness'. It is an amazing moment when Lear, in his madness, expresses his lately acquired awareness of the humanity common to himself and to the lowest of the low. Hailing poor Tom as the thing itself he continues; "unaccommodated man is no more but such a poor, bare, forked animal as thou art. Off, off, you lendings. Come, unbutton here."

We are touched by the gentle courtesy of his words. This is a tone of which he was incapable at the start. Now 'undo this button' echoes the 'Come, unbutton here' which he shouted out in the storm at the later point and enables us to compare and contrast. When he cries 'Come unbutton here': Lear has attained knowledge of truth. But he is frenzied and is moreover dramatizing himself. When he says 'Pray you. Undo this button. Thank you, sir', he has the knowledge of the same truth but he is quiet and humble. Thus, Shakespeare subtly suggests that Lear learns wisdom, comes to full spiritual regeneration not in madness but through madness. The lesson he learns in the storm have their full effect only when he regains his sanity towards the end. Thus, the end of the play does not make us pessimistic.

According to Dowden, Shakespeare opposes the presence and the

influence of evil not by any transcendental denial of evil, but by the presence of human virtue, fidelity, and self-sacrificial love. "As we draw near to theawful close of *King Lear* or *of Othello* and feel the fibres of our being almost torn as under, the comfort that comes to us when quiet falls on the desolate scene is the comfort, of the sure knowledge that Shakespeare is with us; that was he who saw these things felt them as we do, and found in the splendours of courage and love a remedy for despair says Raleigh. Edmund and Cornwall, Goneril and Regan can exist in any age in the world's history.

#### **15.5 EFFECT OF SUFFERING ON LEAR**

A.C. Bradley is of the opinion that there is nothing more noble and beautiful in literature than Shakespeare's exposition of the effect of suffering in reviving the greatness and eliciting the sweetness of Lear's nature. The occasional recurrence, during his madness, of autocratic impatience or of desire for revenge serves only to heighten this effect, and the moments when his insanity becomes merely infinitely piteous to not weaken it. The old King, who in pleading with his daughters, feels so intensely his own humiliation and their horrible ingratitude, and who yet at fourscore and upward, constrains himself to practise a self-control and patience so many years disused; who out of old affection for Fool, and in repentance forhis injustice to the Fool's beloved mistress, tolerates, incessant arid cutting reminders of this own folly and wrong; in whom the rage of "the storm awakes a power and a poetic grandeur surpassing even that of Othello's anguish; who comes in his affliction to think of others first, and to seek, in tender solicitude for his poor boy, the shelter he scorns for his own head; who learns to feel and to pray for the miserable and houseless poor, to discern the falseness of flattery and the brutality of authority, and to pierce below the difference of rank and to the common humanity beneath; whose sight is so purged by scalding tears that it sees at last how power and place and things in the world are vanity except love; who tastes inhis last hours the extremes both of love's rapture and of its agony, but could never, if he lived on or lived again, care a jot for aught beside

there is no figure, surely, in the world of poetry at once so grand, so pathetic and so beautiful as his . Well, but Lear owes the whole of thisto these sufferings which made us doubt whether life were not simply evil, and man like the flies which wanton boys torture for their sport. Should we not be at least as near the truth, if we called this poem *The Redemption of King Lear*, and declared that the business of "the gods" with him was neither to torment him, not to teach him a "noble anger" butto lead him to attain through apparently hopeless failure the very end and aim of life ? One can believe that Shakespeare had been tempted at times to feel misanthropy and despair, but is quite impossible that he could have been mastered by such feelings at the time when he produced this conception."

*King Lear* is often at the apex of Shakespeare's achievement, and by many judges at the head of the dramatic literature of the world. The story was as old as Geoffrey of Monmouth, the medieval chronicler, and like so many of the themes which Shakespeare handled, had already been made the subject of a play, a crude effort by some nameless playwright during the experimental stage of Elizabethan drama. Here, as was his constant custom, Shakespeare followed the main lines of the story given to him and incorporated into his grand edifice every bit of usable material from the building of his predecessor. Here, too, as always in Shakespeare, if we pierce to the core of his meaning, the real tragedy is a spiritual one. Lear is an imperious self-government by long indulgence of his passionate whims. At the opening of the play, we see him craving to find a refuge from himself by surrendering all his wealth and power in exchange for absolute love. The heart of the old King demands love; love is the element upon which it subsists and age, instead of abating this hunger, has made craving more imperious. He demands love not only in the spirit but in the letter, and throws away his youngest daughter, Cordelia, far from him with cruel briskness when she refuses to use the terms of extravagant hyperbole to describe her affection for him. This brisk and hasty spirit of the King precipitates upon his old head the enmity of his remaining daughters, Goneril and Regan. Before he has recovered from the shock of Cordelia's

defection, this awful pair of daughters lays bare, little by little, their monstrous soul to their father's gaze. As in *Othello*, the result of the revelation is to unhinge the sufferer from the order of nature. As is in sympathy with the chaos in Lear's soul, the elements break loose; and in the pauses of the blast we hear the noise of violent crimes, curses, heart- broken jesting, the chatter of idiocy, and the wandering tongue of madness. The sentimentalist phrase, "poetic justice", has no meaning for Shakespeare. The ruin wrought in the old King's heart and brain is irreparable, and the tornado which whirls him to his doom carries with it the just and the unjust. The little golden pause of peace when Lear and Cordelia are united, is followed by the intolerably piercing scene in which he bearsher dead body out of the prison, muttering that they have hanged his "poor fool". The consequences of rash action, heartlessly taken advantage of, were never followed to a grimmer end.

#### 15.6 KING LEAR AND OTHELLO AS TRAGEDIES

King Lear, which Swinburne called, "the most elemental and primeval" of Shakespeare's plays, is in sharp contrast to the concentrated domestic tragedy of Othello. The main story goes far back into ancient British mythology; Lear himself as originally the old Celtic sea-god, and the folk tale of the king and his three daughters was attached to this character by Geoffrey of Monmouth in the twelfth century. The story, as Geoffrey told it, was repeated several times in English literature before Shakespeare (by Holinshed and Spenser among others), and there was an older play on the subject which Shakespeare used as one of his sources; With the main story Shakespeare combined the tale of Gloucester and his two sons-the substanceof which he found in Sidney's Arcadia, to achieve an extraordinary double plotted tragedy where the main action is echoed and commented on, as it were, by the sub plot. Instinctively recognizing the mythological and folk elements in the original story, Shakespeare fills his play with archetypal images and ideas which combine and reverberate to produce a large cosmic view of man's fate at the same time as the individual tragedies of Lear and

his daughters; and Gloucester and his sons are played out. *King Lear* is thus, the largest in conception and implication of all Shakespearean tragedies; it is a poetic drama heightened to a grand symbolic level without losing that uncanny insight into ordinary human psychology that continues to astonish us in Shakespeare. The play is thus, a happy hunting ground for those who are interested in discovering the symbolic pattern of imagery in Shakespeare, for in his handling of images of nature, of sex, of astronomy, of order, in the paradoxical counter pointing of symbols of light and dark, of sight andblindness, of knowledge and ignorance, of good and evil, Shakespeare brings his highest poetic and dramatic powers to bear. It is an immense play, immense in power and meaning in the weight of tragic knowledge which it conveys. Both, poetically and dramatically, it goes as far as poetic can go.

*King Lear*, is in depth, less individual than is *Othello*. Although it starts with the family and the innermost circle of human relations, it reaches out through the state and through nature itself to the ultimate and unchangeable in man's life. Lear is one that hath even but slenderly known himself and is therefore, at the opposite pole from Hamlet. If a man will not enter into the solution of his problem, nature can be counted on to solve it for him. Nature is seen here as power of generation, regeneration and cohesion. Left alone, it is chaos; subdued and shaped by God's law and man's law, it is order, civilization, justice, and mercy. When Lear gives over the sovereignty of his kingdom, he commits an act against nature and the law of God. His behaviour as a father is equally subversive, and throughout the play he reaps the consequences of the violation of natural fundamentals. The stresses and strains of the external world finally destroy Lear's sanity itself. The moment can be marked exactly. When Lear in the storm before the hovel on the heath, has seen the wild spectacle of disorder reinforced suggestively by the terror of his own suffering and the suffering of the fool and the ravages of Tom o 'Bedlam', he goes crazy himself in a burst of lucid reasoning. King Lear thus, pictures in the tragedy of a king who is also kingship, and of a father who is also

fatherhood, the return to chaos in a kingdom and family-the ruin of the centres and, therefore, of the whole body politics. This is the ultimateidea of calamity in the ethics of the Renaissance. The play is notable also for its perfect handling of the plots. In universality, *King Lear*, rivals Hamlet, although two plays occupy different fields-*Hamlet*, represents the innermost life of all men; *Lear* portrays man in his social relations leading to the cosmic problems. In *Lear*, too huge, too vague, too fearful for the stage, though Shakespeare's greatest imaginative creation, we have the spectacle of aged fathers tortured in mind and body by unnatural children; and the storm that rages through the play seems to shake the very universeto its foundations.

## 15.7 COMIC ELEMENTS IN THE PLAY

There is present, in this play, besides the sublime, the beauty of the comic also. Of the great tragedies King Lear, alone contains a Fool to whom an important part in the action is assigned. His main function, dramatically, is to emphasize the folly, as contrasted with the majesty, of his royal master. This Fool is by common consent one of the most beautiful characters that Shakespeare ever created. As soon as he enters upon the scene, an immediate alteration takes place in our imaginative attitude towards the chief sufferer and to the world of which he is at once the centre and the symbol the change is thus proverbially described as the single step from the sublime to the ridiculous. The storm, for instance, for which 'man's nature could not carry the affliction and fear, is instantly stripped of its dreadfulness and becomes mere 'rein-water out of door'. The titanic figure of the aged king in his agony, contending, as it were, on equal terms with the "oak cleaving thunderbolts", dwindles absurdly to our 'good uncle' whom he advises to ask his daughters' blessing". But this does not mean that with the entrance of the Fool, the world of King Lear has ceased to be beautiful. It merely signifies that the beauty of the sublime has opened out into the beauty of its opposite. But forms of beauty have their source in the same power. When contemplating the sublimity of suffering,

we felt that this power lay in the nature of suffering itself, and when awe struck by the seeming paradox, we now through the agency of the Fool realize with a shock of surprise-note, of course, by reflection, but intuitively that in feelings so, we were the victims of an illusion.

If, on the other hand, in the act of experiencing the world of *King Lear*, there are moments, when by means hard to analyse we are suddenly made aware of being confronted with suffering made divinely beautiful, because felt to be in itself a victory over evil, won by a love that sticks to the entire point, 'nor bends with the remover to remove,' than we must suppose that Shakespeare (who was in fact a Christian, though he was here imagining a purely pagan world) was unconsciously inspired by a story taken from Greek, but from Christian mythology and explains the golden effect of the play relating it to some such myth as the Harrowing of Hall, which wasof course, familiar enough to the dramatist.

#### **15.8 ROLE OF CORDELIA**

Divine love, symbolized by Cordelia enters a kingdom already divided against itself, which is Christian definition of hell. And, though we normally rightly think of love as a harmonizing power-it is indeed, ultimately the only one there is. However, Shakespeare here reminds us that', when it descends into hell, enters there first as a disorganizing force, it must make the confusion yet confounded before it can restore all things to order, as in the end it certainly will. That is, in fact, Cordelia's function in the 'brazen' world of King Lear. As symbol of love, she must be regarded as an alien power in hell, a power which can never be at home there, its very presence bringing ruin to such a realm. Nevertheless, it is by her suffering and death for other'ssake (she like her prototype, was hunged) that she not only rescues her chosen ones from the dominion of evil, but also redeems nature from the general course, just as, were she to return from hell to the world she has saved, live again thereby to reap, in due course, the full fruits of her victory, her resurrection would redeem all sorrows that even man has felt. If Bradleybe right, it is not the change, but the certainty that she does indeed so love

which causes even Lear's hitherto indomitable heart to break, and the great sufferer dies at last, not of sorrow, but in an ecstasy of joy."

The horrors that have gone by, seem to fade into insignificance as the white haired king totters into the midst of the petrified onlookers, with his daughter dead in his arms, and the long drawn monotone of lamentations of his lips. There is a momentary thrill of hope as he bends down to catch a fancied murmur of that still small voice, but it is hushed for ever, and thesilence on the loved one's lips, more potent than all the thunders of heaven, cracks the heart of Lear. As his gazing eyes 'take their last look of the formin his arms, the whole riddle of life and death is compressed into the anguished cry.

> No, no, no life Why should a dog a horse, a rat, have life, And thou no breath at all? Thou,' it come no more, Never never, never, never, never

No, this most representative of Shakespeare's tragedies is not born of pessimism that despairs of all things human nor of the facile optimism that thinks everything is for the best in the best of all possible worlds, it is, as Kreyssing has called it 'the tragedy of the categorical imperative'. It boldly recognises that in the sphere neither of outward circumstances virtue is not always triumphant nor vice cast down amidst the clash of the iron forces of the universe, love and purity are often crushed.

Screams will not curb their pride The just man not entomb. Nor lightning go aside To give his virtue room;

Nor is that wind less rough which blows a good Mans' barge.

And may we not venture to interpret Lear's own words as a prophetic salutation, and to think of Cordelia as a soul in bliss.

Another fascinating aspect of the play relates to the relationship between mankind and the power or powers which govern the universe ?

# **15.9 POPULAR SUPERSTITIONS IN THE PLAY**

We hear much in the play about astrology. That man's fate lies not in his own keeping but under the control of the stars was, of course, a commonly held medieval view. It is part of an old established tradition which, as we see in Act I scene ii, Gloucester accepts, Edmund, the 'new man' rejects it. Professor Bradley speaks of Shakespeare's attitude. While he would have hesitated to deny that the stars could affect men's lives, there is nothing to suggest that he had no such faith in their influence as to deny the freedom of the will. Free will is the essence of tragedy, which cannot exist under determinism, and astrology is only a crude form of determinism. As an explanation of the tragic mystery, the inadequacy of Kent's belief: "It is the stars, The stars above us, govern our conditions" is discernible even in the play in which it occurs. Gloucester too, in a memorable passage, says; "As flies to wanton boys are we to the gods; They kill us for their sport."

But can we convincingly argue that this is the philosophy that Shakespeare wants us to take away from the theatre when the performance is over. There are some who think it is. Professor G.B. Harrison speaks of Shakespeare transmitting' an old tale in which evil is punished and good restored' into a tremendous and pessimistic drama, of which Gloucester's words (quoted above) form the most fitting motto'. But do they do so ?

All the evil characters are dead before the end, and we cannot but relate this to the exercise of divine justice. When Albany is told of how a servant has killed Cornwall, he exclaims:

> This judgement of the heavens, that makes us tremble, Touches us not with pity,

and This shows you are above, You justicers, that these our nether crimes So speedily can venge!

There is no ground for pessimism here. The sufferings of Lear and Gloucester are terrible to behold. But before we are tempted on this score to speak of pessimistic tragedy, we should do well to remember two things. First: their sufferings are to some extent, though certainly not entirely, brought about through their own errors, so that the conception of divine justice is valid here also :

# The gods are just, and of our pleasant vices Make instruments to plague us.

It is true that here the 'just' dealings of the gods make us more uneasy than does their treatment of villains. If admittedly it was 'the dark and vicious place' where Gloucester begot Edmund that 'cost him his eyes' there is much more to be sad. Gloucester has to suffer beyond his deserts, as has Lear-a common enough phenomenon amongst humanity: we sow the wind and reap the whirlwind. But, if tempted by the appalling sufferings of Lear and Gloucester to regard this as a 'pessimistic' drama, we must bear in mind a second point. The gods are merciful. If, after all their agony, Lear and Gloucester died uneducated, unregenerate, then we should indeed have to speak of pessimism. But both, as they die, are wise, and redeemed. Nothingis here for tears-unless we weep for the salutary outcome. We must do so; and the conclusion of the play has indeed a sober colouring. How apt are the words of Albany in the last scene when he offers to reign the life of theold majesty and declares :

All friends shall taste The wages of their virtue, and all foes The cup of their deservings. Yet the unassailable fact remains that the gods, in benignity, permit Lear and Gloucester to die in a state of spiritual health. Their sufferings are redemptive. There is no ultimate ground for pessimism here. But of the deathof Cordelia ? It troubles us all, as it troubled. Dr. Johnson who, in a well-known passage, declared. "It was many years ago, so shocked by Cordelia' death, that I' knew not whether I ever endured to read again the last scenesof the play till I undertook to revise them as an editor."

The gods allow the totally innocent Cordelia; to be done to death. Does not this at least, it may be asked, spell a final pessimism, even if nothing else does ?

#### **15.10 CHRISTIAN WORLD VIEW**

*King Lear* is a Christian play about a pagan world. The author's viewpoint is Christian. Now the Christian outlook is, of course, the reverse of pessimistic. To the Christian, God is, paradoxically at once just, merciful, and in his dealings bewildering. Almost every day the Christian has to take account of happenings which seem to mean that God at least acquiesces in he incomprehensible destruction of the pure and the good. The temptation is strong to cry out, why does God allow this kind of thing or is there a Godat all ? But the true Christian, if agonized by such things, is nevertheless unable to let them overturn his faith. God overthrows the absolutely evil- he destroys the Cornwalls, the Gonerils, the Regans: He is a just God whochastens those who err "but who can be regenerated"-the Lears, the Gloucesters- and in mercy he redeems them: he is just and merciful. But again, God moves in mysterious ways-he deals strangely with the Cordelias of this world. His methods are inscrutable. Shakespeare presents the whole picture-the mysterious as well as that which is plain. This, however, can mean 'pessimistic' drama only to those who cannot agree that the play is Christian.

Of the four people in *King Lear* who react to adversity within the traditional sense, two show Christian patience and two defective patience. The group is meticulously balanced: Cordelia is the perfection of Christian

patience. Her father is an instance of extreme falling off first into rage and then into madness. Gloucester and Edgar occupy a middle region between these two limits. The son is steadily patient. The father wavers on the edge of grace and despair and is only saved in the end by the ministration of hisson. Cordelia stands in the light of a clear Christian doctrine. The discussion of her conduct in the first scene is a good example. Almost everyone has strained to detect in her a trace of her father's pride. Cordelia, when she says nothing, is the sheep before the shearers that must be dumb. She is quite simply the truly patient woman and daughter, yet she has that patience which does not exclude passion-the passion of grief and the passion of compassion. Throughout the play Cordelia is the model of perfect patience and the charityit connotes.

As is already noted, King Lear, is a gruesome tragedy as the picture of cruelty in nature is pervading through and through. The end of the play may be studied keeping in view the handicaps of the human beings. Evil is not permanently triumphant. Thus, the play presents the revelation of righteous omnipotence. "It may be frankly stated at once that King Lear does not contain a revelation of righteous omnipotence or heavenly harmony or even a promise of the reconciliation of mystery and justice." But if we consider the real course of the play and the ultimate powerlessness and end of evil, we may conclude that the world has been given over totally to darkness. The ultimate impression that is left on our minds, as we rise from the study of the play, is that evil triumphs for a while in this world, that it is a working principle of death and isolation, that it tries to destroy its opposite that is, good but ends up in destroying itself. It looks as if the world is full of evil and that evil is potent everywhere and that goodness is rare and though good seems not to avail outwardly, it has an ultimate victory in this world as certainly as evil in spirit of its apparent victory, is ultimately vanquished. The lessons that we learn from the play are: (i) that the victory of evil is at best temporary; (ii) that the defeat of good is not after all the worst thingthat can happen in this world where there are much worse things than this.

The poet's conception of virtue and goodness, as worked out in this drama is thoroughly of the Christian type-steeped indeed in the efficacy of the Christian ideal. The old Roman conception of human goodness, extol courage, patriotism, honesty, and justice whereas the proper constituents of the Christian ideal are besides these, and higher than these: mercy, philanthropy, self-sacrifice, forgiveness of injuries and love of enemies. It is in this sense that Shakespeare gives us the best expressions of the Christian ideals that are to be met within the poetry and art.

# 15.11 LET US SUM UP

*King Lear* is a brutal play, filled with human cruelty and follies, seemingly meaningless. The play's succession of terrible events raises in obvious question for the characters namely, whether there is any possibility of justice in the world, or whether the world is fundamentally indifferent or even hostile to human kind.

### **15.12 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS**

- Q1 Discuss King Lear as a Tragedy.
- Q2 What is the Christian value taught by the play?
- Q3 What role superstition play in the drama?

### **15.13 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS**

- 1. Who is the author of the play "King Lear"?
  - a) William Shakespeare
  - b) Christopher Marlowe
  - c) Ben Jonson
  - d) Thomas Kyd
- 2. Which historical figure is believed to have inspired Shakespeare's character of King Lear?
  - a) King Arthur
  - b) King Henry VIII

- c) King Lear of Britain
- d) King Richard III
- 3. "King Lear" is widely believed to be based on which earlier work?
  - a) "The Canterbury Tales" by Geoffrey Chaucer
  - b) "Leir of Britain" by Raphael Holinshed
  - c) "The Faerie Queene" by Edmund Spenser
  - d) "The Divine Comedy" by Dante Alighieri
- 4. In the play, which of King Lear's daughters is the most loyal and loving?
  - a) Goneril
  - b) Regan
  - c) Cordelia
  - d) None of the above
- 5. Which famous Akira Kurosawa film is a loose adaptation of "King Lear" set in feudal Japan?
  - a) "Seven Samurai"
  - b) "Rashomon"
  - c) "Throne of Blood" (Kumonosu-jô)
  - d) "Yojimbo"
- 6. The modern-day film adaptation of "King Lear" starring Al Pacino is titled:
  - a) "Lear's Legacy"
  - b) "Looking for Lear"
  - c) "The Merchant of Venice"
  - d) "The Humbling"

- 7. Which animated television series features an episode that adapts the story of "King Lear" with a sci-fi twist?
  - a) "The Simpsons"
  - b) "South Park"
  - c) "Futurama"
  - d) "Rick and Morty"
- 8. Which famous playwright wrote a sequel to "King Lear" titled "Cordelia"?
  - a) Tom Stoppard
  - b) Tennessee Williams
  - c) August Wilson
  - d) Edward Albee
- 9. In "King Lear," what powerful natural phenomenon symbolizes the chaos and turmoil in the kingdom?
  - a) Thunderstorm
  - b) Earthquake
  - c) Tornado
  - d) Eclipse
- 10. The motif of blindness and vision is prevalent in "King Lear." Who becomes physically blind in the play?
  - a) King Lear
  - b) Gloucester
  - c) Cordelia
  - d) Kent

- 11. What is the significance of the recurring image of the "storm" in "King Lear"?
  - a) It represents the madness of King Lear.
  - b) It symbolizes the chaos in the kingdom.
  - c) It foreshadows Cordelia's death.
  - d) All of the above.
- 12. The play frequently refers to the concept of "filial ingratitude." Which character is accused of this by King Lear?
  - a) Goneril
  - b) Regan
  - c) Cordelia
  - d) Gloucester
- 13. The motif of the "fool" or "jester" in "King Lear" serves as both a comic relief and a symbol of:
  - a) Wisdom
  - b) Madness
  - c) Deception
  - d) Loyalty
- 14. Which character in "King Lear" utters the famous line, "How sharper than a serpent's tooth it is to have a thankless child"?
  - a) King Lear
  - b) Gloucester
  - c) Goneril
  - d) Kent

- 15. The play's imagery often draws parallels between the state of the kingdom and the state of nature. Which character speaks extensively about the natural world?
  - a) Edgar
  - b) Kent
  - c) Cordelia
  - d) Oswald
- 16. What animal is frequently used as a symbol to describe King Lear's vulnerability and suffering?
  - a) Lion
  - b) Wolf
  - c) Serpent
  - d) Bear
- 17. In "King Lear," what item does Gloucester use to disguise himself?
  - a) A mask
  - b) A cloak
  - c) A crown
  - d) A sword
- 18. Which character's death is foreshadowed by the imagery of birds of prey, such as vultures?
  - a) Cordelia
  - b) King Lear
  - c) Regan
  - d) Goneril

- 19. What event in "King Lear" is compared to "judgment day" in terms of its significance?
  - a) The storm on the heath
  - b) The blinding of Gloucester
  - c) The division of the kingdom
  - d) The death of Cordelia
- 20. The play's final scene contains an eerie image involving Cordelia. What happens to her at the end of the play?
  - a) She is crowned queen.
  - b) She is reunited with King Lear.
  - c) She is hanged.
  - d) She marries Edmund.

#### **Answers**:

- 1. a) William Shakespeare
- 2. c) King Lear of Britain
- 3. b) "Leir of Britain" by Raphael Holinshed
- 4. c) Cordelia
- 5. c) "Throne of Blood" (Kumonosu-jô)
- 6. b) "Looking for Lear"
- 7. c) "Futurama"
- 8. a) Tom Stoppard
- 9. a) Thunderstorm
- 10. b) Gloucester
- 11. d) All of the above.

- 12. a) Goneril
- 13. b) Madness
- 14. a) King Lear
- 15. b) Kent
- 16. c) Serpent
- 17. b) A cloak
- 18. b) King Lear
- 19. b) The blinding of Gloucester
- 20. c) She is hanged.

# **15.14 SUGGESTED READINGS**

King Lear. Sparknotes.com Muir, Edwin. The Politics of King Lear. Arderd Media, 1947 Kahan, Jeffrey. King Lear : New Critical Essays Routledge, 2008. King Lear, ed, K. Deighton, Macmillian & Co. Ltd. New York 1962 King Lear ed. G.K. Hunters, Penguin Books

-----

COURSE No.121	DRAMA-I	LESSON No. 16
M.A. ENGLISH	WILLIAM SHAKESPEARE	UNIT – III
	(KING LEAR)	),

# AN ANALYSIS KING LEAR IS AN INDICTMENT OF PROSPERITY

# **STRUCTURE**

- 16.1 Introduction
- 16.2 Objectives
- 16.3 Ending of the Play
- 16.4 Poetic Justice
- 16.5 Moral Order in the Play
- 16.6 Let Us Sum Up
- 16.7 Examination Oriented Questions
- 16.8 Multiple Choice Questions
- 16.9 Suggested Readings

#### **16.1 INTRODUCTION**

This lesson introduces the concept of poetic justice in context of *King Lear* and the moral order in the play.

# **16.2 OBJECTIVES**

The lesson throws light on the ending of the play. The play has been analysed

from the view of poetic justice being meted out to the characters in the play.

### **16.3 ENDING OF THE PLAY**

The ending of the *King Lear* is another issue on which the critical opinion is divided. There are two distinct schools of thought regarding the end of the play. There are some critics who are in favour of the happy ending of the play. The second school of thought is in favour of the inevitability of the tragedy in *King Lear*. It is true that the tragedy of *King Lear* is very painful and horrible. That is the way, the theory of the happy ending of this play has remained very popular for a certain period of the English drama. Now let us take these schools of thought one by one and come to the conclusion about the end of the *King Lear*.

From the theatrical revival at the Restoration down to the end of the last century many of Shakespeare's plays were acted in adaptations that is, in versions (one should say perversions) which introduced new, and omitted the original, character and incidents exactly as the adapter thought would suit the taste of the public. King Lear was no exception. It was adapted by a minor dramatist Nahum Tate in 1680, and his perversion of Lear was "the only acting copy" down in 1838, when Macready restored Shakespeare's tragedy to the stage. All the great actors of the period (1690-1838) Betterton, Garrick, Kemble, Edmund Kean-appeared in Tate's Lear. The two great features of this daring composition are that Edgar is made from the outset the lover of Cordelia. The character of "France" being dropped altogether and the piece "ends happily" with Lear's restoration to his Kingdom and the union of the lovers. Now the impertinence of this sentimental element of love-making needs no comment, but some sympathy is possible with Tate's desire of making the tragedy conclude in a success to the innocent distressed persons. One can scarcely resist a superficial wish that the tragedy did end differently; but it could not; catastrophe was inevitable.

Dr. Bradley is of the opinion that the play can be given a happy ending. But he has also stressed that if the play is a tragic poetic drama, it is bound to be completed with the death of King Lear and Cordelia. The ways in which the deaths of Cordelia and Lear are brought about are anything but fair dismissals from the stage of life. The double calamity is singularly unprepared for. When Bradley speaks of the possibilities of a happy ending for the play he is not arguing that the aged and wearied monarch should once more be burdened with the responsibilities of Government. He is not recommending that the gilt robe and sceptre should be handed overto him. Bradley would like to see fulfilled the expressed wish of Lear himself : "We two alone will sing like birds; when thou dost ask me blessingI will kneel down and ask of thee forgiveness". So, it was not impossible for Shakespeare to have given King Lear peace and happiness at Cordelia'sfireside.

Charles Lamb has pooh-poohed the plea of restoration of Lear to kingdom and prosperity. To quote him: "Tate has put his hook into the nostrils of his Leviathan, for Garrick and his followers, the showmen of the scene, to draw about more easily, 'A happy ending', as if the living the mighty beast martyrdom that Lear had gone through- the flaying of his feeling alive, did not make a fair dismissal from the stage, of life the only decorous thing for him. If he is to live and be happy after,! if he could sustain his world's burden after, why all this padder and preparation-why torment us with all this unnecessary sympathy ? As if the childish pleasure of getting his gilt robes and sceptre again could tempt him to act over again his misused station, as if at his years, and with his experiences anything was left but to die." He further says, "We must refer briefly to the improvement, which this drama has suffered at the hands of one NahumTate: an improvement inflected for purpose as would seem, of dwarfing and dementing the play down to the capacity of some theatrical showmen. A part of Tate's work lay in rectifying the catastrophe, so as to have Lear and Cordelia come off triumphant thus, rewarding their virtue with worldly success. The cutting out of the precious Fool, and the turning of Cordelia into a love sick hypocrite who feigns indifference to her father, in order

to cheat him, and thus make him abandon her to a forbidden match with Edgar, completes this execrable piece of profanation. Tate improved *King Lear* set a thinker at work to improve Niagara".

"To live after the fight of this angle (Cordelia), to be the father orphaned of his only beloved child, to be the burdened heart that knowsno more joy, from time to stretch hands into obscurity and try to re-claspa being who was there; to feel himself forgotten in that departure; to have lost all reason for being here below; to be hence-forth a man who goes to and returns from a sepulchre-not received, there not admitted-this would indeed be a gloomy destiny for Lear if he were preserved alive. Thou hast done well, poet: to have killed this old man."

We have already discussed the redemption of King Lear. We again recollect those ideas. In our minds, the sacrifices of Lear and Cordelia are joint sacrifices, sacrifices upon which the gods could throw incense. We cannot dissociate Lear from Cordelia and think of the one surviving the other. Lear's fate, therefore involves the fate of all those who come within his orbit. If Lear's death is inevitable, Cordelia's extinction is a necessary sequence. "It may appear as if at certain times men have not felt the entire inevitability of Lear's story". The eighteenth century provided an alternative ending to it, and left Lear living in the comfort of reconciliation with Cordelia, just as the earliest tellers of the tale, Geoffrey and others had done. The current sentiment of its' human kindliness had run to sentimentalityand its complacent rationalism had demanded poetic justice. But Lear is not to be tucked back into the pettiness of domesticity, nor to the memoryof life's whips and scorns :

Vex not his ghost; O. let him pass he hates him

That would upon the rack of tough world stretch him out longer.

It is more likely that the happy ending which Tate gave to the playwas not merely meant to provide comfort for Lear : it was probably a device to save Cordelia. Even Dr. Johnson, a representative wonderful and least praised of the inventions in the last scene' is that of the dying Edmund. He has been treacherous to nearly every person in the play. His first treachery, indirectly, the cause of his ruin, is still in act, the killingof Cordelia and the king are the exemplary. He has been stricken down. "The wheel has come full circle" he has learnt too late :

The gods are just, and of our pleasant vices Make instruments to plague us.

While studying and analysing any great tragedy one should always remember that the greatest and the most subtle quality of the tragic poet goes beyond the immediate presentation of scene and persons. It is the power to suggest something illimitable, to place life against the background of eternity and to make the reader feel the presence of problems which he cannot solve. "We remain confronted with the inexplicable fact or the no less inexplicable appearance of a world struggling for perfection, but bringing to birth, together with glorious good, an evil which it is able to overcome only by self-torture and self-waste. This fact or appearance is tragedy". That this vision of the incomprehensible may lead to a pessimistic philosophyof life is true; but it has not done so. A Shakespearean tragedy is never, like some miscalled tragedies, depressing. No one ever closes the bookwith the feeling that man is a poor, mean creature. 'No weakness, no contempt'. Tragedy shows man's weakness as well as his strength. It is man's challenge to fate. It is man's struggle with destiny. Tragedy involves resistance to fate, reaction against calamity. Cordelia is a pathetic figure, Lear is a tragic character. The tragic hero may not be good, but he is always great. He may be wretched and he may be awful but he is not small. He retains our sympathy to the end. And with this greatness of the tragic hero is connected the centre of the tragic impression. This central feeling is the feeling of waste. With Shakespeare at any rate, the pity and fear which are stirred by the tragic story seem to unite with, and even mergein a profound sense of sadness and mystery, which is due to this impression of waste. There is no tragedy in the expulsion of evil; the tragedy is that involves the waste of good.

# **16.4 POETIC JUSTICE**

Closely related to this discussion about the controversial ending of the play is the problem of poetic justice. Poetic justice, in simple words means a mathematical distribution of reward and punishment. Shakespeare's conception of tragedy involves recognition of the blindness of chance that cannot be squared with any theory of poetic justice or theological view of the rewards due to virtue. But it also involves recognition of the moral lawthat results in the punishment of its violators. The villains never escape as they do in comedy. The wages of sin are always death though the reward of virtue is not happiness. Mark Cordelia's words to Lear :

#### We are not the first,

#### Who with best meaning, have incurr'd the worst.

Characters good and bad are involved in general destruction: there is no question in these plays of, systematized poetic justice. The punishmentis often underserved or at least disproportionate, to the error or offence. The German critics who seek to rationalize and explain tragedy only explain away tragedy. An element of mystery is inseparable from tragedy in the general conception of it. The idea of tragedy is always associated with something wrong in the world, an inexplicable failure in the general justice of things. A tragedy in which everything can be explained and justified is no tragedy at all. The vastness of evil in the world, its malignant influence is a part of the contemporary thought. The doctrines of total depravity and of moral responsibility go side by under medieval drama. In the depiction of the waste of effort, the expense of spirit, the crippling of greatness by weakness, the ineffectuality of virtue, Shakespeare gave a far more comprehensive and a far more penetrating representation of the tragic fact than world had yet known, but without professing any solution of its mysteries. His characters are the characters of his own imagination and he stands godlike, apart. He dogmatizes not at all concerning the forces above and beyond us. Even when he employs the supernatural for artistic and tragic effect his attitude is rationalistic. The good and noble in his tragedies exist for themselves not for any outside god or

heavenly power. These tragedies of passion are no fiction. You would think while reading them that you stood before the unclosed awful Book of Fate, while the whirlwind of the most impassioned life was howling through the leaves and tossing them fiercely to and fro. These terrible leaves of the Book of Fate, which we name *Macbeth*, *Lear*, *Othello*, *Hamlet*, *Antony* and *Cleopatra* and *Coriolanus* are all concerned with the breaches of the law wrought by passion-the rending of the bonds of loyalty, of wedlock, of filial duty, of love of country and love of humanity. They exhibit evil in its incubation, and in its temporary triumph, passion in its complexity of motion, its occult movements, its outbreak and violent fluctuations. But the effect left on the spirit of the reader or spectator of this play is not one of disorder.

The Laws of human life are not shaken; the pillars of the divine order stand sure. Even though Cordelia lies strangled upon the lap of Lear, we donot despair.

# Upon such sacrifice, my Cordelia The Gods themselves throw incense.

Before winding up the discussion, I would like to say something about the moral lesson conveyed through the tragedy of *King Lear*. The subject of Lear is self-denial, and it is only by being wilfully blind that one can fail to understand what Shakespeare is saying.

Lear renounces his throne but expects everyone to continue treating him as a King. He does not see that if he surrenders power, other people will take advantage of his weakness: also that those who flatter him the most grossly, i.e. Regan and Goneril, are exactly the ones who will turn against him. The moment he finds that he can no longer make people obeyhim as he did before, he falls into a rage which Tolstoy describes as "strange and un-natural; but which in fact is perfectly in character". In his madness and despair, he passes through two moods which again are natural enough in his circumstances, though in one of them it is probable that he 'is being used partly as a mouthpiece for Shakespeare's own opinions. One is the mood of disgust in which Lear repents as it were, for having beena king and grasps for the first time the rottenness of formal justice and vulgar morality. The other is a mood of impatient fury in which he wrecks imaginary revenges upon those who have wronged him. To have thousand serpents with red burning spits come hissing in upon them.''

Only at the end does he realize, as a sane man, that power, revenge, and victory are not worthwhile :

No, no. no. no! Come, Let's away to prison: We two alone will sing like birds i' the cage; When thou dost ask me blessing, I'll kneel down, And ask of thee forgiveness: so we'll live, And pray, and sing, and tell old tales, and laugh At gilded butterflies, and hear poor rogues Talk of court news; and we'll talk with them too, Who loses and who wins; who's in, who's out; And take upon's the mystery of things, As if we were God's spies: and we'll wear out, In a wall'd prison, packs and sect of great ones, That ebb and flow by the moon.

But by the time he makes this discovery it is too late, for his death and Cordelia's are already decided. That is the story and allowing for some clumsiness in the telling, it is a very good story. What exactly is the moral of Lear ? Evidently there are two morals, one explicit, the other implied in the story. Shakespeare starts by assuming that to make yourself powerless is to invite an attack. This does not mean that everyone will turn against you (Kent and the Fool stand by Lear from first to last), but in all probability someone will. If you throw away your weapons, some less scrupulous person will pick them up. If you turn the other check, you will get a harder blow on itthan you got on the first one. This does not always happen, but it is to be expected and you ought not to complain if it does happen. The second blowis, so to speak part of the act of turning the other check. First of all, therefore, there is the vulgar, common-sense moral drawn by the Fool : Don't relinquish power, don't give away your lands'. But there is also another moral. Shakespeare never utters it in so many words and it does not very much matter whether he was fully aware of it. It is contained in the story, which, after all, he made up, or altered to suit his purpose. It is, give away lands if you want to, but don't try to gain happiness out of it. If you live for others, you must live for others, and do not make it a roundabout wayof getting an advantage for yourself.

#### 16.5 MORAL ORDER IN THE PLAY

Shakespeare was not a philosopher; his tragedies however, reveal the various problems of good and evil in this world. In *King Lear*, there are two important dictums which are uttered by Gloucester and Edgar. Let us, take them one by one.

Edgar is of the opinion that the world is governed by strict moral laws and those who do evil will have to suffer. Our pleasant vices are sources of suffering and punishment to us, says Edgar. Gloucester was the evil-doer because he gave birth to an illegitimate son, Edmund. Thus, he suffered becauseof this misdeed. We later on come to know that he was blinded and ruined by the illegitimate son. Similarly, Lear also suffered because he did not behave properly with his daughter, Cordelia. Lear trusted the flattery of Goneril and Regan, and later on, they become the instruments of his suffering, and persecution.Again, both Goneril and Regan indulge in guilty love for Edmund and this love itself becomes the instrument of their ruin and death. Because of their mutual jealousy and rivalry in love, Goneril poisons Regan and later stabs herself. Further, Edmund meets with just punishment from Edgar whom he had deceived and injured; at the supreme moment of his success in war and love. Edmundis defeated and killed by Edgar, the previous victim of his treachery. So, there are many elements in the play to support Edgar's view that the gods are justand that they punish us for our sins.

However, these sufferings are never proportionate for example, Edgar, Cordelia and King Lear suffer more than what they do in performance of their duties. So we can not accept the view that there is strict justice in the world. The gods are certainly on the side of morality: they do punish evil; but in the conflict between evil and good the destruction of evil is accompanied by the partial waste of good. This is one of the painful facts in the mortal world of tragedy. The gods cannot prevent unjust suffering: but their blessingsare always with those who stand for virtue :

> Upon such sacrifices, my Cordelia. The gods themselves throw incense Then we come to the lines uttered by Gloucester. The gods are just and of our pleasant vices Make instruments to plague us.'' and ''As flies to wanton boys, are we to the gods; They kill us their sport''.

Gloucester is of the opinion that the gods are unjust and cruel. They take delight in inflicting pains upon mortals. He further adds that the human world is not governed by the laws of justice. He has trusted his son Edmund, and the same son proves treacherous to him and causes his undoing. Moreover, Gloucester is blinded for his virtuous efforts to help the aged and helpless Lear who is the victim of his daughter's cruelty. Gloucester finds the wicked prospering and the virtuous suffering. So he feels disillusioned and thinks that the gods are unjust and malicious and that they inflict suffering upon mortals in sheer sports and cruelty.

In fact, the gods who govern the human world of Lear are neither cruel nor malicious, they are on the whole on the side of virtue. The wicked suffer and are ultimately destroyed, but in the course of the conflict between good and evil, the virtuous also suffer. There is justice in the play though not poetic justice. Though the virtuous, sometimes suffer badly, a noble life is its own reward, and upon such sacrifices as that of Cordelia, the gods themselves throw incense. The gods are clearly on the side of virtue.

### 16.6 LET US SUM UP

In *King Lear*, Shakespeare's emphasis is upon the process of human regeneration, the self knowledge, penance, and expiation for sin upon which he had touched only lightly in the final scene of *Othello*. He affirms that Lear's four score years of pride and self-deception were merely the prelude to life and not true life at all. The suffering of Lear and Gloucester is presented with all the immediate intensity of which Shakespeare is capable in order to emphasize that the process of regeneration is a purgatorial one. If Shakespeare is to assert power of man to overcome evil, the force of evil must be shown in theirmost uncompromising terms. *King Lear* is a triumph of dramatic construction which in its total effect, like *Hamlet* and *Othello*, affirms justice in the world, which it sees as a harmonious system ruled by a benevolent God.

In *King Lear*, the characters perform symbolic functions. The primary focus is upon Lear, and to a lesser extent upon Gloucester. They stand together for humanity at large. The other characters serve secondary supporting functions, each symbolic of some force of good or evil action upon humanity. The theatre of the action is not only the single world of man, but also its

corresponding planes in the scheme of creation: the family, the state, and the physical universe. The universality of these is reinforced by the vagueness of the place setting, the audience is watching not only Lear's little kingdom, but the great world itself.

# 16.7 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS

- 1. Do you agree with the observation that *King Lear* is more sinned against sinning? If not, give reasons.
- 2. In Shakespearean tragedy Character is destiny. Do you think it is true in case of *King Lear*?
- 3. Enumerate the advantages and disadvantages of the double plot in *King Lear*.
- 4. Do you find the atmosphere of King Lear extraordinarily pessimistic?
- 5. Compare and contrast the characters of Kent and Gloucester.
- 6. What do you understand by poetic justice? Does the principle of poetic justice operate in a Shakespearean tragedy? Substantiate your answer from *King Lear*.

# 16.8 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS

- 1. In "King Lear," which character represents the concept of poetic justice as they receive their just rewards for their actions?
  - a) King Lear
  - b) Cordelia
  - c) Goneril
  - d) Edgar
- 2. What is the ultimate fate of Goneril and Regan in "King Lear"?
  - a) They are reconciled with King Lear.

- b) They are married to noble princes.
- c) They die as a result of their own treachery.
- d) They inherit the entire kingdom.
- 3. The character of Edmund in "King Lear" is often seen as a symbol of moral disorder and ambition. What is his ultimate fate?
  - a) He becomes the king of Britain.
  - b) He is blinded and killed in a duel.
  - c) He marries Cordelia.
  - d) He repents and seeks forgiveness.
- 4. Which character's moral redemption and transformation is a central theme in "King Lear"?
  - a) King Lear
  - b) Gloucester
  - c) Kent
  - d) Edgar
- 5. How does the character of Cordelia exemplify moral virtue in "King Lear"?
  - a) She flatters her father, King Lear.
  - b) She marries Edmund to restore order in the kingdom.
  - c) She speaks the truth and forgives her father.
  - d) She conspires with Goneril against King Lear.
- 6. How does King Lear and Cordelia meet their tragic end in the play?
  - a) They die in battle.
  - b) They are executed by Goneril and Regan.

- c) They are poisoned.
- d) They die of natural causes.
- 7. What is the significance of the ending of "King Lear" in terms of the restoration of moral order?
  - a) Moral order is fully restored, and all characters find redemption.
  - b) Moral order is disrupted, and chaos prevails.
  - c) Moral order is partially restored, but there is lingering chaos.
  - d) There is no resolution, and the play ends ambiguously.
- 8. Which character delivers the final lines of the play, reflecting on the tragic events and the fleeting nature of life?
  - a) Cordelia
  - b) King Lear
  - c) Edgar
  - d) The Fool
- 9. The ending of "King Lear" has been interpreted as a commentary on the cyclical nature of human suffering and redemption. What symbolizes this cyclical theme?
  - a) A wheel
  - b) A mirror
  - c) A crown
  - d) A dagger
- 10. What lesson or moral message can be derived from the ending of "King Lear"?
  - a) Power corrupts, and absolute power corrupts absolutely.
  - b) The natural world is indifferent to human suffering.

- c) True love and forgiveness can bring redemption even in the face of tragedy.
- d) Revenge is the most satisfying form of justice.

#### Answers :

- 1. c) Goneril
- 2. c) They die as a result of their own treachery.
- 3. b) He is blinded and killed in a duel.
- 4. d) Edgar
- 5. c) She speaks the truth and forgives her father.
- 6. b) They are executed by Goneril and Regan.
- 7. c) Moral order is partially restored, but there is lingering chaos.
- 8. a) Cordelia
- 9. a) A wheel
- 10. c) True love and forgiveness can bring redemption even in the face of tragedy.

# **16.9 SUGGESTED READINGS**

A.C. Bradley : *Shakespearean Tragedy*. Macmillan & Co. Ltd. London. 1961

Ania Loomba and Martin Orkin. *Post-Colonial Shakespeare*, Routledge, London, 1998.

David M. Zesmer, Guide to Shakespeare, Harper and Row New York 1976.

Frank ke mode. Shakespeare : King Lear, Macmillan press, London.

Hewry W. Wells and H.H. Anniah Gowda. *Style and Structure in Shakespeare*. Vikas Publishing House, New Delhi, 1979.

-----

COURSE No. 121	DRAMA-I	LESSON No. 17
M.A. ENGLISH	BEN JONSON (VOLPONE)	UNIT - IV
	(VOLFONE)	

# **BEN JONSON AND HIS WORK**

#### **STRUCTURE**

- 17.1 Introduction
- 17.2 Objectives
- 17.3 Jonson As a Poet
- 17.4 Let Us Sum Up
- 17.5 Examination Oriented Questions
- 17.6 Multiple Choice Questions
- 17.7 Suggested Readings

## **17.1 INTRODUCTION**

Ben Jonson was born in 1572, only eight years after the birth of Shakespeare. He died in 1637, after twenty one years of Shakespeare's death. He entered the English theatres in London like a scourge. In fact, for quite sometime, he was regarded as an affliction, so powerful was his influence. The first play with which his name is generally associated is a lost comedy, named *The Isle of Dogs* (1597). He is believed to have collaborated with Thomas Nashe in writing this comedy. Its satire was so strong that it at once incensed the authorities, who immediately ordered the closure of all playhouses. It also led to the arrest of Ben Jonson along with two of the actors in the Marshalsea. His imprisonment lasted from July till October 1597. To begin with, Ben Jonson was both actor and dramatist. He disposed of his works among different theatre companies in London as best as he could. *The Isle of Dogs*, was not produced at Henslowe's theatre. But Henslowe being a friend of Ben Jonson paid the playwright asloan, four pounds towards the cost of imprisonment. He also advanced on the following December 3 a sum of twenty shillings on the plot of a play Jonson was to finish by Christmas. But when Jonson failed to complete his assignment, Chapman was paid a year later for work on a tragedy of "Benjamin's plot."

Ben Jonson had written by 1598 *The Case is Altered* for the Chapel children. It is a comedy comparable with Chapman's All Fools, although it is more romantic in tone and less expertly worked out. He chooses Plautus, the Italian dramatist, for model, and develops the classic themes into a comedy of contemporary times. To this very period perhaps also belongs the original form of A Tale of a Tub, which exists only in the revised version that Jonson had made long after its composition. His fame began with his very first play, Every Man in His Humour, which was acted by the Chamberlain's company. As reported by Rowe in 1709, it is believed that Shakespeare's personal intervention had induced the company to accept this play. It is also known for sure now that Shakespeare himself acted a part in it when it was staged in September 1598. The play certainly became one of the great successes of its time. As the text of the play existed at that time, it was quite superficially another Italian comedy. The plot was set in Florence and concerned with the classic devices of the duel of wits between father and son and the stratagems of an intriguing slave. But behind this stereotype story lay a keen criticism of contemporary English society, which came into focus more sharply when Jonson revised the play's text for his Folio edition of 1616, the year of Shakespeare's death. Jonson's revision of the play included giving the characters English names and introducing a vast apparatus of pungent allusions of London.

Jonson's famous comedy thus became, essentially, the arrangement of an era bent on acquiring fashionable prestige at small cost. Jonson lashes this absurd quest for gentlemanliness in a variety of ways by attributing to each character some dominant trait of the time. Calling this dominant trait a "humour", Jonson made popular his theory of humours, as each character showed his or her ludicrous tendency in the comedy of contemporary life. For instance, the country cousin, Stephen, thinks he can rate as a gentleman by studying a book about hawking. Similarly, the city youth, Mathew, seeks the same end by pretending to be a poet. Another comic character in the play is Bobadill who wins temporary respect by boasting about his fencing. Although a coward, he earns respect by the elegance with which he swears and takes tobacco. The most intelligent of all the crowd of youngmen are, of course, Mr. Knowell and Mr. Wellbred, who make an evocation of exploiting the fools they meet for the gratification of their own vanity. Being sick of the insincerities of time, the public welcomed Jonson's satirical comedy with delight and satisfaction. The comedy pleased people so much that Samuel Rowlands, the most lively commentator of that time, soon urged all the poets of his day to follow Jonson's lead :

Good honest poets, let me crave a boon: That you would write, I do not care how soon, Against the bastard humours hourly bred In every mad-brain'd, wit-worn, giddy head. At such gross follies do not sit and wink; Belabour these same gulls with pen and ink.

Jonson's *Every Man Out of His Humour* was written as a sequel to hisfirst success. It was acted at the Globe the very next year (1599). Jonson is said to have become so proud of it that he rushed it into print in 1600. He also signed a dedication to the gentlemen of the Inns of Court, the arbiters of elegance of the day. An explanation of this play has been that it was an effort to use the stage (of the theatre) as a vehicle or means for the type of caustic satire which the censors of the press were prohibiting. The play certainly belonged to the tradition or the literary movement which produced the satires of Hall and Marston. One can safely say that Jonson was so much satisfied with the success of his new technique introduced in *Every Man in His Humour* that in the second play, *Every Man Out of His Humour*, he almost ran it to death. In this second play, one does not find anything like a dramatic plot. The play consists

of only dramatic episodes and acute psychological observations in generalized form. The characters still carry Italian names, but they are not shown living in Italy. They are shown inhabitants of the "Fortunate Island," which in the obvious language of satire meant England. In this comedy, ten or twelve social misfits are shown to exhibit their egotistic follies, which continue through four Acts of the play. The fifth Act moves rather swiftly, each of these misfits is kicked "outof his humour" by the very logic of the play's events. Their being kicked "outof humour" means they regain a more normal state of mind. They no longer remain eccentrics. Jonson prefaced the play's printed text by a clever list of "the characters of the persons," in which each is neatly impaled, like the insectsof an entomologist's collection: there's also an inordinately heavy mass of running commentary. Jonson uses four persons to emphasize the author's views or show the wisdom of his method.

We do not have any record of what Shakespeare thought of Jonson's second comedy, Every Man Out of His Humour, but one thing seems clear that his theatre company did not find it rewarding. It was only after many years of Jonson'sfirst play's production that Shakespeare did another play of the younger dramatist. Jonson's next play, Cynthia's Revels (1600), was sold to the boys of the Queen's Chapel. It is said to be slighter piece, comparatively, but even more aggressive than his earlier comedies. Its real significance lies in its being in many respectsa forecaster of Jonson's development as a dramatist. This comedy ends in an authentic masque, and includes Jonson's loveliest song that he had as yet written: "Queen and huntress, chaste and fair." In the elaborately satiric definitions of courtier types it goes beyond Every Man Out of His Humour and prepares for the "characters" of Overbury and Earle. It is the last tribute to the aged Queen who, as in Lyly, is pictured in Cynthia. But through the stately grace of the allegory tramps the burly figure of the author, originally called Criticus. In the 1616 text of the play, however, the author is magnified into Crites, the Judge. As the play represents, he is the man who is always right. He becomes recipient of the Queen's ecstatic praise for his poetry and wisdom. At the end he writes himself Cynthia's warrant to purge society, along with his chosen companion, Arete, on Virtue :

Dear Arete and Crites, to you two

We give the charge: impose what pains you please; Th' incurable cut off, the rest reform.

Such bumptiousness was intolerable, Jonson was angrily laughed at. Even his admirer, Marston, gibed at him in his revised anti-war play *Histriomastix* (1601), in Jack Drum's Entertainment, and elsewhere. Jonson retaliated to this gibe by writing his satirical play, *Poetaster* (1601). The play begins with Envy hopefully rising "to dawn the author." But she is trodden underfoot by the mailed prologue of the piece. It may not be one of Jonson's greatest plays, but is certainly one of the most amusing. The scene is Rome in the reign of King Augustus, in the first century A.D. The chief characters are the greatest poets of the age, their patrons, and their enemies. Jonson arrogates to himself the character of Horace and belauds him plentifully. Marston, made poetaster in the play, called Crispinus, is excoriated.

Since Dekker also figured in Jonson's play as a minor poetaster, he immediately retorted and wrote a satire on Jonson, entitled Satiromastix, or the Untrussing of the Humorous Poet. This provided a mine of information on Jonson not otherwise available to today's reader. All that his contemporary knew about him in 1601 seems to have been put into Dekker's play. Jonson's slowness in composition, his self-esteem, his career as bricklayer, his career as barnstorming actor, his poverty and psychophancy to the great, his killing of a player and escape from Tyburn by his "neck-verse," his "parboiled face," and his habit of epigramming his friends are all set down with the precision of a master realist. The two play houses that staged Dekker's satirical comedy must have been well filled. As a result, a printed text of Satiromastix was immediately in demand. Knowing his own weaknesses too well, Jonson withheld his retort. In a dignified "apologetical dialogue" to *Poetaster* he withdrew from the stage war and devoted himself to classic tragedy. Jonson rescued himself by Sejanus (1603), a historical play of ponderous ethics and meticulous scholarship. It has the kind of greatness that Chapman's later tragedies have. Chapman and Marston both wrote commendatory verses for the first quarto in 1605. In fact, Chapman perhaps had

a part in composing the stage version. Shakespeare's company staged it, as it later did Jonson's other Roman tragedy of *Catiline's Conspiracy* (1611). Shakespeare himself, although on the verge of retirement as actor, performed a part in Sejanus. The war of the theatres was quite over.

Jonson's training as a comic realist served him well in Sejanus, which gives an impressive real view of imperial Rome and develops the great figures as enlarged and darkened humour characters. It is an important play, but most important for what it led to. It led to Volpone, the Fox (1606), which is considered the most magnificent of his comedies. This rather dreadful comedy was staged by Shakespeare's company, which had now become the King's. The comedy is supposed to take place in modern Venice. Its treatment of the theme of greed came, however, from Jonson's study of the enormities of ancient Rome. The character symbolism peculiar to humour comedy is intensified in this play by an imitation of the beast-fable. This method showed how human types could be caricatured by representing them as animals. The chief villain in the play is called Fox. His agent is called the Fly (Mosca). His dupes are the birds of prey, such as crow, vulture, and raven. The technical perfection of the play is a little spoiled, but the human appeal a good deal increased, by the addition of three English types. These are Peregrine (the falcon), Sir Pol (the talkative parrot), and the latter's extraordinarily British and modern wife.

Critics over the ages have acclaimed *Volpone*, the finest of Jonson's, plays. Dryden, of course, gave the palm to Jonson's next play, *The Silent Woman* (1609), which verges upon farce, just as Volpone verges upon tragedy. These plays are so wonderfully articulated and so amazingly life-like that either of the two would assure Jonson's place as the greatest satiric dramatist in English literature. But both of these plays are said to have been surpassed by his third crowning play, *The Alchemist* (1610). In respect of tone, this comedy of Jonson strikes an exact center between the other two. This decidedly is much more economical than any other play of Jonson. It has been said that in *The Alchemist* every word and jesture counts in the final effect. One can see in this play a perfect fusion of classical method and English scene. It could go no further. The location is not

only London; it is the fashionable Blackfriars quarter where Jonson himself lived. It is from here that he had signed the dedication of *Volpone*. Everything in the play occurs either inside the house of Lovewit or before the door of that house. The time is during the plague of 1610, which was raging as Jonson wrote. The play's time is not longer than the actual time the actors are on the stage. A single spring moves all the characters, which is their desire to get something for nothing. Of the twelve dramatis personae, three are knaves, seven others are dupes, representing five classes of people one would expect to see at Black-friars. These classes are the young professional law clerk, the luxury merchant dealing in tobacco and other country wares, the pleasure-loving Knight, the two Puritan preachers, and the wealthy young man up from the country with his sister. Therest of the play's characters, Surly and Lovewit, are neither quite knave nor quite dupe, but potentially both, as the play's action reveals. Such is said to be Jonson's picture of his neighbours, which is represented in the play without romance and quite without poetic justice, but also without bitterness. The picture in this play, of the social scene presented, does, of course, lack the harshness of Volpone, and enforces its moral with a more cleansing laughter.

The perfect precision of *The Alchemist*, could not be repeated, for sure, without its becoming stale. No wonder that Jonson's later comedies are inferior to his earlier three great ones. His comic art was a very jealous mistress. He was more and more distracted from it by his famous masques, which from 1610 onward demanded an increasing amount of his attention. However, two very important plays were produced even during this period, namely *BartholomewFair* in 1614 and *The Staple of News* in 1626. The former is, in fact, the complement of *The Alchemist*. It presents a picture of the other side of London. In this side the lower classes congregate at Smithfield during the famous August fair. It presents a large canvas and many more characters. But Jonson finds even in this side of London much the same people and the same vices. The characterization as well as satiric brilliance are as masterly as ever in Jonson. The only thing one finds inferior here is the not so neat structure of the play.

The scenes of *The Staple of News* that ridicule the impostures of the new business of journalism are equally effective. As Swinburne said, " No man can know anything worth knowing of Ben Jonson who has not studied and digested the text of *Every Man in His Humour, The Fox, The Alchemist,* and *The Stapleof News*; but any man who has may be said to know him well." Jonson also wrote *The Devil is an Ass* (1616) and *The New Inn* (1629), but both are on a lower plane. They do not match his earlier plays. They do, however, have more romanticcharm than anything Jonson had written in drama since *The Case is Altered.* As Jonson grew older and sadder, and his classic certitude relaxed, he became in some ways more Elizabethan. He gave best expression to this side of himself in the beautiful fragment of pastoral drama which he left uncompleted, *The Sad Shepherd*.

#### **17.2 OBJECTIVES**

The objective of this lesson is to

- (a) study the biographical sketch of Ben Jonson and about his works.
- (b) discuss Jonson as a poet

#### **17.3 JONSON AS A POET**

Drummond, a contemporary of Ben Jonson, records, "In his merry humour he was wont to name himself The Poet." No doubt, Jonson was not the greatestof Elizabethan, or even of Jacobean, poets, and he knew it. He himself deemed John Donne, a contemporary, the first poet in the world in some things. His appreciation of his senior Shakespeare is the most just and generous that we have from any writer of the age. But even those who began abominating Jonson's bravado did come to understand that as a poet he was the norm and centre for the measurement of his fellow poets. He is considered so normal as a poet that, apart from the outstanding lyrics and plays, we do not easily recognize his greatness. But the greatness is decidedly there in almost every poem he composed. The average poetic line of Jonson, read, reread, memorized, and lived with, will

assay higher and wear better than the more striking lines of easier poets. For him, poetry was, in Arnold's words, a criticism of life. Criticism, in his case, could be no easy thing for author or for reader :

For though the Poet's matter Nature be, His art doth give the fashion; and that he, Who casts to write a living line, must sweat, .......... And strike the second heat Upon the Muses' anvil.

The reader of Jonson's *Epigrams, Forest*, and *Underwood* may at first be repelled by the products of this sweating Titan. He hammered his verses into their hard and shining felicity. But let him try the quality of the metal and workmanship, he will find most other men's poetry to seem rather paltry in comparison to his. Even when Jonson chose to write flattery to the fashionables of the court, hewrote with his whole thinking mind and with proud assertion of the dignity of thought. Note, for instance, his verses to the Countess of Rutland :

Beauty, I know, is good, and blood is more; Riches thought most: but, Madame, think what store The world hath seen which all these had in trust, And now lie lost in their forgotten dust. It is the Muse alone can raise to heaven, And at her strong arm's end hold up, and even, The souls she loves.

There is an Augustan urbanity in many of Jonson's smaller poems which none of his contemporaries could equal. For instance, in his verse letters toDonne and Drayton, the tone and diction both speak of this polished urbanity. He did have sting, no doubt, but he employed it less in poetry than he did in his plays.

Jonson did not regard himself as a love poet. He says that he did attempt love poetry, but the god of love fled him:

> and again Into my rimes could ne'er be got By any art. Then wonder not That, since, my numbers are so cold, When Love is fled and I grow old.

And yet one of the finest love songs in the English language has come from his pen. No one, once read, has been able to forget the fascinating "Drink to me only with thine eyes!" Out of materials no less diverse than his learning he fabricated songs which are as purely Elizabethan and as living today as anything the age produced. One of the earliest is the stately hymn to Queen Elizabeth in *Cynthia's Revels* (1600), which is perhaps most classically perfect lyric in English: "Queen and huntress, chaste and fair." Into the climactic scene of *Volpone*, he introduced one of his marvelous adaptations of Catullus :

> Come, my Celia, let us prove, While we can the sports of love; Time will not be ours for ever. Suns that set may rise again; But if once we lose this light, 'Tis with us perpetual night.

Jonson became the pattern of the Restoration singers. He has been rightly described the real father of the Augustan age. But his influence was broader than

that, for he was also a master in his odes of an intricate and enchanting music which later appears only in the nineteenth century. One can see a "source" for Wordsworth's immortality ode in Jonson's "Ode to Cary and Morison" :

> It is not growing like a tree In bulk doth make man better be, Or standing long an oak, three hundred year, To fall a log at last, dry, bald, and sere. A lily of a day Is fairer far in May :

Although it fall and die that night, It was the plant and flower of light. In small proportions we just beauties see,

And in short measures life may perfect be.

We may sum up by repeating that though Jonson was the greatest poet of his age, under the impact of his colossal mind and art critics have, in every succeeding age, found this hard to believe.

# 17.4 LET US SUM UP

Ben Jonson was an English Renaissance dramatist, poet and actor. A contemporary of William Shakespeare, he is best known for his satirical plays, particularly *Volpone*, *The Alchemist*, and *Bartholomen Fair* and his lyrical poems.

### **17.5 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS**

1. Discuss Ben Jonson as a poet.

- 2. Analyze the poetic technique used by Ben Jonson in his poems.
- 3. Write a brief biographical sketch of Ben Jonson.

# **17.6 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS**

- 1. Which of the following is one of Ben Jonson's most famous comedies, featuring the character Volpone, a cunning trickster?
  - a) "The Alchemist"
  - b) "Every Man in His Humour"
  - c) "The Shoemaker's Holiday"
  - d) "Tamburlaine"
- 2. In which historical period did Ben Jonson primarily write his plays?
  - a) Victorian Era
  - b) Elizabethan Era
  - c) Jacobean Era
  - d) Renaissance Era
- 3. Which Jonson play satirizes the pursuit of learning and knowledge through the character of the pedant, Brisk?
  - a) "Volpone"
  - b) "The Silent Woman"
  - c) "Bartholomew Fair"
  - d) "Sejanus His Fall"
- 4. Ben Jonson was known for his satirical comedy. Which of his plays is a comedy that humorously criticizes various aspects of society, including lawyers and doctors?
  - a) "The Alchemist"

- b) "Epicoene, or the Silent Woman"
- c) "Volpone"
- d) "The Devil is an Ass"
- 5. In which of Jonson's plays does the character Face serve as the chief rogue, exploiting his master's absence for personal gain?
  - a) "Volpone"
  - b) "The Alchemist"
  - c) "Every Man in His Humour"
  - d) "Bartholomew Fair"
- 6. The comedy of humours, a dramatic concept popularized by Ben Jonson, revolves around the idea of:
  - a) Miracles and divine interventions
  - b) Characters driven by a single dominating trait or obsession
  - c) Love and romantic entanglements
  - d) Political intrigue and power struggles
- 7. Which of the following best describes the central idea of the comedy of humours?
  - a) Characters representing the four elements: earth, water, air, and fire
  - b) Characters driven by their individual quirks and personality traits
  - c) Characters seeking vengeance against their rivals
  - d) Characters engaged in epic adventures and quests
- 8. In the comedy of humours, characters are often categorized based on their:
  - a) Social status
  - b) Familial relationships
  - c) Astrological signs

### d) Dominant personality traits

- 9. Which of the four humors (sanguine, choleric, melancholic, phlegmatic) is associated with qualities like cheerfulness and sociability?
  - a) Sanguine
  - b) Choleric
  - c) Melancholic
  - d) Phlegmatic
- 10. The comedy of humours aimed to provide social commentary by:
  - a) Portraying idealized and flawless characters
  - b) Exposing the flaws and idiosyncrasies of its characters
  - c) Focusing solely on romantic relationships
  - d) Ignoring social issues and conflicts

#### Answers:

- 1. a) "Volpone"
- 2. c) Jacobean Era
- 3. b) "The Silent Woman"
- 4. d) "The Devil is an Ass"
- 5. b) "The Alchemist"
- 6. b) Characters driven by a single dominating trait or obsession
- 7. b) Characters driven by their individual quirks and personality traits
- 8. d) Dominant personality traits
- 9. a) Sanguine
- 10. b) Exposing the flaws and idiosyncrasies of its characters

# **17.7 SUGGESTED READINGS**

Riggs, David. Ben Jonson : A Life. Harvard University Press, 1989.

Johnston, George B., and George Burke Johnston. *Poems of Ben Jonson*. Harvard University Press, 1955.

\_\_\_\_\_

COURSE No.121	DRAMA-I	LESSON No. 18
M.A. ENGLISH	BEN JONSON	UNIT - IV
	(VOLPONE)	

# JONSON'S COMEDY OF HUMOURS

#### **STRUCTURE**

- 18.1 Introduction
- 18.2 Objectives
- 18.3 Jonson's Comedy of Humours
- 18.4 Let Us Sum Up
- 18.5 Examination Oriented Questions
- 16.6 Multiple Choice Questions
- 18.7 Suggested Readings

### **18.1 INTRODUCTION**

The term "humour", in the case of Ben Jonson, has a special meaning; it is not to be mistaken with the ordinary meaning of the word suggesting comic or funny or laughing matter - person or event. To get at the particular meaning Jonson imparted to the word we need to go into its history, as to how it came down to the period of Jonson and acquired the meaning it did in his comedy. This special brand that Ben Jonson evolved for himself came to be known as the "comedy of humours". But before we go into various aspects of the Jonsonian comedy, let us first get clarity about the term humour as it came down to Jonson who gave it the special connotation which has come to stick to it.

The term 'humour' is originally derived from the Latin word *humor*, which in Latin means moisture or humid. The term was used in the MiddleAges and during the Renaissance period, in the tradition of Hippocratic pathology and physiology, to denote the four humours of the body. These four humours of the body depended on the four fluids, namely blood, phlegm, yellow bile and black bile. The admixture or commingling of these fluids determined a person's disposition, his/her temperament, character, mind, and morality. The humours in turn released spirits or vapours which affected a person's brain, and hence his/her behaviour. According to the predominant humour, a man would be sanguine, phlegmatic, choleric, or melancholic. Robert Burton, in his Anatomy of *Melancholy* (1621), gives an excellent account of the qualities of these humours. Vestigially, the theory of humours survives in such expressions as "illhumoured", "good-humoured", "black with rage", "ina black mood", "yellow with jealousy", "green with envy", "yellow-rivered", "red with remorse", and so forth. No wonder that we still use "sanguine" or "melancholy" to describe certain temperaments.

The theory of humours had a considerable influence on writers when it came to the creation of characters. Dramatists devised characters based on the theory of the imbalances that occurred between the bodily fluids. In the Elizabethan age, it was Ben Jonson who picked up this theory and made it the basis of his characterization. He created characters for his plays who were dominated each by a particular mood, inclination, or peculiarity. He deliberately named his first successful comedy as *Every Man in His Humour*, and explained in its preface or prologue the theory and its use in the making of his play's characters. He also wrote another comedy using the same theory and named it *Every Man Out of His humour*. Thus, Ben Jonson became the most notable instance of a dramatist who based his comedy on the theory of humours. And it was for this very reason that his comedy came to be called the "Comedy of Humours". It may not be just a coincidence that in this very period writers were also addressing themselves to the depiction of "characters" in character sketches, and analyzing character and temperament.

It was only later in the eighteenth century that humour came to be associated with laughter, and came to be used in contradiction to wit. Any character, for any reason, deviating from the normal human behaviour, causing laughter, came to be known as a humorous or funny character. Thus, it lost its special meaning of a dominant trait or characteristic of one's personality. When a dramatist like Jonson created characters in terms of his theory of humours, he made his characters what E. M. Forster has called flat, not round. If one is dominated by any one trait, decidedly he/she automatically becomes comic. Of course, not always though. There are certain character traits which can make a character tragic or pathetic also. Ben Jonson created all kinds of characters, giving them the benefit of a humour for each, which made them comic, pathetic, or tragic. In the wide rangeof Jonson's social canvas, there is a large variety of male and female characters who display their humours in different situations.

### **18.2 OBJECTIVES**

The objective of this lesson is to introduce the learner to Johnson's concept of comedy of humours.

### **18.3 JONSON'S COMEDY OF HUMOURS**

Theory and criticism play an important part in the growth of Jonson's dramatic art. The naturalistic portraiture came as inevitably to him as it did to Middleton. But the tenacity of Jonson's principles combines his theory and practice together in his comedy. The dominance of a clear intellect also prevented the severance and conflict of elements that we find in the works of some of his contemporaries, such as Marston. Jonson's evident conscious purpose sets his realism at once apart from that of the unselfconscious comedy of Middleton. He seldom enters the domain of imaginative tragedy or of romance in which the conflict of thought finds its inevitable expression. He never condescends to mingle with his proposed art the popular theatrical attractions of sensation and sentiment. There is, of course, one disadvantage also of this purposiveness: His most representative comedy of humours also becomes limited. It has a sharp focus on an immediate area of experience, but that also makes it narrow. Similarly, the characters show their humours clearly, but they alsobecome less complex, and even less real or life-like. No doubt, Jonson's attempt in his comedies is to treat his material objectively or scientifically. He certainly endeavours to present moral and psychological truth more and more nearly in terms of actuality. He tries, for sure, to eliminate more and more thoroughly the element of the subjective. But the very fact that his expressed purpose is to punish those that deviate from the standard moral norms he has approved as socially desirable compels him to reduce those charactersinto types of one or another social or moral failing. Thus, his attempt ultimately is not only to show how any individual humour, if allowed to determine a person's life, can lead one into ludicrous as well as tragic situations, but also to stretch that humour to the limit of a social or moral folly. Hence, the interests of Jonson, the satirist, and those of Jonson, the humourist, would not harmonize with each other. For every humour is not necessarily an evil, or even a folly, nor every folly or evil necessarily a humour.

And yet the great artist as Ben Jonson was, he overcomes many of the problems that his varied interests create for him as dramatist. He succeeds in creating convincing characters, who would certainly demand the comic allowance of being not exactly the persons we encounter in real life, but who would not demand the romantic licence of being altogether the creatures of the twilight world. He is able to blend, as far as, is possible for a dramatist, satire andcomedy, humour and representation, into an integrated picture of contemporary life. No doubt, his characters become types of various humours, but they retain at the same time their strong resemblance to personages from real life. His subtle art of drama lies in structuring humour to the point of human folly and relating human folly to the predominant humour of the individual personage. Thus, individual and typical, psychological and social, ethical and moral, all blend into a convincinghuman figure. Although the theory of humours was first put into practice in Jonson's Every Man in *His Humour*, it remains a principle of his characterization in allof his subsequent plays. His Volpone, or The Fox, considered his most subtle play, is no exception to this rule. The characters that we encounter in this comedy conform to Jonson's theory of humours. They behave as logically in terms of their respective humours as the theory would demand to be logical and convincing. One great thing about Jonson as dramatist is that when it comes to delineating

his characters, good as well as bad, young as well as old, he is not dominated by any sentiment of sympathy or antipathy. He is one of those rare dramatists who remain detached from their creations. Just as a scientist has the clinical attitude to his patient, diagnosing, dissecting, and curing by cutting the diseased tissue, so does Jonson treat his characters, diagnosing them in terms of their troubling humours, cutting those humours with the knife of his satire, and cure them by cutting out the affected parts of their moral conduct.

The chief character of *Volpone*, is Volpone, the fox. He is given to plots and intrigues. That, in fact, is his humour. He is a trickster who delights in disguises and intrigues. He can trick his victims into giving him their most prized possessions. There is a sort of comic sense in the simplicity and single-mindedness of Volpone's character. His insatiable desire to trick people is characteristic of the fool. Although Volpone is a nobleman, he shares the same human nature as the lowly fools in his household. The only difference is that while the lowly fools are naturally deformed, Volpone is the cause of his own deformation. The play's plot shows his fall from a Venetian nobleman to the position of a fool. He starts out playing the fool and ends up by becoming one. He conforms to Mosca's description of people : "Almost all the wise world is little else, but parasites or sub-parasites."

The next important character in *Volpone*, is Mosca. The word here means the gadfly, who is a parasite. He is Volpone's servant. He is only one step higher in the social scale than the three deformed fools of Volpone's household. These three fools are the dwarf, the hermaphrodite, and the eunuch. Mosca is socially deformed, or fellow of no birth or blood. Having no scope for advancement in the Venetian world, Mosca lives by his wits. He does not suffer from the folly of greed. He takes whatever he needs from the treasuresof others, but he takes no more than his daily needs. In a way, his humouris to feed on others, not getting moved by any temptation. Thus, he is free from the normal ambitions of human nature. This gives him an advantage of judging others who are vulnerable to all sorts of follies. He mocks at the follies of other people. When an opportunity arises for making gains in the absence of his master, Mosca is discovered to be vulnerable to the folly of greed. Ironically, it is the very folly for which he has been making fun of

other people. Now, he himself is found equally vulnerable to that folly. Hence, he, too, proves that "almost all the wise world is little else, in nature, but parasites or sub-parasites."

Another interesting character in this zoo-like spectacle of Jonson's satirical comedy is Voltore, who is a vulture. Vulture is one of the three birds of prey that circle around the fox, greedy and full of expectation. As a lawyer by profession, Voltore has a weakness for wills. That becomes his humour - to greed for gains through false wills. He uses his legal expertise to advocate injustice in order to take possession of Volpone's riches. Mosca cleverly fools this gull by using the advocate's own tactics. He tells Volpone the biggest lie and documents it with elements of well known facts. Thus, Voltore is tricked by his own folly. He believes that with his quick agility he can make the wide world believe that a lie is the truth. He fails to observe that as a part of the wide world he himself can also be cozened.

Another equally interesting character in *Volpone*, is that of Corbaccio, who represents the carrion crow. An old and decrepit, deaf and round of back, he is rather avaricious. Avarice, so to say, is the humour of Corbaccio. Partially deformed by old age, this fool completes his transformation from nobleman to parasite by beingtricked into disinheriting his son. The spiritual condition of this gentleman is embodiedby his physical condition. He expects to outlive Volpone and inherit his wealth. Hiscomic flaw, so to say, is not physical but spiritual blindness. Jonson increases the interest of his comedy by turning his portrait gallery into a zoo of beasts. The strategyof using a beast fable format for exposing the animal-like beastly qualities of humanbeings works very well in the plot of Jonson's play. The humour of each comes out more clearly through the analogy of the beast. Each of the beasts used in the play is known for one or another dominant trait, which easily gets identified with one or another humour of the human species.

Corvino is another character in *Volpone* that attracts our attention at once. He represents the raven, which is one of the three greedy trio, the other two being vulture and crow. This peacock is proud of his beauty. This bird of prey is an exceedingly jealous husband who guards his wife with great care. Corvino's humour, so clearly brought out through the animal analogy of the peacock, is, obviously,

jealousy. Interestingly, his greed persuades him to demand that Volpone cuckold him. When at last he discovers the folly of his ways, he is too proud to reveal his foolish vanity. The paramount quality common to these three characters, represented by three different birds of prey, is their desire to possess wealth.

Closely associated with the comedy centering on the fortunes of Volpone are also the characters of Celia and Bonario. Celia is that ripe beauty, Corvino's wife, who is used as an effective device of plot. It is Volpone's desire that delivers her at his doorstep. Her presence there gives Bonario a chance to save her. Bonario is the good fellow of the play. He is a romantic and a sentimentalist. Celia and Bonario are foolish as well as innocent. They look at life in Venice through the eyes of the lovers of melodramatic fiction. Their humour is to see life not as in itself it really is, but to see it as the books of romance have paintedit. They are, in other words, not directed by their own experience of life, but by the descriptions of life given in romance fiction. Hence, they seriously misunderstand and misjudge whatever they encounter, people or places. If the gulls seem inhuman in their humour of total greed, Bonario and Celia seem equally inhuman in their humour of innocence. Their folly is not so much vicious as silly. But it remains a folly all the same.

A few more interesting characters in the motley crowd of *Volpone, or the Fox* are Sir Politic and Lady Would-Be and Peregrine. Sir Politic, his wife Lady Would-Be and Peregrine are native English characters now travelling through Italy. Although tourists, they are trying to become Italians in their life style. In their attempt to follow the old saying, "Do in Rome as the Romans do," they run into all kinds of funny situations. They turn out to be hilariously inept in the artof imitation, never quite succeeding in acquiring the manners of the Italian gentry. They find themselves parroting the ways of the master plotters without ever knowing what they are actually doing. So, their humour in terms of Jonsonian theory of comedy is mimicry, which is largely mindless, but also indirectly self-flattering. The fact that they fail to imitate the manners of the evil Italians that they are too good to become the stuff the Italians are made of. Peregrine serves as confidant of Sir Politic. He uses the English Knight for merriment, but never

quite becomes involved in the main action of the satirical comedy.

The last set of characters included in the comic plot of *Volpone* consists of Nano, Androgyno, and Castrone. These three are regular, professional fools. They are naturally deformed persons. They are meant to serve as entertainers in the household of Volpone. Jonson uses them just as Shakespeare used the Fool in *King Lear* or Touchstone in *As You Like It*. Their function in Volpone, similar to the function of the fools in Shakespeare, is to remind us of the other side of human nature that people of high strata generally become unmindful of. They are there to show the difference there is between the high and the low in a society based on the power and privilege of capital and estate. Those who enjoy that power become totally unaware of what life really is for those who are deprived of all the privileges attached to capital and estate. These Fools are set apart also by their deformity. Although they are born with their deformities, having no hand in what they look like, they are treated in society as odd presences meant for the ridicule of the privileged.

Mosca is born without noble blood, but he is normal in every other way. Volpone, Voltore, Corbaccio, and Corvino are all normal and of noble blood. Nonetheless, these normal people acquire spiritual deformity through their inhuman or excessive greed and the foolish actions they indulge in. While the dwarf, hermaphrodite, and Eunuch are not responsible for their follies because they are born to behave that way, Mosca, Volpone, and the three birds of prevare fully responsible for their follies because their acts of folly are the resultof their conscious and deliberate choice. Perhaps Mosca rightly defines the play's theme when he speaks these satirical lines, "Almost all the wise worldis little else, in nature, but parasites or sub-parasites." Here, it is important for us to understand the difference between Volpone and Mosca; that is, between a gentleman and a parasite. In the European societies highly based on the class distinctions, the roles and functions of each class had got defined through long traditions and conventions of those societies. We can find recorded descriptions of parasites as a class attached to the great houses of the privileged lords and ladies, counts and countesses, dukes and duchesses, etc.

These parasites were people without property. They would depend for their subsistence entirely on the estate of their masters. The masters would have them as a part of their establishment, an army of servants and hangers-on, whose roles and functions were well defined. The parasites were supposed to serve as butts of ridicule, of gross and vulgar jokes, accepting even abuse, by their wealthy masters, as a part of their duty. Some of them would be physically and mentally deformed and retarded. Since they were no better than beggars, having nothing to fall back on, they were destined to accept alife full of insults and indignities. It is an indication of decadence and degeneration, of dehumanization that the callousness of the rich and the cringiness of the poor were taken as natural on both sides. The status of the parasites was no better than the animal pets of the rich. They did get free food and lodging, but they paid by accepting indignities as their earnings. These figures were common in the classical Greek and Roman comedies, and continued as a tradition later in the Elizabethan and Jacobean comedies. Jonson focuses our attention on the inhumanity involved in the traditional practice of the parasite in the society of his time.

#### 18.4 LET US SUM UP

Comedy of Humours is a dramatic genre most clearly associated with the English playwright Ben Jonson from the late 16th century. Comedy of humours focuses on a character or range of characters, each of whom exhibits two or more overriding traits or 'humours' that dominates their personality, desires and conduct. The characters in *Volpone* are stereotypes. All of the characters are imbalanced as well, so their 'humors' are out of balance and they thus act in comical ways. Thus, *Volpone* is a comedy of humours.

### **18.5 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS**

- 1. Discuss Ben Jonson as a Jacobean dramatist.
- 2. Examine *Volpone* as comedy of humours.
- 3. Evaluate Volpone as a chief character in the play Volpone.

# **18.6 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS**

- 1. Which of the following is one of Ben Jonson's most famous comedies, featuring the character Volpone, a cunning trickster?
  - a) "The Alchemist"
  - b) "Every Man in His Humour"
  - c) "The Shoemaker's Holiday"
  - d) "Tamburlaine"
- 2. In which historical period did Ben Jonson primarily write his plays?
  - a) Victorian Era
  - b) Elizabethan Era
  - c) Jacobean Era
  - d) Renaissance Era
- 3. Which Jonson play satirizes the pursuit of learning and knowledge through the character of the pedant, Brisk?
  - a) "Volpone"
  - b) "The Silent Woman"
  - c) "Bartholomew Fair"
  - d) "Sejanus His Fall"
- 4. Ben Jonson was known for his satirical comedy. Which of his plays is a comedy that humorously criticizes various aspects of society, including lawyers and doctors?
  - a) "The Alchemist"
  - b) "Epicoene, or the Silent Woman"
  - c) "Volpone"
  - d) "The Devil is an Ass"

- 5. In which of Jonson's plays does the character Face serve as the chief rogue, exploiting his master's absence for personal gain?
  - a) "Volpone"
  - b) "The Alchemist"
  - c) "Every Man in His Humour"
  - d) "Bartholomew Fair"
- 6. The comedy of humours, a dramatic concept popularized by Ben Jonson, revolves around the idea of:
  - a) Miracles and divine interventions
  - b) Characters driven by a single dominating trait or obsession
  - c) Love and romantic entanglements
  - d) Political intrigue and power struggles
- 7. Which of the following best describes the central idea of the comedy of humours?
  - a) Characters representing the four elements: earth, water, air, and fire
  - b) Characters driven by their individual quirks and personality traits
  - c) Characters seeking vengeance against their rivals
  - d) Characters engaged in epic adventures and quests
- 8. In the comedy of humours, characters are often categorized based on their:
  - a) Social status
  - b) Familial relationships
  - c) Astrological signs
  - d) Dominant personality traits
- 9. Which of the four humors (sanguine, choleric, melancholic, phlegmatic) is associated with qualities like cheerfulness and sociability?

- a) Sanguine
- b) Choleric
- c) Melancholic
- d) Phlegmatic
- 10. The comedy of humours aimed to provide social commentary by:
  - a) Portraying idealized and flawless characters
  - b) Exposing the flaws and idiosyncrasies of its characters
  - c) Focusing solely on romantic relationships
  - d) Ignoring social issues and conflicts

#### Answers :

- 1. a) "Volpone"
- 2. c) Jacobean Era
- 3. b) "The Silent Woman"
- 4. d) "The Devil is an Ass"
- 5. b) "The Alchemist"
- 6. b) Characters driven by a single dominating trait or obsession
- 7. b) Characters driven by their individual quirks and personality traits
- 8. d) Dominant personality traits
- 9. a) Sanguine
- 10. b) Exposing the flaws and idiosyncrasies of its characters

# **18.7 SUGGESTED READINGS**

Jonson, Ben. Volpone Ed. Robert N. Watson. Blooms.bury, 2014.

Jonson, Ben. Volpone or the Fox. Ed David Cook Methuen, 1967.

-----

COURSE No.121	DRAMA-I	LESSON No. 19
M.A. ENGLISH	<b>BEN JONSON</b>	UNIT - IV
	VOLPONE	

# PLOT AND STRUCTURE

# STRUCTURE

- 19.1 Introduction
- 19.2 Objectives
- 19.3 Plot and Structure
- 19.4 Let Us Sum Up
- 19.5 Examination Oriented Questions
- 19.6 Multiple Choice Questions
- 19.7 Suggested Readings

# **19.1 INTRODUCTION**

The plot of *Volpone* consists of the Epistle, the Argument and the Prologue, and Five Acts. In the Epistle that precedes the play, Jonson dedicates *Volpone* to Oxford University and Cambridge University calling them "most equal Sisters" (line 12). In the Argument, Jonson summarizes the main conflict of the play in the form of an acrostic poem. The Prologue expresses Jonson's hope that the play will be both entertaining and enlightening.

#### **19.2 OBJECTIVES**

The objective of this lesson is to introduce the learner to the plot and structure of the play *Volpone*.

### **19.3 PLOT AND STRUCTURE**

Ben Jonson's *Volpone*, or *The Fox*, is set in the Italy of the Renaissance period. The characters take their names from birds and animals. The plot actually grew out of a beast fable popular in the oral tradition of the Elizabethan period. *Volpone*or *Volpe* in Italian is meant a fox. In the same manner of the animal fable, Mosca is the word for the parasite among flies called the gadfly. Mosca is a part of the household of the nobleman Volpone. He is a parasite subsisting on the estate of the rich Volpone. Being himself a person of no means, Mosca is a professional parasite. He is a type who was conventionally a part of the household of the renaissance gentleman. The natural idiots or deformed fools, such as the dwarf, eunuch, and fool, were meant to entertain the rich. In a way, their wages wereto receive free meals, but they had to return it by being the butts of vulgar jokes and abuses for their rich masters. Some of these, like Mosca, were obsequiousbut clever fools. The others were natural fools. While people like Mosca choseto play the fools, others were born fools having no mind to behave otherwise.

Volpone's genius lies in his ability to fleece the greedy rich, the covetous wealthy, without resort to trade, venture, or product, which were the usual occupations or means and methods of economic advancement. In his practices, so wonderful they are, no poor, or ignorant person is harmed. In fact, several parasites are maintained in husbanding the gold. Volpone is a gentleman of Venice, which is one of the prominent cities in Italy. He is discovered at home, singing in praise of his wealth, the "sacred treasure in this blessed room." His servant Mosca impishly joins the song of his master in praise of gold. Volpone cherishes the manner in which the treasure of gold reaches him.

Not fortunate to have a heir to his riches, Volpone automatically attracts the greedy and wealthy to his house. They bring with them plates, coins, and jewels in the fond hope that Volpone's imminent death would return their gifts tenfold. This competition in giving gifts to Volpone is whetted by him when he cleverly feigns serious illness. While savouring the success of his ruse, Volpone summons his eunuch, dwarf, and fool to celebrate his present victory with an interlude, a brief and comic play like sequence. It is important to remember that although

Volpone is a gentleman, and not a parasite, his practices are not gentlemanly but those of a parasite. He is making his living, and collecting his riches by, using improper practices, which the regular parasites do. This indicates that the dramatist has designed his role as comic, not serious.

In the absence of any relative, near or distant, to claim Volpone's property after his death, he has to name someone from the circle of people known to him as the beneficiary of his wealth. This position of Volpone attracts a large number of competitors. They try to win the favour of the ailing old man by bringing handsome gifts to him. Mosca, Volpone's servant, a parasite, finds it a god-sent opportunity to exploit the greedy would-be heirs of Volpone. He is clever and crafty who can play such games quite successfully. He encourages three major gulls. One of these, who is first to arrive, is Voltore, the vulture. He is anadvocate by profession and a gull by avocation. Volpone hurries to change into his costume of a decaying carcass. Meanwhile, the other gull, one Signor Corbaccio(carrion crow) arrives. Mosca takes Voltore's gifts and hurries him out to entertain the newcomer. He befools him in the same manner. Soon comes the third gull, Corvino (the raven), who receives the same treatment form Mosca. The cunning and crafty Mosca sends out one after due fleecing and lets in the next, and thus befools all the three gulls successfully. He assures each one of having the best chances of becoming heir of Volpone's fortunes, and sends each satisfied, withoutpermitting them to see each other. Here lies the craft of the parasite.

We must note here the use by Jonson of the subtle device of hyperbole as an instrument of comedy. Since Mosca has to manage the comic stage at the house of Volpone, it is he who is allowed the benefit of this device. Hyperbole is conventionally the rhetorical device of exaggeration. Since it is used to achieve a certain effect, it should not be taken literally at its face value. In usual practice, hyperbole is used as an ornament to plain speech. Here in Jonson's comedy, it is more functional than decorational; it is an essential part of the dramatic fun. Thus, Mosca's use of hyperbole has special ironic thrust. He exaggerates, for example, Volpone's condition of ill health, but does not do the same in the case of Corbaccio. Jonson's use of hyperbole as a device of rhetoric in *Volpone*, enriches the meaning

of the dramatic situation. The hyperbolic intensity of the play's rhetoric increases as the plot complications become more and more involved. Its use is again especially ironic and effective in revealing Mosca's character. Although he plays the servant to all, he is affectionate or loyal to none. It is a business dealing with him. He clothes his moves in fun only to sting his trapped victims.

Among the gulls being befooled by Mosca the most interesting of all, is Mr. Corvino, a rich merchant. He is also the husband of Celia, a beautiful lady of Venice. Mosca announces that his master's hearing is gone. He even declares that his master has only bastard children, those three deformed fools. Encouraged to join the game, Corvino pledges Mosca a share of his inheritance in exchange for his help. Mosca suggests that part of it is his gallant wife's. This makes Corvino to make a quick exit. Now comes another knock on the door. This brings in Lady Would-Be, the wife of the English Knight Sir Politic Would-Be. Volpone tells Mosca to get rid of her, wondering how the "bold English... dare let loose their wives to all encounters." Mosca comments that given the face she is blessed with she cannot but be virtuous. He even makes a hymn to the beauty of Corvino's wife. Volpone resolves to see the lady who is so much praised by Mosca. So, a disguise is needed to deceive the jealous Corvino, who guards his wife with ten spies.

Disguised as mountebank, Volpone finds entry into Corvino's house. Celia's husband rushes from his house, screaming and beating on disguised Volpone, demanding that Volpone leave his house at once. After the crowd dispers in confusion, Volpone and Mosca stagger down to the front of the stage in great distress. Volpone has been wounded by "angry Cupid, bolting from Celia's eyes." He must see her or die a wretched death. Although now the meeting seems almost impossible, Mosca undertakes to turn the trick. The action again shifts in Corvino's house. The raven is furious with Celia for flirting with the disguised Volpone. His rage is stopped by a sudden knock at the door, on which he hustles Celia out of the room with dire consequences. A servant announces the arrival of Signior Mosca. Corvino turns from wrath to smiles hoping to hear the news of Volpone's death. But Mosca dashes his hopes to the ground, telling him that Volpone has instead recovered. The juice that cured him was produced by Voltore and

Corbaccio. Now, he says some young woman is needed at once to fully effect the recovery. Mosca rejects Corvino's proposal that a courtesan could be procured for the purpose. He subtly suggests the services of Celia, Corvino's wife.

The third Act opens with the traditional Elizabethan theatrical convention of the soliloquy. Mosca is discovered in the street, soliloquizing on the nature, number, and the kinds of parasitic fools. As an important device, soliloquy enabled the Elizabethan playwright to comment upon the action of the play as well as to reveal the inner thoughts and feelings of the speaker. In the present case, Jonson employs it as a quiet interlude before the plot begins to hurdle out of the characters' control to a final comic conclusion. Up to this point, as Mosca's attitude suggests, the villains are the complete masters of events. Things are just about to get out of their hands.

Mosca's musings are interrupted by old Corbaccio's son, Bonario (good fellow). Mosca feigns self-pity to overcome the youngman's reluctance for a dialogue with him. After winning over Bonario's sympathy Mosca warns him that his father wants to disinherit him. Bonario begins to suspect him of some trickery. Mosca offers to bring Bonario to witness the deed so that he can prove himself to be honest. The young man follows him with his heart weeping blood in anguish. Meanwhile, to pass time, Volpone watches an interlude by his household fools. A knock then interrupts the playing. That brings the English lady. Lady Would- Be is there to torment Volpone. As he puts it, 'The storm comes towards me."She elaborates upon horrors, making Volpone tremble and sweat. She talks out the old man. Only Mosca's appearance saves him from the Lady's torture.

Mosca tells a lie that he saw Sir Politic, the Lady's husband, "rowing upon the water in a gondola, with the most cunning courtesan of Venice." He thus succeeds in sending her away, depriving her, at the same time, the gift she had brought for Volpone. Mosca now enters with Bonario in tow and places him in hiding as a knock is heard at the door. Both await the entrance of Corbaccio, with Bonario in close hiding and Mosca as the welcoming servant. The newcomer, however, turns out to be Corvino, not Corbaccio. Celia stands shrinking by her side. Putting Bonario out of the way, Mosca opens the drapes on Volpone's bed, showing Volpone waiting for Celia. Corvino asks his wife Celia to obey him and go to Volpone's bed. She says she cannot respect the marriage vow to obey him above her honour. Corvino defines honour as "a mere term invented to awe fools." She declares her husband's tactic as sin. Volpone orders Mosca to bring the lamb (Celia) forward for slaughter. He introduces the couple in most cuckoldingterms. Celia withdraws, requesting death, but Corvino drags her forward. Mosca persuades Corvino to leave his wife alone with Volpone. She is left alone to lament being "placed beneath the basest circumstance, and modesty an exile made for money."

Suddenly, the fox leaps from his feigned sickbed and begins to chase. The aging lecher tries to seduce the lady with a song. She remains adamant. He recounts the larges he will give her for obliging him :

...we will eat such a meal... The heads of parrots, tongues of nightingales, The brains of peacocks and ostriches, Shall be our food....

Celia takes her innocence as her wealth, and stands steadfast. She asks him if he had a conscience. His reply is, "Tis the beggar's virtue." He finally asks her to yield, or he will force her. At a critical time, Bonario jumps out of his hiding and rescues the lady in danger of losing her honour. Now the old rascal cries, "I am unmasked, unspirited, undone, betrayed to beggary, to infamy." Feigninga wretched state, Mosca enters and offers to let Volpone cut his throat. Before Volpone has time to take Mosca seriously, Mosca proposes a double suicide. Their lamentations are interrupted by a knock at the door. Mosca feels the brandingiron of the felon burning into his forehead. Volpone takes to his bed; for the first time his suffering is not entirely feigned. The door opens on Corbaccio.

Mosca invents another lie, and tells Corbaccio that his son, Bonario, by accident has come to know of his purpose. Thereupon he came here with an open sword and wounded Volpone. Hearing how his son had come to kill him, he declares that his son would be disinherited. At this point, Voltore enters the stage unnoticed. But Mosca suddenly discovers his presence. Accused by Voltore of his double loyalty, Mosca at once thinks of a new trick - to call Bonario hear the deed of his disinheritance so that he killed his father. This way, Mosca thinks, he will be able to remove Corbaccio from the way. Meanwhile, Bonario seizesthe lady, wounds her, and makes her swear that Volpone raped her. That pretext would accuse Corbaccio, defame Volpone, and ruin Voltore's hopes. Corbaccio, who has been counting Volpone's treasure, is hustled out the door by Voltore. They must find Corvino to tell him the news. Volpone and Mosca have nothing left to do but pray for the success of their latest dodge.

With Act IV, comes the subplot involving Sir Politic Would-Be, Lady Would-Be, and Peregrine. Sir Politic instructs his young companion, a fellow Englishman, about the Italian manners, saying that Englishmen never change their habits when they travel abroad. When they are engaged in inspecting the diary notes of Sir Politic, Lady Would-Be comes. She has on her mind Mosca's lie that her husband was rowing in a gondola with an Italian courtesan. She thinks the young Englishman is that lady disguised as boy. She calls him a prostitute, a female devil in a male exterior. Before the encounter reaches the boiling point, Mosca arrives on the scene. Lady Would-Be declares her injury to Mosca and calls Peregrine naughty names. Mosca explains her mistake, and she changes her attitude to the young man. After a blithe apology, she exits on Mosca's arm, leaving Peregrine bewildered. He swears to take a comic revenge on Sir Politic.

Then follows the court case, where with Celia and Bonario on one side, and the rest on the side of Mosca, accusations and counter-accusations follow. Witnesses are produced by both sides, the case is argued by the two sides, finally the innocents losing it. The innocents were foolish enough to think that Venetian courts dispensed justice when they merely administered the law. Jonson is obviously satirizing the courts and their quibble about words, ignoring the truth. Volpone confesses to Mosca that cozening the court in such a grand manner was worth "more than if I had enjoyed the wench." After attaining the success in the trial, Volpone now develops a new plot. Mosca is to put on an expensive gown, take up pen and ink, and begin taking an inventory of Volpone's hoard. If anyone should ask after Volpone's body, the fools are to say it was corrupted. Volpone will "get up behind the curtain, on a stool," and watch the circus unfold. Suddenly, as usual, someone knocks at the door. It is the vulture. Voltore is happy to see Mosca taking inventory, but he becomes suspicious of his new garb. Old Corbaccioalso comes on the scene. Then follows the third gull, Corcino. Also appears unexpectedly Lady Would-Be. As all get together, they see Volpone's will, and discover "Mosca the heir." The gulls are stunned and show violent reactions. Each of the greedy characters wanting to become Volpone's heir is told off by Mosca, using one trick or another. When all have been successfully evaded, Volpone comes out of his hiding place and showers praise on his parasite for his brilliance as a trickester. If he could only disguise himself and follow the gulls,he could further enjoy his triumph. Mosca readily agrees to fit his master in a rare disguise. It is an outfit of a police officer. Mosca tells Volpone to look for curses. Volpone relpies, "The fox fares ever best when he is curst."

Simultaneously, proceeds the sub-plot further. Peregrine, disguised and accompanied by three merchants, enters Sir Politic's house. His design is merelyto frighten, not to harm, Sir Politic. Peregrine, now disguised as merchant, tells that Peregrine was a Venetian spy who reported Sir Politic's plot "to sell the state of Venice to the Turk." Sir Politic becomes distraught. He explains how his "plot" was drawn from playbooks and only put into his notebook. Merchant Peregrine offers to smuggle Sir Politic aboard a boat to escape capture. The three merchants, as planned, now burst upon the scene. Sir Politic, turned into a turtle as disguise, is walked upon by the merchants for joke. Later, he pulls of his disguise and laughs at him. After the merchants depart, Sir Politic looks for his lady, and is told that she, too, is in need of a physic. He determines to shun this place and clime forever.

The scene now reverts to the main plot again. Volpone and Mosca enter, disguised, each congratulating the other on his appearance. When Volpone leaves for a moment to gather news from the court, Mosca soliloquizes, "My fox is out of his hole, and ere he shall re-enter, I'll make him languish in his borrowed case." Unless Volpone came to terms is meanwhile, Mosca dismisses the fools and servants for the

day and resolves to "bury him or gain by him.... To cozen him of all were but a cheat well placed." Corbaccio and Corvino, meanwhile, are discovered in a Venetian street. They are talking about the court sentence to be pronounced on Bonario and Celia. Volpone approaches the two gentlemen in his police disguise. He congratulates them on "the sudden good dropped down upon you from old Volpone." Their old indignation returns, and they begin to beat Volpone. Both gulls leave in a huff, but Voltore comes on to take their place. He is grumbling about Mosca's knavery. When Volpone begins to supplicate for some of the rents from a tenement house owned by the deceased Volpone, Voltore vents his spleen on the head of Volpone. With a parting insult, Volpone runs for the next corner. Now, Volpone encounters Corbaccio and Corvino. He tells them that Mosca has a cozening nose. He expresses surprise that such a witty group could be fooled by a parasite. After a parting remark about the valour of cuckolds, Volpone gleefully escapes the gulls' reach.

Just before their arrival at the Senate chamber, Volpone and Voltore meet once more. Volpone tells the vulture that he must be the heir. It is not within the wit of man, he says, to cozen so great a lawyer. Volpone is hounded into the courtroom by the seething gulls. The whole cast is now assembled at the Senate to play out the game. Voltore changes his argument, accuses Mosca of the whole mischief, and pleads for mercy for the innocent Bonario and Celia,. The court is shocked at the news of Volpone's demise. But Volpone is discovered wandering in the street in a fearful state. At that moment, the three fools of his household come upon the disguised Volpone. He is shocked to learn that Mosca has dismissed them. He asks them to find Mosca and send him to the court. This brings us to the last scene of the play, where all tricks are exposed, and the tricksters are trapped. After everybody stands exposed in the court, the court sentences them all with due punishment. Because Mosca is without a noble blood, his sentence is to be perpetual prisoner in the galleys of Venice. Volpone's substance is given to the hospital for incurables, and he is to be put in chains till he be "sick and lame indeed." Voltore is banished. Corbaccio's estate is given to his son, and he is sent to a monastery. Corvino will be rowed about Venice with a cap of ass's ears instead of horns. Celia is given her dowry and returned to her father.

Jonson's writing in the classical tradition thus, structures his play observing the

unity of action, unity of place, and unity of time. The only thing that seems a surprise is the subplot in the play. It does not very well blend with the main plot. It only adds something to the satire on English society. But satire does not remain within the structure of the play. But for this subplot, the play's action is wholly unified. Another thing that undercuts the power of comedy in the play, its unified impact, is the too complicated nature of the action. It consists of intrigue upon intrigue, involving the audience in a sort of puzzle which it is hard to keep track of. Every scene is rescued by a sudden knock, which furthers the action. But these surprise appearances undercut the realistic fabric of the plot. Also undercuts its otherwise powerful impact the justice distributed at the end. The poetic justice meted out to the plotters and tricksters is too neat to square up with the spirit of realism intended to inform the play's plot structure. These shortcomings notwithstanding, *Volpone* remains one of the powerful comedies of its age.

### 19.4 LET US SUM UP

*Volpone* has five acts. *Volpone* takes place in seventeenth-century, over the course of one day. *Volpone*, a venation nobleman, has no relative to make his heir; he must name someone his beneficiary. While Corvino threatens his wife Celia with closer incarceration. *Volpone* sings to Mosca of Celia's beauty and his desire. Mosca hatches a plot to secure Celia for his master.

### **19.5 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS**

- 1. Discuss in detail the structure of Volpone.
- 2. How story develops in the play Volpone?
- 3. Evaluate the plot of Volpone.

### **19.6 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS**

- 1. Who is the central character and main trickster in Ben Jonson's "Volpone"?
  - a) Mosca
  - b) Voltore

- c) Corbaccio
- d) Volpone
- 2. What is Volpone's motive for pretending to be gravely ill throughout most of the play?
  - a) He wants to avoid paying taxes.
  - b) He wants to test the loyalty and greed of his acquaintances.
  - c) He is genuinely sick.
  - d) He is seeking revenge against Mosca.
- 3. Which character in "Volpone" is a lawyer who uses legal maneuvering to gain Volpone's wealth?
  - a) Voltore
  - b) Corbaccio
  - c) Corvino
  - d) Mosca
- 4. What does Mosca, Volpone's servant, encourage each of the three legacy hunters to give as a gift to Volpone?
  - a) A golden necklace
  - b) A precious gem
  - c) A rare bird
  - d) A valuable painting
- 5. What is Corvino's relationship with Celia, which leads to one of the major conflicts in the play?
  - a) He is her father.
  - b) He is her husband.

- c) He is her brother.
- d) He is her lover.
- 6. Who is the character who disguises herself as a male in "Volpone" in order to gain access to Volpone's fortune?
  - a) Celia
  - b) Lady Would-be
  - c) Bonario
  - d) Nano
- 7. What does Lady Politic Would-be frequently engage in, reflecting her obsession with appearances and social status?
  - a) Feasting
  - b) Gossiping
  - c) Disguising herself
  - d) Prayer
- 8. How does the play "Volpone" conclude for the main characters, Volpone and Mosca?
  - a) They are both arrested and imprisoned.
  - b) They marry Celia and Lady Would-be, respectively.
  - c) They escape with Volpone's wealth.
  - d) They die tragically.
- 9. Which character ultimately exposes Volpone's deception and greed, leading to the resolution of the play?
  - a) Mosca
  - b) Corvino

- c) Bonario
- d) Voltore
- 10. What is the major theme explored in "Volpone" by Ben Jonson?
  - a) The corrupting influence of wealth and greed
  - b) The power of love and romance
  - c) The pursuit of knowledge and enlightenment
  - d) The importance of social class and hierarchy

#### **Answers:**

- 1. d) Volpone
- 2. b) He wants to test the loyalty and greed of his acquaintances.
- 3. a) Voltore
- 4. c) A rare bird
- 5. b) He is her husband.
- 6. b) Lady Would-be
- 7. b) Gossiping
- 8. a) They are both arrested and imprisoned.
- 9. c) Bonario

10.a) The corrupting influence of wealth and greed

# **19.7 SUGGESTED READINGS**

Volpone : Plot Overview. Sparknotes.com

Knowlton, E.C; "The Plots of Ben Jonson". Jstor.com https://www.jstor.org/stable/2913432. www.gradesaver.com

435

\_\_\_\_\_

COURSE No.121	DRAMA-I	LESSON No. 20
M.A. ENGLISH	BEN JONSON VOLPONE	UNIT - IV

# **VOLPONE AS MORAL SATIRE**

## **STRUCTURE**

- 20.1 Introduction
- 20.2 Objectives
- 20.3 Volpone as moral Satire
- 20.4 Let Us Sum Up
- 20.5 Examination Oriented Questions
- 20.6 Multiple Choice Questions
- 20.7 Suggested Readings

# **20.1 INTRODUCTION**

Reading through Ben Jonson's prose in his *Discoveries*, *the Dedications*, and the *Letters*, one becomes aware of the strong moral basis of his writings. His drama, too, has the same moral basis as we find in his prose. The following passage from *Timber: or Discoveries; Made upon Men and Matter* sums up that moral basis which informs his entire work, drama, poetry, prose alike :

A man should study other things, not to covet, not to feare, not to repent him: To make his Base such, as no Tempest shall shake him: to be secure of all opinion; and pleasing to himself, even for that, wherein he displeaseth others. For the worst opinion gotten for doing well, should delight us: would'st not thou bejust, but for fame; thou ought'st to be it with infamy: Hee that would have his vertue published, is not the servant of vertue, but glory.

While in his dramatic works, we find Jonson a realist by principle, in his prose works we find direct statements stating his beliefs as well as the principles themselves from which were derived his criticisms of contemporary society and literature as well as the attitude to his material which sets him apart from his contemporaries. Ben Jonson had a deliberate schooling in objectivity. He persistently subjected his imagination to the evidence of the actual. These mental habits of Jonson constitute the counterpart in the domain of art that thorough principling which permeates his art. In the growth of his dramatic art, his theory and criticism both played an important part. Jonson is greatly separated from his contemporaries in that he seldom enters the twilight region of romance. His art is sharply purposed, which is not afflicted by the popular practices of the Elizabethan stage.

#### **20.2 OBJECTIVES**

The objective of this lesson is to make the learners able to examine *Volpone* as moral satire.

#### **20.3 VOLPONE AS MORAL SATIRE**

Jonson's province as, dramatist is the contemporary society, its social and moral follies. He closely concentrates in his own province upon the reality around him. He always strives for more and more precision in detail, which make his comedy highly specialized. As a dramatist, he accepts certain terms of reference, always limiting the background of his plays against which the comedy is to take place. Thus, his plays are marked by a self-contained universe made up of just those elements that are freed from all further implications. Compared to Shakespeare's late comedies and Middleton's latest tragic-comedies, Jonson's socialsatire even at its severest, one far more earnest in tone. But the scope of his most representative comedy is rather limited, in comparison with theirs, to a narrow, sharp focusing on an immediate area of experience. His treatment of his material is, however more scientific than theirs, much more selective than theirs. The endeavour of his comedy is to present moral and psychological truth more and more nearly in terms of actuality. It attempts to eliminate more and more thoroughlythe element of the subjective.

Since, Ben Jonson was a satirist and a moralist first and dramatist later, he did never dramatize himself, and it was with some difficulty that he dramatized anything else. Had it not been for his age, which predominantly expressed itself in drama, Ben Jonson would have written his satires in more direct poetic and prose forms like Pope and Swift. Those forms would have suited his specific purpose of moral satire much better than did the dramatic. There is for sure, a deeply inherent non-dramatic principle in him. This principle helps formulate a proper approach to the study of his moral satire. Giving precedence to dramatic form and its conventions and practices would, in fact, do injustice to Jonson's art as a moral satirist. It is important, therefore, that his comedies are studied as moral satires in dramatic form rather than as dramas with satirical or moral tinge. The difference made out here may seem superficial, but it makes an important difference in the understanding of his art.

While making a study of Jonson's art, it is very important that a choice is made of the approach to be adopted for making that study. The reason for this necessity is that the scope of his art is too wide to be included in one continuous movement of the mind. Another reason for this necessity is that his conscious and determined reference to principle introduces inconsistencies and conflicts which are not there in the works of his contemporaries. We may explore his work, perhaps justly assessing the parts. We may also subject our imagination to each word we encounter in entire work in all variety of pattern and purpose. Lookingat the spectrum of his work we come across the poet of the festive comedies, such as *Every Man in His Humour* and *Epicone*, or *the Silent Woman*. We then come across the poet of the jovial and virile observation of *Bartholomew Fair, The New Inn, The Staple of News, The Magnetic Lady*. And then we see thatthe poet of these two categories is not quite the same person as the humour theoristof *Every Man Out of His Humour*, of the sharper prologues and inductions. Nor

is he the poet of the Donne - like and unexpected love songs. Nor is any of these the man in whom a *saeva indignation*, disciplined by that same subjection of fact to the true *virtue ordinate*, made of the satirist at one and at the same time a Roman like Juvenal and an Italian like Machiavelli.

And yet all these men are one man. We see him informing, by his spirit, all this variety of work. Poet, scholar, or satirist, it is the same Jonson, the discipliner of all that "sufflaminanda erant". He is the same critic of life and letters, driven perhaps into too great severity, both as critic and as poet, in his contempt for sensation and sentiment. He is the same rough talker with the touch of swagger, the man of infinite humility to his God and equal haughtiness to man. And when all this has been said not even half of Jonson has been described.

Ben Jonson's Volpone, or The Fox, is considered his most successful play, a masterpiece of moral satire, a sublimely simple and homogeneous work. It captures the mood of purposed evil. The compact flawlessness of the first four Acts is only equaled or surpassed in Jonson's age by his own two succeeding comedies. Moreover, there stirs in this play something that we hardly come across in Jonson's other works: The promise continually upon the verge of fulfilment, of that passionate obsession in the author with the figure of his own creating that is familiar to us in nearly all of his contemporaries, and is utterly akin to Jonson's detached moralists art. Ever and again about the figure of Volpone there moves, indefinable and unseizable, this sense of an imagination kindling, not to critical denunciation, but to oblivion of critical positions, to identifying of itself with the passion and the power of its own creation. To Ben Jonson, it is entirely impossible to allow this kind of passion, since Volpone was originally begotten of his moral satire. But also equally impossible for Jonson is to impoverish him, to strip away a certain magnificence of daring. This daring is Volpone's high insolence with which, unaware, he himself has fallen in love. When the moment comes, in the fifth act, to reverse and unmask Volpone, when he should have been driven into ignominous terms, we are suddenly made to realize what hold this magnificent insolence has laid upon the writer's imagination. Like Shakespeare's Falstaff in *Henry IV*, when the moment arrives for Volpone's dropping, he almost wrecks the play.

Mosca, Volpone's tricky servant, and perhaps Jonson himself, realizes rather too late, as does Shakespeare in *Henry IV*, that Volpone is no slave-minded craven whom he is blackmailing. Instead, the person is an aristocrat whose high spirit he has failed to gauge. With just one last terrific gesture, utterly unbecominga comedy, in fact precipitating it into tragedy, Volpone pulls down disaster upon himself and his opponent alike: "I limmed this night-piece and it was my best." Thus, Volpone, the pride of Lodovico, himself dictates his last free gesture. And he withdraws, no way disabled in mind or spirit, a Venitian magnifico still. Never again did perhaps Jonson come so near feeling for a character of his own creation an admiration like the one, he gave to the two great contemporaries whom he reverenced. One can safely say that the closing scenes of *Volpone* are his comment on the Jacobean ideal of an aristocrat, his characteristic variant of the theme "I am Duchess of Malfi still."

It may sound paradoxical, even perverse, but it is true that from the very opening lines of Volpone's slow-moving monologue, we are haunted by the splendour of the play, a splendour which is symbolized superficially by the gold and massive plate of the legacy- hunters. But this splendour finds its antitype in the depths below depths of evil into which the characters coldly and resolutely plunge. Cruel and ruthless as these characters are, they are intended by the dramatistto appear repulsive and contemptible. But the very solidity of the atmosphere of evil lends a greatness to their tenacity and their resolution. Ben Jonson penetrates, by the supreme power of his imagination, behind the melodramatic semblances with which tradition had invested the Machiavellian plotter. He expresses the cold concentration, the flawless courage of these evil characters, which wasmajor quantity of the portrait Machiavelli drew. It is no surprise that *Volpone* rivals for posterity even *The Alchemist*. And to many critics, it seems the supremereach of Ben Jonson's poetic power in moral satire. Here is as example of the power of that poetic satire presented in dramatic form :

Good morning to the day; and, next, my gold; Open the shrine, that I may see my saint. Haile the worlds soule, and mine. More glad then is The teeming earthe to see the lang'd-for sunne Peep through the hornes of the celestial ram, Am I to view the splendour, darkening his: That, lying here, amongst my other hoords, Shew'st like a flame, by night; or like the day Strook out of chaos, when all darkness fled Unto the centre, O, thou sonne of SOL, (But brighter then thy father) let me kisse, With adoration, thee, and every relique Of sacred treasure, in this blessed roome. Well did wise Poets, by thy glorious name, Title that age, which they would have the best; Thou being the best of things: and far transcending All stile of joy, in children, parents, friends, Or any other waking dreame on earth.

... Dear Saint,

Riches, the dumb god, that giv'st all men tongues : That canst do nought, and yet mak'st men doe all things; The price of soules; even hell, with thee to boot, Is made worth heaven! Thou art vertue, fame, Honour, and all things else! Who can get thee, He shall be noble, valiant, honest, wise. In Volpone, as well as in The Alchemist, and other great comedies of Jonson, he has noticed and analysed whatever his contemporaries depicted from the life of Jacobean London. In fact, quite often he has done it a little sooner than they did. His picture of contemporary life is so full, of thoughts, habits and discoveries of his age, that comparison immediately suggests itself with two of his contemporaries who rival him in breadth. These contemporaries are Middletonand Shakespeare. Like Middleton, Jonson comprehends in his picture most of the forms of life to be found in the London of Jacobean age. He distinguishes people's habits and processes. He reflects their background, their daily life, their eccentricities and the peculiarities of their gestures and speech. But Jonson doesit all with this difference, that where Middleton only records, he records and criticizes or satirises simultaneously. Like Shakespeare, Jonson gathers up in his plays the findings of all contemporary exploration. But Jonson does it with this difference that, where Shakespeare transmutes all into an eternal and a universal expression, Jonson analyses all into a no less permanent, but far from universal, critical record. The material of Jonson's plays must remain, in the last event, a critical record of his times, unkindled as it is by passion. So far as the form and the structural technique are concerned, critics have recognised in Jonson a supremeand self-constituted artist. The spirit that animates the people whose movements make that form, remains, except for the singular case of *Volpone*, critical and highly undramatic.

When all is said, however, no one can fail to recognize that it is the conscious critical purpose of Ben Jonson as dramatist which constitutes a point of distinction between him and his contemporaries. All the dramatists of the age, including the greatest of all, Shakespeare, were artists by instinct, theatre-men by profession, and moralists, if at all, by fits and starts. As and when they outgrew the moralist, and they did quite often, their work was that of artists conforming naturally to the popular and professional demands upon their art. It is for this reason that their plays reflect clearly not only their own preoccupations but also the mood and temper of their age. In the case of Ben Jonson alone, it was the moral satirist rather than the professional dramatist, who always came first. This priority maybe just by a short length, but it is always and unmistakably there. Not that Jonson was not a considerable artist; he decidedly was. But his peculiarity remained in

the fact that his ethical principles predominated all the aspects of his art. These principles not only controlled the subject-matter of his art but, transmuted into aesthetic theories, controlled also its form.

We do not require the evidence of Jonson's poems and his masks to indicate how much of his considerable artistic instinct was suppressed and disciplined into other forms by his conscious moral and satiric purposes. We do not require this extra evidence because there is enough evidence of rebellion that was nearly successful in the transformation of his mood in *Volpone*. Some critics think that Jonson perhaps crippled himself as an artist by the moral imposition on his dramatic art. As an evidence to it they speak of his divided mind. At the same time, they concede, that his deeply divided mind is at least half concealed by the unified surface of purpose that is presented to us by his artistic compositions. Nevertheless, it is further argued, that it is this very fundamental division which responsible for the reader's inability to conceive of his work as a whole. Whatever be at last the effect upon his ultimate achievement, one thing is decidedlycertain that the severity of his aesthetic standard, coming as early in the Jacobean age as it did, was of an immense value in giving a standard of subject-matter, thought and structure to serious critical comedy.

Ben Jonson's contemporaries may have in the beginning disapproved of his theories. They may not have approved of his high-handed imposition of classical norms. But the effect of his practice came out indubitable. It does not mean that there was any considerable imitation of his comedy. In the case of his technique, the question of imitation would not even arise, for that would have been unprofitable in any case. But the strength and severity of his hard-knit drama had been demonstrated from the appearance of his very first comedy. Dramatists like Middleton, who were born with the natural instinct for easy, graceful plotting and were unencumbered by purposes ethical or aesthetic, evolved their own technique very much more readily than was Jonson able to do. But it has been considered arguable that, without the experience of Jonson's tougher texture, the *Chaste Maid in Cheapside*, and the grimmer comedy of Middleton would have been much less serious stuff, much less close-knit, and much less ironical.

Jonson's greatness as satiric poet is, therefore, incompletely reflected in his dramatic compositions. His is a greatness of character, not only, nor principally, of imagination, and much less of dramatic artistry. Like many people, he unconsciously characterized himself when he wrote of the man to whom his reference most naturally turned. What he said of Bacon can, in fact, be repeated of himself, albiet with some modifications :

I have, and do reverence him for the greatnesse, that was only proper to himself, in that he seem'd to me ever, by his work one of the greatest men, and most worthy of admiration, that hadbeen in many Ages. In his adversity I ever prayed, that God would give him strength: for Greatness he could not want. Neither could I condole in a word, or syllable for him; as knowing no Accident could do harm to vertue; but rather help to make it manifest.

The peculiar virtue attributed here to Bacon was shared by Jonson himself. Jonson's most savage comedy *Volpone*, shows his virtue as a moral satirist.Despite its Italian title and majority of characters, it does not seek to reduce men to beasts or mere concepts. Its virtuous characters, Celia and Bonario, who respectively represent Heavenly and Good, may act like ciphers and may mouth moral platitudes, but they do leave us wondering how else uprightness might express itself in such a singularly naughty world. The Venice of *Volpone* is anything but serene. Its merchants are unscrupulous and self-seeking, its husbands mercenary and violent, its lawyers mendacious and corrupt, and visitors to it mistake its dissimulation for sophistication.

Jonson, who was much given to declarations and manifestos of literary intent, insisted that comedy had been considered by the Greeks to be equal in dignity to tragedy. Comic dramatists, he added, were held to be moral instructors "no less than the Tragicks ." His complaint was that the modern (of his time) theatre-goers had consistently failed to grasp the point that "the moving of laughter" was not essential to comedy, whereas "equity, truth, perspicuity, and candour" were. His prologue to the second version ( that is with characters of English names ) of *Every* 

*Man in His Humour*, equally represents an attempt to define the qualities of his own dramas in the face of debased popular taste. He claims to hate the kind of play that makes "a child now sawdled, to proceed Man, and then shoot up, in one beard and weed, / past three score years", and that which "with three rusty swords" reenacts "York and Lancaster's long jars". His plays will have no apologetic choruses, no scenic effects, and no ominous noises off. They will rather employ

> ... deeds and language, such as men do use, And persons, such as comedy would choose, When she would show an image of the times, And sport with human follies not with crimes. Except we make them such, by loving still Our popular errors, when we know they're ill. I mean such errors as you'll all confess, By laughing at them, they deserve no less...

This clearly announces the advent of a theatrical new age, an age which will dispose of artifice and substitute plain words, one which will subvert rather than confront, one which will allow that drama can represent a shared and deficient humanity rather than elevate and isolate the tragic hero.

As indicated at the earliest in his "English" version of *Every Man in His Humour*, drama, for Jonson, was more than simply sport with human folly. Itwas, to him, a precise study of the kind of whimsical excess which disturb the steady and reasoned development of human affairs. Excess also determines the nature of Jonson's most subtle, various and energetic comedies, *Volpone, Epicene or The Silent Woman*, and *The Alchemist*. In the world of *Volpone*, gold is saidto overturn the metaphors of pagan legend and Christian Scripture alike. It usurps splendours of nature and the joys of love, and even renders hell "with thee to boot" worth heaven. At last, the Venetian justice prevails. The moral satire overtly controls the play's structure. The interest remains sharply focused

on the nature of follies, their respective debasing effects, and their appropriate punishments.

## 20.4 LET US SUM UP

*Volpone*, disguised as a didactic comedy, is actually an intelligent and cynical satire that compels the audience to rethink their moral expectations. It is a play that takes on the form of a comical satire as well as a mortality play. It also adapts the features of a fable, and in that it strives to teach a moral.

# 20.5 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS

- 1. Discuss Volpone as a moral fable.
- 2. Evaluate Volpone as a comical satire.
- 3. Examine Volpone as a cynical satire.

#### **20.6 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS**

- 1. Which term best describes Ben Jonson's writing style in "Volpone," characterized by its use of witty wordplay, puns, and satirical humor?
  - a) Romantic
  - b) Realistic
  - c) Baroque
  - d) Classical
- 2. In "Volpone," Ben Jonson often employs the use of \_\_\_\_\_\_, where characters speak directly to the audience to reveal their inner thoughts and intentions.
  - a) Soliloquies
  - b) Monologues
  - c) Asides
  - d) Dialogues

- 3. What is the primary meter used by Ben Jonson in "Volpone" for his verse lines?
  - a) Iambic pentameter
  - b) Trochaic tetrameter
  - c) Anapestic hexameter
  - d) Blank verse
- 4. One of Ben Jonson's techniques in "Volpone" is the creation of morally flawed characters who serve as targets of satire. Which term is commonly used to describe such characters?
  - a) Antiheroes
  - b) Protagonists
  - c) Heroes
  - d) Idealists
- 5. In "Volpone," Ben Jonson employs the technique of\_\_\_\_\_\_, which involves the use of humor to criticize and expose the follies and vices of society.
  - a) Farce
  - b) Parody
  - c) Satire
  - d) Tragedy
- 6. Ben Jonson uses \_\_\_\_\_\_to create humor by emphasizing the ridiculous and exaggerated aspects of his characters' flaws and personalities.
  - a) Irony
  - b) Hyperbole
  - c) Allusion
  - d) Foreshadowing

- 7. Which of the following best characterizes Ben Jonson's approach to language and dialogue in "Volpone"?
  - a) Simplistic and direct
  - b) Flowery and ornate
  - c) Economical and precise
  - d) Philosophical and abstract
- 8. Ben Jonson's use of intricate wordplay and clever linguistic devices in "Volpone" is reflective of his background as a:
  - a) Novelist
  - b) Playwright
  - c) Poet
  - d) Historian
- 9. In "Volpone," Ben Jonson uses\_\_\_\_\_\_, a literary device in which characters are given names that reflect their traits or roles in the play.
  - a) Alliteration
  - b) Symbolism
  - c) Allegory
  - d) Onomatopoeia
- 10. Ben Jonson's writing in "Volpone" is often associated with \_\_\_\_\_\_, which emphasizes the importance of reason, order, and balance in literature.
  - a) Romanticism
  - b) Realism
  - c) Classicism
  - d) Surrealism

#### **Answers:**

- 1. c) Baroque
- 2. c) Asides
- 3. a) Iambic pentameter
- 4. a) Antiheroes
- 5. c) Satire
- 6. b) Hyperbole
- 7. c) Economical and precise
- 8. c) Poet
- 9. b) Symbolism
- 10. c) Classicism

# **20.7 SUGGESTED READINGS**

Karim, Sajjadul. "Ben Jonson's *Volpone* : An Unconventional and Innovative Jacobean Comedy." *IIUC Studies* 8 : (2011), and 27.38.

Bay, Lynn. Ben Jonson's "Volpone" - Satire?" Seminar Paper. Google Books 2009. http: 11 books.google.co.in./books' isbn : 3656759065

-----

COURSE No. 121	DRAMA-I	LESSON No. 21
M.A. ENGLISH	<b>BEN JONSON</b>	UNIT-IV
	VOLPONE	

# JONSON'S STYLE AND TECHNIQUE

#### STRUCTURE

- 21.1 Introduction
- 21.2 Objectives
- 21.3 Jonson's Style and Technique
- 21.4 Let Us Sum Up
- 21.5 Examination Oriented Questions
- 21.6 Suggested Readings

#### **21.1 INTRODUCTION**

Ben Jonson's style and technique as a dramatist has been a subject of continuous debate among critics. Although most of his contemporaries are conveniently defined, in terms of their dramatic style and technique, as Elizabethan or Jacobean, he remains a difficult proposition when it comes to putting a classified critical jacket on him. Just as he was in his body, so did he emerge in his work, too huge to wear any classified jacket. Being the most learned among his contemporaries, he did not, without giving a long thought, take to the conventions and practices popular in the theatre of his times. His reputation as a learned poet and dramatist had come up quite early. In the same century in which Jonson wrote, we find John Dryden, the

leading dramatist of the later seventeenth century called the Restoration period, making the following comments: "As for Jonson, ...I think him the most learned and judicious writer which any theatre ever had.... He was deeply conversant in the Ancients, both Greek and Latin and he borrowed boldly from them.... If I would compare him with Shakespeare, I must acknowledge him the more correct poet, but Shakespeare the greater wit. Shakespeare was the Homer, or father of our dramatic poets; Jonson was the Virgil, the pattern of elaborate writing; I admire him, but I love Shakespeare." With his vast vision and plasticity of technique, Shakespeare adopted the popular dramatic tradition of his time. He produced a poetic drama which was not indebted to any classical source for its correctness. In his hands, drama developed, out of the pressure of its own vitality, its own kind of form and unity. Shakespeare's style and technique in his plays are characteristically English. There is nothing foreign about them. The same cannot, however, he said about the style and technique of Jonson's plays.

#### **21.2 OBJECTIVES**

The objective of this lesson is to introduce the learners with dramatic style and technique of Ben Jonson with special reference to *Volpone*.

## 21.3 JONSON'S STYLE AND TECHNIQUE

Known for his vast learning and wide interest in classical literature ofancient Greece and Italy, Jonson approached his dramatic art from quite a differentpoint of view. With him, the theory of drama came first, its practice later. He knew in advance what the function of comedy was, and what sort of humour was proper to it. He knew the rules of dramatic structure and he understood what the principle of decorum meant. He knew how the principle of three unities was essential for a dramatic plot. He knew all there was to know about the ancient classical theory of drama and its practice by the great masters. Similarly, whenhe wrote plays based on Roman history, he knew what Roman sources to consult and which phases of Roman life to refer to. Thus, he was, unlike Shakespeare, imitative, pedantic, and supremely self-confident in his learned art. Jonson is the one great example in English of the Renaissance humanist, in the narrowest senseof that term, who turned poet and dramatist. If Ben Jonson were only an imitator of the classical dramatic style and technique, he would have been remembered more as a literary curiosity than as a great literary figure. But he was also a rugged Englishman with a sardonic taste for the varied and colourful London life of his day. He also had a boisterous and even a cruel sense of humour which manifested itself in his best comedies with a bizarre brilliance. He showed enormous vitality and impressive originality even when he followed most closely the classical models or applied rules derived from classical theory or practice. In addition to all this, Jonson also had thequality which is not often associated with those already mentioned. He had, thatis, a delicate artfulness in the handling of such elements of style as word and image. This ability enabled him to produce, as part of his dramatic style, as well as of non-dramatic poetry, such well - remembered examples of perfect verbal patterning as "Drink to me only with thine eyes," "Slow, slow fresh fount," and "Queen and huntress, chaste and fair."

Thus, the contrast between Jonson and Shakespeare is not a simple one, between the Renaissance humanist obsessed by classical rule and precedent and the "natural genius" inventing his own style of writing with the help of a popular tradition. Jonson's sardonic view of human nature owed nothing to his classical sources. Similarly, his lyrical gift, even though it was partly stimulated by classical epigram and the Greek Anthology, reflected an important aspect of his not altogether classical personality. In the latter part of his career, Jonson was the leader of an important literary group and, in fact, something of a literary dictator. He was actually the first significant example of the species in English literature. Although time has established the superiority of Shakespeare over Jonson in the art of drama, it was not so clear to the critics and playgoers of the Elizabethan age. Jonson had a claim on literary men that Shakespeare did not have. He had the ability or arrogance to bully critics into admiration by the force of his literary claims and the supreme self-confidence in pressing them. It took long time in England to develop a critical theory adequate enough to cope with the richness and subtlety of Shakespeare's dramatic style. In the case of Jonson, there was no such difficulty. His dramatic style could be proved good by the available critical apparatus derived from the classical sources.

In his *An Essay of Dramatic Poesy* (1688), Dryden provided a model analysis of a Jonson play, *Epicoene* or *The Silent Woman*. "I will take the pattern of a perfect play form Ben Jonson, who was a careful and learned observer of the dramatic laws." It was not possible to analyse a Shakespeare play in this fashion, because he drew upon the images of nature "not laboriously, but luckily." In Dryden's view, "he needed not the spectacles of books to read nature; he looked inwards, and found her there." Jonson was thus, more respected in the seventeenth century because his dramatic style was based on those very principles that provided the norm for critical analysis.

Jonson's very first successful play, *Every Man in His Humour*, gives a fairly good idea of his dramatic style and technique. It is a comedy of intrigue which owes much to the Roman comedy. But it is also highly original so far as its tone and manner are concerned. His intention to present a satiric picture of his age is quite clear. His style marked by cool irony comes handy for making an exposure of the contemporary human follies and foibles. As he declares, his dramatic style was that of a realist. In his Prologue to the play, he attacks both the themes andthe conventions of contemporary drama. He holds his own style superior to the popular dramatic style of his contemporaries, including Shakespeare. As he insists,he would not "serve the ill customs of his age." The following excerpt from the Prologue clearly explains the style and technique Jonson devised for his satirical comedy modeled on the classical precedent of the Graeco-Roman tradition:

To make a child, now swaddled, to proceed Man, and then shoot up, in one beard, and weed, Past threescore years: or, with three rusty swords, Fight over York and Lancaster's long jars, And in the tiring house bring wounds to scars. He rather prays, you will be pleased to see One such today as other plays should be, Where neither chorus wafts you o'er the seas, Nor creaking throne comes down, the boys to please, Nor nimble squib is seen, to make afeard. The gentlewomen, nor rolled bullet heard To say, it thunders, nor tempestuous drum Rumbles, to tell you when the storm doth come; But deeds and languages such as men do use, And persons such as comedy would choose When she would show an image of the times And sport with human follies, not with crimes.

This amply elucidates Jonson's realistic dramatic style, which uses the language, people actually speak, which depicts people and places from familiar everyday life, and which dwells on common human follies rather than uncommon events that occur on unknown islands. Decidedly, this is the language of a conscious reformer of the theatre. In his view, his style of dramatic writing is both artistically better and morally superior compared to what was being produced on the popular stage in his time. In technique also, he was to be a more correct dramatist, more contemporary in theme, and more improving in effect.

The function of comedy, in the classical style of Ben Jonson, was to reprove human foibles by holding them up to ridicule. He adapted the old explanation of human character by the four humours to develop "comedy of humours," a comedy, that is, in which each character is seen to be dominated, even obsessed, by on particular quirk. The effectiveness of Jonson's dramatic style lies in its component of satire. It is through the power of satire that he intended to improve the moral health of contemporary England. His zeal for moral improvement was so strong that he did not mind being brutal and ruthless in his satire on social shams. As he himself declared,

... I will scourge those apes

And to these courteous eyes oppose a mirror, As large as is the stage whereon we act, Where they shall see the time's deformity Anatomized in every nerve and sinew With constant courage, and contempt of fear.

Jonson never realized that his theory of humours was at cross purposes with his dramatic philosophy of realism. A character made to represent a humour is bound to be a caricature. It can never be presented as a fully realized human being. It will remain as a fop, a blusterer, a jealous husband, and anxious father, an uncouth country cousin aping city manners, a hypocritical Puritan, or some other type. A type meant to represent a humour is seldom an individual character, a specific human being. He is too general to be a particular. Such characters are, for sure, not life-like. They will serve the purpose of underlining a social ormoral oddity in human character or creating comedy. They will also serve the purpose of satire, lending themselves easily to the satirist's ironic treatment. They would serve all these purposes, but they would never become the real people we come across and converse with. An element of the puppet will always be therein such a characterization. Thus, in Jonson's style, comedy becomes satire, characterbecomes oddity, evil becomes culpable folly.

There is humour enough in Jonson's comedy. He presents his obsessed characters with wit, very much a component of his style. There is also in thisstyle liveliness of comic extravagance, even cleverly manipulated absurdity. At times, comedy in the hands of Jonson degenerates into farce - low comedy. It is however, splendid farce, which deals with ridiculous situations merely, but with ridiculous situations as they arise from ridiculous elements in human nature. In some respects, this aspect of Jonson's style is Dickensian. But the big difference is that when Dickens laughs, the overtones are humanitarian. On the other hand, Jonson's laughter is sterner. Behind his laughter there always is felt his own enormous self-confidence, which at times rises to arrogance.

Although for a time distracted from his standardized style of satirical comedy, involved as he had become in the war of theatres going on in his time, Jonson soon returned to his favourite type of work and wrote Volpone, or The Fox. His distraction into controversial writing had confined his satirical talent. Now it came into play once again. His didactic style, demanding moral enforcement by striking at the opposite of each moral represented by one or another character of humour, took charge again. One direct consequence of Jonson's commitment to moral satire was to undermine the role of incident in his comedy. Instead, there emerged in his kind of satirical comedy greater reliance on dialogue. Whatever action there is in his comedy, it is in the nature of intrigue often leading to farcical scenes, such as Volpone's tricking of Celia into submission. Volpone does not succeed, for in Jonson no trick is allowed to reach a quick conclusion, there always is a surprise knock at the door. Thus, characters come and go to thwart an intrigueor to initiate a new one. And the characters are exposed of their respective follies as much through dialogue as through their intrigues. Since, the entire force of his comedy depends on the encounters among characters, dialogue has to carry the entire burden of the comedy.

Jonson's merit as a dramatist, therefore, does not rest with the invention of incident, or landscape, but with the power of dialogue. He makes it powerful by making use of rhetorical devices. He remains among his contemporaries a master of dramatic rhetoric. Rhetoric meant much more to the Elizabethans than it means to us today. Jonson employed poetic dialogue to create irony, the power of which is directly proportionate to its rhetorical elaboration. In *Volpone*, for example, what is said is not so much important as the manner of saying it. When Mosca uses formal and elevated language to praise the unworthy, the effect of thisrhetorical embellishment is ironic. Also, Mosca's agility in employing ambiguities for persuation is a highly developed rhetorical skill. In short, Jonson's styleenriches the meaning of the dramatic situation. Viewed alone, the speeches of Volpone are stripped of their power. Only in relation to the action of the play can the audience hope to realize their literary value.

Jonson's satire is not limited to hitting at moral failings alone; it also hits at literary failings as well. Along with the devices of irony and ambiguity, therefore, the stylistic device of allusion, even in the form of parody, remains a powerful weapon of Jonsonian comedy. Parodying or burlesquing goes on side by side with ridiculing and exposing. Very early in Volpone, for instance, the interlude performed by the household fools of Volpone, namely the eunuch, dwarf and fool, is a parody of the king of comic relief injected between the Acts of a morality play. Jonson devises his dialogue as an imitation of the false pace of such verse, atthe same time demonstrating his own command of the past literature. He takes the opportunity to show his contempt also for the policies of the Puritans by arguing for the Pythagorean rule over that of reformed religion. At the end of the show, the fools suggest that it is best to suffer neither rule. As Mosca's song indicates, the fool's condition is the best:

Fools they are the only nation Worth men's envy or admiration, ..... E'en his face begetheth laughter, And he speaks truth free from slaughter.

Since, the Fool lives outside the social order, he can speak the truth because he is not held responsible for what he says. Mosca has deliberately chosen for himself the status of a fool.

Ben Jonson being a man of classical learning as well as an accomplished man of the theatre, he deliberately satirizes here the poor professional players of the traveling morality drama. It gives him a chance to show his theatrical superiority. Here is a highbrow dramatist ridiculing the pedestrian practices of his age. His larding of the dialogue of the present interlude with Greek names serves to show his familiarity with the classics. Thus, allusion becomes a powerful weapon of the privileged. He uses it to browbeat his rival practioners.

Jonson's theatrical or dramatic technique is highly dependent on costumes and animal names, both of which contribute to the success of his comedy. For instance, the leading character in the play is named Volpone, which in Italian means a fox. Other characters in the play are also named after birds or animals. In the tradition of the beast fable, the name Voltore characterizes the gull (vulture) as a bird of prey. The vulture hovers outside the room of the fox in the play waiting for his victim to die. Thus, Jonson builds an atmosphere of the play through the very names of his characters. He introduces us to his two leading characters and sets up the circumstances of their mischievous ruse. At the same time, he is able to mock players, Puritans, and the people in general who throng the house of Volpone to be gulled. Here, the successful theatrical technique of Jonson also requires elaborate use of costumes. For example, *Volpone* dresses ina elaborate invalid's costume in preparation for Voltore's entrance. All such byplays in Volpone are always made possible by the use of costumes. The names from the beast fables are also meant to induct the sting of satire, which for its success require us to visualize the scenes from the fables.

Use of mimicry in performance and of hyperbole in poetic dialogue are also powerful devices of Jonson's technique and style of his comedy. For instance, Corbaccio, as the name suggests, is an old crow ready to die, living only on carrion. He is not as fearsome a bird of prey as the vulture. So Mosca, the gadfly, is bolder in his presence. This is made evident by two pieces of visual "business". In mimic fashion, Mosca illustrates Volpone's death throes on Corbaccio's face. Again, Mosca employs Corbaccio's hearing defect to mock his infirmity. The actor's facial expression and vocal tone belie the meaning of his rhetoric.

Hyperbole is another device of rhetoric which Jonson makes full use of for achieving dramatic effects. He does not make the usual ornamental use of the device. He makes it highly functional in his dramatic technique. Mosca is the master of hyperbole in the play. His use of the device has special ironic power. For instance, he exaggerates Volpone's condition of ill health, but not Corbaccio's present state of health. The device enriches the meaning and effect of the dramatic situation. The hyperbolic intensity of the play's rhetorical style increases as the plot complications become more involved. Another instance or irony and satire working through mimicry and parody is Volpone's sales talk in Act II. The dialogue in blank verse at the occasion changes to epigram during the mountebank's pich. Volpone's sales talk is purposeful and pragmatic. Mosca's presence during Volpone's oratory is important. Though he has not a line, it is he who has engineered the scene and performance and knows which is Celia's window. He is the device who focuses Volpone's and our attention on her presence in the window. Here, Jonson's rhetoric for Scoto has all the enchantment associated with carnival barkers. The irony of the hyperbolic language is in the dramatic situation. Thus, Volpone and Mosca bothhave to perform so many roles within the play, and all are made successful by Jonson's power of style and technique.

## 21.4 LET US SUM UP

Ben Jonson was an early modern playwright whose popularity rivaled that of Shakespeare or Marlowe. Jonson's comic style remains constant and easily recognisable throughout his plays. Whether it is *Every Man in His Humour* or *Volpone* or *The Fox* or *The Alchemist*. Volpone is most like the characterstic 'City Comedies' of Jacobean London in the Vigorous fluency of its language. According to Swinebure, "There is in volpone a touch of something like inagination, a savour of something like romance, which gives a higher tone to the style and a deeper interest to the action [than in *The Alchemist*]. The style of Ben Jonsonis high. The entire play is written in blank verse.

# 21.5 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS

- 1. Discuss the significance of Sir Politic-Peregrine sub-plot.
- 2. What is the significance of animal names in Volpone?
- 3. Discuss Jonson's style and its role in creating comedy.
- 4. Discuss Volpone as a moral satire.
- 5. Examine the role of small costumes in *Volpone*.

# 21.6 SUGGESTED READINGS

- 1. L. C. Knights, Drama and Society in the Age of Jonson (1937)
- 2. E. W. Talbert, New Light on Ben Jonson's Workmanship (1943)

- 3. H. W. Baun, *The Satire and The Didactic in Ben Jonson's Comedies* (1947)
- 4. A. H. Sackton, *Rhetoric as a Dramatic Language in Ben Jonson* (1948).

-----

5. J. J. Enck, Jonson and The Comic Truth (1957)

460

# COURSE No. ENG-121DRAMA-ILESSON No. 22M.A.ENGLISHWILLIAM SHAKESPEAREUNIT -VTHE TEMPEST

#### ACT-WISE ANALYSIS

## STRUCTURE

- 22.1 Introduction
- 22.2 Objectives
- 22.3 Brief Summary of The Tempest
  - 22.3.1 Act I, Scene I
  - 22.3.2 Act I, scene II (part 1)
  - 22.3.3 Act I, scene II (part 2)
  - 22.3.4 Act II, scene I
  - 22.3.5 Act II, scene II
  - 22.3.6 Act III, scene I
  - 22.3.7 Act III, scene II
  - 22.3.8 Act III, scene III
  - 22.3.9 Act IV, scene I
  - 22.3.10 Act V, scene I & Epilogue
- 22.4 Themes
- 22.5 Examination Oriented Questions
- 22.6 Multiple Choice Questions
- 22.7 Suggested Readings

## **22.1 INTRODUCTION**

*The Tempest* is a play by William Shakespeare, probably written in 1610–1611, and thought to be one of the last plays that he wrote alone. After the first scene, which takes place on a ship at sea during a tempest, the rest of the story is set on a remote island, where Prospero, a complex and contradictory character, lives with his daughter Miranda, and his two servants: Caliban, a savage monster figure, and Ariel, an airy spirit. The play contains music and songs that evoke the spirit of enchantment on the island. It explores many themes, including magic, betrayal, revenge, and family. In Act IV, a wedding masque serves as a play-within-a-play, and contributes spectacle, allegory, and elevated language.

Although *The Tempest* is listed in the First Folio as the first of Shakespeare's comedies, it deals with both tragic and comic themes, and modern criticism has created a category of romance for this and others of Shakespeare's late plays. *The Tempest* has been put to varied interpretations, from those that see it as a fable of art and creation, with Prospero representing Shakespeare, and Prospero's renunciation of magic signalling Shakespeare's farewell to the stage, to interpretations that consider it an allegory of Europeans colonizing foreign lands.

## **22.2 OBJECTIVES**

In this lesson we shall study the plot of the play *The Tempest* in detail. The lesson will provide in thorough detail the main plot, throwing lights on the events, the characters and the important dialogues in the play will be analysed. The multifarious themes which run through the play are also discussed in detail.

## 22.3 BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE TEMPEST

A storm strikes a ship carrying Alonso, Ferdinand, Sebastian, Antonio, Gonzalo, Stephano, and Trinculo, who are on their way to Italy after coming from the wedding of Alonso's daughter, Claribel, to the prince of Tunis in Africa. The royal party and the other mariners, with the exception of the unflappable Boatswain, begin to fear for their lives. Lightning cracks, and the mariners cry that the ship has been hit. Everyone prepares to sink.

The next scene begins much more quietly. Miranda and Prospero stand on the shore of their island, looking out to sea at the recent shipwreck. Miranda asks her father to do

anything he can to help the poor souls in the ship. Prospero assures her that everything is all right and then informs her that it is time she learned more about herself and her past. He reveals to her that he orchestrated the shipwreck and tells her the lengthy story of her past, a story he has often started to tell her before but never finished. The story goes that Prospero was the Duke of Milan until his brother Antonio, conspiring with Alonso, the King of Naples, usurped his position. Kidnapped and left to die on a raft at sea, Prospero and his daughter survive because Gonzalo leaves them supplies and Prospero's books, which are the source of his magic and power. Prospero and his daughter arrived on the island where they remain now and have been for twelve years. Only now, Prospero says, has Fortune at last sent his enemies his way, and he has raised the tempest in order to make things right with them once and for all.

After telling this story, Prospero charms Miranda to sleep and then calls forth his familiar spirit Ariel, his chief magical agent. Prospero and Ariel's discussion reveals that Ariel brought the tempest upon the ship and set fire to the mast. He then made sure that everyone got safely to the island, though they are now separated from each other into small groups. Ariel, who is a captive servant to Prospero, reminds his master that he has promised Ariel freedom a year early if he performs tasks such as these without complaint. Prospero chastises Ariel for protesting and reminds him of the horrible fate from which he was rescued. Before Prospero came to the island, a witch named Sycorax imprisoned Ariel in a tree. Sycorax died, leaving Ariel trapped until Prospero arrived and freed him. After Ariel assures Prospero that he knows his place, Prospero.

Miranda awakens from her sleep, and she and Prospero go to visit Caliban, Prospero's servant and the son of the dead Sycorax. Caliban curses Prospero, and Prospero and Miranda berate him for being ungrateful for what they have given and taught him. Prospero sends Caliban to fetch firewood. Ariel, invisible, enters playing music and leading in the awed Ferdinand. Miranda and Ferdinand are immediately smitten with each other. He is the only man Miranda has ever seen, besides Caliban and her father. Prospero is happy to see that his plan for his daughter's future marriage is working, but decides that he must upset things temporarily in order to prevent their relationship from developing too quickly. He accuses Ferdinand of merely pretending to be the Prince of Naples and threatens him with imprisonment. When Ferdinand draws his sword, Prospero charms him and leads him off to prison, ignoring Miranda's cries for mercy. He then sends Ariel on another mysterious mission.

On another part of the island, Alonso, Sebastian, Antonio, Gonzalo, and other miscellaneous lords give thanks for their safety but worry about the fate of Ferdinand. Alonso says that he wishes he never had married his daughter to the prince of Tunis because if he had not made this journey, his son would still be alive. Gonzalo tries to maintain high spirits by discussing the beauty of the island, but his remarks are undercut by the sarcastic sourness of Antonio and Sebastian. Ariel appears, invisible, and plays music that puts all but Sebastian and Antonio to sleep. These two then begin to discuss the possible advantages of killing their sleeping companions. Antonio persuades Sebastian that the latter will become ruler of Naples if they kill Alonso. Claribel, who would be the next heir if Ferdinand were indeed dead, is too far away to be able to claim her right. Sebastian is convinced, and the two are about to stab the sleeping men when Ariel causes Gonzalo to wake with a shout. Everyone wakes up, and Antonio and Sebastian concoct a ridiculous story about having drawn their swords to protect the king from lions. Ariel goes back to Prospero while Alonso and his party continue to search for Ferdinand.

Caliban, meanwhile, is hauling wood for Prospero when he sees Trinculo and thinks he is a spirit sent by Prospero to torment him. He lies down and hides under his cloak. A storm is brewing, and Trinculo, curious about but undeterred by Caliban's strange appearance and smell, crawls under the cloak with him. Stephano, drunk and singing, comes along and stumbles upon the bizarre spectacle of Caliban and Trinculo huddled under the cloak. Caliban, hearing the singing, cries out that he will work faster so long as the "spirits" leave him alone. Stephano decides that this monster requires liquor and attempts to get Caliban to drink. Trinculo recognizes his friend Stephano and calls out to him. Soon the three are sitting up together and drinking. Caliban quickly becomes an enthusiastic drinker, and begins to sing.

Prospero puts Ferdinand to work hauling wood. Ferdinand finds his labor pleasant because it is for Miranda's sake. Miranda, thinking that her father is asleep, tells Ferdinand to take a break. The two flirt with one another. Miranda proposes marriage, and Ferdinand accepts. Prospero has been on stage most of the time, unseen, and he is pleased with this development. Stephano, Trinculo, and Caliban are now drunk and raucous and are made all the more so by Ariel, who comes to them invisibly and provokes them to fight with one another by impersonating their voices and taunting them. Caliban grows more and more fervent in his boasts that he knows how to kill Prospero. He even tells Stephano that he can bring him to where Prospero is sleeping. He proposes that they kill Prospero, take his daughter, and set Stephano up as king of the island. Stephano thinks this a good plan, and the three prepare to set off to find Prospero. They are distracted, however, by the sound of music that Ariel plays on his flute and tabordrum, and they decide to follow this music before executing their plot.

Alonso, Gonzalo, Sebastian, and Antonio grow weary from traveling and pause to rest. Antonio and Sebastian secretly plot to take advantage of Alonso and Gonzalo's exhaustion, deciding to kill them in the evening. Prospero, probably on the balcony of the stage and invisible to the men, causes a banquet to be set out by strangely shaped spirits. As the men prepare to eat, Ariel appears like a harpy and causes the banquet to vanish. He then accuses the men of supplanting Prospero and says that it was for this sin that Alonso's son, Ferdinand, has been taken. He vanishes, leaving Alonso feeling vexed and guilty.

Prospero now softens toward Ferdinand and welcomes him into his family as the soon-to-be-husband of Miranda. He sternly reminds Ferdinand, however, that Miranda's "virgin-knot" (IV.i.15) is not to be broken until the wedding has been officially solemnized. Prospero then asks Ariel to call forth some spirits to perform a masque for Ferdinand and Miranda. The spirits assume the shapes of Ceres, Juno, and Iris and perform a short masque celebrating the rites of marriage and the bounty of the earth. A dance of reapers and nymphs follows but is interrupted when Prospero suddenly remembers that he still must stop the plot against his life.

He sends the spirits away and asks Ariel about Trinculo, Stephano, and Caliban. Ariel tells his master of the three men's drunken plans. He also tells how he led the men with his music through prickly grass and briars and finally into a filthy pond near Prospero's cell. Ariel and Prospero then set a trap by hanging beautiful clothing in Prospero's cell. Stephano, Trinculo, and Caliban enter looking for Prospero and, finding the beautiful clothing, decide to steal it. They are immediately set upon by a pack of spirits in the shape of dogs and hounds, driven on by Prospero and Ariel. Prospero uses Ariel to bring Alonso and the others before him. He then sends Ariel to bring the Boatswain and the mariners from where they sleep on the wrecked ship. Prospero confronts Alonso, Antonio, and Sebastian with their treachery, but tells them that he forgives them. Alonso tells him of having lost Ferdinand in the tempest and Prospero says that he recently lost his own daughter. Clarifying his meaning, he draws aside a curtain to reveal Ferdinand and Miranda playing chess. Alonso and his companions are amazed by the miracle of Ferdinand's survival, and Miranda is stunned by the sight of people unlike any she has seen before. Ferdinand tells his father about his marriage.

Ariel returns with the Boatswain and mariners. The Boatswain tells a story of having been awakened from a sleep that had apparently lasted since the tempest. At Prospero's bidding, Ariel releases Caliban, Trinculo and Stephano, who then enter wearing their stolen clothing. Prospero and Alonso command them to return it and to clean up Prospero's cell. Prospero invites Alonso and the others to stay for the night so that he can tell them the tale of his life in the past twelve years. After this, the group plans to return to Italy. Prospero, restored to his dukedom, will retire to Milan. Prospero gives Ariel one final task—to make sure the seas are calm for the return voyage—before setting him free. Finally, Prospero delivers an epilogue to the audience, asking them to forgive him for his wrongdoing and set him free by applauding.

#### 22.3.1 Detailed summary and Analysis

#### Summary: Act I, scene I

A violent storm rages around a small ship at sea. The master of the ship calls for his boatswain to rouse the mariners to action and prevent the ship from being run aground by the tempest. Chaos ensues. Some mariners enter, followed by a group of nobles comprised of Alonso, King of Naples, Sebastian, his brother, Antonio, Gonzalo, and others. We do not learn these men's names in this scene, nor do we learn (as we finally do in Act II, scene i) that they have just come from Tunis, in Africa, where Alonso's daughter, Claribel, has been married to the prince. As the Boatswain and his crew take in the topsail and the topmast, Alonso and his party are merely underfoot, and the Boatswain tells them to get below-decks. Gonzalo reminds the Boatswain that one of the passengers is of some importance, but the Boatswain is unmoved. He will do what he has to in order to save the ship, regardless of who is aboard.

The lords go belowdecks, and then, adding to the chaos of the scene, three of them—Sebastian, Antonio, and Gonzalo—enter again only four lines later. Sebastian and Antonio curse the Boatswain in his labors, masking their fear with profanity. Some mariners enter wet and crying, and only at this point does the audience learn the identity of the passengers on-board. Gonzalo orders the mariners to pray for the king and the prince. There is a strange noise—perhaps the sound of thunder, splitting wood, or roaring water—and the cry of mariners. Antonio, Sebastian, and Gonzalo, preparing to sink to a watery grave, go in search of the king.

#### 22.3.2 Act I, scene II (part 1)

Prospero and Miranda stand on the shore of the island, having just witnessed the shipwreck. Miranda entreats her father to see that no one on board comes to any harm. Prospero assures her that no one was harmed and tells her that it's time she learned who she is and where she comes from. Miranda seems curious, noting that Prospero has often started to tell her about herself but always stopped. However, once Prospero begins telling his tale, he asks her three times if she is listening to him.

Prospero tells Miranda that he was once Duke of Milan and famous for his great intelligence. Prospero explains that he gradually grew uninterested in politics, however, and turned his attention more and more to his studies, neglecting his duties as duke. This gave his brother Antonio an opportunity to act on his ambition. Working in concert with the King of Naples, Antonio usurped Prospero of his dukedom. Antonio arranged for the King of Naples to pay him an annual tribute and do him homage as duke. Later, the King of Naples helped Antonio raise an army to march on Milan, driving Prospero out. Prospero tells how he and Miranda escaped from death at the hands of the army in a barely-seaworthy boat prepared for them by his loyal subjects. Gonzalo, an honest Neapolitan, provided them with food and clothing, as well as books from Prospero's library. Having brought Miranda up to date on how she arrived at their current home, Prospero explains that sheer good luck has brought his former enemies to the island. Miranda suddenly grows very sleepy, perhaps because Prospero charms her with his magic. When Miranda is asleep, Prospero calls forth his spirit, Ariel. In his conversation with Ariel, we learn that Prospero and the spirit were responsible for the storm of Act I, scene i. Flying about the ship, Ariel acted as the wind, the thunder, and the lightning. When everyone except the crew had abandoned the ship, Ariel made sure, as Prospero had requested, that all were brought safely to shore but dispersed around the island. Ariel reports that the king's son is alone. He also tells Prospero that the mariners and Boatswain have been charmed to sleep in the ship, which has been brought safely to harbor. The rest of the fleet that was with the ship, believing it to have been destroyed by the storm, has headed safely back to Naples.

Prospero thanks Ariel for his service, and Ariel takes this moment to remind Prospero of his promise to take one year off of his agreed time of servitude if Ariel performs his services without complaint. Prospero does not take well to being reminded of his promises, and he chastises Ariel for his impudence. He reminds Ariel of where he came from and how Prospero rescued him. Ariel had been a servant of Sycorax, a witch banished from Algiers (Algeria) and sent to the island long ago. Ariel was too delicate a spirit to perform her horrible commands, so she imprisoned him in a "cloven pine" (I.ii.279). She did not free him before she died, and he might have remained imprisoned forever had not Prospero arrived and rescued him.

Reminding Ariel of his debt to him, Prospero threatens to imprison him for twelve years if he does not stop complaining. Ariel promises to be more polite. Prospero then gives him a new command: he must go make himself like a nymph of the sea and be invisible to all but Prospero. Ariel goes to do so, and Prospero, turning to Miranda's sleeping form, calls upon his daughter to awaken. She opens her eyes and, not realizing that she has been enchanted, says that the "strangeness" of Prospero's story caused her to fall asleep.

#### 22.3.3 Act I, scene II (part 2)

After Miranda is fully awake, Prospero suggests that they converse with their servant Caliban, the son of Sycorax. Caliban appears at Prospero's call and begins cursing. Prospero promises to punish him by giving him cramps at night, and Caliban

responds by chiding Prospero for imprisoning him on the island that once belonged to him alone. He reminds Prospero that he showed him around when he first arrived. Prospero accuses Caliban of being ungrateful for all that he has taught and given him. Prospero calls Caliban a "lying slave" and reminds him of the effort he made to educate him (I.ii.347). Caliban's hereditary nature, he continues, makes him unfit to live among civilized people and earns him his isolation on the island. Caliban, though, cleverly notes that he knows how to curse only because Prospero and Miranda taught him to speak. Prospero then sends him away, telling him to fetch more firewood and threatening him with more cramps and aches if he refuses. Caliban obeys him.

Ariel, playing music and singing, enters and leads in Ferdinand. Prospero tells Miranda to look upon Ferdinand, and Miranda, who has seen no humans in her life other than Prospero and Caliban, immediately falls in love. Ferdinand is similarly smitten and reveals his identity as the prince of Naples. Prospero is pleased that they are so taken with each other but decides that the two must not fall in love too quickly, and so he accuses Ferdinand of merely pretending to be the prince of Naples. When he tells Ferdinand he is going to imprison him, Ferdinand draws his sword, but Prospero charms him so that he cannot move. Miranda attempts to persuade her father to have mercy, but he silences her harshly. This man, he tells her, is a mere Caliban compared to other men. He explains that she simply doesn't know any better because she has never seen any others. Prospero leads the charmed and helpless Ferdinand to his imprisonment. Secretly, he thanks the invisible Ariel for his help, sends him on another mysterious errand, and promises to free him soon.

#### 22.3.4 Act II, scene I

While Ferdinand is falling in love with Miranda, Alonso, Sebastian, Antonio, Gonzalo, and other shipwrecked lords search for him on another part of the island. Alonso is quite despondent and unreceptive to the good-natured Gonzalo's attempts to cheer him up. Gonzalo meets resistance from Antonio and Sebastian as well. These two childishly mock Gonzalo's suggestion that the island is a good place to be and that they are all lucky to have survived. Alonso finally brings the repartee to a halt when he bursts out at Gonzalo and openly expresses regret at having married away his daughter in Tunis. Francisco, a minor lord, pipes up at this point that he saw Ferdinand swimming valiantly after the wreck, but this does not comfort Alonso. Sebastian and Antonio continue to provide little help. Sebastian tells his brother that he is indeed to blame for Ferdinand's death—if he had not married his daughter to an African (rather than a European), none of this would have happened.

Gonzalo tells the lords that they are only making the situation worse and attempts to change the subject, discussing what he might do if he were the lord of the island. Antonio and Sebastian mock his utopian vision. Ariel then enters, playing "solemn music" (II.i.182, stage direction), and gradually all but Sebastian and Antonio fall asleep. Seeing the vulnerability of his sleeping companions, Antonio tries to persuade Sebastian to kill his brother. He rationalizes this scheme by explaining that Claribel, who is now Queen of Tunis, is too far from Naples to inherit the kingdom should her father die, and as a result, Sebastian would be the heir to the throne. Sebastian begins to warm to the idea, especially after Antonio tells him that usurping Prospero's dukedom was the best move he ever made. Sebastian wonders aloud whether he will be afflicted by conscience, but Antonio dismisses this out of hand.

Sebastian is at last convinced, and the two men draw their swords. Sebastian, however, seems to have second thoughts at the last moment and stops. While he and Antonio confer, Ariel enters with music, singing in Gonzalo's ear that a conspiracy is under way and that he should "Awake, awake!" (II.i.301). Gonzalo wakes and shouts "Preserve the King!" His exclamation wakes everyone else (II.i.303). Sebastian quickly concocts a story about hearing a loud noise that caused him and Antonio to draw their swords. Gonzalo is obviously suspicious but does not challenge the lords. The group continues its search for Ferdinand.

#### 22.3.5 Act II, scene II

Caliban enters with a load of wood, and thunder sounds in the background. Caliban curses and describes the torments that Prospero's spirits subject him to: they pinch, bite, and prick him, especially when he curses. As he is thinking of these spirits, Caliban sees Trinculo and imagines him to be one of the spirits. Hoping to avoid pinching, he lies down and covers himself with his cloak. Trinculo hears the thunder and looks about for some cover from the storm. The only thing he sees is the cloak-covered Caliban on the ground. He is not so much repulsed by Caliban as curious. He cannot decide whether Caliban is a "man or a fish" (II.ii.24). He thinks of a time when he traveled to England and witnessed freak-shows there. Caliban, he thinks, would bring him a lot of money in England. Thunder sounds again and Trinculo decides that the best shelter in sight is beneath Caliban's cloak, and so he joins the man-monster there.

Stephano enters singing and drinking. He hears Caliban cry out to Trinculo, "Do not torment me! O!" (II.ii.54). Hearing this and seeing the four legs sticking out from the cloak, Stephano thinks the two men are a four-legged monster with a fever. He decides to relieve this fever with a drink. Caliban continues to resist Trinculo, whom he still thinks is a spirit tormenting him. Trinculo recognizes Stephano's voice and says so. Stephano, of course, assumes for a moment that the monster has two heads, and he promises to pour liquor in both mouths. Trinculo now calls out to Stephano, and Stephano pulls his friend out from under the cloak. While the two men discuss how they arrived safely on shore, Caliban enjoys the liquor and begs to worship Stephano. The men take full advantage of Caliban's drunkenness, mocking him as a "most ridiculous monster" (II.ii.157) as he promises to lead them around and show them the isle.

#### 22.3.6 Act III, scene I

I am your wife, if you will marry me.

If not, I'll die your maid. To be your fellow

You may deny me, but I'll be your servant

Whether you will or no.

Back at Prospero's cell, Ferdinand takes over Caliban's duties and carries wood for Prospero. Unlike Caliban, however, Ferdinand has no desire to curse. Instead, he enjoys his labors because they serve the woman he loves, Miranda. As Ferdinand works and thinks of Miranda, she enters, and after her, unseen by either lover, Prospero enters. Miranda tells Ferdinand to take a break from his work, or to let her work for him, thinking that her father is away. Ferdinand refuses to let her work for him but does rest from his work and asks Miranda her name. She tells him, and he is pleased: "Miranda" comes from the same Latin word that gives English the word "admiration." Ferdinand's speech plays on the etymology: "Admired Miranda! / Indeed the top of admiration, worth / What's dearest to the world!" (III.i.37–39).

Ferdinand goes on to flatter his beloved. Miranda is, of course, modest, pointing out that she has no idea of any woman's face but her own. She goes on to praise Ferdinand's face, but then stops herself, remembering her father's instructions that she should not speak to Ferdinand. Ferdinand assures Miranda that he is a prince and probably a king now, though he prays his father is not dead. Miranda seems unconcerned with Ferdinand's title, and asks only if he loves her. Ferdinand replies enthusiastically that he does, and his response emboldens Miranda to propose marriage. Ferdinand accepts and the two leave each other. Prospero comes forth, subdued in his happiness, for he has known that this would happen. He then hastens to his book of magic in order to prepare for his remaining business.

#### 22.3.7 Act III, scene II

Caliban, Trinculo, and Stephano continue to drink and wander about the island. Stephano now refers to Caliban as "servant monster" and repeatedly orders him to drink. Caliban seems happy to obey. The men begin to quarrel, mostly in jest, in their drunkenness. Stephano has now assumed the title of Lord of the Island and he promises to hang Trinculo if Trinculo should mock his servant monster. Ariel, invisible, enters just as Caliban is telling the men that he is "subject to a tyrant, a sorcerer, that by his cunning hath cheated me of the island" (III.ii.40–41). Ariel begins to stir up trouble, calling out, "Thou liest" (III.ii.42). Caliban cannot see Ariel and thinks that Trinculo said this. He threatens Trinculo, and Stephano tells Trinculo not to interrupt Caliban anymore. Trinculo protests that he said nothing. Drunkenly, they continue talking, and Caliban tells them of his desire to get revenge against Prospero. Ariel continues to interrupt now and then with the words, "Thou liest." Ariel's ventriloquizing ultimately results in Stephano hitting Trinculo.

While Ariel looks on, Caliban plots against Prospero. The key, Caliban tells his friends, is to take Prospero's magic books. Once they have done this, they can kill Prospero and take his daughter. Stephano will become king of the island and Miranda will be his queen. Trinculo tells Stephano that he thinks this plan is a good idea, and

Stephano apologizes for the previous quarreling. Caliban assures them that Prospero will be asleep within half an hour.

Ariel plays a tune on his flute and tabor-drum. Stephano and Trinculo wonder at this noise, but Caliban tells them it is nothing to fear. Stephano relishes the thought of possessing this island kingdom "where I shall have my music for nothing" (III.ii.139–140). Then the men decide to follow the music and afterward to kill Prospero.

#### 22.3.8 Act III, scene III

Alonso, Sebastian, Antonio, Gonzalo, and their companion lords become exhausted, and Alonso gives up all hope of finding his son. Antonio, still hoping to kill Alonso, whispers to Sebastian that Alonso's exhaustion and desperation will provide them with the perfect opportunity to kill the king later that evening.

At this point "solemn and strange music" fills the stage (III.iii.17, stage direction), and a procession of spirits in "several strange shapes" enters, bringing a banquet of food (III.iii.19, stage direction). The spirits dance about the table, invite the king and his party to eat, and then dance away. Prospero enters at this time as well, having rendered himself magically invisible to everyone but the audience. The men disagree at first about whether to eat, but Gonzalo persuades them it will be all right, noting that travelers are returning every day with stories of unbelievable but true events. This, he says, might be just such an event.

Just as the men are about to eat, however, a noise of thunder erupts, and Ariel enters in the shape of a harpy. He claps his wings upon the table and the banquet vanishes. Ariel mocks the men for attempting to draw their swords, which magically have been made to feel heavy. Calling himself an instrument of Fate and Destiny, he goes on to accuse Alonso, Sebastian, and Antonio of driving Prospero from Milan and leaving him and his child at the mercy of the sea. For this sin, he tells them, the powers of nature and the sea have exacted revenge on Alonso by taking Ferdinand. He vanishes, and the procession of spirits enters again and removes the banque2t table. Prospero, still invisible, applauds the work of his spirit and announces with satisfaction that his enemies are now in his control. He leaves them in their distracted state and goes to visit with Ferdinand and his daughter. Alonso, meanwhile, is quite desperate. He has heard the name of Prospero once more, and it has signaled the death of his own son. He runs to drown himself. Sebastian and Antonio, meanwhile, decide to pursue and fight with the spirits. Gonzalo, ever the voice of reason, tells the other, younger lords to run after Antonio, Sebastian, and Alonso and to make sure that none of the three does anything rash.

#### 22.3.9 Act IV, scene I

Prospero gives his blessing to Ferdinand and Miranda, warning Ferdinand only that he take care not to break Miranda's "virgin-knot" before the wedding has been solemnized (IV.i.15–17). Ferdinand promises to comply. Prospero then calls in Ariel and asks him to summon spirits to perform a masque for Ferdinand and Miranda. Soon, three spirits appear in the shapes of the mythological figures of Juno (queen of the gods), Iris (Juno's messenger and the goddess of the rainbow), and Ceres (goddess of agriculture). This trio performs a masque celebrating the lovers' engagement.

First, Iris enters and asks Ceres to appear at Juno's wish, to celebrate "a contract of true love." Ceres appears, and then Juno enters. Juno and Ceres together bless the couple, with Juno wishing them honor and riches, and Ceres wishing them natural prosperity and plenty. The spectacle awes Ferdinand and he says that he would like to live on the island forever, with Prospero as his father and Miranda as his wife. Juno and Ceres send Iris to fetch some nymphs and reapers to perform a country dance. Just as this dance begins, however, Prospero startles suddenly and then sends the spirits away. Prospero, who had forgotten about Caliban's plot against him, suddenly remembers that the hour nearly has come for Caliban and the conspirators to make their attempt on his life.

Red, White, and Royal Blue

Our revels now are ended. These our actors,

As I foretold you, were all spirits, and

Are melted into air, into thin air;

And, like the baseless fabric of this vision,

The cloud-capped towers, the gorgeous palaces,

The solemn temples, the great globe itself, Yea, all which it inherit, shall dissolve; And, like this insubstantial pageant faded, Leave not a rack behind. We are such stuff As dreams are made on, and our little life Is rounded with a sleep. (IV.i. 148 – 158)

Prospero's apparent anger alarms Ferdinand and Miranda, but Prospero assures the young couple that his consternation is largely a result of his age; he says that awalk will soothe him. Prospero makes a short speech about the masque, saying thatthe world itself is as insubstantial as a play, and that human beings are "such stuff/As dreams are made on." Ferdinand and Miranda leave Prospero to himself, and theold enchanter immediately summons Ariel, who seems to have made a mistake by not reminding Prospero of Caliban's plot before the beginning of the masque. Prospero now asks Ariel to tell him again what the three conspirators are up to, and Ariel tells him of the men's drunken scheme to steal Prospero's book and kill him. Ariel reports that he used his music to lead these men through rough and prickly briars and then into a filthy pond. Prospero thanks his trusty spirit, and the two set a trap for the three would-be assassins.

On a clothesline in Prospero's cell, Prospero and Ariel hang an array of fine apparel for the men to attempt to steal, after which they render themselves invisible. Caliban, Trinculo, and Stephano enter, wet from the filthy pond. The fine clothing immediately distracts Stephano and Trinculo. They want to steal it, despite the protests of Caliban, who wants to stick to the plan and kill Prospero. Stephano and Trinculo ignore him. Soon after they touch the clothing, there is "A noise of hunters" (IV.i.251, stage direction). A pack of spirits in the shape of hounds, set on by Ariel and Prospero, drives the thieves out.

#### 22.3.10 Act V, scene I& Epilogue

Ariel tells Prospero that the day has reached its "sixth hour" (6 p.m.), when Ariel is allowed to stop working. Prospero acknowledges Ariel's request and asks how the king and his followers are faring. Ariel tells him that they are currently imprisoned, as Prospero ordered, in a grove. Alonso, Antonio, and Sebastian are mad with fear; and Gonzalo, Ariel says, cries constantly. Prospero tells Ariel to go release the men, and now alone on stage, delivers his famous soliloquy in which he gives up magic. He says he will perform his last task and then break his staff and drown his magic book.

Ariel now enters with Alonso and his companions, who have been charmed and obediently stand in a circle. Prospero speaks to them in their charmed state, praising Gonzalo for his loyalty and chiding the others for their treachery. He then sends Ariel to his cell to fetch the clothes he once wore as Duke of Milan. Ariel goes and returns immediately to help his master to put on the garments. Prospero promises to grant freedom to his loyal helper-spirit and sends him to fetch the Boatswain and mariners from the wrecked ship. Ariel goes.

Prospero releases Alonso and his companions from their spell and speaks with them. He forgives Antonio but demands that Antonio return his dukedom. Antonio does not respond and does not, in fact, say a word for the remainder of the play except to note that Caliban is "no doubt marketable" (V.i.269). Alonso now tells Prospero of the missing Ferdinand. Prospero tells Alonso that he, too, has lost a child in this last tempest—his daughter. Alonso continues to be wracked with grief.Prospero then draws aside a curtain, revealing behind it Ferdinand and Miranda, who are playing a game of chess. Alonso is ecstatic at the discovery. Meanwhile, thesight of more humans impresses Miranda. Alonso embraces his son and daughter-in-law to be and begs Miranda's forgiveness for the treacheries of twelve years ago. Prospero silences Alonso's apologies, insisting that the reconciliation is complete.

After arriving with the Boatswain and mariners, Ariel is sent to fetch Caliban, Trinculo, and Stephano, which he speedily does. The three drunken thieves are sent to Prospero's cell to return the clothing they stole and to clean it in preparation for the evening's reveling. Prospero then invites Alonso and his company to stay the night. He will tell them the tale of his last twelve years, and in the morning, they can all set out for Naples, where Miranda and Ferdinand will be married. After the wedding, Prospero will return to Milan, where he plans to contemplate the end of his life. The last charge Prospero gives to Ariel before setting him free is to make sure the trip home is made on "calm seas" with "auspicious gales" (V.i.318).

The other characters exit and Prospero delivers the epilogue. He describes the loss of his magical powers ("Now my charms are all o'erthrown") and says that, as he imprisoned Ariel and Caliban, the audience has now imprisoned him on the stage. He says that the audience can only release him by applauding, and asks them to remember that his only desire was to please them. He says that, as his listeners would like to have their own crimes forgiven, they should forgive him, and set him free by clapping.

### 22.4 THEMES

#### The Illusion of Justice

The Tempest tells a fairly straightforward story involving an unjust act, the usurpation of Prospero's throne by his brother, and Prospero's quest to re-establish justice by restoring himself to power. However, the idea of justice that the play works toward seems highly subjective, since this idea represents the view of one character who controls the fate of all the other characters. Though Prospero presents himself as a victim of injustice working to right the wrongs that have been done to him, Prospero's idea of justice and injustice is somewhat hypocritical—though he is furious with his brother for taking his power, he has no qualms about enslaving Ariel and Caliban in order to achieve his ends. At many moments throughout the play, Prospero's sense of justice seems extremely one-sided and mainly involves what is good for Prospero. Moreover, because the play offers no notion of higher order or justice to supersede Prospero's interpretation of events, the play is morally ambiguous. As the play progresses, however, it becomes more and more involved with the idea of creativity and art, and Prospero's role begins to mirror more explicitly the role of an author creating a story around him. With this metaphor in mind, and especially if we accept Prospero as a surrogate for Shakespeare himself, Prospero's sense of justice begins to seem, if not perfect, at least sympathetic. Moreover, the means he uses to achieve his idea of justice mirror the machinations of the artist, who also seeks to enable others to see his view of the world. Playwrights arrange their stories in such a way that their own idea of justice is imposed upon events.

In *The Tempest*, the author is in the play, and the fact that he establishes his idea of justice and creates a happy ending for all the characters becomes a cause for celebration, not criticism. By using magic and tricks that echo the special effects and spectacles of the theater, Prospero gradually persuades the other characters and the audience of the rightness of his case. As he does so, the ambiguities surrounding his methods slowly resolve themselves. Prospero forgives his enemies, releases his slaves, and relinquishes his magic power, so that, at the end of the play, he is only an old man whose work has been responsible for all the audience's pleasure. The establishment of Prospero's idea of justice becomes less a commentary on justice in life than on the nature of morality in art. Happy endings are possible, Shakespeare seems to say, because the creativity of artists can create them, even if the moral values that establish the happy ending originate from nowhere but the imagination of the artist.

### The Difficulty of Distinguishing "Men" from "Monsters"

Upon seeing Ferdinand for the first time, Miranda says that he is "the third man that e'er I saw" (I.ii.449). The other two are, presumably, Prospero and Caliban. In their first conversation with Caliban, however, Miranda and Prospero say very little that shows they consider him to be human. Miranda reminds Caliban that before she taught him language, he gabbled "like / A thing most brutish" (I.ii.359–360) and Prospero says that he gave Caliban "human care" (I.ii.349), implying that this was something Caliban ultimately did not deserve. Caliban's exact nature continues to be slightly ambiguous later. In Act IV, scene i, reminded of Caliban's plot, Prospero refers to him as a "devil, a born devil, on whose nature / Nurture can never stick" (IV.i.188–189). Miranda and Prospero both have contradictory views of Caliban's humanity. On the one hand, they think that their education of him has lifted him from his formerly brutish status. On the other hand, they seem to see him as inherently brutish. His devilish nature can never be overcome by nurture, according to Prospero.

Miranda expresses a similar sentiment in Act I, scene ii: "thy vile race, / Though thou didst learn, had that in't which good natures / Could not abide to be with" (I.ii.361–363). The inhuman part of Caliban drives out the human part, the "good nature," that is imposed on him. Caliban claims that he was kind to Prospero, and that Prospero repaid that kindness by imprisoning him (see I.ii.347). In contrast, Prospero

claims that he stopped being kind to Caliban once Caliban had tried to rape Miranda (I.ii.347–351). Which character the audience decides to believe depends on whether it views Caliban as inherently brutish, or as made brutish by oppression. The play leaves the matter ambiguous. Caliban balances all of his eloquent speeches, such as his curses in Act I, scene ii and his speech about the isle's "noises" in Act III, scene ii, with the most degrading kind of drunken, servile behavior. But Trinculo's speech upon first seeing Caliban (II.ii.18–38), the longest speech in the play, reproaches too harsh a view of Caliban and blurs the distinction between men and monsters.

In England, which he visited once, Trinculo says, Caliban could be shown off for money: "There would this monster make a man. Anystrange beast there makes a man. When they will not give a doit to relieve a lame beggar, they will lay out ten to see a dead Indian" (II.ii.28–31). What seems most monstrous in these sentences is not the "dead Indian," or "any strange beast," but the cruel voyeurism of those who capture and gape at them.

#### The Allure of Ruling a Colony

The nearly uninhabited island presents the sense of infinite possibility to almost everyone who lands there. Prospero has found it, in its isolation, an ideal place to school his daughter. Sycorax, Caliban's mother, worked her magic there after she was exiled from Algeria. Caliban, once alone on the island, now Prospero's slave, laments that he had been his own king (I.ii.344–345). As he attempts to comfort Alonso, Gonzalo imagines a utopian society on the island, over which he would rule (II.i.148–156). In Act III, scene ii, Caliban suggests that Stephano kill Prospero, and Stephano immediately envisions his own reign: "Monster, I will kill this man. His daughter and I will be King and Queen—save our graces!—and Trinculo and thyself shall be my viceroys" (III.ii.101–103).

Stephano particularly looks forward to taking advantage of the spirits that make "noises" on the isle; they will provide music for his kingdom for free. All these characters envision the island as a space of freedom and unrealized potential. The tone of the play, however, toward the hopes of the would-be colonizers is vexed at best. Gonzalo's utopian vision in Act II, scene i is undercut by a sharp retort from the usually foolish Sebastian and Antonio. When Gonzalo says that there would be no commerce or work or "sovereignty" in his society, Sebastian replies, "yet he would

be king on't," and Antonio adds, "The latter end of his commonwealth forgets the beginning" (II.i.156–157). Gonzalo's fantasy thus involves him ruling the island while seeming not to rule it, and in this he becomes a kind of parody of Prospero.

While there are many representatives of the colonial impulse in the play, the colonized have only one representative: Caliban. We might develop sympathy for him at first, when Prospero seeks him out merely to abuse him, and when we see him tormented by spirits. However, this sympathy is made more difficult by his willingness to abase himself before Stephano in Act II, scene ii. Even as Caliban plots to kill one colonial master (Prospero) in Act III, scene ii, he sets up another (Stephano). The urge to rule and the urge to be ruled seem inextricably intertwined.

#### **Prospero's Threats**

Prospero issues many threats in *The Tempest*, demonstrating his innate violence and cruelty. For the most part, Prospero directs his threats at his servants. Prospero's threats typically contain elements of magic, as when he reprimands Caliban for his disobedience: "If thou neglect'st or dost unwillingly / What I command, I'll rack thee with old cramps, / Fill all thy bones with aches, make thee roar" (I.ii.). Prospero also makes harsh threats against his more helpful servant, Ariel. Prospero has promised to liberate Ariel after a period of faithful service, and when Ariel reminds his master of this promise, Prospero warns: "If thou more murmur'st, I will rend an oak / And peg thee in his knotty entrails" (I.ii.). Curiously, the tree prison Prospero describes here echoes the tree prison the witch Sycorax had placed Ariel in prior to Prospero's arrival. Thus, not only do Prospero's threats indicate his cruel and domineering nature, but they also link him to other tyrannical figures.

#### **Obedience and Disobedience**

The themes of obedience and disobedience underscore the island's hierarchy of power. Prospero stands at the top of this hierarchy. As both the former Duke of Milan and a gifted student of magic, Prospero is the most powerful figure on the island. He therefore demands obedience from all of his subjects, including his servants and his daughter. At some point, however, each of these subjects disobeys him. Caliban swears his allegiance to Stephano, trading one master for another in an attempt to topple the island's hierarchy altogether. Other examples of disobedience in the play are more nuanced. Miranda, for instance, believes she disobeys her father by pursuing romance with Ferdinand. But her actions are actually in line with her father's wishes, since Prospero's harsh treatment of Ferdinand is designed to make Miranda take pity on him and fall in love with him. The situation is again different in Ariel's case. Ariel has proven himself a faithful servant, yet Prospero considers him disobedient when he asks for his freedom. These complexities ultimately suggest that the island's hierarchy of power is less stable than it appears.

### Treason

Shakespeare weaves the theme of treason throughout the play. The first instance of treason occurred in the play's prehistory, when Antonio conspired with King Alonso to assassinate Prospero and succeed him as the new Duke of Milan. The attempt to kill Prospero was both political treason and brotherly betrayal. The theme of treason returns in the form of twin assassination plots that arise during the play. While Caliban and Stephano plot to kill Prospero and take control of the island, Antonio and Sebastian plot to kill Alonso and take control of Naples. Both of these plots get interrupted, so despite these men's treasonous intentions, they ultimately do no real harm. Yet the interruption of these assassination plots does not fully dismantle the theme of treason. Perhaps indicating future strife, the play's final scene features Miranda and Ferdinand playing chess—a game that can only be won with the metaphorical assassination of the opponent's king. When Miranda accuses Ferdinand of cheating, she recalls how her uncle Antonio cheated his way into power twelve years prior. Does the future hold yet more instances of treason?

#### Wonder/Admiration

The themes of wonder and admiration center on Miranda, whose name means both "wonderful" and "admirable" in Latin. In a play so full of negative feelings about past wrongdoings, Miranda's optimism about the future serves as a beacon of hope. Ferdinand senses Miranda's admirable qualities upon first meeting her, exclaiming, "O you wonder!" (I.ii.). In a later scene he proclaims her superior virtues: "O you, / So perfect and so peerless, are created / Of every creature's best!" (III.i.). Aside from Gonzalo, Miranda most clearly symbolizes optimism about the possibility of new beginnings and

a better future: what she herself calls a "brave new world." In spite of Miranda's optimism, wonder sometimes carries a less positive connotation in The Tempest. Under Prospero's command and Ariel's magic, the island is itself a place of wonderful occurrences meant to confuse and disorient. At one point in Act V Prospero comments that Alonso and his company have had many wonderful visions, and that these visions prevent them from thinking clearly. In this sense, the island's wonderful occurrences conceal truth for the purpose of manipulation.

#### Monstrosity

The theme of monstrosity constitutes the flip-side to the themes of wonder and admiration. Whereas wonder and admiration apply mainly to the beautiful and loving Miranda, monstrosity applies mainly to the ugly and hateful Caliban. The word "monster" appears most frequently in the scenes with Stephano and Trinculo. Upon first laying eyes on Caliban, Trinculo identifies him as a fishy-looking freak, and he imagines exploiting Caliban's monstrous appearance for profit on the streets of a city: "holiday fools" would willingly part with "a piece of silver" to witness the sideshow attraction. Caliban's monstrosity derives not from his appearance alone, but from the contrast between his savage appearance and his civilized language. At one point Trinculo expresses surprise that a creature like Caliban should use a termof respect like "Lord." Although Caliban stands as the primary example of monstrosity in The Tempest, Alonso also uses the word "monstrous" to refer to illusory sounds and visions produced by Ariel.

### 22.5 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS

1. How does the theme of power and control manifest throughout the play, particularly in the interactions between characters like Prospero, Caliban, and Ariel, and how do these dynamics reflect the broader social and political context of the time the play was written?

2. In *The Tempest* Shakespeare presents various forms of imprisonment and liberation, from the physical confinement of characters on the island to the emotional and psychological bonds they experience. Explore how these themes of captivity and freedom intersect with character development and the overall message of the play.

3. The character of Caliban in *The Tempest* has sparked discussions about colonialism, race, and otherness. How does Shakespeare's portrayal of Caliban reflect the attitudes and biases prevalent during his time, and how do modern interpretations of the play grapple with these complex themes?

4. The relationship between Prospero and his daughter Miranda lies at the heart of *The Tempest*. Analyze the ways in which Prospero's overprotective nature and control over Miranda impact her growth and agency, and how this father-daughter dynamic fits into the larger themes of the play.

5. *The Tempest* is often considered a play about illusion and reality, with elements of magic and enchantment blurring the lines between the two. Explore instances of illusion and deception in the play, such as the masque scene, and discuss how these instances serve both narrative purposes and broader thematic exploration.

# 22.6 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS

1. What is the name of the spirit who serves Prospero on the island?

A) Ariel B) Caliban

C) Ferdinand D) Alonso

#### Answer: A) Ariel

2. Who is the rightful Duke of Milan at the beginning of the play?

A) Gonzalo B) Antonio

C) Alonso D) Prospero

Answer: D) Prospero

- 3. Which character is shipwrecked on the island and becomes enslaved by Prospero?
  - A) Ariel B) Alonso

C) Miranda D) Caliban

#### Answer: D) Caliban

- 4. How many years have passed since Prospero and Miranda were exiled to the island?
  - A) 10 years B) 12 years
  - C) 15 years D) 20 years

#### Answer: B) 12 years

- 5. Who is Miranda's love interest in the play?
  - A) Caliban B) Ferdinand
  - C) Antonio D) Alonso

### Answer: B) Ferdinand

- 6. What does Prospero use to create the tempest that shipwrecks the characters on the island?
  - A) Magic spells B) A lightning bolt
  - C) A storm crystal D) A potion

#### Answer: A) Magic spells

- 7. What is the name of Alonso's son who is believed to have drowned but is found alive on the island?
  - A) Sebastian B) Gonzalo
  - C) Antonio D) Ferdinand

### Answer: D) Ferdinand

- 8. Who plots to overthrow Alonso and take his place as the King of Naples?
  - A) Gonzalo B) Antonio
  - C) Sebastian D) Caliban

### Answer: C) Sebastian

- 9. What does Prospero reveal to Ferdinand when testing his love for Miranda?
  - A) That Miranda is actually a spirit
  - B) That he intends to keep Ferdinand as a slave
  - C) That Ferdinand's father is dead
  - D) That he knows about Ferdinand's past indiscretions

### Answer: C) That Ferdinand's father is dead

- 10. How does Prospero ultimately achieve his goal of reconciliation and forgiveness at the end of the play?
  - A) By casting a new spell B) By punishing his enemies
  - C) Through a magical duel D) Through a heartfelt speech

### Answer: D) Through a heartfelt speech

### 22.7 SUGGESTED READINGS

Greenblatt, Stephen. "Will in the World: How Shakespeare Became Shakespeare" (2004)

Bloom, Harold. "Shakespeare: The Invention of the Human" (1998)

Kermode, Frank. "The Tempest: A Case Study in Critical Controversy" (1967)

Vaughan, Virginia Mason. "O Brave New World: Two Centuries of Shakespeare on the American Stage" (1991)

-----

COURSE No. 121	DRAMA-I	LESSON No. 23
M.A. ENGLISH	WILLIAM SHAKESPEARE	UNIT - V

# THE TEMPEST

# THE TEMPEST : AS A PASTORAL TRAGI-COMEDY

### **STRUCTURES**

- 23.1 Introduction
- 23.2 Objectives
- 23.3 *The Tempest* as a pastoral tragi-comedy
- 23.4 Let Us SumUp
- 23.5 Multiple Choice Questions
- 23.6 Suggested Readings

### 23.1 INTRODUCTION

*The Tempest* by William Shakespeare is often classified as a pastoral tragicomedy due to its unique blend of elements from both pastoral and tragicomic traditions. In this play, Shakespeare weaves a pastoral setting on a remote island, complete with natural beauty and the theme of regeneration, providing a contrast to the courtly world. However, the presence of tragic elements, such as the usurpation of power, exile, and revenge, adds depth and complexity to the overall narrative, ultimately leading to a resolution that aligns with the conventions of a tragi-comedy, where harmony is restored, and characters find redemption and forgiveness amidst the pastoral backdrop.

### **23.2 OBJECTIVES**

The objectives of this lesson are to acquaint the learners with the characteristic features of the play as a pastoral tragi-comedy while simultaneously introducing them to the multiple critics on the same topic.

### 23.3 AS A PASTORAL COMEDY

Although most well-known comedies of Shakespeare are essentially romantic, it is his last plays, namely *Winter's Tale, Cymbeline* and *The Tempest*, that are classified as "romances". What seems rather strange is the fact that these romances of Shakespeare appeared on the stage in the early seventeenth century when romances and romantic comedies had become rather unfashionable. But there is another contention that towards the end of the first decade of the century, the romantic comedy had all of a sudden found favour with the audience. As an evidence to this contention is cited the popularity of Beaumont and Fletcher, who produced in collaboration the largest number of plays in the period, called Jacobean. Sensing the pair's popularity Shakespeare perhaps changed the general direction of his drama, which was rather tragic. He produced his part of romance comedy to join the popular stream of Beaumont and Fletcher. He did this by producing *Pericles*. Around the same time Shakespeare wrote Henry VIII in collaboration with Fletcher. But he did individually his last three plays, all romances, and all of high artistic merit. The Tempest, as mentioned earlier, was one of these, and was the last of all.

About the same time (in 1610), the theatre company called 'King's Men' revived on the stage the old Mucedorus. It has been argued that Bremo, the wild man in this old play, contributed to the emotional situation which Shakespeare realized in the character of Caliban. It has also been argued that *Mucedorus* was perhaps dug out because of the sudden demand for romantic comedies.

Although there were some vital differences between the old and the new, both nourished the need for the remote and exotic. Mucedorus was in fact, not the only play from the old stock that found its way on the Jacobean stage. *The Rare Triumphs of Love and Fortune*, is said to be another play which gained popularity at the time. This old play is an academic type and has some curious similarities with Shakespeare's *Cymbeline*. Although in lesser degree, its similarities with *The Tempest*  can also be seen. Some critics have attached great importance to similarities between The Tempest and The Rare Triumphs of Love and Fortune. One thing these similarities established is that Shakespeare's last play has its native progenitors. Also, this native line is not merely dramatic.

Edmund Spenser's famous romance-epic, The Faerie Queene, is the most potent progenitor of *The Tempest*. In Book VI of Spenser's poem, which, like The Tempest, exploits the pastoral situation for making a comment on the subject of the contrast between nature and nurture, there is the fabric that Shakespeare follows in his play. The Faerie Queene itself too, had its progenitors in Sir Philip Sidney's Arcadia and the Greek romances. Some critics have argued that Spenser's poem alone is sufficient to account for the pastoral situation in *The Tempest*. A strong suspicion has also been expressed to the possibility of *The Tempest* and other romances of Shakespeare, except Pericles, having been influenced by the Italian pastoral drama. Pericles is excluded from this influence because it is believed to have been designed for a more sophisticated audience than those used to pastoral drama. It is very difficult to determine with any degree of certainty as to which influence went into the writing of a particular play, and to what degree any one influence contributed to its production. One can only see in a broad manner the spirit of a particular period and the works which might have shaped that spirit. Beyond such a general interest in sources, any inquiry is bound to be more distracting than useful.

One of the things which can be asserted with certainty is that Fletcher was familiar with the new form of pastoral tragi-comedy. The working principles of this new form of drama had been formulated and defended during the long controversy of Guarini, which lasted from 1587 to 1620's. It is quite possible that *Philaster* was a fresh attempt to adapt the new model of comedy to the stage of the private theatre. It is considered fresh attempt because before it an attempt had been made in the staging of the more formal speciman of this type called Faithful Shepherds. It has also been speculated that perhaps Shakespeare took advice from Fletcher at the time of the Company's commencing to play at the Blackfriar's, so that in one form or another the fashion of the period for Guarini may have influenced Shakespeare.

One of the more immediate predecessors to Shakespeare's The Tempest was Daniel's Queenes Arcadia, "a Pastoral Tragi-comedy," which was performed in 1605. Another was, of course, Fletcher's *Faithful Shepherds*, which was probably performed in 1607. By this time, the theories of Guarini had decidedly become a matter of common literary knowledge. Fletcher's Preface to the published version of his play gives a clear account of some of these theories. It has been amply evidenced that Fletcher not only knew Guarini very well but also the lesser dramatists in the same tradition. He knew their works as well as those of his own contemporaries in England. No specific details are, however, available of the indebtedness of Fletcher and Shakespeare to Guarini and other Italian dramatists. One does however find the "Shakespearean" imperial themes of royal birth, the sacrifice for love, and resurrection from death or near death. Fletcher's success as dramatist lies in his adaptation of the elements of Italian pastoral to the format of the popular English drama. Of course, neither Fletcher nor his Italian masters could succeed in using these common themes in the manner of Shakespeare. Guarini could not be called a great poet. With all his weighty theory he remains at best pretentious poet. Fletcher very much resembles him in so far as they both deny a predominant moral function to poetry. Another common to them is that both trifle with whatever genre they choose to experiment in. Nevertheless, the tradition in which they worked seems to have blended with the native English tradition in which Pericles was written by Shakespeare. The Tempest, too, carries a certain resemblance to the Italian form and its popular descendant, the Commedia dell'Arte.

Although exact antecedents are not easy to trace, it seems beyond doubt that the tragi-comic form of Shakespeare's last plays, which includes The Tempest, was influenced, if not dictated, by the nature of the fables from the Italian tradition. Shakespeare must have chosen them because they conveniently lent themselves to the formulation of poetic propositions with regard to the status of human life in relation to nature. They also equally conveniently lent themselves to the poetic proposition with regard to the mercy of a providence which gives new life when the old has become decadent. These themes are decidedly pastoral and tragi- comical. Shakespeare's The Tempest very well illustrates this proposition. We can recall here how Prospero pitches his countrymen into a sea which is threatening but also merciful. It seems so benevolent that it does not even stain their clothes. It seems to offer a penitence which washes away old sins and imparts new life. The shipwreck of The Tempest, is tragicomic, just like the one the Bermudan castaways spoke. Although the wreck was an apparent disaster, it actually proves a means of grace. As is asserted in the play, the gods, "chalk'd forth the way" for every man to find himself "when no man was his own."

In a way, it is a great achievement of Prospero, and Gonzalo sums it up for him: self-conquest, followed by the redemption of the noble. They receive their liberation from the sense of loss and impurity which haunts them as they wander exhausted in search of Ferdinand and themselves. We find that even Antonio, as he is presented after the shipwreck, has not completely lost his earlier brightness. He too, is a part of Miranda's Brave New World. He is also one of those brave spirits that give the natural slave the insight to "be wise hereafter and seek for grace." Here, an interesting thing to note is that the play carefully juxtaposes the reactions of Miranda and Caliban to the sight of the castaways. This comparison, we find, is made both at the beginning as well as at the end of the play. The comparison here is as pertinent as the comparison of their reactions to the same education. But Antonio is, nonetheless, one of Prospero's failures. The closing passages of the play seem to show that Antonio chooses silence, but he will not choose the good. Unlike Sebastian, he is not impressed by it. He refuses to close the circuit of noble virtue which excludes only Caliban. Prospero, he expects, must acknowledge another thing of darkness.

In his fautasia on Shakespeare's The Tempest, W. H. Auden with an admirable imaginative perception, gives Antonio as chorus the last ironic word to each dream of the good or new life :

Your all is partial, Prospero; My will is all my own: Your need to love shall never know Me: I am I, Antonio, By choice myself alone. The play seems to suggest, just as Auden does in these lines, that a world without Antonio is a world without freedom. Prospero's shipwreck cannot bring him back, if he does not desire to do so, to life. The Gods chalk out a tragicomic way, but enforce only disaster. The rest is voluntary.

The pastoral features of Shakespeare's tragi-comedy come from a vast reservoir of primitive fiction. The complication for pinpointing the precise influence arises from the fact that there are not only many fables but also many versions of those fables. Hence, the difficulty for the source hunters. Ultimately, one settles for the source of *The Tempest*, on an ancient motif, of almost universal occurrence, in saga, ballad, fairy-tale and folk-tale. The existence of such a story accounts for the many analogues to Shakespeare's play. These sources are, invariably, quite plentiful, and some of them are already tragicomic in form, as might be expected from the affinity of romance with tragicomedy. All these works, and many others, treat with some degree of seriousness fabulous elements, so essential to a romance. Here and there they inevitably suggest the very pattern of events in Shakespeare's play. They begin to tell us why Shakespeare would use a similar pattern. They show us, in their very dullness, what it was that Shakespeare habitually did. He accepted the curious fable, not for its merely entertaining qualities, but because, conveyed through his imagination, it had significance in terms "of man, of nature, and of human life."

Thus, *The Tempest*, inherited both the traditions of romance and pastoral blended into the new form of tragicomedy. One aspect of romance has been its remoteness in the history of civilization. Locating a story in the rural setting, having the rural folk as characters would make it pastoral. The ancient classical pastorals would have shepherds and shepherdesses as main figures in the story. But in the later versions of the pastoral, it is the civilized individual or set of individuals who are transferred to the rural setting. In the Elizabethan tradition, pastoral becomes stylized in that the setting is transformed into an ideal society, with nature as setting but people and their practices of an ideal society. More's *Utopia* or Sidney's *Arcadia* are the best examples of the Elizabethan pastoral. Shakespeare adopted this model in his romantic comedies. Although they open in the civilized world of the court situated in the city, they are soon shifted in location to the Arcadia-like setting where nature

with its hills and rivers, flora and fauna, with pure and simple humanity, transforms even the impure human specimen into semi-natural stuff. The town and the country are juxtaposed to reveal the strength and weakness of each other. Symbolically, the two constitute the two halves of the human psyche itself. And it is in the reconciliation of the two that the unity of being could be achieved. Shakespeare's romantic or pastoral comedy always ends on this note of reconciliation. The principle of going back to nature, of regaining the purity of natural self, are brought home through the use of pastoral setting.

The Tempest goes a step further and makes the pastoral a little higher on the level of romance by inducting into the pastoral, the romance elements of supernatural and magic, of characters either higher or lower than the normal pastoral. The lonely island of Prospero is a perfect pastoral setting. The master of the island and his only daughter are living in a cave. Then there is Caliban, who is less than a human product of nature, a sort of aboriginal. His mother Sycorax is a sorceress, not a normal female of the pastoral. Even more improbable than these two is Ariel that Prospero creates through the power of his magic. Like Dr. Faustus, he has the power of magic to summon any spirit. Ariel can do any impossible job at the bidding of his master. He can cause storms on the sea. He can subside those storms. He can create illusions for the human characters. He can also create disillusions for them. Notwithstanding all this, however, the setting remains pastoral with the island and the surrounding ocean, with sermons in stones and songs in the springs, with flora and fauna. There are no signs of civilization, except that the civilized people are brought to inhabit the island, and for the conventional purpose of purgating them of their corruptions gathered in the civilized world. And we do see the purgation taking place when the play ends with all bitterness gone and reconciliation reached between different individuals and groups who had earlier nourished ill-will against each other. Thus, The Tempest, can be rightly called a pastoral comedy, just as it can be called a romantic comedy or romance. Like the other mature plays of Shakespeare, it has the complexity of vision and structure by virtue of which it can be called pastoral as well as anti-pastoral, romantic as well as anti-romantic, tragic as well as comic.

Almost all mature comedies of Shakespeare follow the Aristotelian definition

of moving from not-so-happy situation to a happy ending. We find a plot that opens with some acrimony or even open hostility between brothers or successors, resulting in the ouster of one of them to the rural or pastoral setting. But after the purgatorial therapy of nature, all culminating into reconciliation, ending on a note of harmony, symbolized by marriage or union of lovers as well as rivals. In the case of tragedies, the movement is from a serious situation to the tragic ending. Hamlet, King Lear, Macbeth, all end in deaths and destruction. Families are destroyed, kingdoms are ruined, individuals are killed. They end in disharmony and disorder. Only a higher moral order is restored in the destruction of the evil forces. Thus, comedies and tragedies have antithetical patterns.

However, Shakespeare also tried his hand at a mix of these two forms of drama, which we call tragi-comedy. Here, the ending is happy but the beginning or the middle is not. There are scenes in his last plays which create a sense of an inevitable tragedy. We feel a catastrophy is bound to take place. But somehow the events take a sudden turn for the better, the threatening tragedy is averted, and discords are resolved into a happy ending. An early example of such a mixed form is The Merchant of Venice. Later, all of Shakespeare's romances, the last four plays except Henry VIII, are tragic-comedies. All of these plays reach near- tragic situations in the course of the progress of their plots. But finally, the threats are averted, misunderstandings are removed, reconciliations are reached, and happy endings are contrived. It is this pattern which all of these later comedies or romances of Shakespeare follow.

*The Tempest*, opens in the fashion of a romance with a busy scene, giving a kind of keynote to the whole harmony. It prepares us for the excitement to follow. The scene is in the bustle of a tempest, from which the real horrors are abstracted. It is natural or pastoral as well as poetical. In the second scene, Prospero's speeches, till the entrance of Ariel, contain the finest example of retrospective narrative, making the necessary exposition of the story. Then follows the appearance of Miranda. In the very first speech, the simplicity and tenderness of her character are at once laid open. Then follow the super or ultra-natural characters of Ariel, representing air; and Caliban, representing earth. Then the play sketches the vices generally accompanying

a low degree of civilization. The second act brings all that before us. We are shown "the tendency in bad men to indulge in scorn and contemptions expressions as a mode of getting rid of their own uneasy feelings of inferiority to good, and also by making the good ridiculous, of rendering the transition of others to wickedness easy," as observed by Coleridge. Antonio and Sebastian represent the world of the wicked.

We confront then a near-tragic or real tragic scene in *The Tempest*. The scene of the intended assassination of Alonzo and Gonzalo makes an exact counterpart of the scene between Macbeth and Lady Macbeth. The tragic effect of this scene is heightened by contrast with another counterpart of it in low life. This scene involves the conspirators Stephano, Caliban, and Trinculo in the second scene of the third act. The two scenes have the same essential characteristics. Here, we are shown the springs of the vulgar in politics, the kind which is interwoven in human nature. The highest and the lowest characters are brought together on board in the very opening scene as well as later. This displays Shakespeare's rare genius, which brings together in happy combinations - the highest and the lowest, the gayest and the saddest. He is not droll in one scene and melancholy in another.

Thus, tragedy and comedy in Shakespeare's tragi-comedy do not mix as two parts arranged in a linear order. They do not alternate or stand apart from each other. Nor is it a ramble mingling of the two. His mixing involves a harmonizing of the two contraries. As Coleridge says, "Laughter is made to swell the tear of sorrow, and to throw as it were a poetic light upon it, while the tear mingles tenderness with the laughter." Thus, what we have in The Tempest and other tragicomedies is not mechanic but organic regularity of plot or action. But the balance is tilted towards romance and comedy after Miranda and Ferdinand are brought together fostering an affair of love. We start feeling assured that the plot will take a happy turn henceforth. And it does. We see the love maturing. Of course, in between we have Caliban threatening our hopes. But with Ariel on Prospero's side, the threat is never allowed to become serious enough to give to the action a tragic turn. The play ends with the grand speech of Prospero, his divine eloquence, where he takes leave of his magic art. The "Epilogue", spoken by Prospero says it all: Now my charms are all dethrown,

And what strength I have's my own,

Before the "Epilogue" also the last words of the play are Prospero's:

I'll deliver all;

And promise you calm seas, auspicious gales.

# 23.4 LET US SUM UP

Thus, to conclude we can say that in this play, Shakespeare weaves a pastoral setting on a remote island, complete with natural beauty and the theme of regeneration, providing a contrast to the courtly world. However, the presence of tragic elements, such as the usurpation of power, exile, and revenge, adds depth and complexity to the overall narrative, ultimately leading to a resolution that aligns with the conventions of a tragi-comedy, where harmony is restored, and characters find redemption and forgiveness amidst the pastoral backdrop.

#### 23.5 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS

- 1. What is the primary setting of "The Tempest" that contributes to its pastoral nature?
  - a) A royal court
  - b) A remote island
  - c) A bustling city
  - d) A magical forest
- 2. Which character in "The Tempest" embodies the pastoral trope of the "noble savage"?
  - a) Alonso
  - b) Antonio
  - c) Caliban
  - d) Prospero

- 3. How does "The Tempest" explore themes of nature and the natural world?
  - a) Through the depiction of a courtly society
  - b) Through the use of artificial settings
  - c) Through the island's enchanting and untamed landscape
  - d) Through magical spells and illusions
- 4. Who are the characters in "The Tempest" that experience a form of physical or emotional exile, a common theme in pastoral literature?
  - a) Prospero and Miranda
  - b) Ferdinand and Alonso
  - c) Caliban and Ariel
  - d) Gonzalo and Trinculo
- 5. In the pastoral tradition, what role does music and song often play?
  - a) It serves as a symbol of courtly authority.
  - b) It represents the chaos of the natural world.
  - c) It brings harmony and reconciliation.
  - d) It leads to conflict and discord.
- 6. What tragic event forms the backstory of "The Tempest," involving Prospero and his usurped dukedom?
  - a) A shipwreck
  - b) A murder plot
  - c) A political conspiracy
  - d) A magical curse
- 7. How does "The Tempest" incorporate elements of revenge, a common theme in tragicomedy?

- a) Through Prospero's quest for vengeance
- b) Through Miranda's secret love affair
- c) Through Caliban's rebellion against Prospero
- d) Through Gonzalo's humorous antics
- 8. The resolution of "The Tempest" involves reconciliation and forgiveness, typical of the genre. Who forgives whom?
  - a) Prospero forgives Alonso.
  - b) Caliban forgives Ariel.
  - c) Miranda forgives Ferdinand.
  - d) Antonio forgives Prospero.
- 9. What role does magic and supernatural elements play in the tragicomic aspects of "The Tempest"?
  - a) It leads to tragedy and chaos.
  - b) It facilitates reconciliation and transformation.
  - c) It causes misunderstandings and conflicts.
  - d) It serves as a symbol of villainy and deceit.
- 10. Which character in "The Tempest" undergoes a significant transformation from a power-hungry usurper to a penitent individual, exemplifying the redemptive quality of tragicomedy?
  - a) Ariel
  - b) Caliban
  - c) Alonso
  - d) Antonio

#### **Answers:**

- 1. b) A remote island
- 2. c) Caliban
- 3. c) Through the island's enchanting and untamed landscape
- 4. a) Prospero and Miranda
- 5. c) It brings harmony and reconciliation.
- 6. c) A political conspiracy
- 7. a) Through Prospero's quest for vengeance
- 8. a) Prospero forgives Alonso.
- 9. b) It facilitates reconciliation and transformation.
- 10.d) Antonio

# 23.6 SUGGESTED READINGS

Stephen Orgel, The Tempest: A Case Study in Critical Controversy, 2008

Alden T. Vaughan and Virginia Mason Vaughan, *Soul of the Age: A Biography of the Mind of William Shakespeare*, 2008.

Frank Kermode, Shakespeare's Caliban: A Cultural History, 1991.

Frank Kermode, Shakespeare's Language, 2000.

498

\_\_\_\_\_

COURSE No. 121	DRAMA-I
M.A. ENGLISH	WILLIAM SHAKESPEARE

LESSON No. 24 UNIT -V

# THE TEMPEST

# STRUCTURE AND STYLE

# **STRUCTURE**

- 24.1 Introduction
- 24.2 Objectives
- 24.3 Structure
- 24.4 Elements of Masque in the play
- 24.5 Form of the play
- 24.6 Style
- 24.7 Let Us Sum Up
- 24.8 Self Assessment Questions
- 24.9 Multiple Choice Questions
- 24.10 Suggested Readings

# 24.1 INTRODUCTION

*The Tempest* is one of William Shakespeare's late plays, showcases a remarkable structure and style. First, it adheres to a five-act dramatic structure, typical of Shakespearean plays, with clear exposition, rising action, climax, falling action, and resolution. The play opens with a tempestuous storm at sea, immediately engaging the audience and setting the stage for the unfolding events on the enchanted island. Shakespeare employs a blend of verse and prose in the play. While the nobility and characters such as Prospero often speak in blank verse, lower-class characters like Stephano and Trinculo use prose, creating a stylistic contrast that mirrors social hierarchies. Additionally, the use of magical elements and supernatural occurrences, including Prospero's spells and the appearance of airy spirits like Ariel, adds a fantastical dimension to the play's style, contributing to its unique charm.

# 24.2 OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this lesson are to acquaint the learners with the characteristic features of the play as a pastoral tragi-comedy while simultaneously introducing them to the multiple critics on the same topic.

## 24.3 STRUCTURE

The Elizabethan dramatists seldom observed the rules of construction laid down by the classical theorists of drama from Aristotle to Terence. They catered to the popular taste, gave to the audience a feast of variety rather than unity. Shakespeare was no exception. He followed the conventions of his age, and ignored the prescriptions of the classical theorists. Critics have often expressed surprise that at the end of his career as dramatist Shakespeare should observe in The Tempest, the principle of three unities, namely of action, place, and time. Reacting to this reality, Dr. Johnson in the later eighteenth century declared that it was by accident that Shakespeare observed the unities. In his view, the dramatist never did so by design. Critics who came after Johnson, however, have made different suggestions, showing a well-planned structure in Shakespeare's last play. One of the suggestions is that he may have imitated a scenario. Another suggestion is that he may have allowed the court masque to influence the pattern of the whole play, and not merely its fourth act. Still another suggestion that has come forth is that he may have just decided to show his ability to observe the classical principle. Last of all, it has been sunrised that Shakespeare chose to treat his subject in The Tempest as an intensive, rather than extensive, manner. He may have done so for considerations which can be deduced from the play itself. Be it what it may, the fact remains that Shakespeare's last play,

even though a romance, is one of his better constructed work so far as its plotting is concerned.

First, let us examine the play's relationship with the Scenario. This form was not new to Shakespeare. He had examined it much earlier in his career. Scenario is considered an erudite joke, which Shakespeare would not have liked to adopt, given his credentials as a dramatist. But one of the strong contentions about the play has been that its structure is conditioned by the masque. The first critic to propose this view was Thorndike. He contended that there was an intimate contact between the professional stage of a theatre and the stage at a court. For instance, the actors who took the antic parts in antimasques were drawn from the professional companies. Occasionally, traces of this interest in the antimasque could be seen in popular drama. Thus, the antimasque of Ben Jonson's Oberon turns up as the dance of the satyrs in *The Winter's Tale.* Similarly, the antimasque of Beaumont's Masque of the Inner Temple and Gray's Inn appears in Two Noble Kinsmen. Besides, it had also become fashionable in those days to include some masque-like entertainment in Blackfriars and Globe productions. This was being done to satisfy the growing desire of the audience for novelty and spectacle.

Thorndike's argument in support of his proposition is that at least eighteen plays of Beaumont and Fletcher contain masque elements derived from the elaborate Jacobean masque. They were not derived, he further argues, from the simpler Elizabethan form occasionally found in earlier drama. Considering the case of Shakespeare's The Tempest, he goes to the extent of saying that the whole of the play was a kind of adapted masque whose chief interest resided in the opportunities it gave for music and dancing and the lavish use of scenic and mechanical display. The elements of masque were, no doubt, important, and were the fashion of the day. But it seems quite unlikely that Shakespeare would use the entire action of his play as a vehicle for spectacle and nothing else. To think he did is to do grave injustice to the great dramatist of Shakespeare's status. It is also very difficult to believe that the hunting of the conspirators by Prospero and Ariel is only a formal antimasque. This would, in fact, convict Shakespeare of adding the philosophic refinement of an antimasque to an entertainment. Also, in the absence of any revelation of the masquers and of dances involving the spectators, it cannot be called a masque at all.

### 24.4 ELEMENTS OF MASQUE IN THE PLAY

The subject is treated more convincingly and taken up with greater subtlety by Miss Welsford, who suggests that The Tempest was influenced by certain specific masque, including Jonson's Humenaei, The Masque of Blackness and The Masque of Beauty. She considers music and dances as reflections of masque influences. She also considers the Caliban-plot a Jonsonian anti-masque translated into dramatic terms. In her considered opinion, the whole plot of The Tempest is based upon two motifs used by Jonson in The Masque of Beauty. These motifs are of wandering and of disenchantment. Those days, it was the custom of the masquers to make a sudden appearance, having been hidden "as a result of adverse spells" and then "freed from enchantment by the beneficent powers of the sovereign." In The Tempest, Prospero plays the part of a masque presenter. The play takes up the narrative of event, not like a classical drama where during "the last few hours of uncertainty which must soon be terminated by irrevocable choice and decisive action," but like a masque, which deals with a moment of transformation: "It expressed, not uncertainty, ended by success or failure, but expectancy crowned by sudden revelation The plot of The Tempest, leads up without hesitation or uncertainty, to that moment when Prospero gathers his forgiven enemies around him, draws back the curtain from before the inner stage, and 'discovers Ferdinand and Miranda playing at chess.""

Miss Welsford's theory that there is an intimate connection between The Tempest and the contemporary masques is reinforced by the claim made by Whiter that Prospero's speech after his sudden dismissal of the reveling spirits makes allusions to the scenes and properties of the masque. In the first place, the word "rack" is supposed to apply specially to stage-clouds, sometimes used to "dissolve" a scene. This is, for sure, an attractive idea. But the word was also used of natural cloud. There are other critics also who have made similar suggestions. One of these is Allardyce Nicoll. One of these suggestions contends that the towers, palaces, and temples in The Tempest are those of Tethys Festival and Oberon, and "great globe of itself" that much-admired stage-globe of Jonson's Hymenaei. An older suggestion in this regard is the view that Prospero's speech, in its lamentation for the transience of

mortal splendour, significantly resembles Jonson's lament for the ephemeral beauty of his masque. As Miss Welsford says, "many masques end with the thought not of eternity but of the swift flight of time and of the inevitable end of beauty and delight." Shakespeare's play shows a strong affinity with these themes of the masques of Jonson. For instance, the vanity of Prospero's art, like the flush of youthful love, and the wonder - broken knowledge, as Bacon called it - of Miranda at the brave new world, is subject to mutability like everything else under the moon.

Miss Welsford's theory, that the play is more a dramatized masque than a venture into classical dramatic structure, begins to totter when we look to the fact that the play, The Tempest, is divided into five acts in tune with the contemporary theory. It also runs into trouble when we note that the play's action proceeds in accordance with the scheme of classical development, which the Renaissance critics had worked out in the tradition of Donatus and the later editors of Terence. As has already been said, no one would deny a general influence from the court masque, but that cannot be allowed to obliterate the fact that Shakespeare reverts in *The Tempest* to something like the formal structure, which he had earlier used with varying degrees of success in his romantic comedy at the beginning of his career as a dramatist. For instance, he had incorporated in *The Comedy of Errors*, which followed Plautine scheme, a romance plot. In most of his other early comedies also, he had experimented with the five-act structure. We cannot overlook the fact that The Tempest insists on its observance of the unity of time, just as the academic dramatists like Alexander used to do. But the play's conformity to the academic theory of structure is less obtrusive.

Looking into the structure of *The Tempest*, one would see that the first scene is like a prologue. With the second scene, we are straightaway plunged into a protasis which conceals its studious origin by an extraordinary, though not perhaps entirely successful, attempt to give a natural motivation and a naturalistic dialogue. The presentment of actors, which is one of the functions of the "beginning" part of the play, is accomplished in the first act. Only exception to the presentment are Trinculo and Stephano, who appear in the second scene of second act. But this has happened as the authority permitted. All that is relevant to what happened "in the dark backward

and abysm of time," has been related before the end of the opening act. We are fully instructed by the end of second act regarding the nature of the final and typical disturbance which must be resolved by the end of the play. Ferdinand has met Miranda. Antonio has resolved upon, and has already set afoot, another usurper's plot. The low characters are shaping their attempt on Prospero and Miranda.

In the third act of *The Tempest*, the turbulence is intensified in accordance with the norm for the 'epitasis'. The fourth act continues the 'epitasis', despite the direct threat of intervention from Caliban. This act also prepares us for the comic catastrophe, by the union of Ferdinand and Miranda. The apparently unnecessary perturbation on the part of Prospero at the thought of Caliban can be a point at which an oddly pedantic concern for classical structure would cause its way through the surface of the play. But the fourth act shows the full vigour and crisis of the play's design - "Lies at my mercy all mine enemies." The exode or catastrophe is finally prepared by the persone ad catastropham machinata, who is Ariel.

Ariel is technically responsible for the comic nature of the catastrophe. For it is he, who makes Prospero say that he will offer his enemies forgiveness rather than revenge. But for Ariel's intervention, the catastrophe would have been tragic. At the same time, there are critics who have considered Ariel's act as utterly unnecessary in view of the already existing comic motive. This motive is the betrothal of Ferdinand and Miranda. Here, it is hard to ignore the fact that Ariel's pursuation of Prospero is a point at which the classical structure tears through the texture of the play. On the other hand, the conversation about forgiveness, unlike Prospero's perturbation at the prospect of Caliban's rebellion, does seem to have some motivation in the structure of the play's ideas. It sounds plausible because it was necessary for the prince to overcome the turbulence of his own passions. It seems quite possible that Shakespeare purposely constructed The Tempest in accordance with the neo-Terentian regulations.

We also need to look into the fact as to why Shakespeare chose to treat this theme so intensively. In the chronology of Shakespeare's plays, *The Tempest*, is shown to follow The Winter's Tale. The latter play is so deeply committed to its extensive presentation that it is not easy to conceive of its being handled in any other way. At the same time, we cannot overlook the fact that there are strong affinities between the

two plays. But in case we choose to take Caliban as the centre or focus of the play's structure of ideas, the said difficulty vanishes. It seems quite imperative to the whole system of contrasts based upon him that he should be adult. As Perdita knew, nurture will not stick on nature tout court. As we have seen, Caliban illustrates that point very well. But he serves double function as a criterion. Caliban cannot serve as this criterion until after the attempt to educate him, and after his just enslavement when Prospero's experiment had shown that his nurturing produced only "the briars and darnell of appetites."

# 24.5 FORM OFTHE PLAY

The subject chosen for *The Tempest* sought an intensive form. So Shakespeare constructed it the way it required to be constructed. The structure stands as the subject suggested. With the completed text before us, it can be seen that nothing else would have done. Decidedly, the plays' action could not have been initiated before the arrival of the courtiers on the island. The very opening of the play shows how complex the play's expository part is. There is triple exposition in the opening scene. All of this is, of course, absolutely necessary to the play's structure of ideas. Also, all this is a proof that The Tempest could not have been expounded as The Winter's Tale had been. It is commonly agreed that the latter play staggers under the burden of diffuse though necessary incident. So does Cymbeline under the weight of its complex plot. As things stand, The Tempest is perhaps the most economically constructed of all the plays of Shakespeare. In this play, Shakespeare is able to present the redemptive achievement of Prospero as a single magical operation in five phases. He succeeds in giving maximum import to the interrelationship of character and incident by using the concentration of his method. Since Shakespeare needed an intensive form, he naturally returned to the five-act structure which he had learnt in his youth. As he had done in the past, so he did now: he manipulated it to serve special purposes without abandoning its basic pattern. Hence, he was able to incorporate into the spectacular elements of the third act, the atmosphere of the new tragicomedy.

#### 24.6 STYLE

As a late play, *The Tempest* shows Shakespeare's great license in the use of run-on lines of hyper-metrism and of deficient lines. In the course of his writing

career, every writer comes to acquire an insight into the nature of the things he is disposed to talk about as also of the means at his command. It is easier to study this new insight in the case of those writers who get to have a long career and leave behind a large body of published work. Shakespeare is one such writer. Others are W. B. Yeats, T. S. Eliot, and Milton. When Shakespeare wrote The Tempest, he would, obviously, not see quite the same way as he did at the time of writing Love's Labour's Lost. He might have held the view, as did his contemporaries, that poetry and painting were near allied, understanding that the painter's art was to depict in external form and internal reality. Thus, his verse and imagery alter as the inwardness of that reality becomes more apparent to him. Also, he might have considered himself a craftsman. In that case, we can say that he would gain an increasing command over the materials of his craft. We shall also expect that he would progressively narrow the gap between the qualities and the equivalents by which he stated them. As a renaissance writer, Shakespeare would naturally strive for, and achieve, a deeper understanding of decorum. In dramatic literature, decorum meant the propriety of what was said to the speaker, to his hearers, to the situation, to the speaker's purpose, and to the function of the passage in the play as a whole.

No doubt, in the case of The Tempest there seems no hysteria on the part of Shakespeare about the observance of the principle of decorum. At the same time, there are long passages in the play which require explanation in terms of decorum. These passages often employ the formal tropes of renaissance poetry. For instance, Antonio elaborately amplifies, in his speech on the remoteness of Caliban, a rhetoric devoted to the end of "speaking well", to illustrate the improper use of rhetoric, which ruthlessly, exercised all the available means of persuation.

In terms of style, Shakespeare's last plays show a control of language and imagery formerly unequalled. In these plays, there is little of the kind of language which extensively examines the similitudes by which its ideas are decorated. At the same time, a good deal of the kind which simulates the language of men in a state of profound sensation, progresses from idea to idea by means of pun, or by associations. The poet still seems interested in the formal figures and tropes, but very much like the beat of the pentametre they are swamped by the involution of a language which is artificially natural. Hence the poet's new interest in the typical qualities of narrative and character and language replaces the old one. The great change in Shakespeare is the result of a deepening understanding of the object, and also of the means at the disposal of the poet.

This is, of course, one way of accounting for the later manner of Shakespeare's verse. It is not the only way. Also, if taken alone, it is found inadequate in many respects. But as far as it goes the proof of its soundness can be found in the imitators of Shakespeare. In these imitators, the fluid metre and the language that moves with the movement of thought are not, as they are in the case of Shakespeare, the product of a lifetime's concentration on the object. In their case, these aspects of style are taken over ready- made as an act of imitation. Massinger, Beomount and Fletcher, that is why, show sign of decadence.

Tone It has often been remarked that there is paucity of imagery in The Tempest. It is said that now imagery has been replaced by a more decorous control of event, which gives the event itself primary significance. It requires that verse and image shall not be such as to distract the attention from it. The language of The Tempest is chiefly notable for its insistence on the complexity of certain concepts essential to the structure of ideas and events. Hence the interest now in words "nature", 'noble", "vile", and "virtue", and interest in the ideas of beauty of nobility. The most remarkable changes are rung on the word "sea" and its compounds. The sea, the voyage it supports, and the wrecks it causes, are types of the action of grace and providence. Hence the "sea-change", and "sea-sorrow". Hence, also the description of the sea as never surfeited, as incensed, as invulnerable, as apparently cruel, as revealing guilt, as a force which swallows but casts again, which threatens but is merciful. This example of the sea alone serves to show how the imagery in The Tempest is determined structurally.

Thus, it is not surprising that The Tempest has been a favourite hunting ground for allegory seekers. It only is an indirect tribute to Shakespeare's stage style of these later plays, especially The Tempest, that it could offer opportunities for allegorical readings of both characters and events. Despite a surviving tendency to interpret the play as a schematic allegory, it may be said that the general interpretation of The Tempest, which has been in vogue in the last fifty years or so, originated with G. Wilson Knight. He makes the last plays central to his interpretation of Shakespeare and regards them as "myths of immortality." His exposition of this view has had great and beneficial influence on subsequent criticism. As he insists in his Myth and Miracle, The Tempest repeats in miniature the separate themes of Shakespeare's greater plays... it distils the poetic essence of the whole Shakespearean universe.

# 24.7 LET US SUM UP

Thus, to conclude, the play features rich and symbolic imagery, with frequent references to the natural world, music, and art. Prospero's elaborate speeches and the island's lush descriptions enhance the play's poetic style, while the masque in Act IV exemplifies the blend of music, dance, and spectacle that was popular in the Jacobean era. Overall, *The Tempest* showcases Shakespeare's mastery of dramatic structure and his ability to seamlessly weave various elements into a cohesive and enchanting theatrical experience.

## 24.8 SELFASSESSMENT QUESTIONS

- 1. Discuss The Tempest as a romance.
- 2. Discuss The Tempest as a tragic-comedy.
- 3. Is The Tempest autobiographical?
- 4. Analyse The Tempest from a Post-colonial angle.
- 5. Discuss the role of Caliban and Ariel in The Tempest.

# 24.9 MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS

- 1. What style of language does Shakespeare primarily use for the character of Prospero in "The Tempest"?
  - a) Prose
  - b) Blank verse
  - c) Rhymed couplets
  - d) Monologues

- 2. In "The Tempest," which character often speaks in prose, creating a stylistic contrast with others?
  - a) Ariel
  - b) Ferdinand
  - c) Caliban
  - d) Miranda
- 3. Shakespeare's use of rich and symbolic imagery in "The Tempest" is often associated with which character's speeches?
  - a) Caliban
  - b) Miranda
  - c) Ariel
  - d) Prospero
- 4. What theatrical element is incorporated into "The Tempest" through the masque in Act IV?
  - a) Comedy
  - b) Tragedy
  - c) Music and dance
  - d) Soliloquies
- 5. How many acts are typically found in the structure of a Shakespearean play like "The Tempest"?
  - a) Three
  - b) Four
  - c) Five
  - d) Six

- 6. The play "The Tempest" opens with a dramatic event that serves as a catalyst for the entire plot. What is this event?
  - a) A wedding ceremony
  - b) A shipwreck
  - c) A political conspiracy
  - d) A love confession
- 7. What is the main function of the character Prospero in the structure of *"The Tempest"*?
  - a) The clown and comic relief
  - b) The antagonist and source of conflict
  - c) The protagonist and central figure
  - d) The confidant and advisor
- 8. In the structure of "The Tempest," which part of the play contains the climax of the story?
  - a) Act I
  - b) Act II
  - c) Act III
  - d) Act IV
- 9. *"The Tempest"* features multiple subplots in addition to the central narrative. Which subplot involves the love story between Miranda and Ferdinand?
  - a) The subplot of revenge
  - b) The subplot of political intrigue
  - c) The romantic subplot
  - d) The subplot of shipwreck survivors

- 10. The masque in Act IV of "The Tempest" is often seen as a stylistic departure from the main narrative. What is its purpose within the play's structure?
  - a) To provide comic relief
  - b) To introduce new characters
  - c) To explore the theme of love and marriage
  - d) To create a sense of mystery and confusion

## Answers:

- 1. b) Blank verse
- 2. c) Caliban
- 3. d) Prospero
- 4. c) Music and dance
- 5. c) Five
- 6. b) A shipwreck
- 7. c) The protagonist and central figure
- 8. c) Act III
- 9. c) The romantic subplot
- 10. c) To explore the theme of love and marriage

# 24.10 SUGGESTED READINGS

- 1. C.L. Barber, Shakespeare's Festive Comedy.
- 2. Howard Felperin, Shakespeare's Romance.esen
- 3. Ruth Nevo, Comic Transformations in Shakespeare.
- 4. D. Yong, The Heart's Forest: A Study of Shakespeare's Postal Plays.

\_\_\_\_\_

COURSE No. 121

DRAMA-I

LESSON No.25

M.A. ENGLISH

JOHN WEBSTER

UNIT - VI

(THE DUCHESS OF MALFI)

# WEBSTER AND HIS TIMES

# STRUCTURE

- 25.1 Introduction
- 25.2 Objectives
- 25.3 His Life
- 25.4 The Great Tragedies
- 25.5 His View of Life
- 25.6 Webster's Times
- 25.7 Revenge Theme
- 25.8 Atirical Temper
- 25.9 Let Us Sum Up
- 25.10 Examination Oriented Questions
- 25.11 Suggested Readings

# **25.1 INTRODUCTION**

John Webster holds a unique position among the Jacobean dramatists. The age called Jacobean followed the Age of Elizabeth, which ended in 1603. From the death

of Elizabeth to 1625, the period in history of English literature is called the Jacobean Period. Unlike many Jacobean dramatists, Webster was not a traditionalist, such as Dekker and Heywood were. Surely, he cannot be grouped with them without some blurring of his uniqueness. At the same time, he cannot be classed with the more typical Jacobeans. We cannot do that because, unlike the typical Jacobeans, he was neither a satirist, nor a defeatist, nor an escapist. It will not be an exaggeration to insist that the tone of his greatest tragedies allies him more closely with Shakespeare and Marlowe than with any of his more immediate contemporaries.

#### **25.2 OBJECTIVES**

The lesson is supposed to make the learner familiar with the biography, the tragedies, the view of life, social background and the themes of John Webster. His satirical temper has also been discussed in detail.

# 25.3 HIS LIFE

The record of Webster's life is almost non-existent. The bibliography of his works also is, exceptionally obscure and fragmentary. Two facts, however, do indicate his status as dramatist. One of these is, as indicated in his prefaces, that Ben Jonson had a serene confidence in the merit of his work. The other is that the publishers gave his name on title pages an emphasis equal to that of Shakespeare. Also, several of his contemporaries paid him high tributes. One outstanding example of these tributes is, the set of complimentary verses which Middleton, Rowley and Ford wrote for *The Duchess of Malfi*. These are great tributes by any standard, a great achievement for dramatist.

John Webster was born in London in 1580. His father was a coach-maker and a freeman of the Merchant Taylors Company. He is first mentioned in Henslowe's Diary in 1601 as an author of several plays, none of which seems to have survived. One of them, *Lady Jane* (viz., Grey), can probably be traced in Sir Thomas Wyatt, printed in 1607 as by Dekker and Webster. It is a loose chronical play, in casual verse and prose, and is quite close to the first part of Heywood's *If You Know Not Me*, which it likewise resembles in being preserved in a very faulty text. In 1604, Webster wrote for Shakespeare's company the famous induction to Marston's *Malcontent*, which, even though very brief, gives a valuable view of what went on a

performance at the Globe. About the same time he collaborated with Dekker again in two city comedies for the Children of Paul's, Westward Ho! and during a Northward Ho! The former received notable admiration from Ben Jonson in the prologue to the oppositelynamed Eastward Hol: "For that was good, and better cannot be." Both these pieces are lively and well-plotted. Both are written in prose. Also, both deal with the amorous amusements of London wives. It is naturally very difficult to trace in them the later Webster. At the same time, they do not seem to be predominantly Dekker's work. They are quite devoid of the caustic satire which was the fashion of the day. And though the language and situations are pungent enough, the citizens' wives are a good deal better than their reputations.

The loss of Webster's play Guise is something to be deplored. Evidently, he thought well of this play. The very fact that he chose to bracket it with The White Devil and The Duchess of Malfi in the dedication of his Devil's Law-Case shows how well he thought of it. It seems most likely that this play was founded on Marlowe's Massacre of Paris. That would probably emphasize the Marlowian strain in Webster's work. But in the absence of Guise his reputation rests now almost wholly upon his two well-known tragedies just mentioned. We must note that these two tragedies are very different from all other tragedies of the Jacobean period.

#### **25.4 THE GREAT TRAGEDIES**

One of these famous tragedies of Webster is The White Devil. It was acted by the Queen's company of Heywood in 1612, and was printed the same year. It concerns the rather recent case of Vittoria Accoramboni, Duchess of Bracciano, who lived form 1557 to 1585. By following the available accounts of her brief and stormy life he could have produced a much more plausible tragedy than the one he wrote. But Webster as dramatist is never plausible. Whenever he deviates from his sources, he usually does so in order to emphasize the brutal irrationality of life. And by so doing he increases his constructional difficulties. In Webster's play, Vittoria is neither whitenor a devil. Her complicity in her husband's murder, though morally certam, is not avowed. In the great scene of Act III, in which she is arraigned before Cardinal Monticelso and the embarrassed ambassadors, Webster allows her all the honours of the conflict. It is a scene which perhaps John Fletcher may be thought to have done well to copy a year or two later, when he wrote Katharine of Aragon's defence of herself before Cardinal Wolsey and Campeius.

Vittoria has a brother whose name is Flamineo. He is one of the most bloodcurdlingly real villams in English drama. She also has a mother whose name is Cornelia. She is one of the most pathetic creations of the play. She is a kind of ancient Ophelia of Shakespeare's Hamlet. Webster works with terror and pity, undiluted, and in copious outpourings. He employs ghosts and horried dumb-shows after the manner of the early Senecans. He also has in his playmanyof the grisliest stage deaths in drama. Isabella dies bykissing a poisoned picture of her husband. Camillo's neck is broken byhis companions while vaulting. Brachiano is killed by a poisoned helmet. The pain drives him mad. Marcello is, without warning, run through the bodyby his brother in their mother's presence. Vittoria, Zanche, and Flamineo are all stabbed after a scene in which Flamineo has most horridly pretended to be shot with pistols. The deaths pile up so lawlessly that one is tempted to retort upon the author the last question in the play :

By what authority have you committed

This massacre?

But between these many and horrid deaths are some small and moving voices that protest and indicate the pity of it. For instance, the boy Giovanni's talk with his uncle in Act III, Scene-ii and Cornelia's mad song in Act V, scene-i:

Call for the robin redbreast and the wren, Since ov'r shady groves they hover, And with leaves and flowers do cover The friendless bodies of unburied men.

The brutality of Webster's villains notwithstanding, his poetry in this play, as well as in The Duchess of Malfi, is as powerful as, that of Marlowe and Shakespeare.

The more famous of the two great tragedies of Webster has been The Duchess Mali. It was acted by Shakespeare's Company by about 1613. It was revised inle later. It is considered better than The White Devil because, even though it has as much terror, it has bore pity, and so gives Webster's view of life in better Malince. It's plot is derived from William Painter's Palace of Pleasure, which was A storehouse of plots for the Elizabethan and Jacobean dramatists, including Shakespeare. Painter's book made available in English the tales and fables of Italian and French writers, such as Boccaccio and Bandello. Webster's story of the Duchess came from Bandello. Based on veryearly sixteenth century history Webster made it as absurd as possible. The Duchess, contracting a marriage of love with her honest and knightly master of the household, must keep it a secret from her wo brothers. They place a super-spy in her palace, whose name is Bosola, to inform them of just such matters. An average detective would do Bosola's business in a day. But in this play the obvious is never discernible. Years pass, while Bosola pries and plots, children are born and even grow to maturity in the way Sidney deplored, before the wicked brothers discover the reality of their sister's marriage with the steward.

The fourth Act of The Duchess of Malfi, is wholly devoted to the Duchess's death. It may well be considered the greatest death scene in Elizabethan literature. The fifth Act, which presents six deaths more, should be an anticlimax. But it is kept aloft by Webster's mastery of the macabre. It is Webster's poetry which again salvages his play. All absurdities of the play get overlooked under the powerful sweep of his poetry. A large number of memorable aphorisms flow from the mouth of the villain himself. When outwitted by his employers, he utters some grand truths of life. Added to the beauty of Webster's poetry is his creation of the character of the Duchess. She remains in the play the most memorable figure. Her nobilitymakes her a towering figure in the play. Her case also raises in our time the question of gender justice. We shall have occasion to discuss all these issues in the subsequent lessons.

The fourth Act of The Duchess of Malfi, is wholly devoted to the Duchess's death. It may well be considered the greatest death scene in Elizabethan literature. The fifth Act, which presents six deaths more, should be an anticlimax. But it is kept aloft by Webster's mastery of the macabre. It is Webster's poetry which again salvages his play. All absurdities of the play get overlooked under the powerful sweep of his poetry. A large number of memorable aphorisms flow from the mouth of the villain himself. When outwitted by his employers, he utters some grand truths of life. Added to the beauty of Webster's poetry is his creation of the character of the Duchess. She remains in the play the most memorable figure. Her nobilitymakes her a towering figure in the play. Her case also raises in our time the question of gender justice. We shall have occasion to discuss all these issues in the subsequent lessons.

Meanwhile, resuming the subject of Webster's career as a dramatist, it almost carries us back to the work of Thomas Kyd, one of the University Wits who wrote plays before Shakespeare in the age of Elizabeth. Of course, the strange art of Webster is far more intelligent than that of Kyd. His style is curiously unrhythmic, except in the songs which crash in, like the trumpets of doom, upon the cacophonies of mundane speech. His dialogue is often patched with sayings from Sidney, Montaigne, or Donne. He is said to have stored these sayings in his notebooks. Webster quite often introduces formal "characters" such as he was writing for the overbury collection.

# 25.5 HIS VIEW OF LIFE

Webster's view of life is said to be Elizabethan rather than Jacobean. The sharp distinction he maintains between good and bad and the straightforwardness with which he faces death and horror bring him closer to Elizabethans than his own Jacobean contemporaries. He is considered one of the most romantic of dramatists. In his view, life is like a labyrinth. His Duchess says near the beginning of the play, "Wish me good speed"

For I am going into a wilderness, Where I shall find nor path nor friendly clue To be my guide.

In Webster's world, the only constant is death. He leads his characters relentlessly upto this constant. And he dismisses them under the glare of death's great illumination. He seldom makes theological assertions. But a reading of his plays is a kind of religious experience. If any affinity must be sought among the Stuart writers, it will be found in such mystic poets as George Herbert, and Henry Vaughan. Like them, Webster, too, seems to be constantly whispering :

Dear, beauteous death, the jewel of the just, Shining nowhere but in the dark, What mysteries do lie beyond thy dust,

# Could man outlook that mark

These lines from Vaugham's "They are all gone into the world of light" the kind of view Webster seems to hold of Death. None of the Jacobean dramatists seem to hold the same view of death. It is Webster's colleagues among express the Metaphysicals who seem much closer to him in this matter of Death.

No one however, is more like Webster than Shakespeare in the latter's darkest moods. Shakespeare's play that most resembles Webster's two great tragedies is King Lear Lear says something very much like "I am Duchess of Malfi still", and Gloucester parallels Bosola's cosmic despair,

> We are merely the stars' tennis-balls, struck an bandied Which way please them And Webster's most famous line Cover her face; mine eyes dazzle; she died young,

may have had its cue in King Lear, Act V, Scene iii, line 242. Perhaps only Shakespeare can be whis horror with such appeals to simple pity as the Duchess's

I pray thee, look thou giv'st my little boy Some syrup for his cold, and let the girl Say her prayers ere she sleep.

King Lear's gloom, Gloucester's cosmic pessimism, Cordelia's barbaric death, Edmund's villainy, all find very close parallel in the greatest tragedy of Webster. It is for this reason, this comparison with the greatest of dramatists, which makes Webster taller than all other Jacobean writers.

Webster's two later plays, The Devil's Law-Case (1623) and ACure for a Cuckold (printed 1661)- the latter in unfortunate collaboration with Rowley- do not seem to have much merit. They do not deserve much attention, not because they are altogether inferior, but because Webster seems to attempt here ragicomedy and finds that form perhaps too light for his heavy hand. The central character of The Devil's Law Case, Romelio, the

wealthymerchant of Naples, who in one scene disguised as a Jew, is a not unworthyimitation of Marlowe's Barbas (The Merchant of Venice). His mother and sister belong to Webster's greatest women. The long court scene (Act IV, scene ii), which occupies a fifth of the play, is comparable with the one in The White Devil. Some of Webster's most characteristic lines come from this play, as well as one of his greatest songs:

> Courts adieu, and all delights, All bewitching appetites! Sweetest breath and clearest eye, Like perfumes, go out and die.

Thus, very much like Shakespeare, Webster's tragic vision and powerful verse stand out in his plays. Although minor in terms of his total output, his two great tragedies alone make him next only to Shakespeare and Marlowe. He surpasses all other writers of tragedy, Jacobean as well as Elizabethan.

# 25.6 WEBSTER'S TIMES

It is interesting to note that the best description of the Jacobean plays is prophetically given in Shakespeare's Hamlet. In the closing moments of the play, Horatio looks back on the trail of intrigue and violence which had reached its tragic climax in the death of Hamlet, the prince of Denmark. Horatio utters the following words, which are addressed to both the people assembled on the stage as well as to the audience sitting beyond the stage:

... So shall you hear Of carnal, bloody, and unnatural acts, Of accidential judgements, casual slaughters, Of deaths put on by cunning and forced cause And, in this upshot, purposes mistook Fall'n on the inventors' heads.

These lines sum up more than the action of Shakespeare's play. They give us airly accurate idea of a whole set of plays we call by the name of "Revenge Tragedy", or more

widely called "the tragedy of blood," or the "Jacobean dy". These tragedies of the Jacobean period follow immediately after Hamlet, which had been acted in or around 1601.

## **25.7 REVENGE THEME**

The dramatists' preoccupation with the revenge theme during the Jacobean period is actually a reflection of a general interest in the social and ethical implications of revenge, which is a feature of the age. In his essay "Tourner and the Tragedy of Revenge," L.G Salinger cogentlysummarizes the nature of this interest:

The theme of revenge (the 'wild justice' of Bacon's essay) was popular in Elizabethan tragedy because it touched important questions of the day; the social problem of personal honour and the survival of feudal lawlessness; the political problem of tyranny and resistance; and the supreme question of providence, with its provocative contrasts between human vengeance and divine.

As a matter of fact, the age lived in a tension between two conflicting attitudes centred on the notion of revenge. On the one hand, the law of the land was equivocal in condemning private revenge as an attempt by man to usurp the prerogative of God. Is political equivalent was the attempt by powerful individuals (like Henry IV) to assume the powers of the sovereign. "Vengeance is mine; I will reply, saith the Lord" Thus, the law of the land and the moralists of the time both were united in affirming this viewpoint. By and large, the general mass of people adopted it as a sacred belief. On the other hand, the older tradition of private revenge was still alive. It had come down from the more turbulent times when the power of the state to punish crime was neither codified in law nor always effective. When it comes down to Webster's times, it had become by then linked with certain extreme notions of personal honour which tended to make the revenger appear in a sympathetic light. The most striking justification of revenge, and perhaps the most important, was the situation of blood-revenge for murder. Murder was considered the crime of crimes by the Elizabethans. It was viewed as a violation of God's commandment. There was even a current though wholly erroneous idea that a son could not inherit from his murdered father unless and until he avenged his father's murder.

It is because the theme of revenge struck a responsive chord in society at large that the dramatists of this period, beginning with Kyd, were so strongly drawn to the tragedies of Seneca. In Seneca, we can trace most, though not all, the features which distinguish the Jacobean "tragedy of blood." The Seneca's tragedies, such as Clytemnestra and Medea, the crimes are described with horrifying realism. Also, there are detailed accounts of physical torture. Of course, Seneca's plays were meant to be recited, not staged. The theme of blood revenge for murder is also emphasized. Other features include characters who unwittingly become accomplices to the act of revenge, or are tricked into becoming accessories. The ghosts of the dead clamouring intermittently for revenge is also an aspect of the Senecan tragedy. Machiavellianism was also a strong influence from Italy on the tragedy of the Jacobean period. In the tragedies of the period, one of the protagonists, often but not always the avenger, is recognizably Machiavellian figure. In many of the plays, we can see the avenger moving between the two extremes of sympathetic hero; and Machiavellian villain. Since Marlowe put Machieval on the stage in the prologue to The Jew of Malta, the Machiavellian figure had been the embodiment of conscious and intricately contrived villainy, usually delighting in its own virtuosity.

# **25.8 SATIRICAL TEMPER**

The fact that these revenge tragedies invariably have Italian plots clearly shows the Senecan and Machiavellian influence on the Jacobean period more than on the Elizabethan. In these later tragedies, the Machiavellian villain is also in most cases a railer against society or 'malcontent'. One very important aspect of Jacobean tragedy is that it combines with tragedy satire as an equal partner. Thus, these tragedies strongly link together the theme of revenge and an attack on the corruption of society. Normally, we tend to think of satire as part of comedy. But an intimate connection between tragedy and the satirical temper is one of the aspects Astinguish the drama of the Jacobean period. The reasons for this temper of the plenty. One of these was the political uncertainty surrounding the ccession in the last years of King James'reign. Also responsible for this temper which the Renaissance emphasis on the richness of sensual experience colliding with the Machiavellian' cynicism with regard to all human experience. Still another actor responsible for the satirical temper of the age was the revival of a medieval notion that the world was running down and

civilization was on the brink of dissolution

A summary account of the main characteristics of the Jacobean tragic satire as distinct form of art is given by Alvin Kernan. In his view, its setting is densely crowded with people and things, so that the satire is usually found against an urban background. Its personages are grotesque caricatures, distorted by the imperfections which they embody. There is also some hint of an ideal standard by which they embody. There is also some hint of an ideal standard by which the perverted activities of these characters are to be judged. This implied standard is, of course, remote or impotent. There is, on the contrary, an obsessive concentration on the purely animal aspects of human existence, such as eating, drinking, defecation, and copulation. The satirical hero has his public personality as blunt, truthful, simple, forced into utterance by the wickedness and hypocrisy of the world about him. It also traces him to adopt violent expression as the only effective protest. Thus, it brings into play the darker 'private' aspect of his character. All this leads him to a kind of sadistic relish in Scourging humanity. Hence, the brutal satirical tragedies or tragical-satires of Webster and the other Jacobean dramatists.

#### 25.9 LET US SUM UP

John Webster was an English dramatist whose The White Devil (performed in 1612) and The Duchess of Malfi (performed in 1614) are generally regarded as the paramount seventeenth century English tragedies apart from those of Shakespeare. His preface to Monuments of Honor, his Lord Mayor's Show for 1642, says he was born a freeman of the Merchant Taylor's Company. He was probably a coachmaker, and possibly he was an actor. The White Devil, like Macbeth, is a tragedy of action and The Duchess of Malfi, like King Lear, is a tragedy of suffering.

#### **25.10 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS**

- Q1. Discuss the themes of Webster's Tragedies.
- Q2. Discuss the biography of Webster.
- Q3 Satire constitutes the main part of Webster's writings. Discuss

# **25.11 SUGGESTED READINGS**

John Webester: English Dramitist. Britannica.com, n.d. <u>https://www.britannica.com/biography/John-Webster-English-dramatist</u> Berry, Ralph. The Art of John Webster. Routledge, 1972

-----

COURSE No. 121

DRAMA-I

M.A. ENGLISH

JOHN WEBSTER

LESSON No.26

UNIT - VI

(THE DUCHESS OF MALFI)

# WEBSTER'S TRAGIC VISION

# **STRUCTURE**

- 26.1 Introduction
- 26.2 Objectives
- 26.3 Webster's Tragic Vision
- 26.4 Let Us Sum Up
- 26.5 Examination Oriented Questions
- 26.6 Suggested Readings

# **26.1 INTRODUCTION**

John Webster, the author of The Duchess of Malfi and The White Devil, two great tragedies of the Jacobean period, is known for his distinct tragic vision of the world, just as Sophocles is, or Marlowe is. As David Cecil has described, this vision depicts the world "a fallen place in which suffering outweighs happiness and all activities are tainted with sin; where evil is the controlling force, and good - just because it is good - is inevitably quietest; hoping, at least and with luck, to slip through the tempest of existence, unnoticed." At the same time, Webster's world is not utterly devoid of morality. There is a feeling imparted to the reader, while reading his tragedies, that his world is also a place where the moral law

cannot be thwarted for ever. We see in these tragedies that finally the evil destroys itself, just as it does in Shakespeare, and justice is vindicated:

Let guilty men remember their black deeds,

Do lean on crutches, made of slender reeds.

This final couplet of The White Devil sums it up. It states the moral truth which the entire preceding action of the play has been designed to illustrate. The message of the play, conveyed clearly by the couplet, comes home to the reader unmistaken. The last lines of The Duchess of Malfi, however, propound a different truth:

Integrity of life is fame's best friend, Which nobly, beyond death, shall crown the end.

Heaven is shown to be just here for all the apparent horror of man's life. In the end, it is virtue which is glorified. But it gets glorified in heaven, not on earth The two closings are, of course, complementary, they make two parts of a complete statement.

#### **26.2 OBJECTIVES**

The lesson introduces the learner to the tragic vision of John Webster with reference to his main dramatic works.

## 26.3 WEBSTER'S TRAGIC VISION

This tentatively formulated world view of Webster, as summed up in the ending lines of his two great tragedies, can be illustrated by the events and characters of these two plays. Without any doubt, the key figure in these plays is the villain, who is invariably a deliberate and intriguing sinner. Webster is believed to be a man of ideas, always interested in the intellectual side of his characters. The focus of his interest in his tragedies seems, to be: what happens to a man who directs his life, consciously and calculatedly, in defiance of the Divine Law? In this respect, Webster comes closer to Marlowe than to Shakespeare. He studies this question in different form in each of his tragedies. In The White Devil, his deliberate sinner is Flamineo. He is the typical Machiavellian figure, an Italian adventurer. To an English Protestant audience, he is ruthless, cynical, consciously anti-moral. His sole business of life is only to advance his own interests, his own fortunes. And be sees the best chance of doing this, by making use of the adulterous passion which the Duke Brachiano has conceived for his beautiful sister. So he sets himself on the pursuit of his plan. He plots to bring them together. In the course of this pursuit, he is led to commit one crime after another, each worse than the last.

To begin with, Flemineo instigates adultery between Duke Brachiano and his sister. The next step he takes is to arrange the murder of Brachiano's wife and his sister Vittoria's husband. His crimes create horror and provoke the wrath of Marcello, his own virtuous brother. In retaliation, the devil gets enraged and kills his own brother. As a result of this event, his mother, Cornelia, goes mad with grief. Flamineo is thus, solely responsible for the murder of his brother and the madness of his mother. Upto this point the villain's schemes are quite successful. But henceforth, the Divine justice can be felt in operation. It starts bringing punishment on the sinners. Brachiano is murdered in revenge for his wife's death. It looks as if a similar punishment will also soon follow for Vittoria and Flamineo. Flamineo seems to realize this. He is now full of gloom fearing an unhappy future. There begins a decline in his fortunes. And with the decline comes profound melancholy. He begins to have superstitious premonitions of misfortune. He shudders at the ultimate fate of his soul. Brachiano's ghost appears before him:

What a mockery hath death made thee? (cries flamineo)

Thou look'st sad.

In what place art thou? In yon starry gallery? Or in the cursed dungeon? No? not speak? Pray, sir, resolve me, what religion's best For a man to die in? or is it in your knowledge To answer me how long I have to live?

Flamineo desperately tries to put his fears aside. He tries to concentrate on sole business of life is only to advance his own interests, his own fortunes. And be sees the best chance of doing this, by making use of the adulterous passion which the Duke Brachiano has conceived for his beautiful sister. So he sets himself on the pursuit of his plan. He plots to bring them together. In the course of this pursuit, he is led to commit one crime after another, each worse than the last.

When Flamineo is in an act of contemplation, thinking what right has he to expect loyalty, when his own actions are founded on a considered repudiation of all but self-centred motives? At this point of time, the avengers arrive to kill him. His state of mind in the last moments of his life is revealed by his speeches. He does not come out repentant. By this time, after committing so many heinous crimes, he become a damned soul. As such, he becomes incapable of repentance. Until the last, he speaks with defiant mocking courage. But it actually conceals an absolute despair. Although he is no longer capable of appreciating the value of good, he can still realize that evil-doing is also not of much avail. Like Shakespeare's Macbeth, who is also a case of damned soul, he has come to think that life is a tale told by an idiot, full of sound and fury, signifying nothing. Like Macbeth he falls back on a thorough nihilism :

I do not look Who went before, nor who shall follow me; No, at myself I will begin and end.... This busy trade of life appears most vain, Since rest breeds, rest, where all seek pain by pain.

Similar is the dominance of the villain, in Webster's The Duchess of Malfi. Here, the development takes place in a different direction. Although as dominant as the villain in the earlier play, Bosola is not wholly given to the devil. He is, like Flamineo, an intellectual villain, but he also has a strain of good in him, which the other does not have. At long last, it is this very strain which leads him to repentance, not to damnation. From the first, there are explanations offered for his amoral attitude. It is attributed to his harsh circumstance. As such, it becomes, if not excusable, less outrageous. Also, the fact that the author decides to provide reasons for someone's misconduct shows that the author wants the reader to have a little softer approach to the character who apparently seens villainous. Bosola is a middle-aged soldier of fortune. He is embittered by poverty, ingratitude, and bad luck. All these factors combine to mentally

prepare him to yield to any temptation that comes his way. Why is he scrupulous in a wholly unscrupulous world? This vulnerable state of mind in Bosola is found to be a fertile ground for exploitation by Ferdinand and Cardinal. They take advantage of his desperate mood and make him their spy in their plots against their sister, the Duchess. Under their pressure, he proceeds like Flamineo, from crime to crime. He is planted as a spy on the Duchess. Ironically, she trusts him as faithful servant. But he soon betrays her secret. Later, when her brothers begin to wreak vengeance on her, he first becomes her tortuer, and then her murderer. But he is made never to show any liking for what he does under the command of his masters. As his task becomes more odious, he recoils more and more, and receives the orders of his masters with a kind a bitter detachment. In fact, he goes to the extent of praising the Duchess for her courage, and that, too, to her brothers who want her to be eliminated. He talks to her with a strange melancholy irony, even while he is engineering her torments. Finally, when he stands with the Duke Ferdinand by her dead body, he finds himself unable any longer to shut his ears to the clamour of his conscience. The spectacle of the dead Duchess, his victim, brings home to him the full horror of what he has done :

# I stand like one That long hath ta'en a sweet and golden dream: I am angry with myself now that I wake.... I would not change my peace of conscience For all the wealth of Europe.

Once his conscience takes the better of him, as it does in the last section of the play, he tries to make amends for his sins. He seeks out Antonio, the Duchess's husband, to tell him the truth, and offer him assistance in bringing justice on her brothers. But he meets with only partial success in this attempt. The brothers are killed, no doubt, but so is Antonio. And, by a wonderful stroke of dramatic irony, Antonio falls by Bosola's hand. In the darkness, he mistakes Antonio for the Cardinal, and kills him. Webster seems to suggest here that the moral law is inexorable. Man cannot undo the evil he has committed. God can punish us, be seems to convey, by making our efforts the unwitting cause of further evil. Webster's view here seems to be very clear, that people commit crimes, not from rational motives, but because they are corrupted by that original sin with which all mortal flesh is tainted, because they succumb to the promptings of that devil which is always whispering in human ears suggestions to obeyhis diabolical will. The people of Webster's time could believe in Ferdinand, lago, and Goneril, and the rest of them without having to be told exactly why they were wicked. Everyone has the disposition, they knew as well as we do, to be wicked if one chooses to give in to it.

Webster's horrors- his ghosts and torturers - also are not, as with his lesser contemporaries, mere stage devices to awaken a pleasing sensation of fear. They are symbolic incarnations of that spiritual terror and diabolical delight in suffering which are, to him, central figures of the human drama. Duke Ferdinand and the Cardinal are creatures of hell. The prison in which they throw the Duchess, made hideous by the clamour of lunatics and the ghostly images of murdered children, exhibit to us, in visible form, the hell on earth. It is in the nature of such people as the Duke and the Cardinal to create such hells. Even the seemingly irrelevant scenes in Webster of pageantry, having nothing much to do with the plot, make a significant contribution to his picture of human life. He exposes to us in such scenes the hollowness of the superficial splendour of these worldly dignities, such as Duke and Cardinal.

Webster chooses not to write in realistic conventions. This allows him full play of the imagination. It enables him to make sermons into works of art. If treated in terms of realism, the stories of The White Devil and The Duchess of Malfi would be, to the reader or audience, merely painful and repulsive. But here in the hands of Webster they become attractive. They are alight with dark gleaming splendour! Every episode and every thought of these plays comes to be irradiated by the unearthly leaping flame of Webster's creative imagination. His vision emblazens them with the colours of heaven and hell. For example, in real life people do not instigate murders by relating weird dreams. But here in Webster, an ugly episode of lust and treachery and assassination is turned into something terribly sinister. Amagnificence of sinister world is created through strange precise images, which set the fancy mysteriously and sublimely astir.

No doubt, Webster beautifies his horrific scenes, he does not soften them. As an

Elizabethan or Jacobean, he does not shrink from the grotesque and the horrible. On the contrary, the poet's imagination being fantastic and full-blooded, always craves for such stuff and feeds on it. Vittoria's dream, for example, conceals her evil suggestion behind an imaginative splendour. It also reveals much more clearly, than would have been done by a realistic treatment, the true nature of the act she is promoting. The spiritual wickedness of the act, its relation to the supernatural forces of sin and death, of which it is an offspring, come across to us in clearer terms. Lesser ugly than a realistic version of the same incident, Webster's is also far more penetrating. Thus, Webster emerges, in these two great tragedies of his, a true tragic poet. His greatness lies in transmuting even the most dreadful and baffling acts of human experience, in all their unmitigated horror, into things of glory. He is able to do it by the depth and grandeur of his vision. Obviously, he is the rarest sort of poet, and among the greatest.

It can be seen in his plays that Webster's vision of life is characterized by disintegration. Like other dramatists off his age, it is true, he inherited the Elizabethan world picture, but in his work we see that world-picture falling in ruins. His characters belong to a world of violent crime and violent change, of sin, blood and repentance. And yet it is a world loyal to a theological scheme. The moral standards do not stand dissolved or destroyed altogether. On the contrary, it can afford to indulge itself just because those standards were so powerful. But this Elizabethan world is falling apart in the Jacobean period. Webster's tragedies are the best evidence of this decline. What seems to kindle the dramatist's imagination in these plays is, not any philosophy of life, but certain aspects of hell or chaos We must not forget that Webster is depicting the world of evil, a world in which evil preponderates, and which works out its own destruction. As such, it could not have been presented as comprehended wholly or even largely by a single character. By its very nature it is incomprehensible. And yet its existence at various times in history is undoubted. The Jacobean period, for sure, is one such period of history.

In the case of Webster, as well as the other dramatists of this period, the tragedy of blood was an attempt to deal with a world of evil, the existence of which was borne in upon them in late Elizabethan, early Jacobean times. Most of them attempted to show this world from outside-by its effects on a comparatively virtuous person, not a member of

itself. Such a person goes mad or destroyed. The world of evil survives him. From outside, it looks perfectly comprehensible. There is no reason why it should not go on In Webster, however, certainly in his two great tragedies, the evil world is presented from within. Seen from within, the world of evil seems all confusion. It sounds a pointless activity. In the mind of the audience or the reader alone the notion of order is awakened. He vehemently desires to see it transferred to the stage So his attention is held until the close of the play. With the arrival of a new ruler in The White Devil, for example, a new generation, the whole evil world is destroyed.

One of the misunderstandings about Webster's vision that has been common among critics comes out in their interpretations of The Duchess of Malfi, especially its ending. It actually illustrates how little the dramatist has been properlyunderstood even byhis admirers. Since, the play's title places the Duchess in prominence, she has been considered as the play's key figure. This led to the critical accusation of the play's creator of inappropriately continuing the play even after the death of the Duchess. But we should not overlook the fact that even though she is the heroine of the playbeing the chief object of our sympathies, she is not the one who provides for the play's action the chief motive. Also, it is not in relation to her action that the theme of the play is to be found. This theme of his tragedy, as is always the case in Webster, is the act of sin and its consequences. And until these consequences are pursued to their final conclusion, the play's intention cannot become plain. Moreover, the central figure, as far as that action is concerned, is the man who murders her. It is the man who has elected, against the promptings of his better self, to be the devil's agent in the drama.

Here, one can draw a parallel between Webster's The Duchess of Malfi and Shakespeare's Julius Caesar. In Shakespeare's play also, named after Caesar, our sympathies are drawn by Caesar, but he is murdered much before the play's ending. But the play's action continues because the chief interest of the play comes to center on Brutus, who has committed a sin and has to go through its consequences.Ending the play with the death of Caesar would have left the questions raised by his death unanswered. The same is the case here with regard to Webster's The Duchess of Malfi. The play continues even after the death of the Duchess because the man, Bosola, who commits the murder of the Duchess, has to reach the logical conclusion of having committed a sin His behaviour after the murder, his suffering, and his view of life, as it comes upon him in the final pages of the play, are an essential part of the play's scheme of ideas. Without these scenes, after the death of the Duchess, the dramatist's world view, his tragic vision, would have remained unfolded to us.

# 26.4 LET US SUM UP

Webster, therefore, was not so wrong about himself as might at first sight besupposed. Since, he is not a flamboyant sensationalist, an unthinking maker of eloquent melodramas, he should not be accused of such a lapse. As a matter of fact, he is a stern moralist whose tragedies are carefully designed to enforce the philosophy of human conduct in which he believes. To incarnate his spiritual drama with the full intensity which it demands Webster must perforce use symbols. The battle of heaven and hell cannot be effectively conveyed through the mundane medium of realism. His interpretation of this world could come only through the medium of symbolism. The wild and bloody conventions of his time provided a suitable vehicle for communicating his hell-haunted vision of the world. Undoubtedly, Webster has been successful in both of his great tragedies to make an effective use of the conventions of his day to give shape to his dark vision of the world, which is not without the silver lining of his moral tinge. After all, the evil does destroy itself, even though it does destroy in the process the good also. Thus, his tragic vision, even though a little darker than Shakespeare's, is not without the lightning presence behind the dark clouds of the heavenlyjudgement.

# 26.5 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS

QI Discuss the tragic vision of John Webster.

Q2 Discuss John Webster as a dramatist.

## 26.6 SUGGESTED READINGS

Smith, Michael Anthony. The Tragic Vision of John Webster. Trinity University College, 1973.

Gziel, Hassan Mohamed Mousa. The Transformation of the Tragic vision in Jacobean Drama: John Webster, John Ford and Thomas Middelton. University of Bimingham, 1991.

Moore. Don D Ed. John Webster: The Critical Heritage. Routledge, 1981.

-----

COURSE No. 121

DRAMA-I

LESSON No. 27

M.A. ENGLISH

JOHN WEBSTER

UNIT - VI

(THE DUCHESS OF MALFI)

# WEBSTER'S TRAGIC SATIRE

# STRUCTURE

- 27.1 Introduction
- 27.2 Objectives
- 27.3 Webster's Satire and Tragedy
- 27.4 Moral Purpose of Satire
- 27.5 Let Us Sum Up
- 27.6 Examination Oriented Questions
- 27.7 Suggested Readings

# **27.1 INTRODUCTION**

One of the contributions of Webster as dramatist has been his making satire a natural ally of tragedy. In the Elizabethan comedy, including Shakespeare's satire was of course, an essential component. But in the Elizabethan tragedy, it did not find any substantial presence. In fact, in the strict observance of the principle of decorum, satire could not be combined with tragedy, for the two belonged to different classes - while tragedy belonged to the high literature, satire belonged to the middle. Comedy also belonged to the middle class. Hence, satire and comedy could be combined, but

not satire and tragedy. But with Webster and his contemporaries, tragedy was no longer as lofty as it was with the Elizabethans. In this later age of English drama, the villain had come to occupy the centre stage in tragedy. The loftiness was gone. Also gone was the lofty status of the hero. He was no longer a superman. Nor had Gods any longer a presence in the dark world of the Jacobean tragedy. So, for Webster and his contemporaries, satire was essential in tragedy because their subject was the world of evil and corruption.

As Webster darkens the world of his tragedy, life appears to become in increasing agony. What had been an aberration, even deviation or to the earlier dramatists becomes to Webster the norm. In his dramatic world, each evil is a symbol of death, each abuse a step toward it. In the end, what his satire reveals of the true nature of life is fused with the outcome of his tragic story. The ultimate tragedy in Webster's world is, not the death of any individual, but the presence of evil and its power to decay and drag all mankind to death. The function of satire, as Webster understood it, is to reveal man's common mortality and his involvement in evil. The tragic story is of those persons who show courage to defy such revelation. In their defiance of evil and its designs, these persons prove that there is a glory for mankind. It is in the struggle and assertion of the tragic characters that the brilliance of Webster's tragedy lies.

## **27.2 OBJECTIVES**

The lesson intends to acquaint the learner with the tragic satire of John Webster. It also teaches about the moral purpose of the tragic satire.

# 27.3 WEBSTER'S SATIRE AND TRAGEDY

We must also realize that it is in the fusion of satire and tragedy that Webster shows his strength as dramatist. The greatness of his tragedy is precisely because of his success in making this fusion look so natural. Had this fusion been forced or incomplete, the effect of his tragedies would have been destroyed. Had there been a lack of reality or proper heightening, had there been a failure to integrate the satiric comment, the accusation could have been justly made that these spectacles were merely sensational shows to please the vulgar. As things stand, however relating the dramatic works their own times, considering them in the light of the conventions that produced them, these accusations would be found rather exaggerated. In the case of Webster, they lose credibility altogether, the wholeness of his world being so powerful. His world is so integrated through the tragic action that it assumes the character of a profound comment on life. Second only to Shakespeare, he seems to have found what Yeats called "Emotion of Multitude." As Yeats put it, "Indeed all the great Masters have understood that there cannot be great art without the little limited life of the fable and the rich, far-wandering, many-imaged life of the half-seen world beyond it."

As Coleridge very well understood, Shakespeare looked into the wellsprings of his characters' inner being to its very source in common humanity. And from this common root, comes what Yeats calls the "emotion of multitude." An audience or reader may not immediately understand that emotion consciously, but it seldom fails to respond to that emotion. Webster, of course, forgoes significant inner revelation, and traces the outer patterns of men struggling with one another. He tends to de-emphasize the individual aspects of his fable, and instead relate the fable's action to the doings of men everywhere. It is when the light of satire shines across the plane of tragic action and throws that action into relief that the dark shadows of the protagonists fall across the face of life itself. And it is by so doing that he achieves the "emotion of multitude. And it is owing to this achievement that his great tragedies, The Duchess of Malfi and The White Devil, speak as eloquently today as in the past.

The appeal of these plays, like that of any other literature, has been uneven through different periods of history. The sentimental cast of the eighteenth century or the overidealism of the Romantic movement would not go to them without a certain prejudice of mind. But an age like ours, where the sentimentality and morality are not the ruling passions, these works come through to us more quickly and with greater force. And yet, the world of these tragedies is not as valueless as it seemed to some of these earlier periods of history. In the life of every individual, more so in the case of a sensitive writer, there comes a time when denial of value has to cease. One must find or convince oneself that it can be found, something in the world which is not subject to rot and human bestiality. One can see this happening in the case of Webster. Perhaps his last play, Guise, which he mentions in the preface to The White Devil, might have told us more about what happened to him in his search for ethical values. But as things stand today, it can be surmised that the fire, which sprung forth from his despair and forced him to set forth on the stage all that he saw in the world, seemed to have died suddenly. His two great tragedies alone have the emotional sweep, the full "emotion of multitude." Compared to these, his later tragedy grows conventional, his comedy increasingly foolish. The plays after 1614 are few, and none of them achieves the tragic heights. Then, he seems to have stopped writing altogether.

Why he stopped writing is, of course, not as clear as it becomes from the last plays of Shakespeare. Nor need we indulge in any idle speculation on the issue. We only need to concentrate on the best that Webster left behind, and trace the moral pattern embodied in that best. Without any reservation, his two great tragedies have always been acknowledged as the best that he has left behind for the posterity. Undoubtedly, while his despair flamed, he presented life as he saw it. His despair did, of course, distort the truth of what he saw. His view of life sounds highly coloured. But it remains profound all the same. He seems to have looked into darkness steadily and as a whole. His characters carve out their course against a black panorama, and in the end are lost in darkness. Only a few, before the tumult of terror breakes, can muster courage to assert the essential dignity of the human species. And precisely this, as it is in any degree the picture of a world we must recognize, is, despite all its horror, the stuff of great tragedy.

We may not get as ecstatic in praise of Webster's handling of horror in these great tragedies as does A. C. Swinburne, but we cannot fail to agree to his perception. In his view, the exclusive art of Webster's genius and his work is his command of terror. To quote Swinburne, "Except in Aeschylus, in Dante, and in Shakespeare, I at least know not where to seek for passages which in sheer force of tragic and noble horror... may be set against the subtlest, the deepest, the sublimest passages of Webster." Moving on in his ecstatic adulation of Webster, Swinburne raises him even higher than Marlowe and Shakespeare. "Neither Marlowe nor Shakespeare," he emphatically asserts, "had so fine, so accurate, so infallible a sense of the delicate line of demarcation which divides the impressive and the terrible from the horrible and the loathsome." This sense of discrimination comes, no doubt, from a keen sense of what is human and what is inhuman. And it is this very sense that makes one a satirist. Placing the individual tragedy in the larger social context and undercut the social practices that smack of corruption is the task of a satirist. And it is precisely in this task that Webster excels all his contemporaries.

Thus, we must acknowledge the fact that a satirist is essentially a moralist. After all,

it is one's moral sense only which reflects on and reacts to whatever is less than what he expects of a decent human being. And it requires an art of high order to combine the satirical purpose with the purpose of tragedy. For on the face of it the two sound rather antithetical. But they are not really as far removed from each other as they seem. For, after all, whoever senses a tragedy also senses not merely the individual but also the social factors responsible for that individual tragedy, but it is only a great artist who can successfully blend the two, and so blend them each would enhance the effect or impact of the other. And Webster, to repeat, is one of these great dramatists who have achieved this height in art. As the immeasurable superiority of Aeschylus to his successors lies in his quality of instinctive righteousness, so is it shared by Webster who, too, comes much above his contemporaries in his moral nobility. We say moral nobility because it is this which makes him feel with great intensity the horror and terror of evil in human nature.

Here, one is once again drawn to Swinburne's sober observations on the lasting qualities of Webster' dramatic art. As he says in all seriousness, "In all the vast and voluminous records of critical error there can be discovered no falsehood more foolish or more flagrant than the vulgar tradition which represents this high-souled and gentle-hearted poet as one morbidly fascinated by a fantastic attraction towards the 'violent delights of horror and the nervous or sensational excitement of criminal detail: nor can there be conceived a more perverse or futile misapprehension than that which represents John Webster as one whose instinct led him by some obscure propensity to darken the darkness of southern crime or vice by an infusion of northern seriousness of introspective cynicism and reflective intensity in wrongdoing, into the easy levity and infantine simplicity of spontaneous wickedness which distinguished the moral and social corruption of renascent Italy... The great is not incomparable power displayed in Webster's delineation of such criminals as Flamineo and Bosola... is a sign rather of his noble English loathing for the traditions associated with such names as Caesar, Medici and Borgia, Catiline and Iscariot and Napoleon, than of any sympathetic interest in such incarnations of historic crime. Flamineo, especially, the ardent pimp, the enthusiastic pandar, who prostitutes his sister and assassinates his brother with such earnest and single-hearted devotion to his own straightforward self-interest, has in him a sublime fervour and rascality."

#### **27.4 MORAL PURPOSE OF SATIRE**

As to the moral purpose of Webster's satire, there has been an ongoing controversy, some claiming moral purpose, others denying it. In the absence of critical certainty or unanimityon the subject, it has proved critically agreeable to talk of him as an old fashioned moralist, as a social dramatist, or a man halting between his inherited and his individual values. Where an artist's purposes are uncertain, it is always possible to proceed towards an understanding of his art by another track. We can do that by attempting a more accurate definition of his individual style. In the case of a dramatist, it will mean a study of his use of language and his dramatic technique. In other words, we can make a study of the kind of dramatic experience he communicates in his plays.

We can take up for a speciman the case of The White Devil. Here, as is generally recognized, the structure is rather loose and rambling. It had been called a sort of gothic aggregation rather than a steady exploration of and development towards a single communication. Another view has been that the play is a revenge tragedy. But it has been pointed out that the play has three, not one, revengers. It has also been considered, in its width and range, a history play. It has also been called a tragedy of passion, or of great deeds overthrown. Here, again, the objection raised is that there is no single disaster. A few critics have also called it a satirical drama. But here again the objection has been that there are, not one, but three satirical commentators. Considering all these views, one wonders whether these are mere critical opinions, or considered understandings and appreciations of the art of Webster's play. Opinions are meant to be different. But there always is an area of art where opinions may not come into play; that is, if opinions are not expressed just to get ourselves included among those who also said something about the play. Otherwise, the area of art is its own organism where the interrelationship of different parts and the various functions of different devices can be objectively examined, understood, and appreciated on the basis of the end result of the relationships and functions.

As has been gathered from the various critical opinions on the play, The White Devil gets large acceptance both as tragedy and satire. The only objection raised in both cases is that instead of single-minded, concentrated treatment of either tragedy or satire, there is greater emphasis on variety or multiplicity of viewpoints on satire as well as tragedy. There

are three revengers rather than one; and there are three satirical commentators rather than one. It will do well to remember here that neither Webster's Elizabethan predecessors nor he himself followed the classical dictum of three unities. Their strength as dramatists lies in the variety of life they provide within a single structure, and the multiplicity of viewpoints they offer on a single subject. The case of Shakespeare's double plots, which at one time was a structural flaw, has long since been settled as a virtue of his vision. The doubling or multiplying a situation, it has been agreed, help universalize an experience, and provide to the reader the advantage of double or multiple perspective.

We know how in the case of Shakespeare' Hamlet, the revenge theme is handled at three different levels by having within a single plot three revengers of varying consciousness. While Fortinbras, seeking revenge upon Hamlet for his father's death by Hamlet's father, is a soldier with straight morality of blood for blood, Hamlet himself, seeking revenge on Claudius for his father's murder, faces all kinds of complexities created by his mother being an accomplice in the act. Hamlet speaks of puritanical ideas of sin, of penitence and penance, of incest and adultery, of heaven and hell, etc. The third revenger, Laertes, seeking revenge on Hamlet for his father's death by Hamlet, reacts neither like the soldier Fortinbras nor like the philosopher Hamlet, but falls an easypreyto Claudius' intrigues, finding himself face to face with Hamlet in a sword battle over the grave of his sister, Ophelia. Well, the number of revengers here is no less than those in The White Devil. No doubt, Monticelso is at first ready to "stake a brother's life" for the sake of revenge, but later, he says "It's damnable." Also, Francisco is a revenger who works mostly through other men and escapes scot-free at the end. Lodovico, another revenger, is the one who satisfies his own pride while working for Francisco, and finally loses his life. Another revenger, Giovanni, stands for justice in revenge.

Now, taking all these shades of revenge attitudes represented by different revengers onlyproves, as it does in the case of Shakespeare's Hamlet, that in the view of the dramatist, there is no blind law of blood for blood. As Hamlet himself puts it, "there is nothing good or bad, only thinking makes it so." The point that Hamlet seems to make here is that action alone cannot be called good or bad by itself. With what thinking, to what purpose or end, an action has been taken by a person would determine the moral status of that action. Here, in both these plays, there are revengers with very different intents and purposes, and all of them cannot be considered to occupy the same moral status. This aspect of Elizabethan and Jacobean drama has to be understood in the light of the new learning of the Renaissance. The unified, even closed, society of the Medieval ages no longer existed, and the individual has come to experience the fruit of free thinking, or least to have available to him ideas alternative to the medieval on every subject and situation one had to face in life. The dramatist, Shakespeare or Webster, is onlybeing true to his age, and by so doing dramatizes to us the greater awareness of life and more developed consciousness on the part of his protagonist than was available to the medieval man. If anything, multiplicity of revengers makes for the writer's complexity of consciousness and maturity of vision.

It is the same view we have to take of there being more than one commentator in the satire of The White Devil. This has been attributed to the multiplicity of sources Webster had available to him for the story of Vittoria. J.R. Brown, for instance, comments: "Notice that, when we begin to analyse the nature of Webster's dramatic style, his heterogeneous debts to other dramatists begin to make sense; at least they all seem to serve a consistent technical purpose. Such multiplicity is not found in any of the contemporary accounts of Vittoria which may have been Webster's sources; it was he who introduced the death of Marcello and the madness of Cornelia in the last Act, who developed Flamineo's role, brought Francisco to Padua to act as commentator, gave Loctovico a personal motive for revenge, and added to the importance of Giovonnia at the close."

### 27.5 LET US SUM UP

This only proves that Webster made all these changes with a purpose, and that obviously was to present a world picture which could be viewed from the eyes of three commentators, giving a prism-view of life, rich and complex, not simplified by either the convention of revenge or the tradition of satire. He combines both and combines them with all the complexity of vision available to him at the time. And for communicating this complexity, he created a unique dramatic style, in which his use of language, the pulse of his prose and verse, his imagery, the continual choric comment, ironic, comic, and straight forward, the sensational happenings and sudden changes in action and sentiment, all seem entirely appropriate to his purpose. The multiplicity and looseness of his dramatic structure give a width and complexity of presentation to his works next only to Shakespeare's.

### 27.6 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS

- Q1 John Webster is a tragic satirist. Discuss.
- Q2 John Webster is satirist with a purpose. Discuss.
- Q3 Discuss the moral vision of John Webster.

# 27.7 SUGGESTED READINGS

Bogard, Travis. The Tragic Satire of John Webster. Rusell & Rusell, 1965.

Murray, Peter B. A Study of John Webster Mouton, 1969.

Peason, Jacqueline. Tragedy and tragicomedy in the plays of John Webster Manchester UP, 1980.

-----

COURSE No. 121

DRAMA-I

LESSON No. 28

M.A. ENGLISH

JOHN WEBSTER

UNIT - VI

(THE DUCHESS OF MALFI)

# PLOT AND STRUCTURE

#### STRUCTUR

- 28.1 Introduction
- 28.2 Objectives
- 28.3 Structure of the Play
- 28.4 Plot Construction
- 28.5 Let Us Sum Up
- 28.6 Examination Oriented Questions
- 28.7 Suggested Readings

#### **28.1 INTRODUCTION**

Writing about the Elizabethan drama, T. S. Eliot observed, "The art of the Elizabethans is an impure art The aim of the Elizabethans was to attain complete realism without surrendering any of the advantages which as artists they observed in unrealistic conventions." John Webster being closer to this art shares most of its aspects in both The Duchess of Malfi as well as The White Devil. One can, in Eliot's sense, call both of Webster's great tragedies examples of "an impure art." In his The Duchess of Malfi, Webster plays over the whole gamut between firm convention and

complete realism. From the conventional dumb-show to the would- be realistic pathos of "I pray thee, look thou giv'st my little boy/some syrup for his cold...," or from the horrorshow of "the artificial figures of Antonio, and his children, appearing as if they were dead" to the realization of a character's psychological state, in such lines as Ferdinand's muchquoted "Cover up her face: mine eyes dazzle: she died young," or Antonio's "I have no use/To put my life to" many critics have seen a confusion, in Webster's play, between convention and realism, leading to a failure of his dramatic technique. Their contention is that this mixing leads to, so to say, to the lack of structure in The Duchess of Malfi, as well as in The White Devil.

## **28.2 OBJECTIVES**

The objective of this lesson is to make the learner familiar with the plot and the structure of the drama The Duchess of Malfi by John Webster.

## 28.3 STRUCTURE OF THE PLAY

It has been very common with critics to say that when Webster uses conventional dramatic material, such as the various devices of the Revenge play. he does it only for show value, for dramatic effect. He never uses them, in the critical opinion, for furthering the dramatic action, or for the meaning of the play. On the contrary, Webster's dramatic meaning would appear to consist in his poetry, such as Bosola's "...didst thou ever see a lark in a cage?" The meaning of the play comes through in his poetry irrespective of the dramatic devices employed. It is also one of the critical favourites to say that it is only when Webster's poetry fails to do the trick that he falls back on showmanship. This showmanship includes all the apparatus of dead hands, wax images, dancing madmen, and dirge-singing tomb-makes in The Duchess of Malfi. Even if we agree that the other contemporaries of Webster made better observance of conventions than he did, it is not necessary that his mixing of conventions and realism would always be a disadvantage to him as a dramatist. A successful dramatist may fuse, rather than confuse, the two contrary elements. He may put them together to his dramatic mdvantage. For sure, Shakespeare did so; and so did, undoubtedly, John Webster By successfully amalgamating convention and realism, both Webster and Shakespeare created something structurally new and vital, enriching the existing

forms thereby. This "something" is much more elusive than a rigid form or any of its ingredients.

One of the problems for the critics of The Duchess of Malfi has been the death scene of the Duchess. It has been condemned by some as a structural oddity, praised by others as a complex character-analysis. Most critics would grant that the scene isa penetrating piece of character analysis, but very few would appreciate its structural appropriateness. The inner development of her character is revealed, no doubt, through language where juxtaposition of sublime and lowly suggests the tremendous tension in her mind :

The heaven over my head seems made of molten brass, The earth of flaming sulphur, yet I am not mad: As the tann'd galley-slave is with his oar

We are made to follow, through such language, the inner development of the Duchess towards the acceptance of her fate, till she humbly kneels to welcome death. In the middle of such a moving human experience, Webster introduces a pack of howling madmen, who sing and dance and make antic speeches. As these figures leave the stage, it is followed by another apparatus, of "dirge-singing tomb-makers," etc.

Here, all kinds of critical questions have been raised about the structural propriety of such a show material. How are we to reconcile such opposed elements? The commonly critical answer has been that it is just another instance of Webster's constant letting us down, his constant sacrifice of the unity of plot and structure, in order to achieve dramatic effects. Seen in the over-all scheme of the play, the madmen's masque is, for sure, part of a larger structural unit - a more extensive masque. Within the scene of the Duchess's death, this larger masque is being developed on a framework of realistic dramatic representation. The framework itself bears an analogous relationship to the masque structure. The action of the scene can be grasped only by seeing both, the basic framework and the masque structure, and the progressive interaction of the two. And it is this structural counterpointing of convention and realism, this concentrated purity of art, so to say, which imparts to the scene its

peculiar nature.

Webster's introduction of masque in his tragedy was nothing new at the time he wrote The Duchess of Malfi. It had become by then a long-established tradition. Right from Thomas Kyd down to Tourner, masque had been traditionally used to commit revering murder or otherwise resolve the plot. The essence of the masque, throughout its tradition, was "the arrival of certain persons vigored and disguised, to dance a dance or present an offering." Although the structure of the early Tudor masque had become overlaid with literature and with show, the masques inserted in Jacobean plays stayed close to the simpler structure of the Elizabethan masque. It is the servant who is made by Webster the presenter of the masque in The Duchess of Malfi. He delivers a speech introducing each of the eight madmen masquers. This has been called a product of Webster's grim comico-satirical strain. In terms of realistic plot, it also looks out of place here. But not so, however, if seen in the tradition to which it belongs.

If we look into the history of the masque, it is found that from 1608 onwards practically every court-masque was preceded by an antimasque, often danced by "antics." Webster is obviously working here in an antimasque tradition which cameto have many uses in the drama that followed Webster. After the servant's presentation of the madmen masquers, the masquers themselves appear. Then one of them sings a song to what the stage directions describe as "a dismal kind of music." Here is that so-called song:

> O let us howl, some heavy note, Some deadly dogged howl, Sounding as from the threat' ning throat Of beasts, and fatal fowl!

The song is immediately followed by various madmen speaking for ihemselves, in a series of disjointed speeches. These speeches verbally link the episode with main themes of The Duchess of Malfi. By now it must become clear that the episode is not a structural oddity as considered by certain critics. It is pot a Bedlam-broke-loose. Seen in the overall structure of the play, this scene at be very centre of the plot, is highly functional. It is significantly related to the events represented on the stage. One can see that there is a nucleus of folk tradition in the masque, which has a direct bearing on the action of the play. Hence, it is justified for being a part of the play's plot.

Traditionally, after the masquers had danced "their own measure," they would "take out" members of the audience to dance. This peculiar feature of involving the audience in the proceedings distinguished the masque as an art term from the drama. In *The Duchess of Malfi*, the Duchess is now "taken out". It is at this point, directly upon the madmen's "own measure," that Bosola, masqued "like an old man", enters the stage. His "invitation," or summons, to the Duchess is as conclusive as could be: "I am come to make thy tomb." The Duchess until now has been a rather passive spectator like the audience. But now, with an abrupt change, she takes part in what is happening. Bosola's disguise is like that of the traditional masque image of Time. Also, his appearance, while again focusing our attention on the Duchess, turns the mock wedding-masque into what reminds us of a Dance of Death. Bosola's speech at the moment, "thou art a Irox of worm-seed, at best, but a salvatory of green mumy...," is from the view-point of plot rather extravagant. But from the viewpoint of the play's thematic design, it is fed with meaning by the masque structure around it, quite as much as is the grave-digger's speech in *Hamlet*.

Thus, Act IV, entirely devoted to the death of the Duchess, gives an insight into Webster's "impure art." The scene II of the act, with its masque of madmen, neither fits into a realistic scheme of cause and effect, or psychological motivation, nor doesit consistently embody convention. It balances between those two alternatives. No doubt, it is a precarious balance, which Webster loses elsewhere. But here in this scene, he holds the tension between the two and draws strength from both. It can be rightly remarked here that Webster's art is most "impure" at the centers of meaning of his plays, and that his peculiar skill lay in his ability to utilize the very impurity of his art. When we finally try to grasp how Webster holds the balance between convention and realism, we come to realize that he achieves it by poetic means. For instance, the masque is related to the realistic dramatic representation of what happens, within the scene where it appears, in the manner of a poetic analogy. In other words, the Duchess's marriage, leading to her murder, is like a marriage-masque turned into a masque of Death. Conventional masque elements have helped to give Webster a structure on which to build up the most powerful irony. The irony is, in fact, there in the very analogy between the represented situation and the masque. The irony culminates when the two parts of the analogy become interchangeable: the Duchess becomes "involved" in the masque, and her fate becomes one with the progress of the masque. Also, as in the case of any effective metaphor, the implications reach beyond the immediate situation. In Bosola's worm-seed speech, it is not only the Duchess but in the manner of the Death Macabre -all flesh and all things are involved. What Webster seems to suggest can be grasped here only through the technique he uses in the scene.

Another episode that has been severely criticized for its improbability in the play's structure occurs in Act II, scene iii. Here, Antonio hides in jest from the Duchess and is then replaced by Ferdinand, with daggers in his words and hands. Ferdinand never sees Antonio, though he talks to him through the arras. Antonio only emerges when Ferdinand has disappeared. The critics have pointed out here the physical improbability of the scene. But G.K. Hunter is the one to whom the scene "conveys brilliantly the pattern that Webster is aiming at: the sense of human passions yearning for fulfilment, but never able to reach resolution, because never able to come into full face contact with one another, and speak out directly." Throughout Webster's tragedies we encounter a world where the individual is powerless to realize the integrity of his desires. There are no shared persuations or presuppositions among characters. It is a shattered and self-divided world. Direct communications seem rather difficult to come by. The point made comes TO US through the sum of the obliquities. What we have, in place of a community of shared values, is a complex of divided characters, each involved in others, and none able to separate from the entanglement.

Webster's vision imposes on his pattern a deviousness of action as well as an abrogation of the free will of his characters. As a result, the plays' action becomes too atomized by competing individualism to reach any conclusion. Also, the individuals are too isolated from each other ever to make a community of common values and purposes. But this should not be understood to mean that in his dramatic world the ultimate meaning of life is denied. On the contrary, his evil is always self-defeating. Also,

there is always a retributive Justice in Heaven, which is time and again emphasized through commentary in the play:

Other sins only speak; murder shrieks out: The element of water moistens the earth, But blood flies upwards, and bedews the heavens.

However, even though Webster affirms the existence and supremacy of the stars or the Heaven, he also shows that any attempt to steer by them leads inevitably to the disaster of worldly hopes. As Ferdinand says,

..... some

hold opinion, all things are written there. To which Bosola replies, Yes, if we could find spectacles to read them.

Thus, the intense gnomic activity in The Duchess of Malfi, is not irrelevant to its action. Actually, the play's action is organized on the principles that are derived from gnomic understanding. Webster is excessively concerned with certain patterns of action which exhibit man lost and isolated, or in a state of servile subjection.

However, these patterns become important only if the author can convey some sense of the values they exclude, the sense of loss in the world of power, the tension between Virtue and Fortune. He seems to carry to its logical extreme the concern with "what men were, and are." His assumption, for sure, is that it is only in action that men truly reveal themselves. Conversely, his belief seems to be that contemplation or pure knowledge may be beautiful, but it is ineffective. This assumption, in fact, belonged to the Renaissance humanists, from whom it was handed down to the Elizabethan and Jacobean dramatists. The line of tragic drama from Marston to Webster explores the assumption in terrifying detail, facing, as it does, the Italianate or modern world of success-at-all-costs with scorn and horror. Thus, the play's structure is so designed as to clearly communicate Webster's vision of this world full of scorn and horror. The entire apparatus of theatrical conventions he uses, the characters he creates for dramatizing his vision of the world, the incidents that he uses to bring out the hidden fires in human nature, are all geared towards a common end, which is to illustrate that vision.

## **28.4 PLOT CONSTRUCTION**

No doubt, Webster does not construct his plays, in some ways, as Shakespeare did. But that also does not mean that his contractions are not as good as those of Shakespeare. It is generally believed that Webster created for himself in The Duchess of Malfi, structural problems by trying to do more than one thing at a time. The more commonly identified problems are the play's ironic repetition and deliberate fragmentation. To these critics, while the play's first four Acts constitute a tragic plot, the fifth act confronts tragedy with satire, tragic-comedy, and a distorted view of the tragic absolutes. This method, seemingly unconventional, has been viewed rather unkindly by critics. Some have called it "broken-backed," others an "anticlimax," rather fatal to the unity of the play. The final Act seems to be deliberately separated from the rest by a change in language and by an increasing load of the comic and tragicomic substance. It also shows a change in focus on certain characters. We are made or placed increasingly distanced from the characters. As a result, it becomes less and less easy to accept what they tell us. It requires an extra effort on our part now to see the intents behind their words. Their purposes and professions become far removed from each other. Deviousness and deception become more common in these characters. Those characters who until the end of the fourth Act stood delegates to the audience have either disappeared from the scene altogether or stand apart with the earlier relation shattered. For cumple, in the earlier Acts Antonio guided our judgements, but has now all of a sudden shrunk in status after the death of the Duchess. Bosola has now taken over bis clear-sighted grasp of character and Delio his stubbom integrity. Only his less attractive characteristics remain, such as his sub-conscious wish for disaster, his belpless indecision, poor judgement, and desire for safety. Like Ferdinand and the Cardinal, he, too, is destroyed by the death of the Duchess

The play's last Act contains a large number of parodies and incomplete versions of tragedy. The deliberate fictional versions have now replaced the genuine tragedy of the

Duchess. For instance, the cardinal's baseless story of the ominous haunting of the family bywoman killed byher own kinsmen for her riches is the nearest he can get to understanding tragedy. This fabrication is, for sure, a parody of the story of the Duchess. We are at once reminded of Ferdinand who had said that he hoped to gain infinite mass of treasure by her death." This manipulator and manufacturer of fiction is given an appropriate death. He becomes a victim of his own fabulous designs. His death becomes an appropriate comment on his own life and exact inversion of the tragic process. Thus, suffering gets surrounded by comedy, knowledge only leads to despair, and the cardinal is reduced to "a little point, a kind of nothing."

The cardinal's death constitutes a clear anti-tragedyin which thesolemn tragic moment of Duchess's death disintegrates. The death of Ferdinand is made to follow the same pattern. It is also surrounded by fiction and force instead of the genuine pity and horror of tragedy. His madness is another anti-thesis of tragic awareness. He can onlylook backwards. And the cardinal onlywelcomes oblivion. These two deaths provide the antithetical versions to the tragedy of Duchess's death.

The tragedy of Duchess, ending in her death in the fourth Act, is followed by a number of distorted versions of it, changing more and more the tragic spirit nto the comic and satirical. For instance, Cariola resists and lies, Julia refuses to evaluate her own life, Cardinal and Ferdinand invert and parody the achievement of tragic awareness and affirmation. The death of Antonio also acquires an anti- tragic tinge. He is killed casually and accidentally, just as Polonius is killed in Hamlet, while hiding behind curtain. Thus, all these tiny centers help reverse the spirit of Duchess's tragedy. Finally, the action reaches far away from tragedy in the death of Bosola. The villain's definition of himself as a justified avenger is also undercut by the brutally simple summing up of his character by Malateste: "Thou wretched thing of blood." His death, like so many more after the death of the Duchess, provides an ironic inversion of tragedy with ambiguous knowledge and affirmation of life. Even Delio's last lines turn out to be an ironic undercut. He attempts to redefine greatness which, he says, lies not in birth or power but in moral excellence. As he insists, men are truly great when they are lords of truth. Only integrity of life, which is complete moral life, leads to immortal fame. Obviously, the Duchess, like the heroine of a tragic

comedy, is assured of an immortality because of her essential nobility, her innate goodness.

The play's last lines, which apparently seem to offer a "reaffirmation," tum out to be ambiguous and complex, and so the play's vision of the future. Antonio's son is destined to become Duke "in his mother's right." This makes us believe that political and moral orders would get restored in this rightful act. The real heir, however, turns out to be the Duchess's son by her first marriage, whose horoscope's prediction is that he would have a short life and meet with violent death. Thus, even the play's final restoration of order becomes profoundly ironic. The tragedy of the Duchess is posed at the summit of a descending scale. The play returns from the height to the confusions, ironies, and uncertainties of our real life.

But, as was asserted, earlier, the last act looks anti-climactic only if we proceed with the set assumption that the play is a conventional tragedy. But if we keep an open mind, study its structure step by step, relate each part to the whole, then we shall see that all its aspects - tragedy as well as satire, comic scenes as well as serious - fall into a pattern and form a definite design. Shakespeare's Hamlet, with its grave-digger's scene and those between Hamlet and Polonius, is the best example before us of how tragedy and satire can be combined, and both to the advantage of tragedy. These comic and satirical elements only enrich the tragic design as well as the tragic vision. Webster's The Duchess of Malfi, makes use of these and more such elements and gains by their presence rather than lose its basic tragic effect. Webster's tragedy fully conforms to the conventions of his times. The trouble arises only when we try to apply the norms of classical Roman of Greek tragedy to the play, which it was never meant to be.

#### 28.5 LET US SUM UP

The Duchess of Malfi consists of five acts. The Duchess of Malfi originally published as The Tragedy of the Dutchesse of Malfy is a macbre, tragic play written by the English dramatist John Webster in 1612-13. The play is set in the court of Malfi, Italy, from 1504 to 1510. The recently widowed Duchess falls in love with Antonio, a lovely steward. Her brothers, Ferdinand and Cardinal, forbid her remarrying seeking to defend their inheritance. Suspicious of her, they hire Basala to spy on her. She elopes with Antonio and bears him three children. The Duchess takes Basola into her confidence, unaware that he is Ferdinand's

spy, which leads to her tragic death. The play is still popular in the contemporary period evident by the fact its current performance by the Royal Shakespeare Company in the Swan Theatre in Stranford-upon-Avon.

# 28.6 EXAMINATION OREINTED QUESTIONS

- Q1 Discuss the structure of the play The Duchess of Malfi.
- Q2 Evaluate on the plot of the play The Duchess of Malfi.

## 28.7 SUGGESTED READING

"The Duchess of Malfi Synopsis." Royal Shakespeare Company. <u>https://</u> www.rsc.org.uk/the-duchess-of-mafi/plot.

Luckyj, C. "Plot and Subplot in the Duchess of Malfi." Jstor.com.https:// www.jstor.org/stable/450466

Webster, John. The Duchess of Malfi Dover Publications, Inc., 1623

-----

COURSE No. 121

DRAMA-I

LESSON No. 29

M.A. ENGLISH JOHN WEBSTER

UNIT - VI

(THE DUCHESS OF MALFI)

# **REPRESENTATION OF WOMEN**

## STRUCTURE

- 29.1 Introduction
- 29.2 Objectives
- 29.3 Representation of Women

29.4 Let Us Sum Up

29.5 Examination Oriented Questions

29.6 Suggested Reading

#### **29.1 INTRODUCTION**

The representation of women in Elizabethan comedy and Jacobean tragedy has been a matter of great interest among critics. It is generally agreed that women in the drama of this period are represented as strong, self-willed, intelligent, and mostly noble. They are shown doing well in different roles, including that of a lawyer or an administrator. Their love is ennobling, their charms are humanizing, their wit and intelligence are incomparable. At the same time, it will be wrong to presume that women in the seventeenth century actually enjoyed the freedom and privilege that are accorded in the drama of the period, or that the society of the time accepted women to possess all the virtues theyare credited with in these plays. The non-literarysources available to us today tell us an altogether a different story. These sources give no evidence of women having been emancipated at that time. In fact, what surprises the reader of the Elizabethan and Jacobean drama is this striking difference between the actual and the represented status of women in the society of the time. Where do these ideas about women come from when their position in the society of the period was not so glorious as represented in the dramatic literature?

#### **29.2 OBJECTIVES**

The lesson shows how John Webster has presented women characters in his dramas, especially in *s* 

#### **29.3 REPRESENTATION OF WOMEN**

First of all, let us look into the leading female characters available to us in the Jacobean drama. The women who immediately occur to us in this connection are the Duchess of Webster's *The Duchess of Malfi*, Vittoria of The White Devil, and Beatrice-Joanna of Middleton's The Changeling. These women clearly share the common attributes of passion, sensuality, courage, intelligence, cunning, and ambition. These qualities of character make these females so attractive and admirable that for centuries they have been accepted as a part of consistent and believable female heroic persona. We have leading female characters in Shakespeare's comedies, but we do not have a single leading female character in his tragedies. We have tragic heroines in the Greek drama, but not in the Elizabethan. It is remarkable that in the Jacobean period Webster should choose to have woman as the leading character in both of his great tragedies. As has been rightly acknowledged, it can be said that one of John Webster's most original contributions to English tragedy consisted in his examination of the characteristics which combine to produce a convincing tragic heroine.

When we come to consider the fact that this heroic woman is done to death by her own brothers, we cannot help asking, "What did this woman do to merit death?" Perhaps, the answer is, that the tragedy which successfully presents a sympathetic tragic heroine must also be concerned with the question, "Can this woman be trusted?" Of course, it is not a matter of one woman being able to trust another. It is, in point of fact, a matter of whether one man or many men can trust one particular woman. Also, what is important to understand here is the nature of this question. Can this woman be trusted?" is, as a matter of fact, a peculiarly patriarchal question to ask. In Webster's major tragedies this point is emphasized by the strange situation of his heroines. Both, the Duchess and Vittoria move in exclusively masculine worlds. Also, both appear to be cut off from contact with other women. Then, both are virtually isolated from the friendship or companionship of women of their own rank.

Critics have pointed out that the Jacobean dramatists, especially Webster, show great insight into female character, even female psychology. They maintain that the female portrayals are convincing. To this, the feminists have reacted insisting that the characters look convincing to the male critics because they are drawn from a distinctly male viewpoint. How men conceive of women? What do they think of women? If the female characters square up with their views, they are called convincing. Even a female critic, Una-Ellis-Fermor, endorses this view. Praising Middleton, for instance, she says: "Middleton's capacity for tragedy is inseparable from his other supreme gift, his discernment of the minds of women; in this no dramatist of the period except Shakespeare is his equal at once for variety and for penetration." The feminists of today would not accept the old-timer woman critic, who writes just as men wrote - criticism on accepted critical ethos, including ideas of malehood and feminity. They find that the qualities being praised of the women characters in the Jacobean plays are actually morally dubious. Some of these qualities are: cunning, duplicity, sexual rapaciousness, changeableness.

Let us examine the case of the Duchess in Webster's play, our immediate concern here. The first time we see the Duchess in the play, she is shown in an atmosphere fraught with explicitly offensive sexual innuendo. Here, she is involved in this offensive affair, which is made to control our assessment of her character for the rest of the play's time. Here is the scene of her appearance:

FERDINAND. You are a widow:

You know already what man is, and therefore Let not youth, high promotion, eloquenceARDINAL. No, nor anything without the addition, honour,

Sway your high blood.

FERDINAND. Marry! They are most luxurious

Will wed twice.

DUCHESS. Will you hear me?

I'll never marry :-

CARDINAL. So most widows say!

But commonly that motion lasts no longer Than the turning of an hour-glass-the funeral sermon And it, end both together.

The sexual innuendo comes to a climax a couple of speeches later. The Duchess reveals the accuracy of her brothers' prediction. She confirms their dark travesty of female lasciviousness and doubleness simultaneously. Note the following conversation which reveals it all:

FERDINAND. You are my sister-

This was my father's poniand: do you see! I'd be loth to see 'trusty, 'cause 't was his :-A visor and a mask are whispering-rooms That were ne'er built for goodness: for ye well :-And women like that part which, like the lamprey Hath ne'er a bone in't.

DUCHESS. Fie sir!

FERDINAND. Nay,

I mean the tongue: variety of courtship....

What cannot a neat knave with a smooth tale Make a woman believe? Farewell lusty widow [Exit] DUCHESS. Shall this move me? If all my royal kindered Lay in my way unto this marriage,

I'd make them my low footsteps.

Antonio's picture of the Duchess, painted after his infatuation, of stereotype female virtue cannot stand its ground before this encounter here. The impact of this conversation is far more powerful than what the infatuated lover, and that too a servant of the Duchess, has to say in flattery of her.

What Ferdinand fears, the Duchess declares: she is committed to her hust/ love for Antonio. Her "luxuriousness" (or lust) drives her into secret marriage with Antonio. She flouts the wishes of her brother, just as Gertude belies the wishes of her son in *Hamlet*. Hamlet's mother too, marries Claudius, which act on her part he keeps condemning as bestial. Considered lower in her sexual drive than even "a beast that wants discourse of reason," the Duchess of Malifi steps out of the path of "duty" and marries for lust. Once the Duchess has taken this step, she remains heroically committed to it irrespective of the consequences that she knows, would follow. Of course, her resoluteness gets gradually commuted into the splendour of a resigned passive acceptance of her inevitable downfall:

FERDINAND. How doth our sister duchess bear herself

In her imprisonment?

BOSOLA. Nobly; I'll describe her:

She's sad, as one long us'd to 't; and she seems Rather to welcome the end of misery Than shun it; - a behaviour so noble As gives a majesty to adversity; You may discern the shape of lovelivess

#### More perfect in her tears, than in her smiles.

The female tragic protagonist shows "majesty" in her most reassuring and admirable form when associated with patient suffering/We know how Griselda, the Virgin Mary, Hecuba, all prostrate with grief. Here, what has been called a "convincing" representation of the developing psychology of the female protagonist is obviously the transformation of lascivious waywardness into emblematic chaste resignation.

The typical Jacobean attitude towards women was to consider them unreliable. "Frailty thy name is woman," which the Prince of Denmark pronounces in Shakespeare's *Hamlet* was universal with the 17th century male members of English society. Donne's "Go and catch a falling star" stresses the same stereotype image of the woman. Such statements about women, so common in the poetry and drama of the age, were always meant to remind the audience of the female sensuality. This image of the woman as a deceiving creature when it comes to lust or sensuality perhaps was derived from the Biblical story of the Fall. Since, the first woman failed to resist the sensual temptation, all women thereafter inherited the basic female trait. This religious sanction came handy to the male members for subjugating the female members to their stronger partners. Even Desdemona's marriage for love is given the same colouring:

> Look to her Moor if thou hast eyes to see; She has deceiv'd her father, and thee.

Such accusations against women were meant to make them feel "guilty" of their willful acts of love and marriage. Unless the act is sanctioned by the male authority-father, brother, husband - it remains an immoral act, and hence, liable to punishment.

In the eyes of Jacobean audience, these women had to be severely punished for their inordinate sensuality so that others of the race do not make the mistake. What Webster thought of the Duchess's story and what he expected from the audience of his age in reacting to her story can be gauged from the source he relied upon for the plot of his play. The acknowledged source of Webster's *The Duchess of Malfi* was William Painter's *The Palace of Pleasure* (1566/67). This book, consisting of lively tales translated from Boccaccio and other Italian writers, was a source, in fact, for all of the Elizabethan and Jacobean dramatists, including Shakespeare. The twenty-third "novel" in this book is entitled, "The Duchess of Main, the infortunate marriage of a gentleman, called Antonio Bologna with the Duchess of Malfi, and the pitiful death of them both." The moral message of this novella is unequivocal from the very opening paragraph of the tale, which runs thus:

Wherefore it behoveth the Noble, and such as have charge of common wealth, to live an honest life, and bear their port upright, that none have cause to take ill example upon discourse of their deeds and naughtie life. And above all, that modesty ought to be kept by women, as their race. Noble birth, authoritie and name, maketh them more famous, even so their vertue, honestie, chastity, and continencie more praiseworthy. And behovefull it is, that like as they wishe to be honoured above all other, so their life do make them worthy of the honour, without disgracing their name by deede or woorde, or blemishing that brightnesse which may commende the same. I greatly feare that all the Princely factes, the exploits and conquests done by the Babylonian queen Semyramis, never was recommended with such praise, as hir vice had shame in records by those which left remembrance of ancient acts. Thus say, because a woman being as it were the image of sweetness, curtesie and shame-fastnesse, so soone as she steppeth out of the right tracte, and leaveth the smel of hir duetie and modestie, besides the denigration of her honor thrusfeth hir self into infinite troubles and causeth the ruine of such which should be honored and praised, if women's allurement solicited them not to follie.

Here is characterized the image of woman created by the age, which, if violated in any manner, would invite punishment from the authority that be. The woman is made here an emblem of passive and dutiful behaviour, of sweetness, courtesy and shame. Any act violating this code will cause a woman's fall from the pedestal. An entire glorious military career is blotted out when queen Semyramis seduces her son.

In the dramatic text of Webster's *The Duchess of Malfi*, too, active sexuality on the part of the Duchess is considered a breach of conduct carved out for the woman by the ethos of the age. In the moment of disobeying her brothers and remarrying, she asserts her sexual independence. But her act of marrying, and that too her inferior in social hierarchy,

makes the widow a double sinner in the eyes of her brothers and the society of the time. This metamorphosizes her from an ideal mirror of virtue into lascivious whore, for she has preferred "lust" to "duty". The Duchess as a woman is made to stand out against all the social odds. She has shown courage in defying a social code, which debars her from marrying again if her first husband is lost, which debars her from marrying a person of her choice, if that person is from a lower class than her own. When she chooses to defy these social codes, she has decided to become a rebel. And as a rebel, she is to be punished so that others dare not question the social code. She takes the punishment, and she takes it bravely. She refuses to relent, she remains steadfast. She maintains her dignityas an individual and sacrifices for her freedom. She is, thus, ahead of her time. She is a martyr for the cause of female freedom and dignity.

For fuller appreciation of the social position of woman in the seventeenth century, in the context of which the story of the Duchess is to be viewed, we need to look into some of the relevant records of the social order in that age. In the history of England, sixteenth century can be considered a period of some of the major changes of farreaching effects. One such change was with regard to the law of inheritance. However, the practice of inheritance was not the same as the law of inheritance. What existed in the law was not accepted in practice. Hence, there were circumventings of law to avoid smaller divisions of land holdings, also to favour sons as against daughters. Incidentally, in our own country we have the law of equal rights to property of daughters, but how many daughters in actual practice get their due? Historians reveal that in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, great landowners found themselves under threat from wealthy status-seeking burghers. As a result, they preferred to tinker with the legislation so that their dwindling estates were not divided any further. The issue is said to have come down to a head on conflict between the nobleman's estate and the increasingly powerful mercantile class's cash. At the heart of every "tinkering" with the law could be found a woman in every meticulously drawn up will of the nobility and gentry of the period.

Even before the demographic accident had produced an alarming shortfall in male heirs, female kin had come to be seen as destructive of estate conservation. A daughter had to be provided with dowry, and a part of this dowry, in the case of noble families, would be in the form of land. As soon as a woman produced an heir to her husband's line, that land would become part of the alien line's permanent holding. However, in the absence of an heir, the land would revert to her own family, either upon her death, or upon that of her husband. In the absence of any sons at all, the estate would be divided among daughters, as it is done by Lear in Shakespeare's *King Lear*. Also, in the event of a nobleman's death before his wife, one-third of all his lands went to the widow for her use during her lifetime. This imposed a considerable burden on the heir, and might, if she remarried, result once again in the division of estate. One wonders whether the regular confusion of "dowry" and "dower" (entirely distinct in law) in popular parlance stemmed from the threat to the continuity of male inheritance.

The female heirs occupied prominent position in all the complex tacticalmanoeuvres surrounding inheritance. This prominence was in striking contrast totheir enforced submissiveness elsewhere in the Jacobean social system. This contrast, in a way, sounds ironic. It was never the intention of lawyers and landownerspreoccupied with patrilinear succession to involve their women as other thanmeans to a patriarchal end. But it also remains true that the female nobles andgentry do obtrude during this period in their capacity as carriers of inheritance. Wemust also be clear about the fact that the law about female inheritance did not givethem any real power. They are only technically strong. Their strength can be said to be limited to causing patriarchal anxiety. As a matter of fact, they remain in thrall. Middleton's Women Beware Women, makes the point clear. Here, the handsomely dowried Isabella bewails her lack of personal choice of a marriage partner whilst at the same time affirming her importance in the inheritance stakes:

ISABELLA Oh the heat breakings Of miserable maids, where love's enforced The best condition is but bad enough: When women have their choices, commonly They do but buy their thraldoms, and bring great portions To men to keep 'em in subjection - As if a fearful prisoner should bribe The keeper to be good to him, yet lies in still, And glad of a good usage, a good look sometimes. By'r lady, no misery surmounts a woman's: Men buy their slaves but women buy their masters.

Yet in Middleton's play, it is the female characters who, even while protesting their hopelessness in relation to men, wheel-and-deal their way through adultery, murder and incest. The alliance of heart is preferred to an arranged marriage. The female drive towards independent choice leads to sexual license. Thus, the shift from passivity to bravura activity is accompanied by a marked moral decline.

With comparable bravado, the Duchess of Malfi clearly identifies her elevated fiscal position with her actual entitlement to act exactly as she chooses. In both the cases, of Isabella and the Duchess, we are made witness to the acting out of a taboo. The Duchess is shown acting out her remarriage and its consequences as if her power as royal heir, dowager of the Dukedom of Amalfi, carrier of a substantial dowry in movable goods, gave her the real strength. Her presumption is, however, proved wrong. The patriarchy's relation to her behaviour is made more than clear in the play. She loses her princely immunity through forfeiture of her dower. From now onward she is no longer, despite her protests to the contrary ("I am the Duchess of Malfi still"), the Duchess of Malfi, She is reduced to the safe composite stereotype of penitent whore. Virgin majestic in grief, serving mother, and patient and true turtle dove mourning her one love. The Duchess may act out on the stage her power of inheritance, in real life it was no power for the individual woman. In real life the verdict had been decided upon in advance. William Painter, whose *The Palace of Pleasure*, was the source book for the plot of Webster's play, had laid it down as under :

Behold here (O ye foolish lovers) a Glasse of your lightnesse, and ye women, the course of your fonde behavior... Shall I be of opinion that a household servaunt ought to solicite, nay rather suborne the daughter of his Lord without punishment, or that a vile and abject person dare to mount upon a Princes bed? No, no, pollicie requireth order in all, and eche wight ought to be matched according to their qualitie, without making pastime of it to cover our follies, and know not of what force love and destiny be, except the same be resisted. A goodly thing it is to love, but where reason loseth his place, love is without his effect, and the sequele rage and madness.

Thus, in *The Duchess of Malfi*, the spectre of real female power implicit in the structure of inheritance is ritually exercised. Headstrong, emancipated female love is chastened into figurative submission. The message for the reader is more than clear. In the first place, she is not shown to be the representative woman of her age. Both by her birth as well as by her deed she is an exceptional woman. Also, her daring/act of remarriage, of marrying a person of her choice, and of marrying a person lower in status than her own, is made an example of a woman led astray by her lust, and therefore rightly done to death. The dramatist may impart some grandeur to her person, may lend her dignity of a tragic hero. But she still remains a tragic figure because she has committed an error which must cost her dearly her fortune as well as her life. Her torturers are, no doubt, made to appear cruel and inhuman. But she too, is not shown doing the right thing in what she did. Her act is still made to appear a wrong one. Hence, the gender bias of the age is prominently displayed in the story of the Duchess.

#### 29.4 LET US SUM UP

The Duchess of Malfi is an unusual centre figure for a seventeenth century tragedy not only because she is a woman, but also because, as a woman, she combines virtue with powerful sexual desire. *The Duchess of Mali* raises questions about the nature and gendering of political authority. The figure of the Duchess combines the roles of tragic protangonist and tragic victim, and occupies a dramatic centrality that is conventionally only accorded to male characters, such as Shakespeare's great tragic heroes: King Lear, Othello and Macbeth.

#### 29.5 EXAMINATION ORIENTED QUESTIONS

- 1. Write a note on the chief characteristics of Jacobean tragedy.
- 2. Discuss Webster as a tragic satirist.
- 3. Examine The Duchess of Malfi in terms of its plot.

- 4. Write a note on the character of the Duchess.
- 5. Discuss Bosola as a Machiavellian villain.

# 29.6 SUGGESTED READINGS

M. C. Bradbrook, Themes and Conventions of Elizabethan Tragedy, 1935.

Una Ellis-Fermor, *The Jacobean Drama: An Interpretation*, 1936.
Callaghn, Dympná. "The Duchess of Malfi and Renaissance Women." British Library, 31 March 2017. *https://www.bl.uk/shakespeare/articles/the-duchess-of-malfi-and-renaissance-women.*

Jankowski, Theodora A. Women in Power in the Early Modern Drama. University of Illinois Press, 1992.

-----